

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> xi
<i>List of Tables</i>	xii
<i>List of Conversation Boards</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiv
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xv
<i>Prologue</i>	xvi
1 Interactional Language	1
1.1 Introducing Interactional Language	1
1.2 Toward a Grammar of Interactional Language	2
1.3 The Significance of Interactional Language	4
2 The Syntacticization of Speech Acts	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Classic Speech Act Theory	10
2.2.1 Situating Speech Act Theory	10
2.2.2 What Are Speech Acts?	11
2.3 The Linguistic Properties of Speech Acts	13
2.4 Syntacticizing Speech Acts Part I: The View from Generative Semantics	16
2.4.1 How to Encode Meaning in Syntax	17
2.4.2 Syntactic Underpinnings of the Performative Hypothesis	19
2.5 The Fate of the Performative Hypothesis	20
2.5.1 Arguments against Austin's Performative Hypothesis	21
2.5.2 Arguments against the Empirical Evidence	22
2.5.3 Arguments against the Syntacticization of Pragmatics	23
2.6 Syntacticizing Speech Acts Part II: Functional Architecture	24
2.6.1 Theoretical Background	25
2.6.2 A Dedicated Speech Act Structure	30
2.6.3 New Theories, New Problems	34
2.7 Conclusion	35
3 From Speech Acts to Interaction	38
3.1 Introduction	38
3.2 Philosophical Underpinnings	40
3.2.1 Assumptions about Conversations	40
3.2.2 Different Ways of Doing Things with Language	42
	vii

viii	Contents	
3.3	Dialogue-Based Frameworks	44
3.3.1	Conversation Analysis	44
3.3.2	Grounding Theory	48
3.4	Functional Grammar-Based Frameworks	50
3.4.1	Systemic Functional Linguistics	51
3.4.2	Functional Discourse Grammar	51
3.4.3	Longacre's Grammar of Discourse	53
3.4.4	Interactional Linguistics	54
3.5	Formal Grammar-Based Frameworks: The Semantic Angle	56
3.5.1	Formal Semantics of the Truth-Conditional Kind	56
3.5.2	Common Ground and Dynamic Semantics	57
3.5.3	The Question under Discussion and Being Inquisitive	58
3.5.4	Toward a Formal Semantics of Dialogue	61
3.5.5	Expressive Dimensions and Other Forms of Language Use	62
3.6	Formal Grammar-Based Frameworks: The Syntactic Angle	64
3.7	Conclusion	66
4	The Interactional Spine Hypothesis	72
4.1	Problems I Want to Address	73
4.1.1	The Empirical Problem: Confirmationals and Response Markers	73
4.1.2	The Analytical Problem: The Need for a Framework	75
4.1.3	The Theoretical Problem: What Does It All Mean?	75
4.1.4	The Methodological Problem: Interactional Data	76
4.2	The Framework: The Universal Spine Hypothesis	77
4.3	What I Propose: The Interactional Spine Hypothesis	80
4.3.1	Extending the Universal Spine with Interactional Functions	81
4.3.2	Assumptions about the Normal Course of the Conversation	85
4.3.3	Methodology	90
4.3.4	Reporting Acceptability Judgments	92
5	Initiating Moves: A Case-Study of Confirmationals	93
5.1	Introduction	93
5.2	The Grammar of Initiating Moves	94
5.2.1	The Function of Confirmationals	94
5.2.2	Confirmationals on the Interactional Spine	98
5.2.3	The Core Meaning of Confirmationals	101
5.2.4	Predictions	102
5.3	The Role of the Host Clause: Target of Confirmation	103
5.3.1	Declaratives	104
5.3.2	Interrogatives	106
5.3.3	Imperatives	109
5.3.4	Exclamatives	112
5.3.5	Summary	116
5.4	The Articulated GroundP	118
5.4.1	The Argument from Interpretation	118
5.4.2	The Argument from Differences in Confirmationals	120
5.4.3	The Argument from Multiple Sentence-Final Particles	124

Contents	ix
5.4.4 The Argument from Clause-Type Restriction	126
5.4.5 Summary	127
5.5 Confirmational Paradigms	128
5.5.1 A Paradigmatic Contrast Based On [+/-coin]	128
5.5.2 The Timing of Grounding	131
5.5.3 The Gradability of Beliefs	135
5.6 Confirmationals and Their Kin	137
5.6.1 Narrative vs. Confirmational <i>Eh</i>	138
5.6.2 When Attitudes Need Not Be Confirmed	139
5.6.3 What Makes Us Uncertain: The Role of Evidence	140
5.7 Conclusion	144
6 Reacting Moves: A Case-Study of Response Markers	147
6.1 Introduction	147
6.2 The Grammar of Reacting Moves	150
6.2.1 RespP in Initiation and Reaction: Similarities and Differences	150
6.2.2 The Core Meaning of Response Markers	153
6.2.3 The Target of Response	154
6.3 Associating Response Markers with the Interactional Spine	156
6.3.1 Answering: When Response Markers Associate with CP	156
6.3.2 (Dis-)agreement: When Response Markers Associate with Ground _{Spkr} P	160
6.3.3 Acknowledgment: When Response Markers Associate with Ground _{Adr} P	176
6.3.4 Responding: When Response Markers Associate with RespP	177
6.4 Reacting with Emotions	182
6.4.1 Reactions and Emotions	182
6.4.2 Emotive Content via Prosodic Modification	184
6.4.3 Emotive Content via Complex Response Markers	193
6.4.4 Emotivity Is Not a Spinal Function	195
6.5 Conclusion	199
7 The Grammar of Interactional Language	200
7.1 Introduction	200
7.2 The Syntacticization of Verbal Interaction	201
7.2.1 The Interactional Spine Hypothesis	201
7.2.2 Ingredients of the Interactional Spine Hypothesis	203
7.3 Toward a Formal Typology of Interactional Language	205
7.3.1 What Is a Formal Typology of Interactional Language?	205
7.3.2 Where and How and When	206
7.3.3 More Predictions of the Interactional Spine Hypothesis	210
7.4 Exploring the Cognitive Underpinnings of the Grammar of Interaction	213
7.4.1 Evidence for Cognitive Underpinnings	214
7.4.2 Do Interactive Abilities Precede Linguistic Abilities?	216
7.4.3 Interactive Abilities Are Also Linguistic Abilities: The Bridge Model	217
7.5 Conclusions and Further Questions	221
7.5.1 Conclusion	221
7.5.2 Logophoricity	222
7.5.3 Genre, Style, and Subjectivity	223
7.5.4 Information Structure	225

x	Contents	
	7.5.5 The Role of Intonation	226
	7.5.6 The Clause Type–Speech Act Mapping	226
	<i>Epilogue</i>	229
	<i>Bibliography</i>	231
	<i>Index</i>	261