

INDEX

- A, B, and C case 56–57
A. A. v. United Kingdom 29
Abdulaziz v. the United Kingdom 27
 abortion 56
 accountability 141
 African Court of Human Rights 86
Airey v. Ireland 22
 aliens, protection of 29
Al-Jedda v. United Kingdom 24
Al-Skeini v. United Kingdom 23
 Alter, Karen J. 93, 141
 ambiguity 16, 50
 American Convention on Human Rights 86
 American exceptionalism 71
 American Legal Realists 16
 amicus curiae 6, 72, 87–88, 90, 94, 96, 106
Artico v. Italy 22
 authority 2, 141
Avena case 15, 80
- backlash 4, 12, 30, 48–49, 51, 74, 90, 92, 115, 121, 125, 127, 136
 backward induction 137
 balancing 78
 bandwidth and echo 99, 104, 107–110
Bankovic case 50
 Barak, Aharon 17, 71
 beauty contest 52
Belgian Linguistic case 27
 Benvenisti, Eyal 17, 31–33, 43, 48, 74, 76, 78–79, 136, 140
 bias 130, 142
 Bogdandy, Armin von 28
Bowers v. Hardwick 71
 Brexit 69
- Brighton Conference 90
Broniowski v. Poland 24
- Carolene Products case* 30
Cassis case 75
 checks and balances 14, 61, 133, 141
 civic death 58
 CJEU *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
 clear meaning doctrine 17
CLR v. Romania 23, 86, 96–98, 109
 commitment 15, 79, 138
 Committee of Ministers 65, 95, 99–100, 105, 110
 comparative international law 46
 comparative law 3–4, 38–40, 51, 60, 135
 complementarity 7, 77, 137
 compliance 66, 72–73, 91, 95, 136, 139, 141
 Conant, Lisa J. 75
 concentrated interest groups *See* small interest groups
 Condorcet Jury Theorem 3, 10, 38–40, 61, 64, 66, 135
 Condorcet Paradox 5, 10, 56
 Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms 4–5, 11, 14, 25–26, 30, 33, 41–42, 45, 47–49, 52–54, 57, 71–72
 additional protocols 26
 amicus curiae 87
 article 13 102
 article 14 26–27, 31–32, 102
 article 2 102
 article 3 22, 102
 article 5 24

- Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (cont.)
 article 6 21, 102
 extraterritorial jurisdiction 50
 Grand Chamber 104
 indiscriminate restriction 60
 interpretation 20
 Judicial Behavior Interpretations 63–66
 Law Making Treaty 21
 NGO application 86, 97, 109
 Protocol 11 13
 Protocol 12 27–28, 35
 Protocol 14 13, 25
 Protocol 2 article 2 31
 purpose 66
 state application 87
 core and periphery 73
Cossey case 53
 cost-benefit analysis 88
 Council of Europe 14, 21
 counter-majoritarian difficulty 14
 Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 2, 13, 68, 70, 75–76, 78–80, 93, 140
 custom 45
Cyprus v. Turkey 50
- default 55
 democratic failures 3, 28, 32–34, 66, 134
 Department of Execution of Judgments 100
DH v. Czech Republic 31
 dialogue 75
Dickson v. United Kingdom 33
 discrete and insular minorities 30, 32, 134
 discretion 48
 discrimination 27, 30–31, 35
 indirect 31
 disenfranchisement *See* prisoners' voting
 dissemination of information 73, 90–91, 98, 136
 dissent 42, 55–56, 70, 104
 dissortative mixing 73
 domestic judicial review 136–137
 Downs, George W. 79
Dudgeon v. United Kingdom 71
 Dzehtsiarou, Kanstantsin 41, 43–44
- easy cases 16
 ECHR *See* European Court of Human Rights (ECHR)
 ECJ *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
 effectiveness of courts 140–141
 Ely, John Hart 29, 31, 134
 emerging consensus 37, 41–42, 63, 66
 epistemic community 83
 equal pay for equal work 70
 European Commission 75–76
 European Commission on Human Rights 53
 European Convention on Human Rights *See* Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
 European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) 2, 68, 86, 90, 114, 131, 139
 extra-territorial jurisdiction 23
 Pilot Judgments 24
 President 87
 victimhood requirement 23, 96
 European integration 75
 European Union 75
Evans v. United Kingdom 48
 exit and voice 32, 92
 expansive interpretation 66, 134
 externalities 29
- Fairfield v. United Kingdom* 23, 97
 fire alarms and police patrols 77
 focal points 76
 foreign influence 78–79
 foreign jurisdictions 70, 72
 foreigners 134
 forensic analyses 124
 free-riding 32
 friend of the court *See* amicus curiae
- Game Theory 137
 general principles of law 45–46
 Ginsburg, Tom 6, 48, 76, 83, 139

- Global Administrative Law 141
 globalization 138–142
Golder v. United Kingdom 20–21
Goodwin v. United Kingdom 54, 64
 Government-Organized Non-Governmental Organizations (GONGOs) 93, 110
Greens and MT v. United Kingdom 14, 30
 group deliberation 108
 Guzman, Andrew T. 52, 107
- Haas v. Netherlands* 27
 Helfer, Laurence R. 4, 41–42, 48, 140–141
 High Court of Australia 70
Hirst v. United Kingdom 14, 30, 57, 60
 Huneeus, Alexandra 83, 95
- IACHR *See* Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACHR)
 ICJ *See* International Court of Justice (ICJ)
 impartiality 62, 92, 95, 127
 informal international law 74, 141
 information cascade 4, 40, 46, 51, 66, 108, 135
 infringement procedure 76
 inhuman and degrading treatment 72
 Inter-American Commission on Human Rights 81, 96
 Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACHR) 34, 73, 83, 95
 interim resolution 100
 International Court of Justice (ICJ) 13, 15, 19, 46, 68, 80, 86, 88, 95, 139
 International Criminal Court (ICC) 2, 13, 68, 77, 83, 112–132
 Africa 114, 117, 127
 complementarity and primacy 112–132
 jurisdiction 113
 situations 117
 International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) 8, 114
 International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) 8, 46, 114
 international judges 37
 International Law Commission 20–21
 international organizations 2, 15, 79, 88
 interview 41–42, 106–107
Ireland v. United Kingdom 21, 49, 71
 Islamic headcover 47, 55
- judicial behavior 62–66
 attitudinal model 64
 legalist model 63
 strategic model 65
 judicial delay 51
 judicial dialogue 5
 judicial discretion 17, 124, 127
 judicial panels 65
 judicial review theory 8, 133–138
 judicial selection 64
 judicial terms 13
- Kadi I case* 80
Kadi II case 80
Kenya Judgment 117, 124
Klass case 23
 Kony 2012 68
 Kony, Joseph 68
 Koskenniemi, Martti 16, 140
- Lauterpacht, Hersch 19–20, 139
Lawrence v. Texas 60, 71
 legal interns 81
 legal staff 82
 legislation 77, 122, 131
 legitimacy 1–2, 15, 35, 44, 62, 95, 110, 115, 127, 133, 141–142
 litigators 82
Loizidou v. Turkey 23, 50
- Madsen, Mikael Rask 34, 141
Marckx v. Belgium 22
 margin of appreciation 4, 31, 33–34, 38, 42, 48–49, 51, 53, 112, 134–135
Medellin v. Texas 15, 80
 media 78, 83, 90
Minelli v. Switzerland 21
 minorities 43–44
 moral principles 44
- narrow interest groups *See* small interest groups

- national courts 14, 30, 46, 60, 66, 70, 76, 78, 135
 national human rights institutions 100
 national judges 37
New South Wales v. Amery 70
 newspapers 68, 120
 Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) 1, 72, 85–110
- objectivity *See* impartiality
 Olson, Mancur 32, 69
Othman Abu Qatada v. United Kingdom 29
- paradigm shift 138–142
 parliaments 77–78
 Permanent Court of International Justice (PCIJ) 19, 139
 positive obligations 22
 precedent 2, 11, 63, 71, 89
 preliminary references 76
 principles and values 70, 136
 prisoners' voting 5, 11, 30, 57, 134
 private and family life, right to 22
 privilege 58
 proportionality 78
Prosecutor v. Erdemovic 46
Prosecutor v. Gaddafi 125
Public Committee against Torture v. Israel 71
 public deliberation 1
- rational choice 115, 120, 131
Rees case 53
 repetitive cases 89, 92, 100
 reputation of courts 65, 91
 reputation of states 52, 104–107, 126, 128, 130
 reputational damage *See* reputational sanction
 reputational sanction 7, 65, 91, 99–100, 105, 107, 110, 117–118, 121–122, 128–129
 right, inalienable 58
 right, revocable 58
 rights and interests 70, 78, 136
 Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court 13, 77, 117, 120
 amending criminal codes 131
 amending the 123
 complementarity 114
 joining the 128
 rule of law 82, 132
 rules of engagement 120
 Russia 25, 68, 104–106, 117
- S.A.S v. France* 55
Sahin v. Turkey 47–48
 security council 14
 sham investigations 7
 shaming 7, 99, 137
 Shany, Yuval 15, 29, 33, 89, 141
Sheffield and Horsham v. United Kingdom 53
 shielding 7
Shrimp Turtle case 87, 96
 Slaughter, Anne-Marie 48, 74, 140
 small interest groups 32, 69, 76, 78–79, 93, 134, 136
 social change 74
 social hubs 5
 Social Network Analysis 7, 72, 108, 136, 140
 social rights 28
Soering v. United Kingdom 21–22
 sovereignty 15, 19, 82, 93, 130, 140
 state consent 15, 17, 19, 24, 28
 states 2, 15, 140
 Statute of the Council of Europe 100
 Statute of the International Court of Justice 13, 86
 article 38 45
 NGO intervention 88
 Statute of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda 114
 Statute of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia 114
Stec v. United Kingdom 27–28, 32
 subsidiarity 7, 112
 Sweet, Alec Stone 78
- The Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union 13
 threshold model 108
 torture 22, 29

- transitivity 58–59
 treaty 2
 amendment 3, 25, 134
 evolutionary interpretation 18, 24
 expansive interpretation 2, 19–33, 36, 97
 intentions of the parties *See* subjective interpretation
 multilateral 45
 negotiation 25, 45
 object and purpose 66
 principle of effectiveness 20–21
 restrictive interpretation 3, 15
 state reservations 23
 subjective interpretation 18
 teleological interpretation 24, 66
 textual interpretation 17
Two-Level Games 92
Tyrer case 24

ultra vires 17
 United Kingdom 14, 22–23, 25, 27, 29, 35, 49–50, 53–54, 57, 69, 71, 105

 United Nations Security Council 80
 United States 14, 22, 71, 80, 117
 United States Supreme Court 71, 80

Van der Musselle v. Belgium 22
 Venzke, Ingo 28
 Vienna Convention on Consular Relations 80
 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties 17–18, 20, 25
 Voeten, Erik 4, 19, 29, 41–42, 69, 142
Von Hannover v. Germany 103

 war crimes 113, 115
Wemhoff v. Germany 21
 Westphalia, Peace of 139
 World Trade Organization Appellate Body (WTO AB) 2, 87, 96
 WTO AB *See* World Trade Organization Appellate Body (WTO AB)

X and Y v. Netherlands 22