

CONFUCIAN CULTURE AND COMPETITION LAW IN EAST ASIA

Competition law is a significant legal transplant in East Asia, where it has come into contact with deeply rooted variants of Confucian culture. This timely volume analyses cultural factors in mainland China, Japan and Korea, focusing on their shared but diversely evolved Confucian heritage. These factors distinguish the competition law systems of these countries from those of major western jurisdictions, in terms of the goals served by the law, the way enforcement is structured and the way subjects of the law respond to it. Concepts from cultural studies inform a new and eclectic perspective on these dynamics, with the authors also drawing on ideas from law and economics, comparative law, East Asian studies, political science, business management and ethics, and institutional economics. The volume presents a model for cultural analysis of comparative legal topics and contributes to a greater understanding of the challenges to deeper convergence of competition laws between East and West.

JINGYUAN MA is Associate Professor at the School of Law of Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing, China. She obtained her PhD from the University of Hamburg, Erasmus University Rotterdam and the University of Bologna, taking part in the European Doctorate in Law and Economics programme (EDLE).

MEL MARQUIS is Deputy Associate Dean and Senior Lecturer at Monash Law School, Melbourne, Australia. He has taught law at several universities in Europe and Asia. He is Special Editor for Competition Law of European Papers: A Journal on Law and Integration, and he is a member of the Centre for Commercial Law and Regulatory Studies. He is a cofounder and co-director of the Monash Inter-Faculty Competition Law and Economics group, and a co-founder of the Rome Antitrust Forum.



CONFUCIAN CULTURE AND COMPETITION LAW IN EAST ASIA

JINGYUAN MA

Central University of Finance and Economics

MEL MARQUIS

Monash University







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108738569

DOI: 10.1017/9781108762342

© Jingyuan Ma and Mel Marquis 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2022 First paperback edition 2025

 $A\ catalogue\ record\ for\ this\ publication\ is\ available\ from\ the\ British\ Library$

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data Names: Ma, Jingyuan, author. | Marquis, Mel, author.

Title: Confucian culture and competition law in East Asia / Jingyuan Ma, Central University of Finance and Economics, China; Mel Marquis, Monash University, Melbourne.

Description: 1. | Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2022. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2022004757 (print) | LCCN 2022004758 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108488235 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108738569 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108762342 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Antitrust law-East Asia. | Competition, Unfair-East Asia. | Confucianism and law-East Asia. | Philosophy, Confucian-East Asia.

Classification: LCC KNC750 .M35 2022 (print) | LCC KNC750 (ebook) | DDC 343.507/21–dc23/eng/20220430

 $LC\ record\ available\ at\ https://lccn.loc.gov/2022004757$ $LC\ ebook\ record\ available\ at\ https://lccn.loc.gov/2022004758$

ISBN 978-1-108-48823-5 Hardback ISBN 978-1-108-73856-9 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

	-	face ix of Abbreviations xiii
1	Int	roduction 1
	1.1	The Concept of Culture 2
	1.2	Confucian Culture and <i>Confucianisms</i> in East Asia 3
	1.3	Confucian Culture and Competition Law 6
		1.3.1 Confucian Business Culture 11
		1.3.2 Confucian Corporate Culture and Its Relationship to Compliance 11
		1.3.3 Confucian Political-Bureaucratic Culture 12
		1.3.4 Confucian 'Litigation Culture' and Its Relationship to Private Antitrust Enforcement 13
		1.3.5 Confucian Legal Culture and Its Relationship to the Criminalization of Cartels 14
	1.4	Structure of the Book 15
2	Coı	nfucian Culture and Its Influence in East Asia 18
	2.1	
	2.2	
		2.2.1 Mainland China 23
		2.2.2 Hong Kong and Taiwan Regions 26
		2.2.3 Japan 28
		2.2.4 Korea 31
	2.3	Conclusion 33
3		nfucian Business Culture and Its Implications for mpetition Law 35
	3.1	Confucian Business Culture 35
	3.2	Confucian Business Culture in Selected Countries and Regions 37
		3.2.1 Mainland China 37
		3.2.2 Hong Kong and Taiwan Regions 39
		3.2.3 Japan 42
		3.2.4 Korea 53



vi

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-108-73856-9 — Confucian Culture and Competition Law in East Asia Jingyuan Ma , Mel Marquis Frontmatter More Information

		CONTENTS
	3.3	Implications for Competition Law in East Asia 58 3.3.1 The Goals of Competition Law in East Asian Countries
		and Regions 60 3.3.2 The Relationship between Business Entities and the State 75 3.3.3 Family Ownership 79 3.3.4 Cosiness among Business Groups: Concerns about
		Market Concentration 81 3.3.5 Business Culture and Competition Law Compliance Programmes 83
		3.3.6 Developing a Competition Culture through Education 84
	3.4	Conclusion 86
4	Co	nfucian Corporate Culture and
	Co	mpetition Compliance 88
	4.1	Competition Compliance 88
	4.2	Confucian Corporate Culture 98
		4.2.1 Confucian Values 101
		4.2.2 Paternalistic Leadership 1044.2.3 Family Ownership 109
		4.2.4 Business Communities 112
	4.3	Corporate and Organizational Culture in China, Japan, Korea: Empirical Evidence 114 4.3.1 China 115 4.3.2 Japan 117 4.3.3 Korea 119
	4.4	Confucian Corporate Culture and Competition Compliance 120
		4.4.1 Corporate Culture as an Internal Control Force 120
		4.4.2 Compliance as an Internal Force for Law Enforcement 123
		4.4.3 Compliance Should Be Culturally Driven 125
	4.5	Incorporating Considerations of Corporate Culture in Competition Compliance Strategies in East Asia 125
		4.5.1 Competition Compliance Programmes 127
		4.5.2 Paternalistic Leadership at CEO and Senior Manager Level 132
		4.5.3 The Compliance Officer 135
		4.5.4 Effective Communication and Education 138
		4.5.5 Cultural Changes to Shape Business Incentives 141
	4.6	Conclusion 143
5	Co	nfucian Political-Bureaucratic Culture and Its Links with
	the	Administrative Enforcement of Competition Law 145
	5.1	Introduction 145
	5.2	Confucian Public Bureaucracy 146 5.2.1 Confucian Thought on Governance by Virtue 147



6

7

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-108-73856-9 — Confucian Culture and Competition Law in East Asia Jingyuan Ma , Mel Marquis Frontmatter More Information

	CONTENTS vii				
	 5.2.2 Confucian Thought on Authoritarian Governance 150 5.2.3 Implementation of Confucian Thought, Including Rule of Virtue, by the Scholar-Officials 152 				
5.3	The Influence of Confucian Bureaucracy in East Asian Countries 156 5.3.1 China 158				
	5.3.2 Japan 165 5.3.3 Korea 170				
5.4	Implications for the Administrative Enforcement of Competition Law 174 5.4.1 Confucian Bureaucracy and Administrative Enforcement 175 5.4.2 Confucian Bureaucracy and the Competition Agency 184				
	 5.4.2 Confucian Bureaucracy and the Competition Agency 5.4.3 The Debate on Industrial Policy versus Competition Policy 191 				
5.5	Implications for More Effective Competition Enforcement in East Asia 194				
5.6	Conclusion 196				
	nfucian 'Litigation Culture' and the Under-development of				
	vate Antitrust Enforcement 199				
6.1	Introduction 199				
6.2	Traditional and Evolving 'Litigation Culture' in East Asian Countries 208 6.2.1 Harmony and Non-litigious Culture 209 6.2.2 The Role of Courts and Judges 227 6.2.3 The Role of Lawyers 239				
6.3	The (Under-) Development of Private Enforcement of Competition Law in East Asia 246 6.3.1 China 246 6.3.2 Japan 254 6.3.3 Korea 263				
6.4	General Discussion 270 6.4.1 Limited Relief for Individual Victims 273 6.4.2 Class Actions 274				
6.5	Conclusion 288				
Coı	nfucian Legal Culture and the Regional Response to the				
Cri	minalization of Cartel Conduct 290				
7.1	Introduction 290				
7.2	Legalism and the Concept of Law in Ancient China 294 7.2.1 The Concept of Law and Penal Law in Ancient China 296 7.2.2 Fa Jia and Legalism 297				



viii

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-108-73856-9 — Confucian Culture and Competition Law in East Asia Jingyuan Ma , Mel Marquis Frontmatter More Information

		CONTENTS
		7.2.3 Penalties 3007.2.4 The Instrumental Use of Law 302
	7.3	Moral and Penal Law 304 7.3.1 The Confucianization of the Law 307 7.3.2 Defining Moral Wrongfulness 309 7.3.3 A Different Context for Moral Actions 310
	7.4	The Impact of Confucianism and Legalism on Legal Culture in East Asia 311 7.4.1 China 312 7.4.2 Japan 315 7.4.3 Korea 318
	7.5	Implications for the Criminalization of Cartels in East Asia 7.5.1 The Criminalization of Cartels in East Asia 321 7.5.2 Defining the Moral Wrongfulness of Cartels 328 7.5.3 Moral Wrongfulness and Penal Law 331 7.5.4 Prospects for Criminal Enforcement in East Asia 332
	7.6	Conclusion 333
8	Cor	nclusion 336
	8.1	Recap and Chapter Structure 336
	8.2	Culture as an Aid to Interpretation, not as a Cause 337
	8.3	Confucian Culture and Business Activities in East Asia 339
	8.4	A Bureaucratic Style of Enforcement 339
	8.5	Private Antitrust Enforcement in East Asia 340
	8.6	Criminalization of Cartels (I): The Limits of Utilitarianism 343
	8.7	Criminalization of Cartels (II): The Role of Morality 352
	8.8	Final Remarks 355
	Sele Inde	cted Bibliography 359 ex 412



PREFACE

The modern concept of competition law as a proper activity of the State (or group of States, in the case of regional integration areas) and the philosophical orientations that underlie that concept are largely 'Western' inventions. Shortly after the enactment of the first modern (national) antitrust law in the world in Canada in 1889, the United States promulgated the Sherman Act in 1890, and throughout the twentieth century this iconic Act had an enduring and growing international influence. In many dimensions, the dominant paradigms of the competition laws of the United States and the European Union have been assumed to be models fit for emulation in the competition laws of nations around the globe. While the United States and European Union models have important differences, they both embrace (notwithstanding vibrant academic discussions) the conceptual foundations of classical and neoclassical economic principles. The extent to which these models resonate and are received as 'transplants' in other parts of the world, and in the present case East Asia, is an ongoing matter of inquiry and debate.

It is best to use the term *transplant* with care: it should not be assumed that a borrowed legal institution has the same features as the original model, or that it will be accepted or function in similar ways in a new and possibly quite alien environment. While recognizing the problematic nature of transplants, it is clear that the transplant metaphor (or, with more nuance, the 'hybrid transplant' metaphor) is apt, in varying degrees, when describing the competition laws of East Asia, that is, those of China, Japan and Korea. 'Anti-monopoly law' was originally adopted in Japan under the compulsion of the United States after the World War II, while Korea and China later adopted their own competition laws as part of their increasing reliance on the principle of a market economy. Whereas competition laws and their enforcement in China, Japan and Korea have been influenced in important ways by EU competition law in particular (and to some extent, and less obviously, by the German tradition), and while these countries have often adopted terms and



X PREFACE

concepts typically developed and used in the United States and Europe, their respective competition laws display their own particularities. It suffices here to mention only three examples:

- the goals of competition law in East Asia are more diverse and over time have been influenced by concerns related to industrial policy;
- there is a clear predominance of administrative enforcement of competition law and, at the same time, generally slow progress in developing both private damages actions (especially where plaintiffs lack resources or are rationally apathetic, as in the case of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) or individual consumers) and criminal enforcement; and
- competition compliance programmes have become common, but the role and function of compliance officers are sometimes more akin to those of a senior executive than they are to those of an independent professional.

In this book we suggest that the introduction of competition law in East Asia is conditioned by a two-sided cultural adaptation. First of all, and subject to the caveats above, the genesis and development of competition laws in East Asia should be considered in the context of the debate on legal transplants. The literature regarding transplants suggests that the incorporation of competition laws in Japan, Korea and China faces significant challenges, especially insofar as there is only a relatively weak 'competition culture' in these countries, and there are doubts about whether it is possible to cultivate such a culture so that it becomes deeply embedded and shapes commercial behaviour. Second, from the perspective of East Asian countries, competition law also reflects the centurylong debate on how the Confucian tradition can be 'inherited' in such a way that, where fruitful, it can accommodate Western economic and commercial ideas and influences - often with local adaptation and hybridization. The process of borrowing ideas, rules, remedies, conceptual constructs and analytical tools is influenced by local culture and local social norms - and this is equally true in the context of the adoption, implementation and enforcement of economic law and regulation. Differences in culture, tradition and social norms can provide helpful explanations for variations in the characteristics and patterns of law enforcement as well as compliance with legal obligations.

The book's starting point is that most studies on competition law and policy in East Asian countries have neglected the value of understanding the deep cultural roots and the impact of the Confucian tradition(s) on



PREFACE Xi

the development of law and its enforcement in the competition field. From this point of view, a simple 'legal transplant' theory is insufficient for a full understanding of the emergence and development of competition law in East Asia. In countries with distinct and historically driven moral and ethical systems, social norms, economic structure and conceptual views of the role of markets, competition law may exhibit characteristics that are substantially different compared to the systems common in the 'West'. The book therefore contends that cultural factors should be taken into account when analysing the differences between the competition laws of China, Japan and Korea and those in the United States and Europe. Such factors are important in that they may pose challenges to a greater degree of convergence of competition law between East and West. But beyond the matter of convergence, a culture-based analysis facilitates a deeper understanding of the particular features and functioning of the competition laws in these East Asian countries.

For these reasons, the book traces the connection between Confucian tradition and the adaptation of modern competition law within the Japanese, Korean and Chinese varieties of Confucian society - recognizing that 'Confucian' is a relative, not an absolute or monolithic, characteristic. Subject to that caveat, the book discusses the relevance of what we call (as an umbrella term) 'Confucian culture' in analysing the particular patterns and features of competition law in the countries concerned. And it examines the relevance of Confucian culture in the East Asian context as it pertains to several dimensions: business culture and its impact on, inter alia, the compliance efforts of enterprises subject to competition law; political and bureaucratic culture and the related dependence on administrative enforcement of competition law (in contrast to other models); litigation culture, or lack thereof, and the generally slow development (with some exceptions) of private enforcement of competition law in the region; and legal culture and prospects for either the establishment or intensification of cartel criminalization.

The book provides an as-yet unexplored explanatory tool that can facilitate a fuller understanding of particular features of competition law in East Asia such as the goals it serves, the way enforcement is structured and the way subjects of the law respond to it. In order to do so, the book draws – in varying degrees – on studies of East Asian countries in the fields of law, business and business ethics, economics, management, political science, history, social psychology and broader aspects of cultural studies. It also endeavours to cover, in some depth, each of the relevant countries (China, Japan and Korea) as well as the overall region.



XII PREFACE

The book aims to help contextualize East Asian competition law and further aims, as mentioned previously, to make it easier to understand the features observed in these countries which distinguish them from the typical legal templates of major Western jurisdictions. At the same time, the book explores how a culture-based understanding can serve to inform strategies that promote better competition law compliance on the part of enterprises operating in East Asia.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the colleagues, friends and loved ones who directly or indirectly provided support, encouragement and wisdom to this project. They include Dean Wu Tao, who kindly supported the CUFE Chair Professor Programme in 2016 and 2019; and several collaborators and experts with whom we have been privileged to work or consult in varying contexts: Professor Shingo Seryo (Doshisha), Professor Giorgio Monti (Tilburg and EUI), Professor Wang Xiaoye (CASS Beijing and Shenzhen), Professor Allan Fels (Melbourne), Dean Hwang Lee (Seoul), Eun Hye Kim (EUI), Professor Shuya Hayashi (Nagoya), Professor Tadashi Shiraishi (Tokyo), Professor Michael Faure (Maastricht), Professor Malcolm Feeley (Berkeley), Professor Tom Ginsburg (Chicago), Professor Alberto Heimler (Rome), Professor William Kovacic (Washington D.C.), Professor Daniel Sokol (Los Angeles), Professor Niels Philipsen (Maastricht), Professor Stefan Weishaar (Groningen) and Dr Caspar Schwalbe (Cambridge).

We are also grateful to our students in courses we have taught on Comparative Competition Law at the Central University of Finance and Economics in Beijing, and at Doshisha University in Kyoto.

Finally, we warmly thank the anonymous expert reviewers of the manuscript on which this book is based, as well as the superb and helpful editorial staff at Cambridge University Press.



ABBREVIATIONS

AMA Anti-Monopoly Act
AML Anti-Monopoly Law
B2B business-to-business
BCE before the common era

CASS Chinese Academy of Social Sciences
CAT UK Competition Appeal Tribunal
CCA China Consumers Association
CCP Chinese Communist Party

CE common era

CEO chief executive officer
CPL Consumer Protection Law
GNP gross national product
GVG Gerichtsverfassungsgesetz
ICN International Competition

ICN International Competition Network JFTC Japan Fair Trade Commission

JPY Japanese yen

KFTC Korea Fair Trade Commission

KRW Korean won

METI Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry
MITI Ministry of International Trade and Industry

MOFCOM Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China

MRFTA Monopoly Regulation and Fair Trade Act
NDRC National Development and Reform Commission

NGO non-governmental organization

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OJ Official Journal (of the European Union, or of the European

Communities)

R&D research and development

SAIC State Administration for Industry and Commerce SAMR State Administration for Market Regulation

SASAC State-Owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission

SME small and medium-sized enterprise

xiii



XIV LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SOE state-owned enterprise SPC Supreme People's Court

TFEU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union USAMGIK United States Army Military Government in Korea

USD United States dollar