

## Detailed Contents

*Foreword to the First Edition* xxiii  
*Preface to the Second Edition* xxv  
*How to Use This Book* xxvii  
*Table of Cases* xxix  
*Table of Legislation* lxi  
*List of Abbreviations* lxi  
*Notes on Mode of Citation* lxxiii

### 1 The Role of Modern Tort Law 1

What Is a Tort? 1  
 The Range of Modern Torts in English Law 1  
 Disparities among the Torts 8  
 The Purposes of Tort Law 9  
 Torts in Context 15  
 Obtaining Monetary Compensation 15  
 Concurrent Liability in Contract and in Tort 17  
 The General Principle 18  
 Tort Litigation 21  
 Sources of Tort Law 21  
 Costs Rules in Tort Litigation 22  
 Strike-out Applications in Tort Litigation 24  
 Torts and Death 26  
 An Action by/against the Estate 26  
 The Dependants' Action 28

## PART I NEGLIGENCE

### 2 Duty I – General Principles Governing Duty of Care 35

Introduction 35  
 The Framework for Negligence 35  
 A Brief Historical Overview 36  
 The Modern Duty of Care Tests 43  
 The Three Approaches: General 43  
 Assuming the Existence of a Duty of Care 48  
 Reasonable Foreseeability of Harm (*Caparo* #1) 51  
 General Principles 51  
 Claimants Susceptible to Injury 57  
 The Unborn Claimant 58

## viii Detailed Contents

- Proximity (*Caparo* #2) 62
- Policy Factors (*Caparo* #3) 65
  - General Principles 65
  - Barristers (When Conducting Matters in Court) 1
  - Children (Young) 72
  - Doctors: 'Wrongful Conception' Claims 74
  - Doctors: 'Wrongful Birth' Claims 82
  - Emergency Services: Fire Brigade and Coastal Rescue Services 86
  - Emergency Services: The Ambulance Service 89
  - Parental Liability for Their Children's Negligence 6
  - Parental Liability to Their Children 10
  - Police 90
  - The 'Bad Samaritan' 101
  - Those Who Need Rescuing 106
  - The 'Good Samaritan' 108
  - Regulators/Inspectors/Certifiers 12
  - Sporting Contests: Referees, Participants, and Others 109
- The Voluntary Assumption of Responsibility Test 111
  - Proving an Assumption of Responsibility 111
  - Proving Reliance 116
- The Incremental Test 119
  
- 3 Duty II – Particular Duty Scenarios 122**
  - Statutory Preclusions of a Duty of Care 122
    - A Mother's Immunity 122
    - 'Wrongful Life' Claims 124
  - Failure-to-Warn Liability 128
    - Introduction 128
    - Inherent Risks 130
    - Objectively Significant Risks 131
    - Subjectively Significant Risks 139
    - Timings 142
  - Pure Omissions 142
    - The General Rule 142
    - Exceptional Scenarios 145
  - The Failure to Control, Supervise, or Detain Third Parties 148
    - Introduction 148
    - The Leading Cases 150
    - The Legal Analysis of the Leading Cases 154
  
- 4 Duty III – Pure Economic Loss 167**
  - Introduction 167
  - Negligent Misstatement 169
    - Introduction 169
    - Framework 171
    - Reasonable Foreseeability of Economic Loss 172
    - The *Hedley Byrne, Caparo*, and Incremental Tests 173
    - The Bipartite Relationship 177
    - The Tripartite Relationship 179
    - The 'Victim' Scenario 187
    - Matters which Do *Not* Preclude a Duty of Care from Arising 193

**ix Detailed Contents**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Negligent Provision of Services</b>          | 195 |
| Introduction                                    | 195 |
| Relevant Scenarios and Legal Reasoning          | 196 |
| <b>Pure Relational Economic Loss</b>            | 206 |
| The Scenario                                    | 206 |
| The Governing Policy Reasons                    | 207 |
| Conclusion                                      | 212 |
| A Comparative Perspective                       | 212 |
| Defective Buildings                             | 12  |
| Liability in Negligence                         | 12  |
| Liability under the Defective Premises Act 1972 | 19  |

**5 Duty IV – Pure Psychiatric Injury 215**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Introduction</b>                                     | 215 |
| Uncertainties and Problems                              | 215 |
| Pure versus Consequential Mental Injury                 | 217 |
| The Framework   | 218 |
| <b>Precondition #1: A Recognised Psychiatric Injury</b> | 220 |
| The Threshold Principle                                 | 220 |
| The Diagnostic Classifications                          | 222 |
| <b>Precondition #2: The Type of Claimant</b>            | 226 |
| Primary Victims   | 227 |
| Secondary Victims                                       | 236 |
| The Elevated Primary Victim                             | 239 |
| Fear-of-the-Future Claimants                            | 241 |
| Residuary Claimants                                     | 242 |
| Stress-at-Work Claimants                                | 243 |
| Conclusion  | 243 |
| <b>Primary Victim Claimants</b>                         | 244 |
| Duty of Care Requirements                               | 244 |
| Other Features of Note                                  | 250 |
| <b>Secondary Victim Claimants</b>                       | 254 |
| Duty of Care Requirements                               | 254 |
| Can C be <i>Both</i> 'Primary' and 'Secondary' Victim?  | 273 |
| <b>Elevated Primary Victim Claimants</b>                | 275 |
| Duty of Care Requirements                               | 275 |
| <b>Fear-of-the-Future Claimants</b>                     | 277 |
| Duty of Care Requirements                               | 277 |
| <b>Residuary Claimants</b>                              | 279 |
| Duty of Care Requirements                               | 281 |
| <b>Stress-at-Work Claimants</b>                         | 283 |
| Duty of Care Requirements                               | 284 |

**6 Breach I – The Standard of Care 288**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Introduction</b>                               | 288 |
| Reasonableness, not Perfection                    | 288 |
| No Average or Team Standard                       | 290 |
| An Objective Standard, Subject to Exceptions      | 290 |
| <b>The Impact (If Any) of D's Characteristics</b> | 292 |
| Specialism  | 292 |
| Age   | 299 |
| Disability (Physical or Mental)                   | 302 |

## x Detailed Contents

- Inexperience 305
- [Parents, or in \*Loco Parentis\*](#) 27
- [Gender](#) 28
- The Impact (If Any) of the Circumstances in which D is Operating** 311
  - 'Agony of the Moment' Scenarios 312
  - 'Diagnosis with a Focus' Scenarios 316
  - Locality 316
  - Dangerous Recreational/Sporting Pursuits 318
  - Available Resources and Facilities 326
  - [National versus Local Practices](#) 29
- 7 Breach II – Proving Negligence** 333
  - Introduction 333
    - The Framework 333
    - A Matter of Terminology 334
  - Preliminary Matters 335
    - The Test of Foreseeability 335
    - The Date for Assessing Breach 339
    - Ruling out 'Inevitable Accidents' 342
    - Potential Dual Bases of Liability: Vicarious Liability and Systemic Breach 343
  - Testing Precautionary Steps against the Quadrant 347
    - The Quadrant of Factors 348
    - The Impact of the Compensation Act 2006, s 1 354
  - The *Bolam/Bolitho* Framework for Breach Analysis 359
    - The *Bolam* Test 359
    - The *Bolitho* 'Gloss' 368
    - The Effect of the SARAH Act 2015 375
    - Where the *Bolam/Bolitho* Framework Does *Not* Apply 376
  - Other Potential Tests of Breach 381
    - Contravening Specific Duties of Care 381
    - Contravening Relevant Standards or Rules 382
    - Contravening the 'Making It Worse' Rule 382
  - The Doctrine of *Res Ipsa Loquitur* 384
    - Setting the Context 384
    - Raising (and Rebutting) the Doctrine 386
    - The Burden of Proof 391
    - Two Concluding Notes 393
  - What Does *Not* Prove Breach 394
    - D's View, Pre-accident 394
    - An Expert Organisation's Opinion 395
    - A Change of System, Post-Accident 395
    - Inherent Risks 396
    - Relevance of 'Gross Negligence' 397
- 8 Causation of Damage** 400
  - Introduction 400
    - Principles, with a Splash of 'Common Sense' 400
    - A Note on Terminology 401
    - The Role of Policy 402
  - Pre-requisite: Proof of Compensable Damage 403
    - The *de Minimis* Threshold of Damage 403
    - The 'Loss of a Chance' Claim 408

**xi Detailed Contents**

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>The First Step: The Classic 'But-for' Test</b>  | <b>408</b> |
| The Importance, and Limits, of Probability   | 409        |
| Legal Points about the Balance-of-Probabilities Assessment                               | 413        |
| <b>Exception #1: 'Material Contribution to Risk'</b>                                     | <b>416</b> |
| The <i>McGhee/Fairchild</i> Scenario   | 417        |
| The <i>Wilsher</i> Scenario  | 424        |
| The Scope of the <i>McGhee/Fairchild</i> Exceptional Theorem                             | 426        |
| Apportionment of Damages   | 430        |
| The 'Doubling the Risk' Theorem  | 436        |
| The 'Hunting Cases'  | 438        |
| <b>Exception #2: 'Material Contribution to Damage'</b>                                   | <b>439</b> |
| The <i>Bonnington</i> Principle  | 439        |
| A Comparison with the <i>McGhee/Fairchild</i> Principle                                  | 440        |
| Conclusion   | 442        |
| <b>Exception #3: The <i>Bolitho</i> Causation Theorem</b>                                | <b>443</b> |
| The Leading Case and Theorem Explained   | 443        |
| Extending the <i>Bolitho</i> Causal Theorem to 'Out-of-House' Scenarios                  | 446        |
| <b>Exception #4: The <i>Chester v Afshar</i> Causal Theorem in Failure-to-Warn Cases</b> | <b>447</b> |
| The General Rule   | 447        |
| The <i>Chester v Afshar</i> Exception  | 453        |
| <b>Intervening Acts in the Causal Chain</b>  | <b>458</b> |
| The Characteristics of an Intervening Act  | 458        |
| The Effect of an Intervening Act   | 459        |
| Particular Contexts  | 460        |
| Subsequent Medical Treatment   | 467        |
| <b>Causation and 'Pure Omissions'</b>  | <b>469</b> |
| <b>'Loss of a Chance' Claims</b>   | <b>470</b> |
| What 'Loss of a Chance' Means  | 470        |
| In the Medical Negligence Context  | 471        |
| In the Economic Context  | 476        |
| <b>Supervening Event #1: D2's Supervening Tort Damaged an Already-Damaged Claimant</b>   | <b>478</b> |
| Successive Tortfeasors   | 479        |
| Judicial Reaction to the <i>Baker v Willoughby</i> Principle                             | 482        |
| <b>Supervening Event #2: Non-tortious Reasons Would Have Led to the Same Damage</b>      | <b>483</b> |
| <b>The Burden of Proof</b>   | <b>485</b> |
| The General Position   | 485        |
| What if D Causes the Evidential Difficulty?  | 485        |
| <b>9 Remoteness of Damage</b>  | <b>487</b> |
| Introduction   | 487        |
| What the Remoteness Enquiry Addresses  | 487        |
| Framework for Analysis   | 488        |
| <b>The Kind or Type of Damage</b>  | <b>489</b> |
| From <i>Re Polemis</i> to <i>Wagon Mound</i>   | 489        |
| The Meaning of 'Reasonable Foreseeability'   | 490        |
| Exception to the Requirement of Reasonable Foreseeability                                | 497        |
| <b>The Position of 'Egg-Shell Skull' Claimants with Pre-existing Vulnerabilities</b>     | <b>499</b> |
| The 'Normal Fortitude' Rule  | 499        |
| The 'Egg-Shell Skull' Rule   | 500        |
| <b>The 'Scope of Duty' Enquiry</b>   | <b>503</b> |
| A Further Control Mechanism  | 503        |
| The <i>SAAMCO</i> Principle  | 507        |
| Failure-to-Warn Scenarios  | 510        |

## xii Detailed Contents

- Too Many Causal Links 511
- Policy: A 'Final Arbiter' 512
- Miscellaneous 31
  - Continued Relevance of the *Re Polemis Test* 31
  - Remoteness of Damage: Negligence versus Breach of Contract 32

## 10 Defences 514

- Introduction 514
- Contributory Negligence 515
  - Preliminary Matters 515
  - The Elements 519
  - The Doctor–Patient Context: A Policy 524
- Voluntary Assumption of Risk (*Volenti*) 526
  - What *Volenti* Means 526
  - The Elements 527
  - Miscellaneous Issues 533
  - Illegal Purpose (*Ex Turpi Causa Non Oritur Actio*) 35
  - Two Forms of the Defence 35
  - Preliminary Matters 37
  - The Narrow Version of the Defence 39
  - The Wide Version of the Defence 42
- Therapeutic Privilege 535
  - Where It Applies 535
  - The Elements 536
  - Exclusionary Clauses 49
  - The Terminology of the Typical Clause 49
  - The UCTA Effect 50
  - The Motor Insurers' Bureau Exclusion Clause 51
- Necessity 540
  - The Scope 540
  - The Elements 541

## 11 Remedies 543

- Introduction 543
- Compensatory Damages 544
  - Pecuniary versus Non-Pecuniary Damages 545
  - The Conventional Sum 551
  - Heads of Damage Available to the Estate 552
  - Heads of Damage Available to Dependants under the FAA 556
  - Bereavement Damages 565
- Aggravated Damages 567
  - Non-availability for Negligence 567
- Exemplary Damages 569
  - The Position in English Law 569
  - Practical Problems 571
  - Policy Objections 574
- Nominal Damages 576
- Restitutionary Damages 577
  - Meaning and Policy Goals 577
  - Distinction between Proprietary and Non-proprietary Torts 578

## PART II SPECIFIC NEGLIGENCE REGIMES

### 12 Occupiers' Liability 581

- The Cause of Action Defined 581
  - Where the Statutes Are Not Applicable 582
  - Employees Who Are Visitors to an Occupier's Site 582
  - The Framework 584
- Pre-requisites for the Legislation to Apply 585
  - Precondition #1: An 'Occupier' 585
  - Precondition #2: 'Premises' to which the Legislation Applies 588
  - Precondition #3: The Type of Danger Posed by the Premises 593
- Which Act Applies? 596
  - Visitors versus Trespassers 597
  - Downgrading a 'Visitor' to Trespasser Status 598
  - Upgrading a 'Trespasser' to Visitor Status 601
- Visitors under the Occupiers' Liability Act 1957 603
  - A Duty of Care towards a Visitor 603
  - The Requisite Standard of Care 605
  - Proving Breach 609
  - Causation/Remoteness 627
- Trespassers under the Occupiers' Liability Act 1984 628
  - A Duty of Care towards a Trespasser 629
  - Setting the Standard of Care and Proving Breach 632
- Defences 634
  - Contributory Negligence 634
  - Acts of Strangers 635
  - Volenti* 635
  - Exclusion by Agreement or Otherwise 636
- Remedies 637

### 13 Public Authority Liability 638

- Introduction 638
  - Direct versus Vicarious Liability 639
  - Ancillary Causes of Action 639
  - The Framework 640
- Pre-requisite Legal Issues 640
  - The *East Suffolk* Principle 640
  - The Policy versus Operational Distinction 646
  - The 'Pure Omissions' Principle 647
- Establishing a Duty of Care 649
  - The 'Making It Worse' Principle 649
  - Assuming Responsibility for C, rather than for 'the Common Good' 650
  - The *Caparo* Framework 655
  - An Incidental Duty of Care 675
- Impact of the ECHR 675
  - Paths Worn to the ECtHR 675
  - The Article 6 Problem 676
  - The Strike-out Problem 678
  - Amending the Common Law to Fit with the ECHR? 679
- Unenacted Law Reform 681

**xiv Detailed Contents**

**AN Liability for Animals** 73

Introduction 73

The Two Relevant Causes of Action 73

The Balancing Exercise for Damage Done by Animals 75

**Negligently Caused Injuries by Animals** 76

Duty of Care 77

Breach of Duty 77

Causation 81

Remoteness 82

Defences to Negligence 82

The Problem of Straying Animals 83

**Statutory Cause of Action under the Animals Act 1971** 84

Pre-requisites 85

Animals Belonging to a Dangerous Species 88

Animals Belonging to a Non-dangerous Species 89

The Flawed Implementation of Parliamentary Intention under s 2? 100

Dogs which Do Damage to C's Livestock or Property 102

Damage Done by D's Straying Livestock 102

Remoteness 105

Statutory Defences for D's Liability under s 2 107

Statutory Defences for D's Liability under s 3 112

Statutory Defences for D's Liability under s 4 or s 4A 112

**DP Defective Products** 148

Introduction 148

**An Action in Negligence** 150

The Framework 150

The Rationale of *Donoghue v Stevenson* 151

**Preliminary Matters** 152

Precondition #1: No Damages for the Defective Product Itself 152

Precondition #2: The Defective Thing Is an Appropriate Product 157

Precondition #3: Capacity of D to Be Sued 158

**Liability in Negligence: Elements** 160

Element #1: Duty of Care 160

Element #2: Establishing the Standard of Care and Proving Breach 171

Element #3(a): Causation 177

Element #3(b): Remoteness 179

**Defences and Remedies** 180

**Actions under the Consumer Protection Act 1987** 183

Implementation of the European Product Liability Directive 183

The Key Provision 184

The Framework 185

**Preliminary Matters** 186

Precondition #1: No Damages for the Defective Product Itself 186

Precondition #2: The Product is Covered by the CPA 186

Precondition #3: Limitation Period 188

Precondition #4: Capacity to Sue and to Be Sued 190

**Liability under the CPA: Elements** 192

Element #1: A Defect in the Product 192

Element #2: The Defect Wholly or Partly Caused D's Damage 199

Element #3: Relevant Damage Suffered by C 204

**Statutory Defences under the CPA** 206

Compliance with Mandatory Regulations 207

Not Put into Circulation 207



xv Detailed Contents

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Providing the Goods in a Private Capacity                                | 207        |
| Defect Non-existing  | 208        |
| The 'Development Risks Defence'  | 209        |
| The Defect Was Attributable to the Design of a Subsequent Product        | 213        |
| Contributory Negligence  | 213        |
| <i>Volenti</i>   | 213        |
| <b>EM Employers' Liability</b>   | <b>215</b> |
| Introduction   | 215        |
| Various Causes of Action   | 215        |
| The Difference between Personal Liability and Vicarious Liability        | 217        |
| <b>Direct Liability: Common Law Duties in Negligence</b>                 | <b>219</b> |
| The Quartet of Duties  | 219        |
| Breach of Duty   | 229        |
| Causation and Remoteness   | 233        |
| When the Duties Apply  | 235        |
| <b>Strict Liability: Statutory Deemed Negligence</b>                     | <b>237</b> |
| <b>Direct Liability: Breach of Statutory Duty</b>                        | <b>240</b> |
| The 2013 Reforms   | 241        |
| The Way forward?   | 246        |
| <b>Direct Liability: Non-delegable Duties re Independent Contractors</b> | <b>247</b> |
| The General Rule   | 247        |
| The Caveat   | 249        |

**PART III OTHER SELECTED TORTS**

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>14 Trespass to the Person</b>                | <b>685</b> |
| Introduction                                    | 685        |
| Trespasses versus Actions on the Case           | 685        |
| Ancillary Actions                               | 687        |
| <b>Assault and Battery</b>                      | <b>688</b> |
| The Framework                                   | 688        |
| Elements of Battery                             | 689        |
| Elements of Assault                             | 695        |
| <b>False Imprisonment</b>                       | <b>698</b> |
| Definition                                      | 699        |
| Element #1: A Constraint                        | 700        |
| Element #2: The Requisite Intent                | 705        |
| Element #3: Causation                           | 706        |
| <b>Defences</b>                                 | <b>711</b> |
| Consent   | 711        |
| Necessity                                       | 719        |
| Prevention of Crime, Disorder or Ill-Discipline | 728        |
| Self-Defence                                    | 732        |
| Contributory Fault                              | 734        |
| Provocation                                     | 737        |
| <b>Remedies</b>                                 | <b>739</b> |
| Compensatory Damages                            | 739        |
| Aggravated Damages                              | 742        |
| Exemplary Damages                               | 744        |
| Vindictory Damages                              | 746        |
| <b>Miscellaneous Issues</b>                     | <b>54</b>  |
| An Art 5 Claim                                  | 54         |
| Vicarious Liability                             | 56         |

**xvi** Detailed Contents

Limitation Periods 58  
 An Action by the Deceased's Estate 58

**15 Defamation 749**

**Introduction 749**

Framework for the Tort 749  
 The Tensions and Complexities in Defamation 750  
 Ancillary Actions 752

**Pre-requisites 752**

Precondition #1: Establishing Libel or Slander 752  
 Precondition #2: The Matter Is Justiciable 759  
 Precondition #3: Proof of a 'Real and Substantial Tort' 761  
 Precondition #4: Capacity of C to Sue 766

**Element #1: A Defamatory Imputation 769**

Ascertaining the Meaning 769  
 Whether the Imputation is Defamatory 772  
 Focusing on the Reasonable Reader/Listener 778  
 The 'Bane and the Antidote' 780  
 Intent 782

**Element #2: Identification 783**

General Principles 783  
 Problematical Scenarios 783  
 Group Defamation 787

**Element #3: Publication 788**

When Publication Occurs 788  
 About the Publishee 790  
 Publication and the Internet 791

**Honest Opinion (Fair Comment) 795**

A Statement of Opinion (Not a Statement of Fact) 796  
 The Basis of the Opinion 798  
 Honest Opinion, Based on an Existing Fact, or a Privileged Statement 799  
 Absence of Malice 801

**Truth (or Justification) 802**

An Imputation of Fact 803  
 Substantially True 803

**Peer-Reviewed Statements in Scientific or Academic Journals 806**

**Innocent Dissemination 807**

At Common Law 807  
 Statutory Defence for Secondary Publishers 808  
 Operators of Websites 810

**'Public Interest' Privilege (Formerly, the *Reynolds* Defence) 810**

General Matters re *Reynolds* 811  
 A Matter of Public Interest 812  
 An Objective Reasonable Belief 816  
 Fact or Opinion 817

**Qualified Privilege 818**

Common Law Qualified Privilege 818  
 Statutory Qualified Privilege 821

**Reportage 822**

Neutral Reporting 823  
 Legitimate Public Interest 823

**xvii Detailed Contents**

- Absolute Privilege 824
- Consent and Acquiescence 825
- An Offer of Amends 826
- Remedies 827
  - Damages 827
  - Declaration of Falsity 831
  - Injunctive Relief 831
  - Order to a Newspaper to Publish Report 832

**16 Private Nuisance 833**

- Defining the Cause of Action 833
  - The Framework 833
  - The Costs of Litigation 835
- Pre-requisites for the Tort 835
  - Precondition #1: Capacity of C to Sue 835
  - Precondition #2: Capacity of D to Be Sued 842
  - Precondition #3: The Threshold Principle 848
- Element #1: An Interference with Use and Enjoyment of Land 850
  - Types of Interference 850
  - Isolated Interferences versus a State of Affairs 856
  - Emanation 858
- Element #2: An Unreasonable User 861
  - General Points 861
  - Application to 'Physical Interference' Nuisances 862
  - Relevant Factors for the Balancing Exercise 863
  - 'Coming to the Nuisance' 872
  - The Role of Negligence 874
- Element #3(a): Causation 880
  - Damage to Land/Interest in Land 880
  - The 'But-for' Test 881
- Element #3(b): The Damage Is Not Too Remote 882
  - Type of Damage 882
  - The Exclusionary Rule 884
  - Abnormal Sensitivity 887
- Defences 889
  - Statutory Authorisation 889
  - A Right of Prescription 894
  - The Independent Contractor/Non-delegable Duty Defence 896
  - The 'Common Enemy' Doctrine 897
  - Estoppel by Acquiescence 897
  - Acts of God 898
  - Contributory Negligence/*Volenti* 898
- Remedies 899
  - Compensatory Damages 899
  - Injunctive Relief 906
  - Damages in Lieu of an Injunction 909
  - Abatement 911
- The Impact of the Human Rights Act 1998 912
  - Proof of a Violation 912
  - Damages under the HRA 913

xviii Detailed Contents

|           |   |            |
|-----------|---|------------|
| <b>17</b> | <b>The Rule in <i>Rylands v Fletcher</i></b>                  | <b>915</b> |
|           | Defining the Rule   | 915        |
|           | Putting the Rule into Context                                 | 916        |
|           | A Strict Liability Tort – but in a Limited Sense Only         | 916        |
|           | A Brief History of the Rule                                   | 918        |
|           | Pre-requisites  | 921        |
|           | Precondition #1: Capacity of C to Sue                         | 921        |
|           | Precondition #2: Capacity of D to Be Sued                     | 922        |
|           | <b>Element #1: The Escape of a Dangerous Thing</b>            | <b>923</b> |
|           | ‘Things’ which have Escaped                                   | 923        |
|           | An ‘Escape’ is Necessary                                      | 925        |
|           | The Mischief or Danger Test                                   | 929        |
|           | What D Must Know or Have Reasonably Foreseen                  | 930        |
|           | Intentional versus Accidental Escapes                         | 932        |
|           | <b>Element #2: Deliberate Accumulation</b>                    | <b>933</b> |
|           | <b>Element #3: A Non-natural User of the Land</b>             | <b>934</b> |
|           | A Dramatic Change over Time                                   | 934        |
|           | The Modern Articulation and Application of the Test           | 935        |
|           | <b>Elements #4(a) and #4(b): Causation and Remoteness</b>     | <b>942</b> |
|           | <b>Defences</b>   | <b>944</b> |
|           | Act of God  | 944        |
|           | Act of <i>Vis Major</i>                                       | 945        |
|           | Act of a Stranger   | 945        |
|           | Consent (including Common Benefit)                            | 948        |
|           | Statutory Authorisation                                       | 949        |
|           | <i>Volenti</i> /Contributory Negligence                       | 949        |
|           | <b>Miscellaneous</b>  | <b>949</b> |
|           | Relationship with other Actions                               | 949        |
|           | Should the Rule in <i>Rylands v Fletcher</i> be Retained?     | 952        |
|           | Remedies  | 955        |
|           | <b>Escape of Fire: Statutory Regime</b>                       | <b>955</b> |
|           | Reason for the Act  | 956        |
|           | Protection Lost through Negligence                            | 956        |
|           | Interplay of s 86 with <i>Rylands v Fletcher</i>              | 958        |
|           | <b>HA The Statutory Tort of Harassment</b>                    | <b>250</b> |
|           | Defining the Cause of Action                                  | 250        |
|           | Background to, and Application of, the Act                    | 250        |
|           | The Framework   | 252        |
|           | The Elements of the Statutory Tort                            | 253        |
|           | Element #1: A Course of Conduct by D Amounting to Harassment  | 253        |
|           | Element #2: Targeted Conduct                                  | 262        |
|           | Element #3: Actual or Constructive Knowledge                  | 263        |
|           | Element #4: Objectively Oppressive and Unacceptable Behaviour | 267        |
|           | Element #5: The ‘Ultimate Balancing’ Test under the ECHR      | 268        |
|           | Element #6: Causation   | 270        |
|           | Matters which Are Not Elements of the Statutory Tort          | 272        |
|           | Defences  | 273        |
|           | Prevention or Detection of Crime                              | 273        |
|           | Pursuant to an Enactment or Rule of Law                       | 278        |
|           | A Reasonable Course of Conduct                                | 278        |

**xix Detailed Contents**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Remedies   | 279 |
| Damages under the Act  | 279 |
| Injunctive Relief  | 282 |
| The Relevant Parties   | 282 |
| Harassment of a Corporation  | 282 |
| The Appropriate D in Harassment Cases                                | 285 |
| PR Privacy   | 316 |
| Introduction   | 316 |
| The Framework  | 316 |
| Is It a Tort?  | 317 |
| Setting the Context  | 318 |
| No Generalised Tort of Privacy                                       | 318 |
| The Impact of the HRA 1998   | 321 |
| Breach of Confidence Action – Stretched to Breaking Point?           | 324 |
| Pre-requisites before Commencing a Privacy Action                    | 328 |
| Precondition #1: Proof of a ‘Serious Infringement’                   | 328 |
| Precondition #2: Capacity of the Corporate C to Sue                  | 329 |
| Element #1: Proof of Private Information                             | 331 |
| Identifying the Private Information                                  | 332 |
| Proving a Legitimate Expectation of Privacy                          | 338 |
| How a Legitimate Expectation to Privacy Can Be Lost                  | 342 |
| Element #2: The ‘Ultimate Balancing Test’ Favours the Claimant       | 345 |
| Element #3: Publication, or other Misuse                             | 362 |
| Element #4: Compensable Harm   | 362 |
| Defences   | 363 |
| Possible Defences (Already Considered)                               | 363 |
| Contributory Negligence  | 363 |
| Self-Help Remedy   | 364 |
| Remedies   | 365 |
| Delivery-up  | 365 |
| Damages  | 365 |
| Injunction   | 372 |
| Statutory Intervention   | 379 |
| PU Public Nuisance   | 381 |
| Introduction   | 381 |
| An Inconsistent Definition   | 381 |
| The Framework  | 382 |
| Pre-requisites for the Tort  | 384 |
| Precondition #1: The Capacity of C to Sue                            | 384 |
| Precondition #2: The Capacity of D to Be Sued                        | 387 |
| Precondition #3: The Threshold Principle                             | 388 |
| Element #1: An Actionable Interference                               | 390 |
| Types of Public Nuisance   | 390 |
| Matters which Are Not Relevant to Proving an Actionable Interference | 396 |
| Element #2: Knowledge or Foreseeability                              | 397 |
| The General Rule   | 397 |
| The Exception  | 399 |
| C’s Fear of Danger   | 400 |

**xx Detailed Contents**

- Element #3: An Interference Affecting the Public (or a Section of It) 400
  - A Common Injury to a Section of the Public 400
  - The Numbers Affected by the Common Injury 402
- Element #4: The Interference Caused 'Special Damage' 404
  - The Requirement of 'Special Damage' 404
  - The But-for Test 406
- Defences 407
  - Statutory Authorisation 407
  - What If C 'Comes to the Nuisance'? 408
- Remedies 408
  - Damages 408
  - Injunction 411
  - An Undertaking 413
  - Account of Profits 413
  - Statutory Compensation 414
- Miscellaneous Issues 415
- SD Breach of Statutory Duty 418**
  - Introduction 418
    - The Framework 420
    - Relationship with Common Law Negligence 420
  - Preliminary Matters 422
    - Precondition #1: No Legislative Ousting 422
    - Precondition #2: Appropriate Territorial Application 423
  - Elements of the Tort 424
    - Element #1: A Statutory Duty on D 424
    - Element #2: Parliamentary Intention to Confer a Civil Right of Action 427
    - Element #3: For the Benefit of a Particular Class 435
    - Element #4: Breach of Duty 443
    - Element #5: Causation 447
    - Element #6: Relevant Type of Loss 449
  - Defences 451
    - Contributory Negligence 451
    - Volenti* 452
  - Breach of European Statutory Law 453
  - Miscellaneous 455
    - Law Reform 455
    - Other Theories of Liability Arising from a Statutory Breach 456
- WD The Rule in *Wilkinson v Downton* 458**
  - Introduction 458
    - A Brief History of the Rule 458
    - The Framework 460
  - Precondition: The Requisite Harm 460
    - Physical Injury 461
    - A Recognised Psychiatric Injury 461
  - Elements of the Tort 466
    - Element #1: The Requisite Act that Does the Harm 466
    - Element #2: The Requisite Intention 469
    - Element #3(a): Causation 471
    - Element #3(b): Remoteness 472
  - Remedies 473

## PART IV MISCELLANEOUS

**18 Vicarious Liability** 963

## Introduction 963

The Vicariously Liable Employer: Some General Concepts 963

The Role of the Wrongdoing Employee in Vicarious Liability 968

## Rationales for Vicarious Liability 970

Strict (No-Fault) Rationales 971

Fault-Based Rationales 972

## First Stage: The 'Relationship' Limb 974

Employee, 'Akin to Employee', or Independent Contractor? 975

Is the Employee on Loan to Another Employer? 981

Dual Vicarious Liability 986

## Second Stage: The 'Connection' Limb 990

The Relevant Tests 990

Some Difficult Scenarios 995

Exceptional Cases: An Employer *Can* Be Vicariously Liable for the Torts of Its

## Independent Contractor 1003

Ratification or Authorisation 1004

Negligent Selection 1004

Non-delegable Duties of Care 1006

Borrowing Employees from the Independent Contractor 1010

## Miscellaneous Matters 63

Vicarious Liability and Exemplary Damages 63

Where the Employee Assists Another to Commit a Tort 65

Impact on Defences 66

## Vicarious Liability in Non-employment Relationships 67

Where Vicarious Liability May Arise 67

Problematical/Unsuccessful Legal Relationships 70

**BE The Beginning and End of Liability** 114

## Introduction 114

## Accrual of the Cause of Action 115

The General Rule 115

A Lack of Knowledge, but Reasonable Discoverability 116

## Limitation Periods 126

General Points 126

The Applicable Time Limits 128

Matters which Stop the Limitation Period from Running 125

Extension of the Limitation Period for Personal Injury Claims 130

The Problem of Choosing the 'Right' Cause of Action 134

The Interaction between the ECHR and Limitation Periods 138

## When Liability Ceases Due to Preclusive Effects 139

Cause of Action Estoppel 139

Issue Estoppel 141

Merger in Judgment 142

Extended Principle of *Res Judicata* 143

Doctrine of Accord and Satisfaction 145

Set-off 146

**MD Multiple Defendants** 288

## Introduction 288

**xxii Detailed Contents**

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>Suing Multiple Defendants: Joint, Several, and Proportionate Liability</b> | <b>288</b> |
| Key Concepts  | 288        |
| Joint Tortfeasors   | 291        |
| Several Concurrent Tortfeasors  | 293        |
| Independent Tortfeasors   | 298        |
| <b>The Right to Contribution among Multiple Defendants</b>                    | <b>299</b> |
| The Relevant Statutory Provisions   | 299        |
| Background to the 1978 Act  | 301        |
| The Principles Governing 'Just and Equitable' Apportionment                   | 303        |
| <b>Suing Multiple Defendants in Successive Actions</b>                        | <b>308</b> |
| Judgment Obtained against D1, with Successive Action against D2               | 308        |
| Some Background to the Statutory Provisions                                   | 309        |
| The Problem of Subsequent Action/s after a Settlement between C and D1        | 310        |
| <br>  |            |
| <i>Index</i>  | 1011       |