

Cambridge Elements =

Elements in Decision Theory and Philosophy
edited by
Martin Peterson
Texas A&M University

RATIONAL CHOICE USING IMPRECISE PROBABILITIES AND UTILITIES

Paul Weirich University of Missouri





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia 314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108713504
DOI: 10.1017/9781108582209

© Paul Weirich 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-71350-4 Paperback ISSN 2517-4827 (online) ISSN 2517-4819 (print)

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Rational Choice Using Imprecise Probabilities and Utilities

Elements in Decision Theory and Philosophy

DOI: 10.1017/9781108582209 First published online: February 2021

> Paul Weirich University of Missouri

Author for correspondence: Paul Weirich, WeirichP@missouri.edu

Abstract: An agent often does not have precise probabilities or utilities to guide resolution of a decision problem. I advance a principle of rationality for making decisions in such cases. To begin, I represent the doxastic and conative state of an agent with a set of pairs of a probability assignment and a utility assignment. Then I support a decision principle that allows any act that maximizes expected utility according to some pair of assignments in the set. Assuming that computation of an option's expected utility uses comprehensive possible outcomes that include the option's risk, no consideration supports a stricter requirement.

Keywords: decision theory, game theory, imprecise probabilities, sequences of choices, imprecise utilities

© Paul Weirich 2021

ISBNs: 9781108713504 (PB), 9781108582209 (OC) ISSNs: 2517-4827 (online), 2517-4819 (print)



Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
|----|------------------------------------|----|
| 2 | Imprecision | 1 |
| 3 | Rational Imprecision | 18 |
| 4 | Probabilism | 25 |
| 5 | The Expected-Utility Principle | 43 |
| 6 | Norms for Imprecise Attitudes | 48 |
| 7 | The Permissive Principle of Choice | 54 |
| 8 | Sequences of Choices | 58 |
| 9 | Choices in Games of Strategy | 64 |
| 10 | Conclusion | 67 |
| | References | 69 |