

Contents

| | |
|--|------------------|
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | <i>page</i> xiii |
| <i>List of abbreviations</i> | xv |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Definition and Basic Terminology | 4 |
| 1.2.1 TPF | 4 |
| 1.2.2 Funding Litigation in the Civil Law and in the Common Law Jurisdictions: Limits and Possibilities | 5 |
| 1.2.3 Alternative Litigation Funding | 6 |
| 1.2.4 The Litigation Market and the Litigious Rights as Asset Class | 8 |
| 1.2.5 TPF in Relation to a Market Failure in Access to Justice, to a Procedural Problem in Dispute Resolution, and to Externalities | 9 |
| 1.3 Structure and Methodology | 11 |
| 1.3.1 Part I: A Comparative Overview | 11 |
| 1.3.2 Part II: An Economic Analysis | 12 |
| 1.3.3 Part III: Regulatory and Policy Considerations | 13 |
| PART I: A COMPARATIVE OVERVIEW | 15 |
| 2 A Historical Overview | 17 |
| 2.1 Meddling in Litigation in the Early Civil Law and Common Law Jurisdictions | 18 |
| 2.1.1 Meddling in Litigation in the Early Civil Law Jurisdictions | 18 |
| 2.1.1.1 Ancient Greece | 19 |
| 2.1.1.2 Ancient Rome | 20 |
| 2.1.2 Meddling in Litigation in Medieval England: Maintenance and Champerty | 24 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.2 | From the Establishment of the Rule of Law to the Recent Financial Crisis | 26 |
| 2.2.1 | Judicial Independence and the Rule of Law in Common Law Jurisdictions | 26 |
| 2.2.2 | The Age of Codifications in Civil Law Jurisdictions: Reiteration of the Prohibitions of Roman Origin | 28 |
| 2.2.3 | Contingency Fees in the United States as First ‘Private’ Litigation Funding Solutions | 30 |
| 2.2.4 | Legal Aid for Impecunious Parties as First ‘Public’ Litigation Funding Solution | 31 |
| 2.2.5 | Global Trends Affecting Access to Justice and Dispute Resolution | 33 |
| 2.3 | Concluding Remarks: A Fast-Growing TPF (and Litigation) Market | 36 |
| 3 | Third Party Funding: A Comparative Legal and Factual Overview | 38 |
| 3.1 | TPF in the Common Law Jurisdictions | 38 |
| 3.1.1 | Australia | 39 |
| 3.1.1.1 | Champerty, Maintenance, and the Fostif Case | 40 |
| 3.1.1.2 | Local Regulation and TPF | 42 |
| 3.1.2 | Canada | 44 |
| 3.1.2.1 | Common Law vs. Civil Law and the Institutional Debate | 44 |
| 3.1.2.2 | TPF and Canadian Class Actions | 46 |
| 3.1.3 | England and Wales | 49 |
| 3.1.3.1 | From the Criminal Law Act of 1967 to the Reforms of Justice of the 1990s and 2000s. Paving the Way for TPF | 50 |
| 3.1.3.2 | Arkin and Other Case Law Shaping the Practice of TPF | 52 |
| 3.1.3.3 | Some Regulatory Indications on TPF from the Jackson Report and the Association of Litigation Funders’ Voluntary Code of Conduct | 55 |
| 3.1.3.4 | Post-Jackson Reforms and Their Impact on TPF | 57 |
| 3.1.4 | India | 58 |
| 3.1.5 | Israel | 59 |
| 3.1.6 | The United States | 60 |
| 3.1.6.1 | The US Legal and Litigation Finance Market | 61 |
| 3.1.6.2 | TPF vs. Contingency Fees in US Mass Claims | 69 |
| 3.1.7 | Singapore | 71 |
| 3.1.7.1 | The Civil Law Act and the Civil Law (TPF) Regulations of 2017 | 71 |
| 3.1.7.2 | The Investment Arbitration Rules of the SIAC | 74 |
| 3.1.7.3 | Singaporean Soft Regulation on TPF in Arbitration | 75 |
| 3.1.7.4 | TPF in Singaporean Insolvency | 78 |

| <i>Contents</i> | | ix |
|--------------------------------------|--|------------|
| 3.1.8 | Hong Kong | 78 |
| 3.1.8.1 | Current Regulatory Framework and Potential Developments | 79 |
| 3.1.9 | South Africa | 80 |
| 3.1.9.1 | TPF and South African Jurisprudence: Liability for Costs and Distinction between Commercial Funders and Others | 81 |
| 3.1.10 | Cayman Islands | 82 |
| 3.2 | TPF in the Civil Law Jurisdictions | 83 |
| 3.2.1 | The European Union Context | 85 |
| 3.2.1.1 | TPF and the EU Private Enforcement of Competition Law | 87 |
| 3.2.1.2 | TPF and the EU Collective Redress Mechanisms | 90 |
| 3.2.2 | The EU Member States' Experiences with TPF | 94 |
| 3.2.2.1 | Germany | 95 |
| 3.2.2.2 | Austria | 104 |
| 3.2.2.3 | France | 104 |
| 3.2.2.4 | Belgium | 111 |
| 3.2.2.5 | The Netherlands | 112 |
| 3.2.2.6 | Spain | 113 |
| 3.2.2.7 | Italy | 114 |
| 3.2.2.8 | Portugal | 116 |
| 3.2.3 | TPF in Other Civil Law Jurisdictions | 117 |
| 3.2.3.1 | Brazil | 117 |
| 3.2.3.2 | China | 118 |
| 3.2.3.3 | Dubai | 118 |
| 3.2.3.4 | Switzerland | 119 |
| 3.3 | TPF in International Arbitration | 119 |
| 3.4 | Concluding Remarks. Legality of TPF | 120 |
| 3.4.1 | Legality of TPF in Common Law Jurisdictions | 121 |
| 3.4.2 | Legality of TPF in Civil Law Jurisdictions | 121 |
| PART II: AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS | | 123 |
| 4 | The Emergence of the Litigation Market and TPF | 125 |
| 4.1 | The Liberalization of the Litigation Market. Litigious Rights as New Asset Class | 126 |
| 4.1.1 | A Market Failure in Access to Justice | 130 |
| 4.1.2 | A Procedural Problem in Dispute Resolution | 132 |
| 4.1.3 | 'One-Shotters' vs. 'Repeat Players' in Litigation | 134 |
| 4.2 | The Actors in the Litigation Market and their Modus Operandi | 136 |
| 4.2.1 | Third Party Funders | 137 |
| 4.2.1.1 | Passive vs. Active TPF Business Models | 138 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 4.2.2 | Lawyers' Funding | 155 |
| 4.2.2.1 | Arrangements of the Lawyers' Fees Based on Success | 156 |
| 4.2.2.2 | Other Lawyers' Funding Transactions | 158 |
| 4.2.2.3 | Lawyers' Funding vs. TPF | 159 |
| 4.2.3 | LEI | 160 |
| 4.2.3.1 | LEI vs. TPF | 163 |
| 4.3 | Concluding Remarks. TPF as a Stand-Alone Industry | 164 |
| 5 | An Economic Analysis of TPF | 167 |
| 5.1 | Basic Economics of Litigation and TPF | 167 |
| 5.1.1 | The Claimants' Incentives | 168 |
| 5.1.1.1 | Impecunious Parties | 169 |
| 5.1.1.2 | Non-Impecunious Parties | 175 |
| 5.1.2 | The Defendants' Incentives | 184 |
| 5.2 | Economics of TPF Transactions | 186 |
| 5.2.1 | Litigation Costs | 189 |
| 5.2.1.1 | Organisational and/or Transaction Costs | 190 |
| 5.2.1.2 | Legal Fees | 191 |
| 5.2.1.3 | Experts' Fees | 192 |
| 5.2.1.4 | Court or Arbitration Tribunal Costs | 193 |
| 5.2.2 | Litigation Risks | 194 |
| 5.2.2.1 | Solvency Risk | 195 |
| 5.2.2.2 | Merits Risk | 195 |
| 5.2.2.3 | Procedural and Enforcement Risk | 197 |
| 5.2.2.4 | Adverse Costs Risk | 198 |
| 5.2.3 | Claim Value | 199 |
| 5.2.3.1 | Bargaining with Clients and Their Lawyers | 199 |
| 5.2.3.2 | Bargaining with Counterparties | 204 |
| 5.2.4 | Portfolio Management | 213 |
| 5.2.4.1 | Managing Costs | 214 |
| 5.2.4.2 | Mitigating Risks | 215 |
| 5.2.4.3 | Maximising Value | 220 |
| 5.3 | Concluding Remarks. Desirability of TPF in a Wider Litigation Market | 222 |
| 6 | Social Impact of TPF | 227 |
| 6.1 | The Claimants' Perspective | 229 |
| 6.1.1 | Access to Justice and Equality of Arms | 229 |
| 6.1.2 | Correction of Wrongs and Effective Recovery | 232 |
| 6.2 | The Defendants' Perspective | 233 |
| 6.2.1 | Vexatious Litigation | 234 |
| 6.2.2 | Overdeterrence | 236 |

| <i>Contents</i> | | xi |
|-----------------|--|------------|
| 6.3 | The Legal Systems' Perspective | 237 |
| 6.3.1 | Competitiveness of the Legal and Litigation Markets and Optimal Enforcement of Laws | 238 |
| 6.3.2 | Increase in the Volume of Disputes and of Frivolous Litigation | 241 |
| 6.4 | The Impact of TPF at a Societal Level | 244 |
| 6.4.1 | Deterrence of Wrongs | 245 |
| 6.4.2 | Commoditisation of Justice | 246 |
| 6.5 | Concluding Remarks: Social Desirability of TPF? | 250 |
| | PART III: CONTRACTUAL, REGULATORY AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS | 251 |
| 7 | TPF Transactions and Related Proceedings | 253 |
| 7.1 | Dealing with TPF Transactions | 253 |
| 7.1.1 | Access and Use of Parties' Confidential Information | 254 |
| 7.1.2 | Structuring a TPF Transaction | 258 |
| 7.1.2.1 | Funding Terms and Waterfall Agreements | 258 |
| 7.1.3 | Conflicts in TPF Transactions | 261 |
| 7.1.3.1 | Conflicts between Funders and the Potential Client | 261 |
| 7.1.3.2 | Conflict between Funders and Lawyers | 261 |
| 7.1.3.3 | Conflicts between Funders and Counterparties | 263 |
| 7.1.3.4 | Conflicts between Funders and Dispute Resolution Professionals | 264 |
| 7.2 | Dealing with a Funded Proceeding | 265 |
| 7.2.1 | Disclosure and Court (or Other Authority's) Control | 266 |
| 7.2.1.1 | In Consumer Claims | 266 |
| 7.2.1.2 | In Corporate Claims | 268 |
| 7.2.2 | Conflicts in the Pursuit of the Claim | 276 |
| 7.2.2.1 | Conflicts in the Control of the Dispute | 277 |
| 7.2.2.2 | Conflicts Related to Settlements | 279 |
| 7.2.2.3 | Conflicts Related to the Withdrawal of Funding | 281 |
| 7.2.3 | Recovery of TPF Costs, Liability for Costs, and Funders' Capital Adequacy | 282 |
| 7.3 | Concluding Remarks: Addressing a Market Failure and a Procedural Problem | 288 |
| 8 | Regulation of TPF and Other Policy Issues | 290 |
| 8.1 | Does TPF Need Regulation? If Yes, What Regulation? | 290 |
| 8.1.1 | Sector-Specific Regulation | 292 |
| 8.1.1.1 | TPF Regulation | 293 |
| 8.1.1.2 | Third Party Funders' Regulation | 297 |
| 8.2 | Other Regulation or Policy Measures Having an Impact on TPF | 299 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 8.2.1 Court and Other Controls on TPF | 300 |
| 8.2.2 Commercial Arbitration's Regulation on TPF | 303 |
| 8.2.3 Investment Arbitration Regulation on TPF | 307 |
| 8.2.4 Lawyers' Regulation on TPF | 310 |
| 8.3 Public Funding for Private Litigation, Private Funding for Public Litigation, and Other Policy Measures | 311 |
| 8.4 Concluding Remarks: TPF and the Future of the Litigation Market | 316 |
| 9 Conclusions | 319 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 327 |
| <i>Index</i> | 347 |