

Index

- Academia.edu, 41–42
 acquisition link to task-based language learning, 21
 acquisition of locative prepositions, 176–177
 action research, 135–137, 144–147
 administration of surveys and questionnaires, 97–98, 98*f*, 100–101
 alternative uses task, 65
 ambiguity and eye-tracking, 152
 American Sign Language (ASL), 166–167
 analyzing data, 1
 anonymity of participants, 189
 anxiety
 cognitive creativity and, 67, 69
 data collection on, 173–174
 foreign language classroom, 31
 in language studies, 159–160
 aptitude differences, 55–57
 aptitude-treatment interaction (ATI) studies, 12
 articulatory strategies in lip recordings, 162–163
 associative memory, 57
 attention and working memory, 61
 auditory perceptual acuity (phonemic discrimination), 57
 auditory priming, 168
 awareness in second-language acquisition, 110

 backward citation searches, 39
 behavioral questions, 89
 bilingualism and fMRI studies, 158, 160–161
 biodata (biographical data) collection, 85, 100, 190*f*, 191–192
 blog entries, 79–80

 boredom in research, 43
 brain activation and fMRI studies, 158
 brain imaging, 150

 Center for Open Science, 48
 Center for the Advanced Study of Language (CASL), 56
 central tendency measures, 173–174
 citation indices, 38–39
 cited reference search, 39
 clarification requests, 12, 15, 35
 classroom research. *See also* instructional settings
 action research, 135–137, 144–147
 data coding in, 125–126*t*, 125–127
 diary research, 141–142
 experimental classroom research, 141–143
 foreign language classroom anxiety, 31
 informed consent, 148–149
 instructional settings, 133–137
 introspections in, 137–138
 journal entries, 79–80, 140–142
 ‘naturalistic’ classroom discourse, 143–147
 observation in, 133–137
 practical and logistical considerations, 147–148
 recording methods, 147–148
 for replication studies, 52–53
 uptake sheets, 138–139*t*, 138–139
 closed-ended questions, 97–102, 99*t*, 102
 coding data. *See* data coding
 coding sheets, 50, 121, 125–126*t*, 125–127
 Cognition Hypothesis, 2–3, 81, 180
 Cognitive Ability for Novelty in Acquisition of Language as Applied to Foreign Language Testing (CANAL-FT), 57, 70
 cognitive creativity, 4, 64–70, 67*f*, 67*t*

Index

241

- cognitive differences in aptitude, 55–57
- cognitive-interactionist paradigm, 1, 3
- cognitive neuroscience, 150, 152–153
- cognitive processes and introspective data, 71
- cognitive underpinnings of task-based language learning, 22
- Cohen's *d* calculation, 112
- Cohen's kappa calculation, 184–186, 185*f*
- collaborative task, 81, 144, 168, 183
- common pitfalls
 - access and research responsibilities, 198–200
 - in data analysis, 204–205
 - in designing studies, 195–201
 - introduction to, 195
 - language barriers, 198–201
 - legalese and participant consent, 201
 - logistical surprises, 201–202
 - pilot testing omission, 195–197, 198*f*
 - segmentation and coding of data, 204–205
 - summary of, 205
 - technology failures, 203–204
 - wrong methods/methodology, 202–203
- communication task types, 2, 43, 133
- communicative speech and language anxiety, 159–160
- complementarity in data collection, 102
- complexity, accuracy, fluency (CAF), 3
- computer assisted language learning (CALL), 170, 188
- computer-delivered structured task (CASTs), 81
- computer-mediated communication (CMC), 2, 60, 117
- construct validity, 187
- content and language integrated learning (CLIL), 134
- content validity, 187
- contextual constraints, 152
- contextualized language practices, 31–32
- corrective feedback
 - action research, 135–137, 144–147
 - aptitude differences, 55–57
 - blog entries, 79–80
 - classroom observation in, 133–137
 - cognitive creativity, 64–70, 67*f*, 67*t*
 - different approaches to, 28–32, 30–31*t*
 - discourse completion task, 80–81
 - effectiveness of, 14–15
 - hypotheses and predictions, 43–44
 - hypotheses and research questions in, 33–35, 45–47
 - immediate recalls, 75–77, 76*f*
 - individual differences, 54–55
 - interviews in, 77–79, 78*f*, 87–89
 - introduction to, 1–4, 132
 - introspections in, 71–72, 72*f*
 - IRIS database, 44, 49–50
 - journal entries, 79–80, 140–142
 - in language learning and teaching, 18
 - materials and data in, 44
 - meta-analysis on, 14–18, 113–117, 114–115*t*
 - modality of, 19
 - nonverbal behavior, 137
 - objectivity and, 135–137
 - open research in, 18–19
 - operationalizations of, 16–17
 - oral and written research on, 16
 - overview of, 12–18, 13–14*t*
 - replication studies in research, 47–49, 49*f*, 50–53
 - research synthesis and, 113–117, 114–115*t*
 - roles in second language instruction, 17–18
 - scope of, 4–5
 - stimulated recall, 72–74
 - study abroad and, 32–33
 - summary of, 25–26
 - survey and questionnaire use in, 84–85
 - think-aloud protocols, 74–75
 - type and linguistic foci of, 15–16
 - uptake sheets, 138–139*t*, 138–139
 - working memory and, 45–47, 57–64
 - written corrective feedback, 16, 36
- corrective feedback, oral, 16, 106, 143–144, 163
- counting span tasks, 63
- Coursera website, 42

- creation of surveys and questionnaires, 97–98, 98*f*, 99*t*
- creativity, cognitive, 4, 64–70, 67*f*, 67*t*
- credibility of surveys and questionnaires, 100
- criterion-related validity, 187
- Cronbach's alpha measure, 186
- data analysis pitfalls, 204–205
- data coding
 - in classroom research, 125–126*t*, 125–127
 - coding sheets, 50, 121, 125–126*t*, 125–127
 - Cohen's kappa calculation, 184–186, 185*f*
 - common pitfalls, 204–205
 - construct validity, 187
 - content validity, 187
 - criterion-related validity, 187
 - description of, 172–174, 172–173*t*
 - examples of, 176
 - external validity, 188–189
 - face validity, 187
 - inter-rater reliability, 184
 - interactional features in, 178
 - internal validity, 188
 - introduction to, 1
 - mechanics of, 182–183
 - metalinguistic feedback in, 179
 - mixed-methods research and, 181–182
 - overview of, 172–174, 172–173*t*, 180–181
 - predictive validity, 187
 - process of, 174–175, 175*f*
 - qualitative and/or interpretive data, 181–182
 - recasts in, 176–178
 - reliability in, 183–184
 - reliable instruments in, 186
 - role-play speaking assessment, 178
 - task complexity of, 179–180
 - transcription of, 170–172, 171*t*
 - types of validity in, 187–188
 - WEIRD participants, 189–191
- data collection. *See also* surveys and questionnaires
 - attitudes toward, 89–90
 - biographical data, 85, 100, 190*f*, 191–192
 - introduction to, 1, 78, 170
 - outliers in, 192–193
 - pre-test/post-test data, 133
 - qualitative data, 5, 181–182
 - quantitative data, 112
 - questionnaires, 83–84
 - triangulation in, 102–103, 146, 183–193
 - video recording and, 162–163
- demographic information in surveys and questionnaires, 100
- descriptive research methods, 5, 29
- development in data collection, 102
- diary research, 141–142
- dichotomous variable, 172–173
- discourse completion tasks (DCTs), 80–81
- double-barreled questions, 100
- drift in research, 43
- E-Prime software, 165–168
- Education Resources Information Center (ERIC), 123
- effect size calculations, 14–15, 22, 112–113, 116, 121, 123, 126–130
- electroencephalography (EEG), 150, 154–159, 155–156*f*, 163, 170
- elicitation techniques, 165
- elicited imitation tasks (EIT), 187–188
- elicited oral imitation task (EOIT), 177
- English-as-a-foreign language (EFL)
 - writing, 36, 81, 140, 177–178, 182–183, 192
- English-as-a-second language (ESL)
 - learners, 33–34, 44
 - acquisition of locative prepositions, 176–177
 - action research by teachers, 145–146
 - college-aged, 144
 - course grades, 64–70, 67*f*, 67*t*
 - in Jordan, 191–192
 - nonverbal behavior and corrective feedback, 137
 - “noticing the gap” constraints, 75–76
 - Spanish speakers, 192
 - teacher perspectives on task difficulty, 74–75
 - enjoyment during study, 31–32

Index

243

- ethical review board, 100, 136
- evaluations of task-based language teaching, 25
- event-related potentials (ERPs), 154–159, 155–156*f*
- exclusion material, 120–124
- exhaustion in research, 43
- expansion in data collection, 103
- experimental classroom research, 141–143
- explicit feedback, 61–62, 64, 116, 133
- external validity, 188–189
- eye-tracking, 74, 150–154, 153*f*, 163
- face-to-face (FTF) context, 59, 117
- face validity, 187
- Facebook research, 41
- feasibility of studies, 42–43
- feedback. *See also* corrective feedback
 - explicit feedback, 61–62, 64, 116, 133
 - implicit feedback, 57, 61–62, 64, 116, 133
 - interactional feedback category, 174
 - metalinguistic feedback, 12, 179
- file drawer problem, 122
- first language, 33, 111, 158–159, 182, 191–192
- fixed-effect models (FE), 116
- focus on form, 2–3, 81
- focus on grammar (FOG), 141–143
- focus on grammar + intonation (FOG + I), 141–143
- foreign language classroom anxiety (FLCA), 31
- foreign language enjoyment (FLE), 31
- forest plot, 128, 128–129*f*
- functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), 150, 157–161, 157*f*, 161*f*, 163, 170
- funnel plot, 119–128, 129*f*
- future research, xv–xvi, 7, 36, 38, 42, 75, 111, 119, 131, 165
- gaps in the literature, 38–39
- generalizability, 12, 50, 52, 175, 188–189
- Google, 38–39, 107
- Google Scholar, 41–42, 107, 123
- grammar research
 - complexity across grades, 79
 - correcting errors, 12
 - PSTM tests and, 59
 - reaction time tracking, 164
 - research on, 9
- group assignments in quasi-experimental research, 142–143
- heterogeneity of language learners, 130
- High-Level Language Aptitude Battery (Hi-LAB), 57–62, 58*f*
- human subjects committees, 197
- hypotheses and predictions
 - defined, 43–44
 - Interaction Hypothesis, 7–8
 - research questions in, 33–35, 45–47
 - Schmidt's Noticing Hypothesis, 41
 - working memory capacity, 45–47
- imaging
 - brain imaging, 150
 - functional magnetic resonance imaging, 150, 157–161, 157*f*, 161*f*, 163, 170
 - ultrasound imaging, 150, 162*f*, 162–163
- immediate recalls, 75–77, 76*f*
- implicit feedback, 57, 61–62, 64, 116, 133
- inclusion criteria, 120–124
- independent variables, 116
- informal conversation groups, 11
- informed consent, 100, 148–149, 200
- inhibitory control in working memory, 59
- initiation in data collection, 103
- input, 1–10, 84–85, 111
- input hypothesis, 6
- institutional review boards (IRBs), 148–149, 197–198
- instructed second language acquisition (ISLA), 23
- instructional settings. *See also* classroom research
 - action research, 135–137
 - classroom observations, 134–135
 - group assignments, 142–143
 - introduction to, 114, 132
 - introspections in, 137–138
 - journals in, 140–142

instructional settings. (cont.)

observation in, 133–137

overview of, 132–133

stimulated recall, 139–140

Instruments for Research into Second

Languages (IRIS), 44, 49–50, 85–87,
86–87*f*, 105

intensive English program (IEP), 192

inter-rater reliability, 127, 183–185

interaction approach

action research, 135–137, 144–147

aptitude differences, 55–57

blog entries, 79–80

classroom observation in, 133–137

cognitive creativity, 64–70, 67*f*, 67*t*

different approaches to, 28–32, 30–31*t*

discourse completion task, 80–81

hypotheses and predictions, 43–44

hypotheses and research questions in,
33–35, 45–47

immediate recalls, 75–77, 76*f*

individual differences, 54–55

interviews in, 77–79, 78*f*, 87–89

introduction to, 1–4, 7–8, 132

IRIS database, 44, 49–50

journal entries, 79–80, 140–142

in L2 learning, 34–35

literature on, 8–10

materials and data in, 44

objectivity and, 135–137

open research areas, 11–12

overview of, 6*t*, 6–11

peer-to-peer interactions, 10–11

replication studies in research, 47–49, 49*f*,
50–53

scope of, 4–5

scoping review, 111

summary of, 25–26

survey and questionnaire use in, 84–85

think-aloud protocols, 74–75

uptake sheets, 138–139*r*, 138–139

working memory and, 45–47, 57–64

Interaction Hypothesis, 7–8

interaction-learning relationship, 2

interactional features in data coding, 178

interactional feedback category, 174

internal validity, 188

interpretive data coding, 181–182

interpretive research, 28–29, 134

interval scales, 174

interviews

instruments held in IRIS, 85–87, 86–87*f*

in interaction, feedback, and task
research, 77–79, 78*f*, 87–89

introspective data and, 77–79, 78*f*

problematizing data, 88

semi-structured interviews, 181–182

surveys and questionnaires, 85–90

introspective methods

advantages and disadvantages, 77

blog entries, 79–80

corrective feedback, 71–72, 72*f*

discourse completion task, 80–81

immediate recalls, 75–77, 76*f*

interviews and, 77–79, 78*f*

introduction to, 71

journal entries, 79–80, 140–142

stimulated recall, 74, 137–140

task-based research, 71–72, 72*f*

teacher perspectives on L2 task difficulty,
74–75

think-aloud protocols, 74–75

IRBs. *See* institutional review boards

IRIS database. *See* Instruments for
Research into Second Languages

journal research, 79–80, 140–142

L2 instruction. *See* second-language
acquisition

laboratory research, 132–133, 164

language analytic ability (LAA), 61

language anxiety, 159–160

Language Aptitude Battery, 55

language barrier pitfalls, 198–201

Language Learning and Testing
Foundation, Inc., 55

language proficiency (LP), 187–188

learner responses as language awareness,
73–74

learner roles in task-based language
teaching, 25

Index

245

- learner uptake, 134
- learning outcomes, 2–4, 25, 60, 81, 117, 133
- legalese and participant consent, 201
- lexical constraints, 152, 166–167
- Likert scale, 84, 90–91, 100
- linguistic ability and cognitive creativity, 64–70, 67*f*, 67*t*
- linguistic burden in surveys and questionnaires, 94–95
- linguistic development, 3, 43, 54, 177
- Linguistics and Language Behavior Abstracts (LLBA), 38, 123
- literature search, 121–124
- LLAMA Aptitude Tests, 62, 70
- locative prepositions, acquisition of, 176–177
- logistical surprises in studies, 201–202
- logistics of classroom research, 147–148
- long-delayed posttests, 116
- long-term memory retrieval, 57
- longitudinal study, 11, 37, 93
- ManyLabs 2 report, 48
- Massive Open Online Courses (MOOC), 42
- mean/means analysis, 23, 50–51, 127, 192
- measures of central tendency, 173–174
- median/median analysis, 79
- memory
 - associative memory, 57
 - decay problems, 77
 - long-term memory retrieval, 57
 - short-term memory, 67–68
 - working memory, 45–47, 57–70, 67*f*, 67*t*, 155–157
 - working memory capacity, 45, 59, 61–62, 82, 89
- meta-analysis
 - advantages of, 77
 - analyzing and interpreting findings, 127–130, 128–129*f*, 129*f*
 - coding data, 125–127, 125–126*t*
 - common errors, 108
 - defined, 112
 - design of, 106
 - disadvantages of, 77
 - inclusion and exclusion material, 120–124
 - literature search, 122–124
 - methodology of, 4
 - oral corrective feedback, 113–117, 114–115*t*
 - problems with, 130–131
 - reasons for, 106–108, 108*f*, 112–113
 - research domain and, 121–122
 - research synthesis, defined, 108–110
 - scoping review, 110–111
 - in second-language learning, 14–18, 21–22, 60–61
 - steps to, 120–121
 - summary of, 119–120, 119–120*t*
 - task-based language teaching, 117–119
- meta-meta-analysis, 107–108, 114, 124
- metalinguistic feedback, 12, 179
- mixed-methods research, 28–29, 96–97, 101–105, 104*f*, 181–182
- mode/mode analysis, 119
- moderator variables, 116
- Modern Language Aptitude Test (MLAT), 55–57, 70
- Modern Language Aptitude Test-Elementary (MLAT-E), 55
- modification of output for corrective feedback, 19
- monologic narrative task, 69
- morphosyntactic development, 142
- mother tongue, 191
- motivation, 12, 56, 73, 83–84, 138
- multiple recasts (MR), 177–178
- naturalistic data, 31, 143–147
- negotiated input/output, 1–8
- negotiation for meaning, 9, 12, 24, 32, 80, 111, 117, 152, 174
- neurocognition of adult second language learning, 158–159
- neurolinguistics techniques
 - electroencephalography, 150, 154–159, 155–156*f*, 163, 170
 - eye tracking, 74, 150–154, 153*f*, 163
 - functional magnetic resonance imaging, 150, 157–161, 157*f*, 161*f*, 163, 170
 - introduction to, 150–151
 - pupillometry, 154

- neuroscience, 4, 150, 157
- nominal data, 172–173*t*, 173
- non-teacher/non-peer interlocutors, 111
- nontraditional learners, 12
- nonverbal behavior, 137
- noticing hypothesis, 41
- “noticing the gap” constraints, 75–76
- null hypothesis significance testing (NHST), 113
- objectivity in observation/observers, 135–137
- observation scheme, 71
- observer’s paradox, 135
- one-way task, 60
- online research questions, 41–42
- open-ended questions, 97–102, 99*t*, 99, 102
- Open Science Framework, 50
- operation span tasks, 62–63
- oral-communication tasks, 22
- oral corrective feedback, 16, 106, 143–144, 163
- oral-interaction tasks, 65
- ordinal scale, 173
- original interaction hypothesis, 1
- outliers in data collection, 192–193
- output, 1–8, 111
- output hypothesis, 6
- Oxford Placement Test (OPT), 187–188
- p-value, 112
- paperclip task, 65–68, 66*f*
- participant fatigue in surveys and questionnaires, 95
- peer-to-peer interactions, 10–11
- personal context in research questions, 39–41
- Phonological Short-Term Memory (PSTM) tests, 59
- pilot testing, 195–197, 198*f*
- pitfalls in research. *See* common pitfalls
- positionality/positioning concepts, 77
- post-test studies, 2, 11, 37, 40, 69, 133, 155
- practitioner research, 144
- pragmatic production, 36
- pragmatic-related episodes (PREs), 81
- pre-test/post-test data, 133
- pre-test studies, 2, 11, 69, 133, 155
- predictions. *See* hypotheses and predictions
- predictive validity, 187
- priming experiments, 167–169
- Problematizing interview data, 88
- processing speed, 57
- production development, 3
- production gains, 2
- production measures, 150–151
- prompted production, 150–151
- prompted response, 164
- ProQuest Dissertations, 123
- psycholinguistic techniques
 - introduction to, 46, 164
 - overview of, 164–169
 - priming experiments, 167–169
 - reaction time, 164–165, 169
 - word association, 166–167, 169
- PsychoPy software, 165–168
- PsycINFO database, 123
- publication bias, 61, 108, 119–128
- pupillometry, 154
- qualitative data, 5, 181–182
- qualitative research methods, 28, 33, 92, 172, 181–182
- Qualtrics software, 96
- quantification of data, 28, 130
- quantitative data, 112
- quantitative research methods, 28, 33–34, 92, 172
- quasi-experimental research, 132, 142–143
- questionnaires, defined, 83–84. *See also* surveys and questionnaires
- questions, closed-ended, 97–102, 99*t*, 102
- questions, open-ended, 97–102, 99*t*, 99, 102
- random assignment of learners, 132
- random-effects models (RE), 116
- reaction time, 164–165, 169
- real-life research questions, 27–28
- recasts, 12, 176–178
- receptivity differences, 18–19
- reflective learning journal, 141–142
- reflexivity concept, 77

Index

247

- reliability
 - in data coding, 183–184
 - instruments in data coding, 186
 - inter-rater reliability, 127, 183–185
 - Split-Half reliability measure, 186
- replication studies in research, 47–49, 49f, 50–53
- research. *See also* classroom research; grammar research; meta-analysis; psycholinguistic research
 - action research, 135–137, 144–147
 - boredom in, 43
 - descriptive methods, 29
 - diary research, 141–142
 - drift in research, 43
 - exhaustion in, 43
 - future research, xv–xvi, 7, 36, 38, 42, 75, 111, 119, 131, 165
 - group assignments in, 142–143
 - interpretive research, 28–29, 134
 - journal research, 79–80, 140–142
 - mixed-methods, 28–29, 96–97, 101–105, 104f
 - online research questions, 41–42
 - open research areas, 11–12
 - practitioner research, 144
 - qualitative methods, 28, 33, 92, 172, 181–182
 - quantitative methods, 28, 33–34, 92, 172
 - quasi-experimental research, 132, 142–143
 - real-life research questions, 27–28
 - replication studies in, 47–49, 49f, 50–53
 - social media questions, 41–42
 - in study abroad, 31
 - subjectivity in, 135
 - synthetic, 106
 - in task-based language teaching, 119–120
 - Twitter research, 41–42, 123
- research context, 41, 132, 181
- research domain, 121–122
- research methodology, 4, 41–43, 119, 172
- research questions
 - feasibility of studies, 42–43
 - gaps in, 38–39
 - Google research, 38–39
 - hypotheses and, 33–35, 45–47
 - longitudinal research, 37
 - online and social media, 41–42
 - personal context in, 39–41
 - replication studies in research, 47–49, 49f, 50–53
 - research responsibility pitfalls, 198–200
 - research synthesis, 106, 108–110, 112, 124, 130. *See also* meta-analysis and research synthesis
- ResearchGate, 38, 41–42
- role-play speaking assessment, 178
- Schmidt's Noticing Hypothesis, 41
- scoping review, 110–111
- second-language acquisition (SLA)
 - awareness in, 110
 - designing studies in, 27
 - different approaches to, 28–32, 30–31r
 - foreign language classroom anxiety, 31
 - introduction to, 1–4
 - learner responses as language awareness, 73–74
 - longitudinal studies of, 11
 - meta-analysis in, 14–18, 21–22, 60–61
 - potential benefits of, 10–11
 - real-life research questions, 27–28
 - replication studies in, 47–49, 49f, 50–53
 - scope of, 4–5
 - theoretical background, 5
 - working memory capacity, 45–47
- second language listening, 82
- Second Language Test of Shame and Guilt Affect (L2-TOSGA) questionnaire, 186
- self-correction, 19, 33, 80
- self-observational data, 72
- semantic networks, 166
- semi-structured interviews, 181–182
- sensitivity differences, 18–19
- sentence fragments and priming, 169
- sentence recall and priming, 169
- sequence span tasks, 63
- sequential design, 103
- short-delayed posttests, 116
- short-term memory, 67–68

- single recasts (SR), 177–178
- social media research questions, 41–42
- sociocultural theorists, 3
- socioeconomic contexts for corrective feedback, 19
- Spearman Rank correlation coefficients, 185
- Split-Half reliability measure, 186
- statistics/statistical analysis, 31, 43, 119
- stimulated recall, 74, 137–140, 176
- stress, 31, 109, 133, 165
- structural priming, 168
- study abroad (SA)
 - corrective feedback and, 32–33
 - interactions, 11
 - pragmatic development during, 35–36
 - researchers in, 31
- subjectivity in research, 135
- surveys and questionnaires
 - administration of, 97–98, 98*f*, 100–101
 - attitudes toward, 89–90
 - benefits of, 91–94, 92*t*, 93–94*f*
 - caveats with, 94–97, 95*f*, 96*t*
 - creation of, 97–98, 98*f*
 - defined, 83–84
 - interviews, 85–90
 - introduction to, 83
 - mixed-methods research, 96–97, 101–105, 104*f*
 - use in interaction, feedback, and task research, 84–85
 - writing questions, 90–91, 91*f*
- synchronous computer-mediated communication (SCMC), 72
- syntactic priming, 167–168
- syntagmatic relationship, 166
- synthetic research, 106
- target-language group, 3
- task-based language learning
 - action research, 135–137, 144–147
 - aptitude differences, 55–57
 - blog entries, 79–80
 - classroom observation in, 133–137
 - cognitive creativity, 64–70, 67*f*, 67*t*
 - different approaches to, 28–32, 30–31*t*
 - discourse completion task, 80–81
 - hypotheses and predictions, 43–44
 - hypotheses and research questions in, 33–35, 45–47
 - immediate recalls, 75–77, 76*f*
 - individual differences, 54–55
 - interviews in, 77–79, 78*f*, 87–89
 - introduction to, 132
 - introspections in, 71–72, 72*f*
 - IRIS database, 44, 49–50
 - journal entries, 79–80, 140–142
 - materials and data in, 44
 - objectivity and, 135–137
 - replication studies in research, 47–49, 49*f*, 50–53
 - stimulated recall, 72–74
 - survey and questionnaire use in, 84–85
 - text characteristics of, 84–85
 - think-aloud protocols, 74–75
 - uptake sheets, 138–139*t*, 138–139
 - working memory and, 45–47, 57–64
- task-based language teaching (TBLT)
 - acquisition link to, 21
 - in classroom research, 141–143
 - cognitive underpinnings, 22
 - feedback on, 26, 83, 91
 - interaction in, 132
 - introduction to, 1–4
 - meta-analysis of, 21–22, 117*t*, 117
 - methodology of, 119
 - open research in, 25
 - overview of, 20*t*, 22–24
 - pedagogy of, 23
 - research in, 119–120
 - scope of, 4–5
 - summary of, 25–26
- task-based pragmatics instruction in EFL classroom contexts, 81
- task complexity (TC), 179–180, 187–188
- tasks
 - acquisition link to task-based language learning, 21
 - alternative uses task, 65
 - collaborative, 144, 168
 - collaborative task, 81, 144, 183

Index

249

- communication task types, 2, 43, 133
- computer-delivered structured task, 81
- counting span tasks, 63
- in data coding, 179–180
- elicited imitation tasks, 187–188
- elicited oral imitation task, 177
- modality in data coding, 182–183
- monologic narrative task, 69
- one-way task, 60
- operation span tasks, 62–63
- oral-communication tasks, 22
- oral-interaction tasks, 65
- paperclip task, 65–68, 66*f*
- sequence span tasks, 63
- timed grammaticality judgment task, 177
- untimed grammaticality judgment task, 177
- teachers
 - action research by, 145–146
 - beliefs in L2 learning, 33
 - eye-tracking research on, 152
 - oral corrective feedback by, 143–144
 - perspectives on L2 task difficulty, 74–75
 - research methods, 144
 - roles in task-based language teaching, 25
- technology failures, 203–204
- testing working memory, 62–63
- text characteristics of task input, 84–85
- Theses and Web of Science, 123
- think-aloud protocols, 74–75, 156–169
- timed grammaticality judgment task (TGJT), 177
- timing of corrective feedback, 19
- Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT), 65–66, 70
- transcription, 93, 148, 170–172
- translanguaging, 80
- triangulation in data collection, 102–103, 146, 183–193
- turn, 178
- Twitter research, 41–42, 123
- Ultimate Attainment in Second Language Acquisition: A Case Study*, 37
- ultrasound imaging, 150, 162*f*, 162–163
- understudied targets of corrective feedback, 18–19
- untimed grammaticality judgment task (UGJT), 177
- uptake sheets, 138–139*r*, 138–139
- utterance, 97, 140, 164, 167, 179, 186, 204
- validity
 - construct validity, 187
 - content validity, 187
 - criterion-related validity, 187
 - external validity, 188–189
 - face validity, 187
 - internal validity, 188
 - predictive validity, 187
 - types in data coding, 187–188
- verbal report methodologies, 73
- video recording data, 162–163
- Web of Science, 39
- WEIRD (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, Democratic) participants, 189–191
- word association, 166–167, 169
- Wordpress, 41
- working memory (WM), 45–47, 57–70, 67*f*, 67*t*, 155–157
- working memory capacity (WMC), 45, 59, 61–62, 82, 89
- writing questions, 90–91, 91*f*
- written corrective feedback, 16, 36
- Yes or No* data, 172