

Index

- a posteriori epistemology, 225–30
- a posteriori justification, 199, 201, 226
- a priori justification, 198–218
 - basic, 200, 204, 206–12
 - Bealer, George, 203–4
 - intellectual seeming, 204
 - Kant, Immanuel, 200, 207, 212
 - linguisticism, 217
 - modest account, 206–12
 - nonbasic, 200
 - Plantinga, Alvin, 209–10
 - rationalism, 212
 - strong account, 206–12
- a priori knowledge, 198–218, 225–30
 - analytic, 198, 212–18
 - empiricism, 212
 - rationalism, 212
 - synthetic, 212–18
- abductive inference, 172
- abductivist, 174
- Abnorman, 100
- abominable conjunction, 168
- access internalism, 115–19
- acquaintance knowledge, 2
- adroit belief, 105–6
- adroitness, 105
- Alston, William, 136–41, 143–44, 186–88
 - epistemic circularity, 136–41
- Amico, Robert, 182, 184
- analytic, 198, 212–18
 - conceptual containment, 213
 - logical form, 215
 - logical truth, 215
 - property of propositions, 217
 - property of sentences, 217
 - vs. synthetic, 212–18
- animal knowledge, 107–10, 112, 147
 - vs. reflective, 107–9
- apt belief, 104–14, 133
- argument from epistemically responsible belief, 120–22
- argument from error, 157–59
- argument from ignorance, 159–60
- argument from infallibility, 156–57
- argument from reasonable belief, 122–27
- Aristotle, 9, 49
- Audi, Robert, 227, 239–41
- Augustine, St., 182–83
- autonomous properties, 230
- axiom, 205–6
- axiomatic, 205
- basic a priori justification, 198, 200, 203–4, 206–12
 - axiom, 205
 - axiomatic, 205
 - certainty, 208–9
 - indefeasible, 209–12
 - modest, 206–12
 - strong, 206–12
- basic justification, 47–52, 54, 57, 60–63, 77–85, 137, 165, 199, 211
- basic source of knowledge, 241
- basic virtue, 146–47
- Bealer, George, 203–4
- belief, 2, 7–9
 - credence level, 250–51
 - dispositional, 8–9

274 Index

- belief (cont.)
 - occurrent, 8–9
 - propositional attitude, 8
- belief-dependent process, 93
- belief-independent process, 93
- Bergman, Michael, 128–30
- Bishop Berkeley, 174
- Blanshard, Brand, 12
- BonJour, Laurence, 79–85, 98, 100, 117–19, 132, 188–89
 - access internalism, 117–19
 - criticism of particularism, 188–89
 - dilemma of nondoxastic justification, 79–81
 - on meta-beliefs, 81–84
 - subject's perspective argument, 127–33
- bootstrapping, 145, 148
- Butchvarov, Panayot, 196–97

- causal approach to knowledge, 36–40
- certainty, 15, 153–56, 206–9
- Chisholm, Roderick, 63, 177–85, 188–92, 194, 196, 205–6
 - problem of the criterion, 177–81
- Christensen, David, 250–52, 255
- classical foundationalism, 52–58, 61, 84, 211
- cognitive mental state, 79–80
- cognitive penetration, 69
- cognitively spontaneous, 82–83
- coherence, 51–52, 70–74, 76, 89, 110, 143, 147–50
 - explanatory connections, 73
 - mutual support, 51
 - negative, 71
 - positive, 71
- coherence theory of justification, 50–52, 70–90, 118–19, 202
 - a priori justification, 202–3
 - doxastic theory of justification, 72
 - holistic theory of justification, 51
 - isolation argument, 85–88
- coherence theory of truth, 11–13
- common sense judgments, 188–97
- common-sense particularism, 181–82, 184, 188–97

- conceptual containment, 212–13
- conditionally reliable process, 94–96
- Conee, Earl, 16
- contextualism, 168–71
- contextualist response to skepticism, 168–71
- criteria of knowledge, 177, 180–81

- Darwinian argument, 221–25
- defeasibility approach, 31–36
- defeat, 31–36
 - factual defeater, 34–36
 - justificational defeat, 34–36
- defeater, 19–21
- Descartes, René, 12, 18, 43, 54, 56, 116, 153, 183
- descriptive properties, 185
- dilemma of nondoxastic justification, 81
- disagreement, 249–60
 - Christensen, David, 251–52
 - epistemic peers, 249, 253–54
 - equal weight view, 250–52
 - Feldman, Richard, 254–55
 - Kelly, Thomas, 255–60
 - steadfast view, 252–55
 - total weight view, 255–60
- dogmatic, 196–97
- doxastic source of justification, 60
- doxastic theory of justification, 72

- Elga, Adam, 259
- empirical thesis, 226–30
- empiricism, 178, 212
 - a posteriori, 199, 201, 224
 - vs. rationalism, 212
- epistemic circularity, 134–35, 146–50
 - Alston, William, 136–41
 - Fumerton, Richard, 135
 - logical circularity, 136
 - problem of Roxanne, 143–46
 - Reid, Thomas, 135
 - Sosa, Ernest, 141–43
 - track record argument, 136–39
 - Vogel, Jonathan, 143–46
- epistemic justification, 8–21, 115–19
 - a priori justification, 198–218

- coherence theory of justification, 52, 70–90
- evaluative property, 59–60, 80, 186, 232
- evidence, 17–21
- externalism, 115–33
- foundationalism, 46–69
- holistic, 51, 147
- internalism, 115–33
- justifying a proposition, 14, 78
- linear, 51
- reasonable belief, 122–27
- reliabilism, 91–103
- responsible belief, 122
- virtue epistemology, 104–14
- well-founded, 16
- epistemic properties, 180, 230–33
- epistemic propositions, 178–85
- epistemic responsibility, 120–22
- ethical naturalism, 231
- ethics, 234
- evaluative property, 58–60, 80, 185–88, 220–21, 230–33
- evaluative question, 221–25
- evidence, 17–21, 127
- conclusive, 17–19
- defeat, 21
- inconclusive, 18
- nonconclusive, 17–18
- total, 19
- experience, 61–69
- nondoxastic, 46, 61–62, 79–81
- purely intellectual experience, 203–4
- seemings, 65–67
- sensory experience, 63–65
- externalism, 115, 119
- factive mental state, 41–42
- factual defeat, 34–36
- Feldman, Richard, 16, 28, 33–34, 75–76, 115, 221, 254–55, 258
- foundationalism, 46–69, 77–85, 94, 115, 211, 219, 221
- classical foundationalism, 52–57
- dilemma of nondoxastic justification, 79–81
- givenist, 94
- justified basic beliefs, 47–49
- modest foundationalism, 57–58
- objection from meta-beliefs, 81–85
- phenomenal conservatism, 63–69
- regress argument, 49–52
- two main theses, 49
- foundationalist
- givenist, 141
- Frege, Gottlob, 210, 215
- Fricker, Elizabeth, 248
- Fumerton, Richard, 135
- generality problem, 96, 98–100, 111
- Gettier problem, 22–45, 112–14
- apt belief, 112–14
- causal approach, 36–40
- defeasibility approach, 31–36
- Gettier's counterexamples, 22–27
- no false grounds approach, 27–31
- unanalyzable, 40–44
- Gettier, Edmund, 22–23
- givenist foundationalism, 94, 141
- global skepticism, 152
- Goldman, Alvin, 36–40, 91–96, 234–36
- causal account of knowing, 37–40
- limited naturalism, 234–36
- reliabilism, 91–96
- Hare, R. M., 185–86
- hedonistic utilitarianism, 180
- holistic theory of justification, 51, 147
- Huemer, Michael, 65
- Hume, David, 178
- immediate apprehension, 79, 130–33
- immediately justified belief. *See* basic justification
- inconclusive evidence, 18
- indefeasible, 198
- indefeasible justification, 206–12
- infallibility argument. *See* argument from infallibility
- inference to the best explanation, 172–76
- inference to the best explanation reply to skepticism, 172–76

276 Index

- intellectual virtue, 91, 104–14
 - accuracy, 105
 - aptness, 106, 108–9
 - fully apt, 107
 - fundamental vs. derived, 146
 - reflective competence, 106
 - vs. reliable disposition, 111–12
- internalism, 115–33
 - access internalism, 115–19
 - argument from epistemically responsible belief, 120–22
 - argument from reasonable belief, 122–27
 - mentalist internalism, 115–19
 - subject's perspective argument, 127–33
- isolation argument, 85–88

- James, William, 11
- JTB account of knowledge, 7–8, 15
- justificational defeat, 34–36

- Kant, Immanuel, 60, 180, 200
- Kelly, Thomas, 195, 255–60
- Kim, Jaegwon, 221
- knowledge, 1–7
 - a priori, 198–218
 - acquaintance, 2–5
 - animal, 107–14
 - causal approach, 36–40
 - defeasibility approach, 31–36
 - epistemic, 179
 - Gettier problem, 22–27
 - JTB account, 6–21
 - knowing full well, 107, 112, 147
 - knowledge how, 2
 - no false grounds approach, 27–31
 - propositional, 2–7
 - reflective, 107–14
 - unanalyzable, 40–44
- knowledge first epistemology, 40–44
- Kornblith, Hilary, 221–25
 - Darwinian argument, 221–25

- Lackey, Jennifer, 238–44
- Leibniz, G. W., 199
- limited naturalism, 233–36
- linear conception of justification, 51

- linguisticism, 217–18
- Lipton, Peter, 173
- local skepticism, 152–53
- Locke, John, 178
- logical consistency., 72
- logical form, 215–16
- logical truth, 215–18
- logically circular, 136

- Maffie, James, 225–26, 230, 232
- Magoo, 143
- Markie, Peter, 68
- Matrix, The, 53
- maximally justified, 15, 154, 206–8
- metaphysical continuity, 230–33
- methodism, 177–85, 188, 197
- Mill, John Stuart, 186
- modest account of a priori justification, 206–12
- modest foundationalism, 52, 57–59, 61, 211
- Moore, G. E., 163–67, 170, 174, 178, 181, 188–92
 - response to skepticism, 163–66
- Moser, Paul, 189–96
- mutually supporting, 51, 72

- natural facts, 230–33
- naturalized epistemology, 219–36
 - a posteriori epistemology, 225–30
 - Darwinian argument, 221–25
 - empirical thesis, 226–30
 - limited naturalism, 233–36
 - normative epistemology, 221
 - replacement thesis, 219–21
- neighborhood reliabilism, 144
- new evil demon problem, 96–97, 101–3, 109–10
- no false grounds approach, 27–31
- noncognitive mental state, 79
- nonconclusive evidence, 24, 159
- nondoxastic experience, 46, 61–63, 65, 67, 69, 72, 77, 83, 89–90, 94
- dilemma of nondoxastic justification, 79–81
- isolation argument, 86–88
- seemings, 65–69

- nondoxastic source of justification, 60–69
 reliabilism, 94
- normal world chauvinism, 101
- Norman, 98, 101, 110
- normative epistemology, 221
- normative questions, 223–24
- objection from supervenience, 185–88
- particular epistemic proposition, 179,
 191–92, 197
- particularism, 177–97
 common sense, 181, 188–97
 dogmatic, 196–97
 objection from supervenience,
 185–88
 problem of the criterion, 177–97
 question-begging, 192–96
- performance normativity, 105–7
- phenomenal conservatism, 63–69
 problem of cognitive penetration,
 68–69
 problem of the speckled hen, 63–69
- Plantinga, Alvin, 210–11
- Plato, 1, 45
- practical rationality, 139–41
- prima facie principle, 180–81
- principle of deductive closure, 25
- principle of exclusion, 159
- problem of Roxanne, 143–50
- problem of the criterion, 177–97
 Amico, Robert, 182, 184
 Bonjour, Laurence, 188–89
 Butchvarov, Panayot, 196–97
 Chisholm, Roderick, 177–85, 188–89,
 194–97
 common sense particularism, 181,
 189–97
 methodism, 177–85
 objection from supervenience, 185–88
 particular epistemic proposition, 179,
 191–92, 197
 particularism, 177–97
 prima facie principle, 181
 question begging, 192–96
 problem of the speckled hen, 63–65, 123
- problem of unknown reliability, 98, 100–1,
 110–11
- process token, 93
- process type, 93
- property identity, 230
- proposition, 2–3
- propositional attitudes, 8
- propositional knowledge, 5–7
- psychology, 219–25, 234
- purely intellectual experience, 203–4
- question-begging, 192–96
- Quine, W. V., 219–21, 226
- rationalism, 212
 a priori justification, 198–218
 vs. empiricism, 212
- reason as a source of justification, 203
- reflective knowledge, 107–14, 147–48
- regress argument, 49–52
- Reid, Thomas, 135, 178, 181, 199, 210–11
- relevant alternative response to skepticism,
 166–68
- relevant alternatives, 167–68
- reliabilism, 91–103, 109, 111, 115–16, 119,
 141, 144, 203, 221
 belief dependent process, 93
 belief independent process, 93
 generality problem, 98–99
 Goldman, Alvin, 91–96, 102–3
 new evil demon problem, 96–98
 normal world chauvinism, 101–2
 problem of unknown reliability,
 98
 process token, 93
 process type, 93
 strong and weak justification,
 102–3
- replacement thesis, 219–21
- Russell, Bertrand, 173, 208, 210
- Schmitt, Frederick, 100
- sensitivity, 161–63
 requirement on knowledge,
 161–63
- Siegel, Susanna, 68

278 Index

- skepticism, 151–77, 188, 196
 argument from certainty, 154–56
 argument from error, 159
 argument from ignorance, 159–63
 argument from infallibility, 156–57
 contextualist response, 168–71
 global, 152–53
 inference to the best explanation
 response, 172–76
 local, 152–53
 Moore's response, 163–66
 relevant alternatives response, 166–68
 sensitivity requirement on knowledge,
 161–63
 about justification, 151
 about knowledge, 151
- Sosa, Ernest, 104–14, 124–26, 141–50
 animal knowledge, 107–9
 apt belief, 107–9, 113–14
 epistemic circularity, 141–50
 Gettier problem, 112–14
 performance normativity, 105–7
 reflective knowledge, 107–9
 virtue epistemology, 104–14
- Steup, Matthias, 18, 34–35, 117, 209
- strong account of a priori justification, 206–12
- strongly justified, 102–3
- subjunctive conditional, 32
- substitution instances, 215
- Superman, 65, 105
- supervenience, 59–61, 185–88, 233
- synthetic proposition, 212–18
- testimonial knowledge, 238–48
- testimony, 238–48
 basic source, 241
 generative source, 241
- global reductionism, 245–46
 local reductionism, 244–46
 non-reductionism, 244
 reductionism vs. non-reductionism,
 244–48
 Statement View, 243–44
 transmissive source, 241
- Tienson, John, 157–59
- total evidence, 19–21, 42, 158, 166
- track record argument, 136–39
- truth, 9–13
 Aristotle, 9
 Blanshard, 12
 coherence theory of, 11–13
 correspondence theory of,
 9–10
 James, Williams, 11
 logical, 215
 pragmatic theory of, 10–12
- Tucker, Chris, 65
- unanalyzable, 41, 214
- virtue epistemology, 104–14
 animal knowledge, 107–9
 apt belief, 107–9, 114
 Gettier problem, 112–14
 intellectual virtue, 104–5
 manifesting a competence, 112–14
 performance normativity, 105–7
 reflective knowledge, 107–9
 Sosa, Ernest, 104–14
 Vogel, Jonathan, 144–46
 problem of Roxanne, 144–46
- weakly justified, 102–3
- Williamson, Timothy, 40–44