

Index

- 1 in 5, claim that one in five college women have been sexually assaulted, 6, 170, 188, 190–193, 196, 200–201
- 2011 Dear Colleague Letter, 13, 101–102, 122, 127, 132
- 2014 Office of Civil Rights Guidance, 130
- 2017 Interim Guidance, 132
- Abdullatif Arishi v. Washington State University*, 44
- affirmative consent, 2, 10–11
- and alcohol, 152
- application limited to students, 145
- as changing norms, 158
- as overly broad, 149
- in California, 141, 150
- Ablum v. Adm'rs of Tulane Educ. Fund*, 72
- alcohol as a driver of sexual assault, 219
- American Bar Association Best Practices document, 232
- Anderson v. Massachusetts Institute of Technology*, 83
- Anderson, Michelle, 27, 226
- Association for Student Conduct Administration, 116, 129–130, 232
- Association of Title IX Administrators, 18
- Austin v. Wilkinson*, 90, 133
- Banzhaf, John, 55
- Bd. of Curators of the Univ. of Mo. v. Horowitz*, 120
- Berger, Curtis and Vivian, 72, 76–77, 93, 95, 131, 159
- Bernstein, David, 146
- Bowers v. Hardwick*, 165–166, 173, 179
- Brady v. Maryland*, 97, 100
- Bruni, Frank, 7
- Bureau of Justice Statistics Survey, 202
- Burke, Sarah, 4
- Bush, President George W., 5
- Campus Sexual Assault Study, 190, 192
- Center for Higher Education Law and Policy, 14
- Center for Public Integrity, 1
- Center for Disease Control, 60, 147, 175
- Chi Cantalupo, Nancy, viii, 147
- claim that one in five college women have been sexually assaulted, 192
- clear and convincing standard of proof, 13
- Clery Act, 221
- Cleveland v. Loudermill*, 89
- Commonwealth v. Berkowitz*, 208
- consortium approach to paying for campus hearings, 55
- contracts of adhesion, 72
- De Leon, Kevin, 187–188
- DeVos, Betsy, 13
- Dirks, Danielle, 204
- Dixon v. Alabama State Board of Education*, 36, 84
- Doe v. Alger*, 56, 133
- Doe v. Amberst*, 52
- Doe v. Brandeis*, 72, 74, 88, 151
- Doe v. Brown University*, 154
- Doe v. Case Western Reserve University*, 68
- Doe v. Columbia University*, 69, 131
- Doe v. Lhamon*, 101

Index

237

- Doe v. Rector and Visitors of George Mason University*, 43, 111, 177
Doe v. The Ohio State University, 64, 98, 125
Doe v. University of California San Diego, 19, 45
Doe v. University of Cincinnati, 125
Doe v. University of Southern California, 50, 91, 97, 119, 136–137
Doe v. Washington and Lee University, 69, 128
Doe v. Western New England University, 127
- Edwards, Sarah, 106
Eisenstadt v. Baird, 146, 165, 172
Evans v. Romer, 172
 expulsion as a mandatory or preferred penalty, 42, 116
- Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 11
 Felix Frankfurter, Justice, 17
Fellheimer v. Middlebury College, 72, 91
Fitz v. NCR Corp, 96
Flaim v. Medical College of Ohio, 114, 121
 Flanagan, Caitlin, 26
 force requirement for rape, 29, 189, 213, 234
 Frankfurter, Justice Felix, 88
 Freyd, Jennifer, 193, 195, 224
 Friendly, Judge Henry, 89, 130, 132
- Gabrilowtz v. Newman*, 114
 gender discrimination, lack of due process as example of, 59
 Geoffrey Stone, 42
 Gertner, Nancy, 41, 104–105
 Gillibrand, Senator Kirsten, 8, 26, 205
Gischel v. University of Cincinnati, 123
Goldberg v. Kelly, 89, 124
Gomes v. University of Maine System, 99
Goss v. Lopez, 38, 110–111, 113
 Grigoriadis, Vanessa, 146, 188, 204–205
Griswold v. Connecticut, 164–165
 Gruber, Aya, viii, 149, 154, 169, 205, 207–208
- Haley v. Va. Commonwealth University*, 69
 Halley, Janet, 9, 107–108, 161
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 5
Hart v. Ferris State College, 54
 Hathaway, Mark, 121
Henley v. Osteen, 136
 Henrick, Stephen, 45, 71, 97, 108–109, 132
 Hobart and William Smith Colleges case, 23, 32, 119, 123
- incapacitation, definition of, 152
 incapacitation vs. intoxication, 30
 informed consent, 185
 International Association of College Law Enforcement Administrators, 45
 intoxication, 22, 51, 67, 102, 123, 152–153, 187–188, 220
 intoxication, definition of, 30
 intoxication vs. incapacitation, 188
- Jackson, Candace, 12
John Doe v. UCLA, 117
 Johnson, K. C., 43
 Joyce, Kathryn, 5
 Jozkowski, Kristen, 142, 149
- Kahan study of effectiveness of affirmative consent, 208
 Kessler Ferzan, Kimberly, 142, 184
King v. Depauw University, 69
 Kipnis, Laura, 60, 67, 217–219
 Klein, Ezra, 47, 190–191
 Krakauer, Jon, 26, 30, 47
- Langton, Lynn, 147–148, 196, 202
Lawrence v. Texas, 166, 171
 Lisak, David, 204–205
 Lowenthal, Assemblywoman Bonnie, 145, 169
- MacKinnon, Catherine, 33
Mallory v. Ohio Univ., 69
 mandatory reporting requirements, 156, 224
Mathews test, 40, 46, 78, 90, 105–107, 111, 113–114, 116–117, 120, 122, 124, 132–133
Mathews v. Eldridge, 40, 120
 McCaskill, Senator Claire, 46
 Meyer, Ilan, 60
Millien v. Colby College, 75
 Minikus, Amanda, 65
 MIT study of sexual assault, 192
Mock v. University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, 144
 Model Penal Code, 184, 207
 Muehlenhard, Charlene, 149–150, 188, 199
Muth v. Frank, 171
- Napolitano, Janet, 24, 28
 National Association of College and University Attorneys, 12, 56
 National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey, 62

- NCHERM, 12
Neal v. Colorado State-Pueblo, 155
 New, Jake, 46
 no means no, 208
- Obama, President Barack, 18
Obergefell v. Hodges, 174, 176, 178
 Office of Civil Rights, 1, 12
Osteen v. Henley, 55, 114
- Paglia, Camille, 211
 Peterson, Zoë, 142, 149, 188
 Polis, Congressman Jared, 33, 44
 Posner, Judge Richard, 181
Powell v. Alabama, 113
 preponderance of the evidence standard of
 proof, 13
- Quillen, Carol, 18
- rape shield laws, 130
 reasonable person standard, 10, 208
 Rehnquist, Justice William, 88
 relaxed contract approach to student
 handbooks, 71
 Rennison, Callie, 196
 resistance training programs, 217
 response bias in sexual assault surveys, 194
Restatement of Torts, 184
 Restorative Justice, 224
 Right to an Attorney, 113
 Right to Appeal, 132
 Right to Confront Witnesses, 122
 Right to Effective Notice of Charges, 91
 Right to Evidence, 96
 Right to a Hearing, 84
 Right to an Impartial Tribunal, 107
 Right to Present Witnesses, 130
 Rubinfeld, Jed, 24–25, 146
 Rumney, Philip, N.S., 48
- Safer California Universities study, 220
Salm v. Miami University, 69, 131
 Savino, Janet, 87, 108
Schaer v. Brandeis, 77
Sexual Victimization of College Women Study,
 147, 196–197, 201
- Shroyer v. New Cingular Wireless Services, Inc.*, 76
 single investigator model of
 adjudication, 87
 Sinozich, Sofi, 147–148, 196, 202
 Sokolow, Brett, 3, 63, 158
 standard of proof, 101
State v. Elias, 29
 Stemple, Lara, 60
 Stephen Henrick, 71
Sterrett v. Cowan, 84–88,
 124–126, 131, 137
 Stevens, Justice John Paul, 121, 171
 Stillman, Sarah, 8
 Strossen, Nadine, 143
 substantive due process, 164
 Suk Gersen, Jeannie, 2, 18, 48, 106, 154
 Swartout, Kevin, 207
- Tanyi v. Appalachian State University*,
 99–100, 137
- Taylor, 43
 Taylor, Stuart, viii, 26, 44, 48, 52–53, 87, 102,
 121–122, 128, 136, 154, 218, 222
 Tenerowicz, Lisa, 47, 83, 138
 Thomas, Justice Clarence, 175
 Title IX, 1, 68
 Tribe, Lawrence, 183
Troxel v. Granville, 175
 Trump, President Donald, 12, 18
 Tuerkheimer, Deborah, 212
- Violence Against Women Reauthorization
 Act, 25
- Washington v. Glucksberg*, 174, 177–178
 Wasiolek, Dean Sue, 65
 Weiss, Bari, 3
 Weizel, Lavinia, 102
Winnick v. Manning, 124
Witt v. Department of the Air Force, 171
Wong Yang Sung v. McGrath, 109
- Yoffe, Emily, viii, 46, 84,
 126, 157, 161, 204
 Young, Cathy, 145
Yu v. Vassar College, 67, 93, 106