

INDEX

Abbreviations used in the index

- 1987 Agreement (Burkina Faso–Niger Agreement and Protocol of 28 March 1987 on the establishment of the border between the two countries)
- ACDEG (African Charter on Democracy, Elections and Governance (2013))
- ACHPR (African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (1981) (Banjul Charter))
- ACHPR Protocol (Protocol on the Establishment of an African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (1998))
- ACHR (American Convention on Human Rights (1969))
- ACtHPR (African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights)
- AIIL (African Institute for International Law)
- AIRE (Advice on Individual Rights in Europe)
- APDH (Actions pour la Protection des Droits de l'Homme)
- AU (African Union) (formerly Organization of African Unity (OAU))
- AUEOM (African Union Election Observer Mission)
- BC (British Columbia)
- Brussels I (Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, in force 1 March 2002–10 January 2015) (also known as Judgments Regulation/JR)
- Brussels I *bis* (Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, entry into force 10 January 2015)
- CFR (European Charter of Fundamental Rights) (2000)
- CIL (customary international law)
- CNLG (National Commission for the Fight against Genocide)
- CRF (Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms)
- DIT (Detainees Investigation Team (Nepal))
- DRC (Democratic Republic of the Congo)
- ECOWAS Democracy Protocol (ECOWAS Protocol on Democracy and Good Governance 2001 supplementary to the Protocol relating to the Mechanism for Conflict Prevention, Management and Resolution)
- EOC (Elements of Crimes)
- IACFDP (Inter-American Convention on Forced Disappearance of Persons (1994))
- IAComHR (Inter-American Commission on Human Rights)
- IACtHR (Inter-American Court of Human Rights)
- ICC (International Criminal Court/ICC Statute)
- ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
- ICPPED (Convention on the Protection of All People from Enforced Disappearance (2006))
- IEC (Independent Electoral Commission (Côte d'Ivoire))
- IGN Map (1:200,000-scale map of the National Geographic Institute of France (1960))
- ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts)
- International IDEA (International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance)

IRPA (Immigration and Refugee Protection Act 2001 (Canada))
 JCE (joint criminal enterprise)
 Joint Technical Commission/JTC (Burkina Faso/Niger Joint Technical Commission on Demarcation of the Frontier)
 JR/Judgments Regulation (Judgments Regulation (Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001) (Brussels I))
 JTC/Joint Technical Commission (Burkina Faso/Niger Joint Technical Commission on Demarcation of the Frontier)
 MFA (Ministry for Foreign Affairs)
 NHRC (National Human Rights Commission (Nepal))
 OHCHR (Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights)
 RC (Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (1951))
 ROC (Rules of Court/Regulations of Court)
 SA (Special Agreement) (dependent on context)
 STL (Special Tribunal for Lebanon)
 TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))
 TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))
 UDHR (Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948))
 Venice Commission (European Commission for Democracy through Law)

ACHPR Protocol (1998), reparation for breach: *see* reparation for breach of treaty (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))

ACHR (1969)

balance of rights, importance 402
 compliance/obligation to adopt such measures as may be necessary to give effect to rights and freedoms 411-18
 as dual obligation (repeal of rules and practice violating ACHR/adoption of rules and practices effectively ensuring respect for ACHR) 414-15
 judicial interpretation, dependence of compliance on 417-18
 precision of law, need for 415-16
 compliance/obligation to adopt such measures as may be necessary to give effect to rights and freedoms, jurisprudence
 Almonacid Arellano 417
 Cabrera García 417
 Fontevéchia 411-18, 435-6: *see also* *Fontevéchia*
 Kimel 415
 Salvador-Chiriboga 414-15
 subsidiarity principle (“a convention reinforcing or complementing the protection provided by the domestic law of the American States” (preamble)) 434-5: *see also* IACtHR, jurisdiction and functions (ACHR 61-65), appeal court distinguished/subsidiarity principle

ACHR (1969), interpretation

Fontevéchia 411-18, 435-6
 general rule: good faith, ordinary meaning of terms of treaty in their context and in the light of its object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)) 435-6
 IACtHR decisions, role 417
 intertemporal law/developments subsequent to conclusion of treaty as “relevant rule” (VCLT 31(3)(c)) (“living-tree” principle) 417
 object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)) 417-18

acquiescence, *Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)* 52-3

ACtHPR

- individual applications (ACHPR Protocol 5(3)/34(6))
 - deposit of Protocol 34(6) declaration, need for 139-40, 185
 - withdrawal of declaration (Rwanda (29 February 2016)) 175, 182, 183
- jurisdiction/admissibility
 - ACtHPR as appeal court, exclusion 209
 - consideration of compliance with international procedural standards and review of decisions of national courts distinguished 184-5
 - Court's competence to consider "all cases and disputes submitted to it concerning the interpretation and application of ..." 185
 - ratione loci* (act on territory of Member State) 143, 185
 - ratione materiae* (disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (ACHPR Protocol 3(1))), status as "relevant human rights instrument"
 - African Charter on Democracy (ACDEG) 140-3, 156-7
 - ECOWAS Democracy Protocol 140-3
 - test for determining 141-2
 - ratione materiae* (disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (Protocol 3(1))), jurisprudence
 - Abubakari* 184-5
 - APDH* 140-3, 156-7
 - Mtingwi* 184-5
 - Thomas* 184-5
 - Umboza* 184-5
 - ratione personae* (ACHPR Protocol 5(1)): *see* individual applications (ACHPR Protocol 5(3)/34(6)) *above*
 - ratione temporis* (date of entry into force of African Charter/Protocol/ICCPR) 143
 - continuing wrong 185

ACtHPR Rules of Court by rule

- 8(2) (resignation/recusal of judge) 169-70
- 30 (costs) 210
- 35 (transmission of application) 137
- 35(2)(a) (transmission of application to State Party) 182
- 35(3) (notification of application to chair of AU Commission) 182
- 36(1) (applicant's submissions on reparations: service on respondent State) 214
- 39 (preliminary examination of competence) 143-4
- 39(1) (preliminary examination of competence: Court's right to request information/documentation) 139, 184, 186
- 40 (conditions for admissibility) 143-4
 - text 186
- 40(5) (exhaustion of local remedies) 186-9
- 45(2) (Court's right to person or institution of its choice for information, an opinion or a report) 137-8
- 52(2) (preliminary objections: deadline for raising) 137, 144, 157-8
- 63 (ruling on request for reparation) 209, 214

admissibility (ACHPR 56 requirements)

- avoidance of disparaging or insulting language against State or OAU (ACHPR 56(3)/ROC 40(3))
 - APDH* 144-5
 - criteria (*Zimbabwe Lawyers for Human Rights*) 145
- exhaustion of local remedies (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)) 186-9
- jurisprudence (general)

admissibility (ACHPR 56 requirements) (cont.)*APDH* 143-8*Konaté* 148*Mtikila* 148*Umuhoza* 186-9**admissibility (ECtHR)***Anchugov* 239-47

exhaustion of local remedies (ECHR 35(1)) 241-3

ratione materiae (compatibility of application with provisions of ECHR and Protocols ECHR) (ECHR 35(3)(a) [27(2)]) 239-40

six-month rule (ECHR 35(1))

applicants' obligation to take reasonable steps to inform themselves of time limits 244
critical date

in absence of effective remedy 245-6

continuing violation 245-6

date of final decision in process of exhaustion of local remedies 245

date of first communication from the applicant 244

date of introduction of application (ROC 47(5)) 244-5

jurisprudence

Anchugov 243-7*Andrushko* 244*Arslan* 244*Calleja* 244*Dennis* 245*Gaspari* 244*Ismailova* 244-5*Paksas* 246*Posti* 245*Sabri Güneş* 244**admissibility (ECtHR) (*ratione temporis* (temporal jurisdiction)/applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28)) 678-81**

critical date, events as part of alleged violation, limitation to 678-9

jurisprudence

Brecknell 679-80*Janowiec* 682-3**advisory jurisdiction (ICC), absence of provision for 498-500****advisory jurisdiction (ICJ), *Application for Review of UNAT Judgment No 158* (Fasla) 221****African Union (AU), *uti possidetis*/intangibility principle and 34****aiding and abetting/complicity or association (crimes against humanity, war crimes****and other international crimes): see also** joint criminal enterprise (JCE)/individual criminal responsibility (with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7(1)/
ICC 25(3)(d))

“complicity” as broad concept 521

mens rea (subjective element) (including ICC 30) 519, 526advertent recklessness (*dolus eventualis*) 519

relevant factors

JS 521-2*Ryivuze* 522

UNHCR Guidelines on International Protection: Application of the Exclusion

Clauses: Article 1F (2003) 523

State practice/municipal law 521-3

aiding and abetting/complicity or association (crimes against humanity, war crimes and other international crimes), jurisprudence

- Brdanin* 520-1
- Ezakola* 505-30
- Fabela* 524
- Harb* 514
- JS (Sri Lanka)* 521-2, 525-6, 529-30
- Lubanga* 517-18
- Mbarushimana* 517-19
- Moreno* 514-15
- Mpia-Mena-Zambili* 524
- Mugesera* 514, 516
- Osagie* 524
- Ramirez* 507, 514-15
- Ruto* 517-18
- Ryivuze* 522
- Sivakumar* 512
- Stanišić* 520
- Tadić* 520
- Xu Sheng Gao* 522-3

***Anchugov* (ECtHR) (alleged violation of ECHR Protocol 1:3 (free elections) (admissibility))**

- Court's conclusion 247
- exhaustion of local remedies/effective remedy (ECHR 35(1))
 - Court's analysis 242-3
 - discretionary nature of remedy/complicated procedures 242
 - impossibility of implementation 242
 - Court's conclusion 243
 - parties' positions
 - first applicant 241
 - respondent government 241
- ratione materiae*/compatibility of application with ECHR and Protocols (ECHR 35(3)(a)) (Court's analysis)
 - Court's conclusions 240-1
 - limitation of ECPR Protocol 3:1 right to "choice of legislature" 240-1
 - monitoring of compliance/"to ensure the observance of the engagements undertaken", limitation of Court's role to (ECHR 19) 239-40
 - obligation to secure rights and freedoms to everyone "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1) 239-40
 - applicability to "jurisdiction as a whole" regardless of type of measure/part of Member State's "jurisdiction" 239
- ratione materiae*/compatibility of application with ECHR and Protocols (ECHR 35(3)(a)) (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 239
 - respondent government 239
- six-month rule (ECHR 35(1)) (Court's analysis)
 - compliance with time limit 245-6
 - in absence of effective remedy 245-6
 - continuing violation 245-6
 - date of final decision in process of exhaustion of local remedies 245

- Anchugov* (ECtHR) (alleged violation of ECHR Protocol 1:3 (free elections)) (admissibility) (cont.)**
 date of introduction of application (ROC 47(5)) 244-5
 applicants' obligation to take reasonable steps to inform themselves of time limits 244
 Court's conclusions 244-5
 six-month rule (ECHR 35(1)) (parties' arguments)
 applicants 244
 respondent government 243-4
- Anchugov* (ECtHR) (alleged violation of ECHR Protocol 1:3 (free elections)) (merits) 247-57**
 Court's analysis (general principles)
 free elections as fundamental principle of a political democracy 250-1
 ICCPR 25 compared 250-1
 justified restrictions "proportionate to the legitimate aim", possibility of 251-3
 blanket restriction, exclusion 252-3
 discernible and sufficient link between sanction of disenfranchisement and conduct and circumstances of individual concerned 251-2
 standard of tolerance 251-2
 margin of appreciation 251
 choice of means as matter for legislature 252
 review of jurisprudence relating to the disenfranchisement of convicted prisoners 251-3
 right to elect/be elected as subjective rights 250
 universal suffrage as basic principle 250-1, 254
 Court's analysis (general principles: application) 253-6
 justified restrictions "proportionate to the legitimate aim"
 automatic/blanket nature of Constitution 32(3) ban on voting rights regardless of gravity of offence 253-5
 burden/standard of proof 254
 discernible and sufficient link between disenfranchisement and person concerned 255
 legitimacy of aim 254
 numerical impact 254
 municipal/internal law as justification for non-observance of treaty, exclusion (VCLT 27) 256
 obligation to secure rights and freedoms to everyone "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1) 256
 applicability to "jurisdiction as a whole" regardless of type of measure/part of Member State's "jurisdiction" 256
 proportionality of blanket ban on voting, absence of evidence of any attempt to assess 256
 Court's conclusion 256-7
 responsibility of State organs for compliance/choice of means including interpretation of Constitution in harmony with ECHR Protocol 1:3 256-7
 parties' positions
 applicants 247-8
 respondent government 248-50
- Anchugov* (ECtHR) (background)**
 facts
 applicants' attempts to participate in elections 229-30
 applicants' criminal history 228-9

- procedure 227-8
 - applicants' complaint 227-8
 - application 233
 - joinder of admissibility and merits 227-8
 - joinder of applications 227-8
- proceedings in national courts
 - applications to Constitutional Court 230
 - attempted proceedings in Regional Court 232
 - proceedings against election commissions 230-2
- relevant law (domestic)
 - Constitution by article
 - 15(1) (primacy of the Constitution) 233
 - 15(4) (generally recognized principles and norms of international law including treaties as part of the law of/primacy) 233
 - 32(2) (right of citizens to elect/be elected) 233-4
 - 32(3) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected) 233-4
 - 33 (right of individual and collective appeal to State and local government bodies) 234
 - 134 (amendment of the Constitution: proposals for) 234
 - 135 (amendment of the Constitution: procedure) 234
 - Fundamental Guarantees of Electoral Rights and Eligibility to Participate in a Referendum, Law of 12 June 2002, s. 4(3) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected) 234
 - Presidential Elections, Law of 10 January 2003, s. 3(4) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected) 234
- relevant law (international)
 - ICCPR 10 (treatment of detainees) 236
 - ICCPR 25 (right to take part in public affairs) 236
 - ILC(SR 3) (characterization of an act of State as internationally wrongful: international law as applicable law) (text) 235-6
 - relevant law (*Scoppola* review of Member State practice) 237-8
 - UNHRC General Comment 25 (ICCPR 25 (right to participate in public affairs, voting rights and the right of equal access to public service)) 236-7
 - UNHRC views on *Yevdokimov and Rezanov* 237
 - VCLT 27 (exclusion of internal law as justification for non-observance of treaty) 235
 - Venice Commission's Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters (2002) 237
- Anchugov* (ECtHR) (just satisfaction (ECHR 41)/costs)**
 - costs and expenses 259
 - finding of violation, sufficiency 258-9
- Anchugov* (prisoners' electoral rights): see also *Anchugov* (ECtHR) (background); *Anchugov* (ECtHR) (alleged violation of ECHR Protocol 1:3 (free elections)) (admissibility); *Anchugov* (ECtHR) (alleged violation of ECHR Protocol 1:3 (free elections)) (merits); *Anchugov* (ECtHR) (just satisfaction (ECHR 41)/costs); *Anchugov* (Russian Federation, Constitutional Court) (ECtHR judgments, compliance obligation (ECHR 46))**
 - alleged violation of ECHR 10/ECHR 14, admissibility/absence of separate issues 257-8
 - Court's decision 259

- Anchugov* (Russian Federation, Constitutional Court) (ECtHR judgments, compliance obligation (ECHR 46))**: *see also* *Fontevvechia* (ACHR 63(1) (reparations)/ACHR 68(1) (compliance with IACtHR judgment)) (Argentinian Supreme Court)
- background
 - ECHR Protocol 1:3 (free elections), finding of breach (*Anchugov* (ECtHR)) 264
 - summary of the case/decision of the Court 265-7
 - Ministry of Justice's request to Constitutional Court to resolve execution issue 265
 - relevant legislation
 - Constitution 15 (supremacy of the Constitution) (text) 262
 - Constitution 32(3) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected) 264
 - Fundamental Guarantees of Electoral Rights and Eligibility to Participate in a Referendum, Law of 12 June 2002, 4(3) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected) 264
 - Presidential Elections Law of 10 January 2003, s. 3(4) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected) 264
 - Court's analysis
 - compliance obligation (ECHR 46)/Constitution 15(4), interrelationship 267-9
 - Constitutional Court's obligation to find balance 268-9
 - mutual respect for ECHR norms and Russian constitutional order, dependence on 268
 - conflict between ECHR and Russian constitutional law, principles governing resolution 276-8
 - absence of established Member State practice 278-9
 - "living-tree" approach as risk to parties' constitutional principles 276-8
 - ECHR as integral part of Russian legal system 267-8
 - ECtHR's role (monitoring of compliance/"to ensure the observance of the engagements undertaken" (ECHR 19)) 290-1
 - interpretation of Constitution 32(3) as blanket ban on voting rights of detained persons, counter-evidence 282-90: *see also* Russian Federation (1991-), Constitution 1993 (including 1999 amendments) by article; Russian Federation (1991-), Criminal Code (CC) (1996 as amended 2012) by article
 - interpretation of Constitution 32(3) to conform with ECtHR decisions, exclusion 273-82
 - ban as fundamental principle of legal status amendable only by special procedure 274
 - legislative history 275-6
 - obligation to interpret constitutional provisions as a non-contradictory system unity 274-5
 - plain language as imperative blanket ban 274
 - issue for decision 269
 - national authorities' right to determine compliance/choice of means 256-7, 288
 - restriction of right to participate in elections, grounds
 - Constitution 17(3), 32(1) and (2) and 55(3) 269-70
 - ECHR Protocol 1:3 (margin of appreciation) 270-3
 - ICCPR 25 270
 - Russian sovereignty and supreme legal force of the Constitution (Constitution 4(1) and (2), 15(1) and (4), 79 and 125(2)(d) and (6)) 276-8
 - Russia's status as a democratic State (Constitutional provisions) 269
 - Court's decision 292-3
 - jurisprudence
 - Anchugov* (ECtHR) 271-90
 - Assanidze* 288

- Borisov* 283-4
Broniowski 288
DH 278-9
ECHR Ratification Law Case (Judgment of 14 July 2015, No 21-P) 268-9
Frodl 271-3, 278-9
Gitonas 271
Gladkov 283
Greens and MT 271-3, 278-9
Guzzardi 283-4
Hirst (No 2) 271-3, 278-9, 288
Hoogendijk 287
Kimlya 278-9
Kiyutin 278-9
Labita 278-9
Markin 278-9
Mathieu-Mohin 271
MDU 278-9
Murray 283-4
Nolan and K 283-4
Öcalan 288
Podkolzina 271
RR 278-9
Scoppola 271-3, 278-9, 284
APDH (ACtHPR): see also APDH (ACtHPR) (background/jurisdiction and admissibility); APDH (ACtHPR) (merits)
 costs 155
 Court's decision 155-6
APDH (ACtHPR) (background/jurisdiction and admissibility) 134-48
 admissibility (avoidance of disparaging or insulting language against State or OAU (ACHPR 56(3)/ROC 40(3))) 144-5
 applicant 145
 Court's decision 145
 criteria (*Zimbabwe Lawyers for Human Rights*) 145
 parties' arguments, respondent State 144-5
 admissibility (conditions not in contention) 144
 admissibility (exhaustion of local remedies/(ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5)))
 (effectiveness of remedy) 145-8
 Court's analysis 147-8
 Constitutional Council's previous finding of constitutionality of impugned law 148
 sufficiency of administrative remedy (Supreme Court Law No 94-440) 147
 sufficiency of constitutional review of laws on public freedoms (Constitution 77) 147-8
 Court's finding 148
 parties' arguments (applicant) 146
 parties' arguments (respondent State) 145-6
 alleged violations 136-7
 IEC legislative history 134-5
 Constitutional challenge/dismissal 136
 issue (compatibility of amended IEC Law with international human rights instruments/request for amendment) 134
 jurisdiction, Court's conclusion 143
 jurisdiction *ratione loci* (act on territory of Member State) 143

APDH (ACtHPR) (background/jurisdiction and admissibility) (cont.)

jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (disputes concerning the interpretation of ... other relevant human rights treaties (ACHPR Protocol 3(1))) 140-3

Court's conclusion 143

status as "other relevant human rights instrument"

African Charter on Democracy/ECOWAS Democracy Protocol 140-3

factors determining 141-2

views of AIIIL 141

views of AU Commission 140-1

views of the Court 141-2

jurisdiction *ratione personae*

applicant's observer status (Protocol 5(3)) 139-40

respondent State (compliance with deposit of declaration requirement (ACHPR Protocol 34(6))) 139-40

jurisdiction *ratione temporis* (date of entry into force of ACHPR/relevant instruments and deposit of Protocol 34(6) declaration) 143

parties

ADPH (applicant) 134

Côte d'Ivoire (respondent State) 134

parties' positions

applicant 138-9

respondent State 139

procedural history 137-8

application/response (untimeliness) 137

confirmation of APDH's observer status (ACHPR Protocol 5(3)) 138

request for opinions from the AU Commission and AIIIL 137-8

respondent State's objections to admissibility 138

APDH (ACtHPR) (merits) 148-55

alleged breach of obligation to establish independent and impartial electoral body (ACDEG 17(1)/ECOWAS Democracy Protocol 3) 149-53

parties' arguments (applicant) 149

parties' arguments (respondent State) 149-50

texts of treaty provisions under consideration 150

alleged breach of obligation to establish independent and impartial electoral body (ACDEG 17(1)/ECOWAS Democracy Protocol 3) (Court's analysis)

balance of IEC membership 151-2

AUEOM report (27 October 2015) 152

IEC Law 5 (composition of IEC) (eight government members/four non-government members) 151-2

IEC Law 36 (simple majority decision-taking) 152

Court's conclusion 152

breach of ACDEG 17 as breach of ACHPR 13 (free participation in public affairs) 152-3

"independent and impartial", definition

absence from treaty provisions 150

Court's view 150

Dictionary of International Public Law 150

International IDEA 150

"independent and impartial", IEC as 150-3

guarantee of transparent, free and fair elections as key objective/dependence on balance of membership 151

- IEC 1(2) (“independent administrative authority endowed with legal personality and financial autonomy”) 151
- importance of appearance 151-2
- alleged breach of right to equality before the law/equal protection of the law (ACHPR 3/ACDEG 10(3)/ECOWAS Democracy Protocol 3/UDHR 1/ICCPR 26) 153-5
 - Court’s analysis 154-5
 - Court’s conclusion 155
 - parties’ arguments (applicant) 153
 - parties’ arguments (respondent State) 153
- APDH (ACtHPR) (separate opinion: Ouguergouz J)** 156-68
 - “independent and impartial”, definition, Court’s failure to distinguish between “independence” and “impartiality” 160-3
 - “independent and impartial”, IEC’s status as 158-63
 - non ultra petita* rule, Court’s breach of 164-8
 - objection to admissibility, untimely filing 157-8
 - “relevant human rights instrument” (ACHPR Protocol 3), African Charter on Democracy as 156-7
- Argentina**
 - Civil Code 1869, Art. 1071 *bis* (interference with privacy) 397-400, 403-11: *see also* *Fontevicchia*
 - Constitution 1994 by section
 - 27 (obligation to conclude “treaties in accordance with the principles of public law laid down by this Constitution”) 436-8
 - primacy of treaty 436-8
 - 75(22) (powers of Congress: primacy of listed human rights treaties) 439
 - 108 (judicial power: Supreme Court/lower courts) 437
 - freedom of expression: *see* *Fontevicchia*
- as protection against irreparable harm** 570
- Avotiņš**: *see* *Avotiņš* (fair hearing (ECHR 6(1))/recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments (general background/procedural matters)); *Avotiņš* (fair hearing (ECHR 6(1))/recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments (merits))
- Avotiņš (fair hearing (ECHR 6(1))/recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments (general background/procedural matters))**
 - procedural history (ECtHR) (in chronological order)
 - application 299-300
 - Third Section Chamber proceedings/declaration of inadmissibility of complaint against Cyprus for failure to comply with six-month rule 300
 - Fourth Section Chamber proceedings
 - reassignment to (ROC 25(1) and 52(1)) 300
 - judgment (25 February 2014) 300
 - Grand Chamber proceedings
 - referral to (ECHR 43/ROC 73) (8 September 2014) 300-1
 - appointment of ad hoc judge 300-1
 - replacement of judges 301
 - third-party intervenors 300-1
- procedural history (Member State courts)
 - debt-recovery proceedings in Limassol District Court 302-3
 - failure of applicant to appear/Court’s decision *in absentia* 303
 - recognition and enforcement proceedings in Latvia (Lagdale District Court)
 - agreement to request to appeal 305
 - application/corrections to 303-4
 - Court’s decision/agreement to request to appeal against 304-5

***Avotiņš* (fair hearing (ECHR 6(1))/recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments (general background/procedural matters)) (cont.)**

- recognition and enforcement proceedings in Latvia (Riga Regional Court)
 - Court's decision 307
 - grounds of appeal 305-7
- recognition and enforcement proceedings in Latvia (Supreme Court) 307-8
- payment order/applicant's compliance 308
- relevant law (domestic law)
 - Cyprus (grounds for setting aside a default judgment) 333-4
 - Latvia (extracts from Civil Procedure Law) 332-3
- relevant law (EU law: service of judicial documents), between non-Member States (EU Service of Documents Regulation 2000/2007) 332
- relevant law (EU law: service of judicial documents), with non-Member States (Hague Convention 1965) 332
- relevant law (EU law on fundamental rights: jurisprudence)
 - ASML Netherlands BV v. SEMIS* 312
 - Bamberski* 311
 - DEB* 312-13
 - Gascogne Sack Deutschland* 313
 - J McB v. LE* 313
- relevant law (EU law on fundamental rights: Opinion 2/13 (EU accession to the ECHR)) 317-18
- relevant law (EU law on fundamental rights: treaty provisions (extracts))
 - CFR 47 (effective remedy/fair trial) 310
 - CFR 52 (scope and interpretation of rights and principles) 310
 - CFR 53 (level of protection) 310
 - TEC 6/TEU 6 308-9
 - TFEU 41 (CFR field of application) 310
 - TFEU 67(4) (mutual recognition of judicial and extrajudicial decisions in civil matters) (text) 309
 - TFEU 81(1) (judicial cooperation in civil matters) (text) 309
 - TFEU 82(1) (judicial cooperation in criminal matters) (text) 309
 - TFEU 288, para. 2 (previously TEU 249, para. 2) (applicability of a regulation) (text) 310
- relevant law (EU law on fundamental rights and the principle of mutual trust: jurisprudence)
 - Alpha Bank Cyprus Ltd v. Dau Si Senh* 316-17, 354
 - Melloni* 315-16
 - NS* 314-15
- relevant law (preliminary ruling provisions: TFEU 267(b) [TEC 234(b)])
 - CILFIT* 319
 - Ferreira da Silva* 321
 - text 318-19
- relevant law (provisions concerning the recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments in civil matters: Brussels I (1 March 2002-10 January 2015))
 - CJEU jurisprudence
 - Apostolides v. Orams* 330-1
 - ASML Netherlands BV v. SEMIS* 326-8
 - Bernardus Hendrikman and Maria Feyen v. Magenta Druck* 328
 - Klomps v. Michel* 325-6
 - Trade Agency* 328-30
 - explanatory memorandum 324-5
 - text (extracts) 321-4
- relevant law (provisions concerning the recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments in civil matters: Brussels I *bis* (entry into force 10 January 2015)), text (extracts) 331-2

***Avotiņš* (fair hearing (ECHR 6(1))/recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments (merits))**

- background (procedural matters)
 - applicant's claim 334
 - parties' submissions
 - applicant 335-8
 - respondent government 338-40
 - third-party interveners' observations
 - AIRE Centre 344
 - Estonia 341-2
 - European Commission 342-4
- Chamber judgment
 - applicant's obligation to familiarize himself with consequences of failure to pay debt 335
 - Chamber's decision 335
 - effective remedy/burden of proof 335
 - "equivalent protection" (*Bosphorus* test) 334-5
 - mutual trust principle 334-5
 - scope of case 334-5
- Court's assessment ("equivalent protection" (*Bosphorus* test)) 347-52
 - application to *Avotiņš* 349-52
 - MSS* distinguished 350
 - conditions
 - absence of domestic margin of manoeuvre 349-50
 - full deployment of EU supervisory mechanism/absence of request for preliminary ruling (TFEU 267) 349-52
 - Court's role (ECHR 19)/*non ultra petita* rule 350
 - scope 347-9
- Court's assessment ("manifestly deficient" protection of ECHR rights) 352-8
 - Court's application of test to *Avotiņš* 354-8
 - applicant's obligation to familiarize himself with consequences of failure to pay debt 357-8
 - equality of parties 355
 - JR 34(2) requirement for exhaustion of local remedies, reasonableness 355
 - Latvian Supreme Court's failure to examine the burden of proof on existence/availability of remedy against Cypriot judgment 356-7
 - sufficiency of opportunity under Cypriot law to pursue remedy through Cypriot courts 355-8
 - mutual recognition/mutual trust principle 352-4
 - "equivalent protection" (*Bosphorus* principle) and 353-4
 - fundamental rights, obligation to protect/risk to 353
 - presumption of respect for (*Opinion 2/13*) 353
- Court's assessment (preliminary considerations)
 - compliance with JR 34(2) (non-recognition of judgment *in absentia* if defendant not given due notice of proceedings) 346-7
 - determination by Court of compliance with EU law, exclusion 346-7
 - enforcement of judgment emanating from another Convention country and a non-Convention party distinguished 345-6
 - enforcing State's obligation to review compliance of judgment with ECHR 6(1) 345-6
 - inadmissibility of claim against Cyprus *ratione personae*/relevance of Cyprus proceedings 345
 - primacy of municipal courts' role in determining compliance with Convention/subsidiarity principle (ECHR 19) 346
 - recognition/enforcement of foreign judgment as "civil right" 344-5

***Avotiņš* (fair hearing (ECHR 6(1))/recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments (merits)) (cont.)**

- Court's decision 358-61
 - Bosphorus* principle, relevance 361
- separate opinions (Lemmens and Briede JJ, joint) (concurring), Latvian Supreme Court's failure to examine the burden of proof on existence/availability of remedy against Cypriot judgment, right of ECtHR to examine 360-1
- separate opinions (Sajó J) (dissenting) 361-5
 - Bosphorus* principle, relevance 363-5
 - applicability in situations where national courts have no discretion to consider Convention rights/matters of mutual recognitions 363-5
 - compliance with JR 34(2) (non-recognition of judgment *in absentia* if defendant not given due notice of proceedings) 362-3
 - Latvian Supreme Court's failure to examine the burden of proof on existence/availability of remedy against Cypriot judgment, right of ECtHR to examine 363-5

B010 (IRPA 37(1)(b) (inadmissibility on grounds of people smuggling)) (Canada) (background)

- facts (events on the ship) 535
 - B306, JP, GJ and B010, roles 535
 - Hernandez, role 535
- judicial history
 - Federal Court's decision 536
 - Federal Court of Appeal's decision 536
 - Immigration and Refugee Board's decision 536
 - JP and GJ, B306 and Hernandez, proceedings relating to 536
- relevant legal instruments
 - IRPA provisions by section
 - 37(1)(b) (inadmissibility on grounds of organized criminality: people smuggling, trafficking in persons or money-laundering) 536
 - 41 (grounds for inadmissibility) 536
 - 99(2) (claim for refugee protection from outside Canada) 535
 - 99(3) (claim for refugee protection from inside Canada) 535
 - judicial history 536
 - IRPA provisions (text) 550-60
 - Palermo Convention (2000) (text: extracts) 561-3
 - Palermo Smuggling Protocol (2000) (text: extracts) 563-4
 - RC 31/RC 33 (text) 560-1

B010 (IRPA 37(1)(b) (inadmissibility on grounds of people smuggling)) (Canada) (Court's analysis and decision)

- Court's conclusion 550
- issue 1: broad or narrow applicability of inadmissibility provision 536-8
 - Court's conclusion 548-9
- issue 2: "in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit" requirement 536-7, 545-7
 - IRPA 117(1), legislature's intention, not to impose penalties for providing assistance to others for entry into Canada 548
 - RC 31(1) (non-penalization of applicants because of illegal entry or assistance to others to enter illegally) 546
 - Smuggling Protocol, Art. 6 545-6

- issue 3: “organized criminality” 536-7, 539
- issue 4: “in the context of transnational crime” 536-7
 - Palermo Convention 5 (criminalization of participation in an organized criminal group) 547
- issue 5: compatibility of IRPA 37(1)(b) with CRF 7 (life, liberty and security of person) 536-7, 549
- issue 6: duress/necessity 536-7, 549
- legislation, principles of interpretation
 - context, ordinary and grammatical sense (object of the legislation and intention of legislature) 538
 - context (statutory)/statute as a whole 539-42
 - consistency between “criminal organization” (IRPA 37(1)(b)) and “organized criminality” (Criminal Code 467.1) 541-2
 - relationship between s. 37(1)(b) and other IRPA grounds of inadmissibility 540
 - s. 37(1) as a whole 540
 - intention of legislature 547-8
 - legislative history as aid/common purpose 541-2
 - ordinary and grammatical sense 538-9
 - “in the context of transnational crime” 539
 - “organized criminality” 539
 - “people smuggling” 539
 - presumption of conformity with international obligations 542-3
 - “in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit” requirement 545-7
 - IRPA s. 37(1)(b) as implementation of Canada’s obligations under the Palermo Convention and Protocols 543-5
 - RC 31/RC 33, obligation to comply with 545
 - treaty relating to same subject-matter as aid 542-7
 - standard of review (reasonableness) 537-8
 - summary 533-4
- BAC (asylum seeker’s ECHR 8/ECHR 13 rights)**
 - background (facts) (in chronological order)
 - applicant’s arrest for infringing State constitutional order (Turkish Criminal Code 146) (2002)/release on health grounds 369
 - asylum application (Greece) (15 January 2002)/rejection with summary reasons (10 February 2002) 369
 - appeal against refusal of asylum/Advisory Board on Asylum’s favourable opinion (21 March 2001/29 January 2003) 369
 - failure of Minister of Public Order to take a decision “within 24 hours” as required under Presidential Decree No 61/1999 (January 2003-March 2015) 369
 - limitation of applicant’s rights to “tolerated status” 369-70
 - Turkish extradition request (5 August 2005) 370
 - applicant’s efforts to secure final decision/continuing pending status (February 2007-February 2015) 370
 - rejection of extradition request (26 March 2013) 370
 - appeal against rejection of extradition request (27 March 2013)/rejection (26 April 2015) 370
 - background (parties’ submissions)
 - applicant 372
 - respondent government 372
 - background (procedure) 368
 - complaint 368, 371

BAC (asylum seeker's ECHR 8/ECHR 13 rights) (cont.)

- Court's assessment (family/private life, respect for (ECHR 8(1)))
 - long period of insecurity and uncertainty as basis of violation 374-5
 - Member States' right to control the entry, stay and removal of non-nationals 372-3
 - positive obligation of Member State to establish effective and accessible procedure to protect rights 373
 - Jeunesse* distinguished (refusal of residence permit to illegal residents hoping to establish family life as a *fait accompli*) 373
 - ME* distinguished (grant of residence permit prior to ECtHR proceedings) 373
 - obligation to examine asylum application promptly 373
 - Court's assessment (right to an effective remedy (ECHR 13)) 375
 - Court's decision 374-5

Bangladesh

- Criminal Code 1869 by article
 - 2 (territorial jurisdiction including cross-boundary offences) 478-9
 - 360 (kidnapping from Bangladesh) 478-9
 - 366 (importation of girl from foreign country) 478-9

Burkina Faso: *see Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)*

Canada

- aiding and abetting/complicity or association (crimes against humanity and war crimes), jurisprudence (Canada): *see also* aiding and abetting/complicity or association (crimes against humanity, war crimes and other international crimes), jurisprudence
 - Ezakola* 505-30
 - Fabela* 524
 - Harb* 514
 - Moreno* 514-15
 - Mpia-Mena-Zambili* 524
 - Mugesera* 514, 516
 - Osagie* 524
 - Ramirez* 507, 514-15
 - Ryivuze* 522
 - Sivakumar* 513
- Charter of Rights and Freedoms (CRF) by section, 7 (right to life, liberty and security/fundamental justice), determination of refugee status under IRPA, applicability 549
- Criminal Code 1985 as amended by section
 - 21(2) (common intention to carry out an unlawful purpose) 525-6
 - 465(3) (conspiracy), "criminal organization" and "organized criminally" (IRPA 37(1)(b)) compared 541
 - 467.1 (participation in criminal organization)
 - amendment to comply with Canada's obligations under the Palermo Convention and Protocols 541
 - "criminal organization" 541-2
- extraterritorial jurisdiction including extraterritorial enforcement of legislation by legislating State, relevant factors, balance of convenience 572, 578-80
- Immigration and Refugee Protection Act 2001 (IRPA) by section
 - 3(2)(b) (objectives: refugees: fulfilment of Canada's international obligations) 542-3
 - 3(3)(f) (interpretation of Act: compliance with international obligations) 542-3

- 37(1)(b) (inadmissibility on grounds of organized criminality: people smuggling, trafficking in persons or money-laundering) 533-50
 - comparability of French and English texts 538
 - as implementation of Canada's obligation under the Palermo Convention and Protocols 541-2, 543-5
- 41 (grounds for inadmissibility) 536
- 98 (exclusion—Refugee Convention) 508-9, 512
- 99(2) (claim for refugee protection from outside Canada) 535
- 99(3) (claim for refugee protection from inside Canada) 535
- 117 (organizing entry into Canada), imposition of penalties for providing assistance to others for entry into Canada 548
- 162(2) (obligation to deal with all proceedings as quickly as fairness and justice permit) 513
- 170(g) (Refugee Protection Division: admissibility of evidence credible in the circumstances) 513
- 170(g) (Refugee Protection Division: non-binding effects of legal or technical rules of evidence) 513
- individual responsibility (war crimes/crimes against humanity)
 - common purpose (ICC 25(3)(d)) 517-19
 - mens rea*/relevant factors (in claims for refugee status) 521-3, 526-9
- injunction: *see also* Canada, extraterritorial jurisdiction including extraterritorial enforcement of legislation by legislating State; Canada, *Mareva* injunction; *Google v. Equustek*; injunction
 - availability of alternatives 589
 - as discretionary decision due a high degree of deference 573
 - as equitable remedy 572-3
 - extraterritorial effect 576-80
 - as final determination of the matter 582-5
 - extensive review of the merits requirement 585
 - injunctions requiring significant ongoing monitoring 588
 - judicial restraint and 581-2
 - mandatory orders 588
 - non-party to underlying dispute, applicability to 574-6, 586-8
 - permanent injunction, requirements 585
 - purpose, preservation of subject matter of litigation 573
 - test for determining whether to exercise discretion (serious issue/risk of irreparable harm/balance of convenience/justice and equity) (*RJR—MacDonald*) 573, 582-3, 585
 - balance of convenience 578-80
 - equity 573, 575-6, 579
- intellectual property rights, injunction to protect: *see Google v. Equustek*
- Internet, impact: *see Google v. Equustek*
- legislation, interpretation
 - aids, treaty relating to same subject-matter 542-7
 - conformity with international obligations: *see also* treaties and municipal law (including implementing legislation/incorporation) *below*
 - presumption of conformity 542-3
 - context, statute as a whole 539-42
 - context (ordinary and grammatical sense, object of the legislation and intention of legislature) 538
 - intention of legislature 547-8
 - ordinary and grammatical sense 538-9

Canada (*cont.*)

- Mareva* injunction, extraterritorial effect 575-6
- Refugee Convention (1951), non-application (general considerations), as balance between humanitarian aims of Convention and integrity of refugee protection regime 512-13
- Refugee Convention (1951), non-application on grounds of crime against peace, war crime or crime against humanity (RC 1F(a)): *see also* Canada, aiding and abetting/complicity or association (crimes against humanity and war crimes)
- Canada's approach to criminal participation
 - overextension 524-5
 - recommended modifications to 525-9
 - "as defined in the international instruments" 511-12, 514-17
 - ICC 25 (individual criminal responsibility) 516
 - ICC Statute 515-21
- Ezokola* 505-30
- jurisprudence
 - Ezokola* 505-30: *see also* *Ezokola*
 - Pushpanathan* 512-13
 - Sivakumar* 512
- Refugee Convention (1951), non-application when there are "serious reasons for considering ..." (RC 1F) 506-7, 509-14, 516-17, 522-3, 525-30
- purpose of provision (exclusion *ab initio* non-bona fide refugees) 513
- Refugee Protection Division
 - evidence, admissibility (IRPA 170(g) and (h)) 513
 - jurisprudence (procedure)
 - Kumar* 513
 - Pushpanathan* 513
 - Thamothare* 513
 - procedure, informality/speediness (IRPA 162(2)) 513
 - role (exclusion determinations vs determination of guilt) 513-14
 - treaties and municipal law (including implementing legislation/incorporation), implementing legislation, interpretation, standard of review 537-8
- compensation for non-pecuniary damage/moral prejudice**, burden/standard of proof 221
- compétence de la compétence (including ICJ 36(6))**
 - "The Court must ... satisfy itself ..." (ICJ 53(2)/ICC 19(1)) 498
 - courts and tribunals applying principle
 - IACtHR 455-6
 - ICC 454-7, 494-8
 - ICTY 455-6
 - STL 455-6
 - WTO AB 455-6
 - dispute, need for 495-8
 - as general principle of international law/judicial procedure 455, 495-8
 - inherent power, limitations 495-8
- compétence de la compétence (including ICJ 36(6)), jurisprudence**
 - Arap Ruto* 496-7
 - Arbitral Award of 31 July 1989 (Guinea-Bissau v. Senegal)* 455, 496-7
 - Bemba* 457, 495-7
 - Constitutional Court v. Peru (Competence)* 455-6
 - El Sayed* 455-6, 496-7
 - Hilaire* 455-6, 496-7

- Interpretation of the Greco-Turkish Agreement of 1 December 1926* 455, 496-7
Ivcher Bronstein 455-6
Klückner 455-6
Kony 457
Lehigh Valley Railroad Company 455
Mexico—Tax Measures on Soft Drinks 455-6
Nottebohm 455, 496-7
Rio Grande Irrigation and Land Company Ltd 455, 496-7
Rohingya 454-7, 495-8
Situation in Uganda 457, 496-7
Tadić 455-6, 496-7
US—Anti-Dumping Act of 1916 455-6
Walfish Bay Boundary Case (Germany/Great Britain) 455
- complicity:** *see* aiding and abetting/complicity or association (crimes against humanity, war crimes and other international crimes); joint criminal enterprise (JCE)/individual criminal responsibility (with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7(1)/ICC 25(3)(d))
- costs (ACHPR):** *see* reparation for breach of treaty (ACHPR Protocol 27(1)), costs and expenses
- costs (IACtHR) (ACHR 63(1))**
 equitable basis 426
 evidence of, need for, Court's *ex proprio motu* decision in absence of 426
 jurisprudence
 Barbani Duarte 425-6
 Chaparro Alvarez 426
 Fontevicchia 425-7
 Garrido 425-6
 timeliness of request 426
- Côte d'Ivoire**
 Constitution 2000 by article, 77 (constitutional review of laws concerning public freedoms) 147-8
 IEC (Independent Electoral Commission), conformity of law establishing with ACDEG 17(1) and ECOWAS Democracy Protocol 3 149-53: *see also* *APDH* (ACtHPR)
 Supreme Court, Law No 94-440 of 16 August 1994 establishing the composition, organization, functions and operation of by section, 5(2) (annulment of administrative decisions for abuse of authority) 147
- crimes against humanity, definition/classification as/requirements**
 deportation or forcible transfer of population (ICC 7(1)(d)) 470-4
 “other inhumane acts of a similar character” (ICC 7(1)(k))
 deportation/refusal of re-entry to own country as persecution (ICC 7(2)(g)) 481-2
 ICC jurisdiction 481-2
 “persecution against any identifiable group ... in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph” (ICC 7(1)(h)) 481
- customary international law (CIL), treaties reflecting,** Disappearances Convention (2006) (ICPPED) 615
- deportation or forcible transfer of population (ICC 7(1)(d))**
 “deportation” and “forcible transfer” as two separate crimes 471-4
 differences of legal interest attaching to the two concepts 473
 disjunctive “or” 471
 EOC approach to 471-2

deportation or forcible transfer of population (ICC 7(1)(d)) (*cont.*)

- EOC footnote 13, effect 472
- ICC jurisprudence 473-4
- ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1)) 471
- treaty practice 472-3

deportation, requirements (EOC 7(1)(d):1) 474

- displacement across border, significance 474
- “expulsion or other coercive acts” 474

Rohingya 470-4

Dhakal (disappearances) (Nepal Supreme Court)

background

- Detainee Investigation Team 602
- parties’ positions (petitioners) 596-600
- parties’ positions (respondents) 601-2
- reports and submissions considered by the Court
 - Baman Prasad Neupane Committee report 603
 - DIT report 604
 - NHRC report 603-4
 - OHCHR Kathmandu report 603

Court’s Order

- a: issue of writs of mandamus for initiation of prosecution procedure 640-1
- b: measures necessary to secure the implementation of Court’s Order
 - i. ICPPED implementation legislation 642-3
 - ii. establishment of special inquiry commission based on the OHCHR’s Criteria for a Commission on Enforced Disappearance 643-4
- c: implementation of measures identified at b: leading to criminal investigation and prosecution 644
- d: need for urgent action with priority given to implementation of Court’s Order 644-5
- e: measures to bridge gap until final remedies are found/order for interim relief 645-6

issues for determination by the Court 604-5

issue 1: status of persons listed in the petitions as disappeared 605-13

- amicus curiae briefs (Court-appointed amicus), inability of security forces to disclose information because of secrecy obligation 606

amicus curiae briefs (NHRC), endorsement of petitioners’ claims including independent evidence 606-7

Baman Prasad Neupane Committee report

- conclusion that whereabouts of persons listed in the petition was not known 608
- Court’s inability to find corroboration of information in the report relating to persons whose whereabouts was determined 608-9

Court’s analysis of the evidence/findings

- certainly that a large number of persons was detained at the Bhairab Nath barrack 611

difficulty of determining conditions of detainees in army barracks/
 inappropriateness of barracks as place for terrorist detention 610

failure to respect detainees’ human rights 610-11

responsibility of the agency responsible [the army] 611

Court’s analysis of the evidence/findings (DIT report)

- arrest and presumed disappearance for political reasons of Bipin Bhandari and Dil Bahadur Rai 612

arrest and presumed disappearance of Rajendra Prasad Dhakal 612

Court’s acceptance of DIT’s findings as final 612-13

detention and killing by torture of Chakra Bahadur Katuwal 612

- Court's analysis of the evidence/findings (NHRC report and findings (condition of detained persons not known)) 611-12
- Court's analysis of the evidence/findings (OHCHR report/conclusions)
 - adoption by Court as background material 609
 - preparation of report 609
 - unreliability of respondent's claims 610
- Court's analysis of the evidence/findings (sample cases)
 - Chaturman Rajbansi (Court's inability to establish whereabouts) 607
 - Court's finding that samples were a fair reflection of the whole 608
 - Mukunda Sedhai (NHRC's finding of arrest and detention incommunicado) 607
 - Pusparaj Basnet (NHRC's finding of arrest and detention incommunicado) 608
- Court's inquiries of the NHRC, Ministry of Home Affairs and the Baman Prasad Neupane Committee 605
- parties' positions (petitioners)
 - claim that persons listed had been arrested on different dates, had not been in touch with their families and their status was unknown 605
 - evidence from human rights organizations supporting petitioners' claims 605-6
- parties' positions (respondents)
 - claim that persons listed had not been arrested 605
 - Government's support for security agencies/alternative explanations for disappearances 606
- issue 2: obligations of State in respect of disappeared or missing persons during conflict/ judicial remedies including habeas corpus/role of the Court 613-26
- amicus curiae briefs (government's responsibility to establish status of missing persons) 614
- Court's analysis 613-26
 - critical date (prior to end of the Civil War (21 November 2006)/events related thereto) 614
 - State's obligation to protect citizens in difficult circumstances 614-15
 - State's primary obligation as security of borders and of citizens 614-15
- Court's analysis (constitutional protection of human rights)
 - Constitution 1990 621
 - Interim Constitution 2007 621-2
- Court's analysis (conventions and international instruments other than the ICPPED)
 - applicability to non-parties/as customary international law 615-16
 - "enforced disappearance" (ICPPED 2) 617
 - HRC General Comment 14 (ICCPR 6 (right to life)) 617-18
 - HRC General Comment 31 (ICCPR 2(1) (nature of general legal obligation imposed on States Parties to Covenant)) 619
 - ICCPR 615-18
 - ICESCR 617-18
 - implementation measures (Nepal) 616
 - Inter-American Convention on Forced Disappearances (1994) (IACFD) 617
 - obligation to promote universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms (ICPPED preamble) 615-16
 - obligations imposed by the Convention (ICPPED 3, ICPPED 4, ICPPED 8, ICPPED 12, ICPPED 13, ICPPED 17) 617
 - Torture Convention 615-16, 619
 - treaties as part of the law of Nepal (Treaty Act 1991, s. 9) 619
 - UDHR 615-16
- Court's analysis (Disappearances Convention (ICPPED)) 615-17

Dhaka (disappearances) (Nepal Supreme Court) (cont.)

- Court's analysis (of the jurisprudence)
 - enhanced obligation to protect citizens' rights in time of conflict 622
 - establishment of high level Truth and Reconciliation Commission 622-3
 - protection of citizens' rights as primary obligation of State/Court as watchdog with jurisdiction to compel compliance 624-5
 - responsibility to make public the identity of persons disappeared or killed (international instruments, jurisprudence and the Nepalese Constitution) 622-3
 - standards and principles established in decisions of regional courts as recognized principles of justice for purposes of Nepal's Constitution 621
- Court's analysis (of the jurisprudence) (cases considered)
 - Hongray* 619
 - Kurt* 620
 - Rudal Sah* 619
 - Trujillo Oroja* 620
 - Velásquez Rodríguez* 619-20
- Court's conclusions (State's obligations), non-justiciability of Part IV of the Interim Constitution, effect 623-4
- parties' positions (petitioners)
 - disappearance as violation of ICCPR 2 613-14
 - disappearance as violation of the right to life and personal freedom under the Nepal Constitution 1990, Interim Constitution 2007 and human rights treaties 614
- parties' positions (respondents) (judicial enquiry as appropriate solution) 614
- issue 3: effectiveness of steps taken to investigate status of disappeared persons 626-30
 - amicus curiae brief 626-7
- Court's findings
 - imperative need for further investigation 630
 - insufficiency and ineffectiveness of government's efforts 630
- Court's review of steps taken
 - Court's efforts to establish status of petitioners 627-8
 - human rights organizations' efforts to establish status of detainees 627
 - respondents' blanket denial of arrest or detention of petitioners 627
 - respondents' failure to follow up DIT report 629-30
 - respondents' failure to follow up NHRC report 627, 629
 - respondents' failure to follow up OHCHR report 628-9
 - shortcomings of Baman Prasad Neupane Committee 628
- parties' arguments (petitioners) 626
- parties' arguments (respondents) 627
- issue 4: effectiveness of existing legal provisions/necessary changes (Court's analysis) 630-3
 - Commission of Inquiry Act 2026 (1969), shortcomings/absence of key provisions on continuing investigation 631
 - discretionary powers of government in relation to the formation, functions, power and duties of a commission 630-1
 - formation of the commission, competence and neutrality, jurisdiction or representation of concerned parties in the formation of the commission 630-1
 - notification to families of results of investigation/public availability of report 631
 - security of victims, witnesses, plaintiffs, legal practitioners and investigators 630-1

- Court's findings
 - commitments in the Constitution, fundamental rights and freedoms, international human rights instruments ratified by Nepal as instruments accepted by the international community as basis 632-3
 - effective legal mechanism as essential adjunct to political will power 630
 - enforced disappearance as deprivation of human rights under the law 631-2
 - separate law on all aspects of disappearances, as essential requirement 632-3
 - separate law on all aspects of disappearances, Legislature-Parliament's power to pass/Court's right to suggest 632
 - unavailability of a remedy under the Civil Liberties Act 1955 and Torture Compensation Act 1996 without the establishment of status of disappeared person 631-2
- issue 5: interim measures for immediate relief 633-6
 - Court's analysis and conclusion
 - Court's constitutional power to provide a remedy 634-5
 - Indian practice 636
 - international instruments providing for 637-8
 - jurisprudence 636-7
 - Court's conclusion 638
 - parties' arguments (petitioners) 633-4
 - parties' arguments (respondents) 634
- issue 6: respondents' compliance with obligations/decision on appropriate remedies 638-46
 - need for comprehensive approach to the problem taking into account existing petitions and those to come 639-40
 - review of cases/finding of non-compliance by respondents with their obligations 638-40
- disappearances**
 - continuing nature of offence/obligation to investigate 630-1
 - jurisprudence: *see also* Dhakal (disappearances)
 - Hongray* 619
 - Kurt* 620
 - Nilabati Behera* 636
 - Rudal Sah* 619
 - Smt. Postasangbom Ningol Thokchom and Others* 636
 - Trujillo Oroja* 620, 637
 - Velásquez Rodríguez* 619-20, 637
 - obligation to provide effective remedy/reparation 633-6
 - ICPPED 24(2) 637
 - interim measures 633-6, 645-6
- disappearances, treaties and international instruments relating to**
 - Inter-American Convention on Forced Disappearance of Persons (1994) (IACFDP), continuing nature of offence (IACFDP III) 617
 - UN Convention on the Protection of All People from Enforced Disappearance (2006) (ICPPED)
 - applicability to non-parties 615-16
 - as customary international law 615-16
 - "enforced disappearance" (ICPPED 2) 617
 - obligation to ensure measures for reparation and compensation (ICPPED 24(2)) 637
 - obligation to promote universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms (ICPPED preamble) 615-17
 - UN Declaration on the Protection of All Persons against Forced Disappearances (1992) (UNGA Res. 47/133), obligation to ensure measures for reparation and compensation 637

ECHR (1950)

applicability “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1), “jurisdiction as a whole” regardless of type of measure/part of Member State’s “jurisdiction” 239

applicability “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1), jurisprudence

Al-Saadoon (2009) 239

Anchugov 239

Bosphorus 239

Nada 239, 256

United Communist Party of Turkey 239

interpretation, need to reflect subsequent changes (VCLT 31(3)(c))/risk of conflict with parties’ constitutional principles 276-8

ECOWAS Democracy Protocol (2001): see also APDH

as human rights treaty 140-3

ECtHR

judgments, binding force and execution (ECHR 46)

jurisprudence

Anchugov 256-7, 288: *see also Anchugov* (Russian Federation, Constitutional Court) (ECtHR judgments, compliance obligation (ECHR 46))

Asanidze 288

Broniowski 288

Hirst (No 2) 288

Öcalan 288

responsibility of State organs for compliance, determination by national authorities/choice of means 256-7, 288

jurisdiction/role and powers (including ECHR 19 and ECHR 32)

compliance with EU law, exclusion 346-7

jurisprudence

Avotiņš 346

Centro Europa 7 346

García Ruiz 346

Jeunesse 346-7

Open Door Counselling and Dublin Well Woman 239-40

Sahin 239-40

SJ 346-7

monitoring of compliance/“to ensure the observance of the engagements undertaken” (ECHR 19) 239-40, 290-1

non ultra petita rule 350

primacy of municipal courts’ role in determining compliance with Convention/subsidiarity principle 346

ECtHR review of alleged errors of fact or law not infringing Convention rights, exclusion 346

ECtHR Rules of Court (1998-2013)

25(1) (setting up of sections) 300

42(1) (joinder of applications) 227-8

47(5) (date of introduction of an application) 244

52(1) (allocation of cases) 300

ECtHR Rules of Court (2014)

24 (composition of the Grand Chamber) 300-1

24(3) (composition of Grand Chamber: replacement by substitute judges) 301

24(4) (composition of Grand Chamber: continuation after end of term of office) 301

- 29(1) (ad hoc judges: appointment) 300-1
- 44(3) (third-party intervention: written comments/participation in oral hearing) 300-1
- 73 (referral of the case to the Grand Chamber) 300-1
- 74(2) (separate opinions) 358
- ECtHR Rules of Court (2016)**, 47(4) (non-disclosure of applicants' names) 368
- effective remedy before national authority, need for (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5))**
 - "effective remedy" 147
 - examples of ineffectiveness
 - limitation of remedy to allegations of bias or technical and procedural errors 188-9
 - limitation of standing to apply for review to Ombudsman 189
 - limitations on scope for constitutional review/competence to review 147-8
- effective remedy before national authority, need for (ECHR 13/ICCPR 2(3))**
 - ineffective remedy
 - discretionary remedy 242
 - impossibility of implementation 242
 - jurisprudence
 - Anchugov* 241-3
 - Greens and MT* 242
- entry permit/admission, aliens' right to**
 - ECHR (1950), absence of guarantee
 - BAC* 372-3
 - Mendizabal* 372-3
- equality of parties (ECHR 6)**
 - as essential element of fair trial, service of documents 355
 - jurisprudence
 - Avotiņš* 355
 - Gorraiz Lizarraga* 355
 - Hussin* 357-8
 - McDonald* 357-8
 - Miholapa* 355
 - Öviş* 355
 - Robba* 357-8
 - obligation of parties to familiarize themselves with legal position 357-8
- equitable remedies, injunction** 572-3
- "equivalent protection" (Bosphorus test)**
 - applicability in situations where national courts have no discretion to consider Convention rights/matters of mutual recognitions 363-5
 - conditions
 - absence of domestic margin of manoeuvre 349-50
 - full deployment of EU supervisory mechanism/absence of request for preliminary ruling (TFEU 267) 349-52
 - jurisprudence
 - Avotiņš* 334-5, 347-52, 358-61, 363-5: *see also* *Avotiņš*
 - Michaud* 347-9, 351-2, 361
 - MSS* 347-8, 350
 - Pouze* 347-8, 352
- EU legal order**, mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)), requirement that Member States' presume other Member States' compliance with fundamental rights (*Opinion 2/13*) 353

evidence (IACtHR)

- admissibility (documentary evidence)
 - authenticity and 386
 - documents requested by Court 386
 - media reports
 - complete documents/documents with identifiable source and date of publication 386
 - government statements 386
 - reports corroborating other evidence 386
 - reports of publicly known or notorious facts 386
- admissibility (statements of presumed victims and of testimonial evidence), ancillary nature 386-7
- evaluation, rules of sound judgment 385-6
- expert
 - authenticated evidence of expert proposed by the representatives 385
 - ex officio* expert 386
 - relevance to purpose established by Court Order requirement 386-7
- witnesses, relevance to purpose established by Court Order requirement 386-7

evidence (IACtHR), jurisprudence

- Barbani Duarte* 385-6
- Fontevicchia* 385-7
- Loayza Tamayo* 386-7
- López-Mendoza* 386-7
- Velásquez Rodríguez* 386
- White Van* 385

exhaustion of local remedies

- ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5)
 - effective remedy, need for (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5)): *see* effective remedy before national authority, need for (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5))
 - jurisprudence 147-8
 - remedies to be exhausted, ordinary remedies 189
- ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5), jurisprudence
 - Abubakari* 184-5
 - APDH* 145-8
 - Konaté* 147
 - Mtikila* 147
 - Sir Dawda K. Jawara* 147
 - Thomas* 189
 - Umuhzoza* 186-9
 - Velásquez-Rodríguez* 147
 - Zongo* 147
- ECHR 35(1) (*Anchugov*) 241-3

extraterritorial jurisdiction including extraterritorial enforcement of legislation by legislating State

- balance of convenience considerations 572, 578-80
- conflict with law of third State, risk 579
- intellectual property rights
 - impact of the Internet 576-80
 - injunction requiring Google to de-index webpages to protect against abuse of intellectual property rights 576-80
- jurisprudence: *see also* *Google v. Equustek*; Internet, jurisprudence
 - Babanaft* 577

- Derby v. Weldon* 577
Impulsora Turistica de Occidente 577
Mooney v. Orr 577
 presumption against, comity/established rules of international law as basis 579
- Ezokola* (RC 1F(a)) (Canadian Supreme Court) (background)**
 facts
 appellant's career including assignment to DRC UN Permanent Mission (1999-2008) 507
 resignation/flight to Canada (January 2008) 508
 procedural history (in date order)
 Federal Court (June 2010) 509-10
 Federal Court of Appeal (July 2011) 510-11
 Immigration and Refugee Board—Refugee Protection Division (September 2009) 508-9
 summary of the law relating to complicity/Court's decision 505-7
- Ezokola* (RC 1F(a)) (Canadian Supreme Court) (Court's analysis)**
 Court's conclusion 530
 issues for decision (complicity test/burden of proof) 511-12
 joint criminal enterprise (JCE)/individual criminal responsibility (with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7(1)/ICC 25(3)(d))
 common purpose (ICC 25(3)(d)) 517-19
 ICC 25(3)(a), ICC 35(3)(b) and ICC 25(3)(c) distinguished 517-18
 mens rea ("with the aim"/"in the knowledge") 519-20
 relevant factors 521-3, 526-9
 Refugee Convention (1951), non-application on grounds of crime against peace, war crime or crime against humanity (RC 1F(a))
 Canada's approach to criminal participation
 overextension 524-5
 recommended modifications to 525-9
 "as defined in the international instruments" 514-17
 ICC 25 (individual criminal responsibility), ICC Statute 515-16
 ICC Statute 515-21
 object and purpose
 "to assure refugees the widest possible exercise of ... fundamental rights and freedoms" (preamble) 512-13
 as balance between humanitarian aims of Convention and integrity of refugee protection regime 512-13
 "serious reasons for considering" 513-14, 522-3, 525-30
 burden/standard of proof, "considering" vs "suspecting"/"believing" 529-30
 human rights/war crimes trials distinguished, burden/standard of proof, "considering" vs "suspecting"/"believing" 529-30
 terrorist organization membership, sufficiency as evidence of responsibility for international crime 521-3
- fair hearing (right to be heard) (ACHPR 7)**
 right to an impartial tribunal (ACHPR 7(1)(d)) 194-5
 Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Fair Trial and Legal Assistance in Africa (African Commission) 194-5
 right to defence including counsel of choice (ACHPR 7(1)(c)) 191-4
 rights apart from choice of counsel 194

fair hearing (right to be heard) (ACHPR 7) (cont.)

- witnesses, right to call
 - interference with/restrictions on use of 193-4
 - questioning of witnesses by prison authorities 194
 - search for security reasons 193
 - seizure of documents without knowledge of defence counsel 193-4

Umuboza 191-4

fair and public hearing, entitlement “[i]n the determination of civil rights and obligations or of any criminal charge by a court of law” (ECHR 6(1))

- “civil rights and obligations”, recognition/enforcement of foreign judgment 344-5
- in absentia* proceedings: see *Avotins*
- recognition/enforcement of foreign judgment and
 - enforcement of judgment emanating from another Convention country and a non-Convention party distinguished 345-6
 - enforcing State’s obligation to review compliance of judgment with ECHR 6(1) 345-6

jurisprudence

- Drozd and Janousek* 345-6
- McDonald* 344-5
- Pellegrini* 345-6
- Saccoccia* 344-5
- Sholokov* 344-5

family/private life, respect for/freedom from interference (ECHR 8(1))

- deportation/expulsion/refusal of admission of alien/immigration controls
 - asylum application, obligation to examine promptly 373-5
 - Member States’ right to control the entry, stay and removal of non-nationals 372-3
- justified restrictions/interference, self-censorship, risk of 410-11
- Member States’ positive obligation to establish effective and accessible procedure to protect rights
 - jurisprudence
 - BAC* 373-5: see also *BAC*
 - MSS* 373
 - long period of insecurity and uncertainty as basis of violation 374-5

private life/privacy

- arbitrary or abusive interference, exclusion (ACHR 11(2)) 402
- contributory behaviour of “victim”/failure to take measures to prevent 407, 409
- protection of the law against interference or attacks (ACHR 11(3)), by public authorities, individuals or private institutions including the media 402

***Finucane* (right to life/procedural obligation to investigate death (ECHR 2)/compliance obligation (HRA 6) (UK Supreme Court))**

- background (facts) (in chronological order)
 - murder of Patrick Finucane (12 February 1989) 653
 - Stevens I investigation (September 1989-April 1990) 654
 - obstructions to investigation 654
 - report to Parliament (17 May 1990) 654
 - identification, arrest and conviction of Nelson for murder of Finucane (January 1990-January 1992) 655
 - police investigation/conviction for possession of gun and membership of banned organization but not murder of Finucane (April 1990) 653-4
 - inquest (6 September 1990) 654
 - Mrs Finucane’s civil actions against MoD/Nelson and subsequently the police (11 February 1992) 655

- Stevens II Investigation (8 June 1992-24 January 1995) 655
- British Irish Rights Watch paper (“Deadly Intelligence”) 655-6
- Stevens III Investigation (May 1999) 656
 - report (17 April 2003) 657
- Langdon Report (mid-1999) 656
- proceedings against Stobie/subsequent murder (June 1999-12 December 2001) 656
- Weston Park Statement (August 2001) 657-8
- “A licence to murder” (19 June 2002) 656-7
- Stevens’ report (17 April 2003) 656-7
- ECtHR decision (1 July 2003) 658-9
- guilty plea to murder of Finucane 653-4
- Judge Cory’s report (1 April 2004) 660-4
- CoE Committee of Ministers’ assessment (19 November 2008) 659-60
- post-2010 General Election government discussions about way forward 662-5
- decision not to hold a public inquiry (11 July 2011) 664
- de Silva’s appointment (12 October 2011) 664-5
- de Silva report (December 2012) 665-9
- Court’s decision 693-5
- grounds of challenge (appellant’s arguments)
 - alleged violation of ECHR 2/HRA 6 669-70
 - legitimate expectation 669
 - unacceptability of affidavit evidence from civil servants in proceedings challenging ministerial decisions 670
- grounds of challenge (Court’s analysis and conclusions) (legitimate expectation) 670-7
 - burden of proof 673
 - Court of Appeal’s findings 673
 - Court’s finding, clear and unambiguous promise 674
 - jurisprudence
 - Bancoult* 674
 - Begbie* 671
 - Bhatt Murphy* 672
 - Coughlan* 670-1
 - Loreto Grammar School* 673
 - MFK Underwriting Agents* 670
 - Nadarajah* 671-2, 675
 - Paponette* 673
 - United Policyholders Group* 674
 - public policy interest as justification for frustrating promise 675-7
 - Court’s conclusion 675-7
 - requirements
 - clear and unambiguous promise 672-4
 - detrimental reliance on, whether necessary 672-5
- grounds of challenge (Court’s analysis and conclusions) (legitimate expectation, Lord Carnwath’s comments on *United Policyholders Group*/detrimental reliance) 696-8
 - jurisprudence
 - Bancoult* 697-8
 - Coughlan* 696-7
 - Mandalia* 696-7
 - Paponette* 696-8
 - grounds of challenge (Court’s analysis and conclusions) (procedural obligation under ECHR 2 to investigate death occurring before entry into force of the HRA) 677-95

Finucane* (right to life/procedural obligation to investigate death*(ECHR 2)/compliance obligation (HRA 6) (UK Supreme Court))** (*cont.*)

- Brecknell* principle (obligation to take further investigative measures in case of any new and significant information) 679-80, 686-91
- anticipation of assessment of new evidence, exclusion 691
- “any ... information which has the potential” to undermine earlier conclusions or allow an inconclusive investigation to be pursued further” 686-7
- CoE Committee of Ministers’ decision, obligation to take into account (HRA 2(1)(d)) 692-3
- “mirror principle” distinguished 693
- “take account”/justification for departure from Committee’s decision 692-3
- Court’s decision 693-5
- critical date, criteria for determining 678-9
- effective procedure capable of leading to the identification and punishment of those responsible, need for 687-93
- as obligation of means 689-93
- “a genuine connection” requirement/relevant factors 682-3
- procedural acts and omissions occurring after the critical date, limitation to 682-3
- real and effective protection of fundamental Convention values exception (“humanitarian clause”) 683-4
- “reasonably short period of time” between trigger and critical date/ten-year rule 682-5
- jurisprudence (including ECtHR jurisprudence on admissibility before entry into force of/accession to the ECHR)
 - Angelova and Iliev* 677-8
 - Blečić* (ECHR 8 violation) 678-9
 - Branko Tomasić* 688
 - Brecknell* 679-80
 - Byrzykowski* 677-8
 - Calvelli and Ciglio* 677-8
 - Janowiec* 682-6
 - Jordan* 691
 - Keyu* 685
 - Lazzarini and Ghiacci* 677-8
 - McCann* 677-8
 - McCaughy* 677-8, 681-2, 684-5
 - Mladenović* 684
 - Mocanu* 684
 - Nachova* 689
 - Oğur* 688
 - Ramsahai* 689
 - Šilih* 679-86
- as separate and autonomous duty 680-2
- grounds of challenge (unacceptability of affidavit evidence from civil servants in proceedings challenging ministerial decisions), Court’s decision 695
- Fontevécchia* (ACHR 2/ACHR 13) (ACtHR):** *see also* *Fontevécchia* (ACHR 2/ACHR 13) (IACtHR); *Fontevécchia* (ACHR 2/ACHR 13) (IACtHR) (background, jurisdiction and evidence); *Fontevécchia* (ACHR 63(1)) (reparations) (IACtHR); *Fontevécchia* (ACHR 63(1) (reparations)/ACHR 68(1) (compliance with IACtHR judgment)) (Argentinian Supreme Court)
- Court’s decision 428-9
- Orders on Monitoring Compliance (1 September 2015, 22 November 2016 and 18 October 2017) 429

***Fontevecthia* (ACHR 2/ACHR 13) (IACtHR) (background, jurisdiction and evidence)**

- admissibility of new facts or claims 414
- evidence 385-7
 - absence of evidence from State 385
 - evidence provided at the public hearing 385-6
 - principles governing approach to 385
- evidence (admissibility)
 - authenticated evidence of expert proposed by the representatives 385
 - authenticity and 386
 - complete documents/documents with identifiable source and date of publication 386
 - documents requested by Court 386
 - expert evidence (relevance to purpose established by Court Order requirement) 386-7
 - media reports
 - complete documents/documents with identifiable source and date of publication 386
 - government statements 386
 - reports corroborating other evidence 386
 - reports of publicly known or notorious facts 386
 - statements of presumed victims and of testimonial evidence (ancillary nature) 386-7
 - witnesses (relevance to purpose established by Court Order requirement) 386-7
- facts
 - admissibility of facts not expressly denied/claims not expressly controverted (ROP 41(3)) 392
 - judicial proceedings in Argentinian courts (interference with privacy (Civil Code 1071 *bis*)) 397-400
 - offending articles
 - Noticias* (5 November 1995) 393-4
 - Noticias* (12 November 1995) 394-7
 - status of petitioners 392-3
- jurisdiction (ACHR 62(3)) 384
- parties' arguments (obligation to adopt such measures as may be necessary to give effect to rights and freedoms (ACHR 2))
 - IAComHR 411
 - representatives 411-13
 - State 413-14
- parties' arguments (right to freedom of expression)
 - IAComHR 387-9
 - representatives 389-91
 - State 391-2
- parties' positions (presentation of the case)
 - IAComHR 382-3
 - representatives 383
 - State 383
- procedural history (in chronological order)
 - presentation of petition (15 November 2001) 381-2
 - adoption by IAComHR on admissibility (12 October 2005) 381-2
 - IAComHR's report on the merits and recommendations to the State (13 July 2010) 381-2
 - notification of report to Argentina with two months for report on compliance (11 August 2010) 381-2
 - submission of the case by the IAComHR for non-compliance with the recommendations (10 December 2010) 381-2

***Fontev ecchia* (ACHR 2/ACHR 13) (IACtHR) (background, jurisdiction and evidence)**
 (*cont.*)

President's Order including request for expert opinions (27 July 2011) 383-4
 public hearing (24/25 August 2011) 384

Committee to Protect Journalists' amicus curiae brief (9 September 2011)/Spanish
 version received out of time (22 November 2011) 384

parties' final written arguments and observations (28 September 2011) 384

***Fontev ecchia* (ACHR 2/ACHR 13) (IACtHR) (merits) (Court's considerations)** 400-11

balance of rights, importance 402

Court's decision 410-11

freedom of expression (ACHR 13)

media, role/right to gather information 400

photographic images, applicability to 408-9

relevant factors

availability of information in the public domain 406-7

public interest/public's right to be informed 405-10, 417-18

varying degrees of tolerance/status of persons concerned 405

scope of right 400

varying degrees of tolerance/status of persons concerned 401, 405

justified restrictions (ACHR 13(2)), conformity of restriction (Civil Code 1071 *bis*) with
 ACHR 13(2) requirements 403-11

"expressly established by law"/status as a substantive law 403

legitimate purpose

protection of privacy 403-7

"respect for the rights ... of others" 403

necessity/pressing social need 403-4

self-censorship, exclusion of direct or indirect 410-11

justified restrictions (ACHR 13(2)), scope for 400

obligation to adopt such measures as may be necessary to give effect to rights and
 freedoms (ACHR 2) 401-2, 411-18

ACHR, interpretation

IACtHR decisions and 417

intertemporal law/developments subsequent to conclusion of treaty as "relevant
 rule" (VCLT 31(3)(c)) ("living-tree" principle) 416, 418

object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)) 417-18

arbitrary or abusive interference, exclusion (ACHR 11(2)) 402

availability of information in the public domain 406-7

Court's conclusion 418

as dual obligation (repeal of rules and practice violating ACHR/adoption of rules and
 practices effectively ensuring respect for ACHR) 414-15

implementing legislation, presumption of conformity with treaty/compliance

obligation 417

judicial interpretation of legislation, dependence of compliance on 417-18

precision of law, need for 415-16

private life, right to (ACHR 11), contributory behaviour of "victim"/failure to take

measures to prevent 407, 409

***Fontev ecchia* (ACHR 63(1)) (reparations) (IACtHR)** 418-28

compensation

non-pecuniary damage, sufficiency of measures of reparation other than
 compensation 424-5

pecuniary damage 423-4

loss of earnings 424

- compliance with payments ordered 427-8
- costs and expenses (IACtHR) 425-7
 - of Center for Legal and Social Studies in its capacity as representative 427
 - equitable award 426
 - evidence, Court's *ex proprio motu* decision in absence of 426
 - timeliness of request 426
 - of victims 427
- "injured party", persons declared victims of violation of Convention right as 419
- reparation for breach of treaty other than compensation
 - judgment as 420
 - legislation, modification, non-application or annulment 422-3
 - public apology and acknowledgment of State responsibility 421-2
 - publication and dissemination of judgment 421
 - revocation of civil sentence 420-1
- Fontev ecchia (ACHR 63(1) (reparations)/ACHR 68(1) (compliance with IACtHR judgment) (Argentinian Supreme Court): see also An chugov (ECtHR)**
 - (alleged violation of ECHR Protocol 1:3 (free elections)) (merits)
- background (procedural) (in chronological order)
 - Menem v. Fontev ecchia* decision (25 September 2001) 433
 - submission of case to IACtHR (15 November 2001) 433
 - IACtHR's decision (29 November 2011) 433
 - MFA's petition for compliance with IACtHR's decision 433
- Court's considerations
 - ACHR, interpretation
 - general rule: ordinary meaning of terms of treaty in their context and in the light of its object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)) 435-6
 - travaux préparatoires* (VCLT 32) 436
 - binding nature of IACtHR judgments/compliance obligation (ACHR 68(1)),
 - limitation of obligation to rulings within the Court's competence 434-8
 - IACtHR's jurisdiction and functions
 - appeal court distinguished/subsidiarity principle 434-5
 - fundamental differences between domestic and international proceedings as bar 435
 - subsidiarity principle and ECHR margin of appreciation principle compared 435
 - reparation for breach of treaty (ACHR 63(1)) (other than compensation)
 - Chorzów Factory* principle of reparation (*restitutio in integrum*), alternatives to in case of insufficiency/impossibility 436
 - conflict with Member State's constitution 436-8
 - reversal of domestic court's *res judicata* decision, whether covered by ACHR 62(1) 435-6
- Court's decision 438
- separate opinions
 - Maqueda J (dissenting) 439
 - Rosatti J (concurring) 438
- free elections, right to (ECHR Protocol 1:3)**
 - choice of legislature, limitation to 240-1
 - Presidential elections and 241
 - as fundamental principle of a political democracy 250-1
 - ICCPR 25 compared 250-1
 - jurisprudence
 - An chugov* (ECtHR) 271-3
 - Baškauskaitė* 240-1

free elections, right to (ECHR Protocol 1:3) (cont.)

Boškoski 240-1
DH 278-9
Frodl 271-3, 278-9
Gitonas 271
Greens and MT 252, 271-3, 278-9
Guliyev 240-1
Hirst (No 2) 251-2, 271-3, 278-9
Kimlya 278-9
Kiyutin 278-9
Krivobokov 240-1
Labita 251, 278-9
Mathieu-Mohin 250-1, 271
Matthews 251
MDU 278-9
Niedźwiedź 240-1
Paksas 240-1
Podkolzina 251, 271
Scoppola (No 3) 250-5, 271-3, 278-9

justified restrictions/requirements

blanket restriction, exclusion 252-3
 discernible and sufficient link between sanction of disenfranchisement and conduct
 and circumstances of individual concerned 251-5
 standard of tolerance 251-2
 legitimate aim 254
 Member State practice 237-8, 278-9
 “proportionate to the legitimate aim” 251-3
 standard/burden of proof 254

margin of appreciation 251

choice of means as matter for legislature 252, 255
 universal suffrage as basic principle 250-1, 254

free and fair elections, right to (ACDEG 17(1)/ECOWAS Democracy Protocol 3)

APDH 149-53
 equality before the law/non-discrimination (ACHPR 3/ACDEG 10(3)/ECOWAS
 Democracy Protocol 3/UDHR 1/ICCPR 26) and 153-5
 independent and impartial electoral body, obligation to establish 149-53: *see also*
 independent and impartial electoral body

freedom of expression/freedom of the press (ACHPR 9/ACHR 13/ECHR 10/ICCPR 19(2)) 198-209

“freedom of expression”, definition/scope
 applicability to unpopular views/opinions that may shock or disturb 204
 varying degrees of tolerance/status of persons concerned 204, 208-9, 401, 405

freedom to impart information

information already in the public domain 406-7
 in the public interest/public’s right to be informed 405-6, 409-10, 417-18

freedom to receive information 401

photographic images, applicability to 408-9

freedom of expression/freedom of the press (ACHPR 9/ACHR 13/ECHR 10/ICCPR 19(2)), jurisprudence

A v. Australia 202-3
Canese 204
Coard 202-3

- Compulsory Membership in an Association Prescribed by Law for the Practice of Journalism (ACHR 13 and 29)* 400-1
- Escher* 408-9
- Fontev ecchia* 387-411: *see also* *Fontev ecchia*
- Gunduz* 204
- Handyside* 204
- Herrera Ulloa* 400-1
- Ivcher Bronstein* 204, 400
- Kenneth Good* 204
- Kimel* 401-2
- Konate* 201-4
- Ricardo Canese* 407-8
- Schussel* 408-9
- Tristán Donoso* 400-1, 405-11
- Umuhzoza* 198-209
- Von Hannover* 408-9
- freedom of expression/freedom of the press, justified restrictions/interference by public authority/requirements (ACHPR 9(2)/ACHR 13(2)/ECHR 10(2)/ICCPR 19(3))**
- HRC General Comment 34 204
- legality of measure
- “expressly established by law” (ACHR 13(2)) 403
 - “law”
 - any body of rules which is enforceable, specific and within a framework of law 202-3
 - compliance with international human rights conventions 202-3
 - “prescribed by law” (ECHR 10(3)) 202-3
- legitimate purpose 203-4
- “pressing social need” 403
 - protection of private life 403-7
 - protection of public order/public policy/*ordre public* 203-4
 - “respect for the rights or reputations of others” (ACHR 13(2)(a)) 403
- national security 203-4
- “necessary ... to ensure ... the rights or reputations of others ... or the protection of national security, public order or public health or morals” (ACHR 13(2)) 400
- necessity/proportionality 204-9
- availability of alternative means 209
 - context, dependence on 204-8
- restriction, conviction and imprisonment as 202
- self-censorship, exclusion of direct or indirect 400, 410-11
- freedom of expression/freedom of the press (municipal law/general)**
- definition/scope 201
 - fundamental nature of right 201
- Frontier Dispute (Benin/Niger)***, background (historical and factual), Law No 47-1707 of 4 September 1947 (reconstitution of colony of Haute-Volta) 22
- Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)***
- background (historical and factual) (in chronological order) 18-25
 - territorial administration of French West Africa (1895-1946) 18
 - establishment of the colony of Haut-Sénégal et Niger (18 October 1904) 18
 - arrêté* of 21 June 1909 incorporating *Dori cercle* into Haut-Sénégal et Niger 18

Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger) (cont.)

- arrêté* of 22 June 1910 incorporating Timbuktu and parts of Gao, Tillabéry and Djerma *cercles* into Haut-Sénégal et Niger 18
- decree of 7 September 1911 (division of Haute-Sénégal et Niger) 19
- decree of 1 March 1919 (division of Haute-Sénégal et Niger) 19
- decree of 13 October 1922 (autonomous colony of Niger) 19
- Decree of 28 December 1926 (reorganization of Haute-Volta and Niger) 19
- arrêté général* of 31 August 1927 (Haute-Volta and Niger boundaries) (text) 19-20
- arrêté*, Erratum and 1960 IGN map as basic documents 22
- Erratum (5 October 1927) (text) 21
- decree of 5 September 1932 (abolition of colony of Haute-Volta) 22
- Upper Volta and Niger as members of the French Community/independence/Upper Volta renamed Burkina Faso 22
- Burkina Faso–Niger Protocol of Agreement of 23 June 1964 concerning the delimitation of their common frontier 22
- Burkina Faso–Niger Agreement and Protocol of 28 March 1987 on the establishment of the border between the two countries 22
- JTC report (September 1988) 22-3
- Burkina Faso–Niger, Joint Communiqué (course of boundary from Tong-Tong to the River Mekro) (16 May 1991) 23
- Niger's challenge to the 1991 Joint Communiqué (2-4 November 1994) 23
- JTC fourth ordinary session/appointment of field survey team (July 2001) 23-4
- signature of Special Agreement (24 February 2009) 24
- joint survey mission report 1 (3 July 2009) 24-5
- joint survey mission report 2 (15 October 2009) 24-5
- parties' agreement to treat the joint survey mission's 2009 reports as their agreement/*entente* for purposes of SA 2/exchange of instruments of ratification (29 October 2009-13 February 2012) 25
- ratification of Special Agreement (20 November 2009)/notification to the Court (20 July 2010) 25
- background (maps)
 - Sketch-Map 1: parties' claims and line depicted on the 1960 IGN map 26
 - Sketch-Map 2: course of the frontier from the Tao astronomic marker to the point where it "reach[es] the River Sirba at Bossébangou" 48
 - Sketch-Map 3: course of the frontier from the point where it "reach[es] the River Sirba at Bossébangou" to the intersection of the River Sirba with the Say parallel 51
 - Sketch-Map 4: course of the frontier as decided by the Court 54
- background (parties' submissions) (Burkina Faso)
 - counter-memorial 14-15
 - memorial 12-14
 - oral hearings 17
- background (parties' submissions) (Niger)
 - counter-memorial 16-17
 - memorial 15-16
 - oral hearings 17
- background (procedural) 8-17
 - appointment of judges ad hoc 11
 - Court's approach to task 25
 - letter of notification/Special Agreement 8
 - oral hearings 12, 17
 - Special Agreement (2009) (text) (SA) 8-11

- Court's decision 54-5
- Daudet, Judge ad hoc (reservations on the Court's reasoning), course of the frontier between the Tao astronomic marker and the River Sirba at Bossébangou 118-23
 - issue I: Burkina Faso's request relating to line already agreed (SA 2(2)) 27-33
 - Court's analysis (Special Agreement as basis of jurisdiction) 28-33
 - Burkina Faso's request and terms of Special Agreement distinguished 29
 - compatibility with Court's role and function as defined by the Statute, need for 29-33
 - Court as arbiter 28
 - Court's function "to decide disputes" (ICJ 38(1)) 30
 - Court's power to interpret parties' submissions to maintain them within the Special Agreement 29
 - critical date 31-3
 - extension of agreed jurisdiction, exclusion 29
 - integrity of Court's judicial function, duty to preserve 29-33
 - legal dispute, need for 30-3
 - recording/incorporation of pre-proceedings agreement of the parties, exclusion 31-3
 - parties' positions (Burkina Faso) 27-8
 - desire to achieve full binding/*res judicata* effect for parties' agreement/*entente* 27
 - existence of a dispute 31
 - SA 2(2) as basis for request 27-8
 - parties' positions (Niger) 28
 - existence of a dispute 31
 - issue II: delimitation of disputed frontier (Court's analysis) 33-53
 - Court's conclusion/Sketch-Map 4 53
 - issue II(a): delimitation of disputed frontier (applicable law: *uti possidetis*/intangibility principle (SA 6)) 33-7
 - Burkina Faso/Mali* compared 34
 - criteria for determining the course of the frontier (1987 Agreement) 35
 - as "inherited from ... the Agreement of 28 March 1987" 34-5
 - issue II(b): delimitation of disputed frontier (*uti possidetis*/intangibility principle), relevant acts and documents of the French colonial administration (1987 Agreement) 35: *see also* separate opinions and declarations (Yusuf J (partly dissenting on *uti possidetis*)) *below*
 - 1960 IGN map (for use should the *Arrêté* "not suffice") 36-7
 - applicability to both delimitation and demarcation 36-7
 - applicability in case of departure from the *effectivités* 37
 - completion of discontinuous line 37
 - as reflection of *effectivités* at the critical date 37
 - "suffice", parties' differing interpretations 36-7
 - unofficial status of map/*Burkina Faso/Mali* evaluation of 37
 - Arrêté* (1927) including the Erratum (SA 2) 34-7
 - Court's obligation to apply the *Arrêté* unless it is insufficient 34-7
 - interpretation in context including the circumstances of its enactment and implementation 35-6
 - issue II(c): delimitation of disputed frontier (course of the frontier) 38-53
 - issue II(c)(1): course of the frontier between the Tong-Tong and Tao astronomic markers 38-41
 - points of agreement 38-9
 - points of disagreement (Court's analysis of Niger's arguments) 40

Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger) (cont.)

- Court's conclusion 40
 - possession/*effectivités* vs legal title 40
 - points of disagreement (parties' positions) 39-40
- issue II(c)(2): course of the frontier between the Tao astronomic marker and the River Sirba at Bossébangou 41-7
 - Arrêté* provisions 41
 - parties' arguments
 - Burkina Faso 41
 - Niger 41-2
- issue II(c)(2): course of the frontier between the Tao astronomic marker and the River Sirba at Bossébangou (Court's analysis)
 - Court's "not sufficient" finding/need to adopt the course shown on the 1960 IGN map 46-7
 - Court's obligation to apply the *Arrêté* unless it is insufficient 42-3
 - effectivités*, non-applicability 46-7
 - interpretation in context including the circumstances of its enactment and implementation 35-6
 - implementation with respect to Bangaré 45-6
 - Presidential Decree of 28 December 1926 as context 44-5
 - silence, whether raising a presumption that a straight-line boundary was intended 43-6
 - text of other articles in the *Arrêté* compared 43-4
- issue II(c)(2): course of the frontier between the Tao astronomic marker and the River Sirba at Bossébangou (separate opinions)
 - Cançado Trindade J 78-80
 - Daudet, Judge ad hoc 118-23
 - Mahiou, Judge ad hoc 114-17
- issue II(c)(3): course of the frontier in the area of Bossébangou (Court's analysis) 47-52
 - Court's conclusion/Sketch-Map 2 52
 - Court's conclusion/Sketch-Maps 3 and 4 52
 - Court's "not sufficient" finding/need to adopt the course shown on the 1960 IGN map 49-50
 - Court's "sufficient" finding/applicability of *Arrêté* as amended by Erratum 50-1
 - Daudet, Judge ad hoc 123-8
 - thalweg/median line as best meeting requirements of access to water resources/legal security 47-9
- issue II(c)(4): course of the southern part of the frontier 52-3
 - Arrêté* provision 52-3
 - Niger's reliance on *effectivités* as evidence of acquiescence 52-3
- issue IV: nomination of experts (SA 7(4)) 53-4
- separate opinions and declarations (Bennouna J (declaration)), (interpretation of colonial laws as anachronistic/security of fundamental rights as required focus) 56-8
- separate opinions and declarations (Cançado Trindade J (concurring)) 58-96
 - conclusions 93-6
 - human rights of the local populations, focus on
 - and the appropriateness of IGN line between Tao astronomic marker and Bossébangou 78-80
 - centrality of human beings to delimitation 81-3
 - interrelationship between people and territory, jurisprudence acknowledging 89-92
 - parties' bilateral agreement commitments to freedom of movement 86-8

- parties' communiqués after independence 66-7
- parties' multilateral African Fora commitments to freedom of movement 83-6
- parties' responses to questions from Judge Cañado Trindade 71-7
- parties' submissions to the Court (oral proceedings) 70-1
- parties' submissions to the Court (written proceedings) 62-6
- parties' views concerning villages 67-70
- post-independence treaties between the parties 60-2
- solidarity and the *jus gentium* 92-3
- transhumance as system of solidarity 89
- perceived shortcomings of Court's judgment 59-60
- Sketch-Map 1: parties' claims and line depicted on the 1960 IGN map 69
- Sketch-Map 2: course of the frontier as decided by the court 48
- separate opinions and declarations (Daudet, Judge ad hoc (largely concurring)) 118-28
- course of the frontier in the area of Bossébangou 123-8
- IGN map, appropriateness of resort to 126-8
- course of the frontier between the Tao astronomic marker and the River Sirba at Bossébangou, exclusion of recourse to the *effectivités* 122-3
- separate opinions and declarations (Mahiou, Judge ad hoc (largely concurring)) 111-18
- arrival point of the line that leaves Tao and reaches the River Sirba 117-18
- course of the frontier between the Tao astronomic marker and the River Sirba at Bossébangou 114-17
- effectivités*, role 113-14
- status of relevant documents 111-14
- separate opinions and declarations (Yusuf J (partly dissenting on *uti possidetis*)) 96-111:
 - see also uti possidetis/intangibility principle*
 - conclusion (irrelevance of *uti possidetis* to *Burkina Faso/Chad*) 108-10
- fundamental rights within the EU**, as general principle of EU law 308-13

- General Assembly resolution 47/133 (enforced disappearances)** 615, 637
- General Comments (HRC)**
 - 14 (ICCPR 6 (right to life)), non-derogability 617-18
 - 31 (ICCPR 2(1) (nature of general legal obligation imposed on States Parties to Covenant)) 619, 637-8
 - interim measures 637-8
- Google v. Equustek (Canadian Supreme Court) (courts' jurisdiction to grant injunction with extraterritorial reach)**
 - background (in chronological order)
 - underlying intellectual property action (Equustek–Datalink) (April 2011–September 2012) 569-71
 - grant of *Mareva* injunction (risk of irreparable harm/balance of convenience/equity) (26 July 2012) 570
 - Google's de-indexing of specific webpages (December 2012–January 2013) 571
 - ineffectiveness of Google's selective de-indexing 571-2
 - grant of injunction (Fenlon J (BC Supreme Court)) (13 June 2014) (irreparable harm/balance of convenience) 572
 - dismissal of appeal (BC Court of Appeal) 572-3
- Court's analysis
 - injunction, characteristics
 - discretionary decision due a high degree of deference 573
 - equitable remedy 572-3
 - preservation of subject matter of litigation as purpose 573

***Google v. Equustek (Canadian Supreme Court) (courts' jurisdiction to grant injunction with extraterritorial reach)* (cont.)**

- issue 1: appellant's immunity from injunction as non-party to underlying dispute 574-6
 - Cartier* 575
 - MacMillan Bloedel* 574
 - Mareva* injunction compared 575-6
 - non-party's obstruction of justice/facilitation of party's breach of order as basis for liability 574-6, 580-1
 - Norwich* orders compared 575
 - issue 2: extraterritorial effect of injunction 576-80
 - comity, relevance 579
 - effect of Internet as justification for order 577-8
 - jurisprudence 577
 - risk of conflict with law of a third country, need for evidence 579
 - issue 3: risk of conversion to a permanent injunction 580-1
 - test for determining whether to exercise discretion (serious issue/risk of irreparable harm/balance of convenience/justice and equity)
 - balance of convenience 578-80
 - equity 573, 575-6, 579
 - RJR-MacDonald* 573
 - Court's decision 581
 - dissenting opinion (Côté and Rowe JJ) 581-90
 - availability of alternatives 589
 - conclusion 590
 - effectiveness of order, need for 588-9
 - injunction as final determination of the matter 582-5
 - extensive review of the merits requirement 585
 - injunctions requiring significant ongoing monitoring 588
 - judicial restraint 581-2
 - mandatory nature of the order 588
 - non-party to the underlying dispute, applicability of injunction to 586-8
 - aiding and abetting/facilitating 586-7
 - MacMillan Bloedel* 586
 - Mareva* and *Norwich* distinguished 587
 - permanent injunction, requirements 585
 - test for determining whether to exercise discretion (serious issue/risk of irreparable harm/balance of convenience/justice and equity) (*RJR-MacDonald*) 581-3, 585
 - parties' arguments (appellant)
 - acknowledgment of serious claim/irreparable harm facilitated by its search engine 573-4
 - arguments against imposition of injunction (non-party status, extraterritorial effect of injunction, risk of conversion to a permanent injunction) 574-81
 - summary (Google Order as effectively permanent injunction/final redress against non-party) 581
- Greece, asylum:** *see* *BAC*

Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA)

- retroactivity (HRA 22(4))/applicability to acts occurring before entry into force
 - jurisprudence
 - Finucane* 677-95: *see also* *Finucane*
 - McKerr* 678
- temporal jurisdiction principle (*Šilih*) 681-2

Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) by section

- 2(1)(d) (interpretation of Convention rights: obligation to take into account decision Committee of Ministers under ECHR 46) 692-3
 - “mirror principle”, distinguished 693
 - “take into account”/justification for departure from decision 692-3

human rights treaties (treaty status)

- jurisprudence
 - APDH* 140-3, 156-7
 - Mathieu-Mohin and Clerfayt* 141-2
- relevant factors 141-2

human/fundamental rights and freedoms (municipal law), balance with rights and freedoms of others, need for 402**IACtHR**

- judgment compliance/implementation obligation (ACHR 68(1))
 - jurisprudence, *Fontev ecchia* 432-40: *see also* *Fontev ecchia* (ACHR 63(1) (reparations)/ACHR 68(1) (compliance with IACtHR judgment)) (Argentinian Supreme Court)
 - limitation of obligation to rulings within the Court’s competence 434-8
- jurisdiction and functions (ACHR 61-65)
 - appeal court distinguished/subsidiarity principle
 - ECHR margin of appreciation principle compared 435
 - exhaustion of local remedies rule as evidence of 434
 - fundamental differences between domestic and international proceedings as bar 435
 - appeal court distinguished/subsidiarity principle, jurisprudence
 - Fontev ecchia* 434-5
 - Genie-Lacayo* 434-5
 - Perozo* 434
 - compétence de la compétence* 455-6
- procedural matters
 - admissibility of new facts or claims, jurisprudence
 - Family Barrios* 414
 - Five Pensioners* 414
 - Fontev ecchia* 414

IACtHR Rules of Procedure (2009)

- 35 (filing of case by the Commission) 381-2
- 41(3) (State’s answer: admissibility of facts not expressly denied/claims not expressly controverted) 392
- 44(3) (*amicus curiae* briefs: time limits) 384
- 58 (procedure for taking evidence) 386

ICC

- applicable law (ICC 21)
 - “[interpretation] in accordance with internationally recognized human rights” 485-6
 - jurisprudence
 - Lubanga appeal against decision on Article 19(2)(a) objection to the jurisdiction* 485-6
 - Rohingya* 485-6
- applicable law, principles and rules of international law as interpreted in its previous decisions (ICC 21(2)) 473-4
- inherent powers
 - compétence de la compétence* principle and 495-7

ICC (*cont.*)

- jurisprudence
 - Bemba* 495-6
 - Robingya* 495-7
- interpretation (ordinary meaning of terms of treaty in their context and in the light of its object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)))
 - Lubanga* 488-9
 - Robingya* 488-90
- jurisdiction
 - advisory jurisdiction, absence of provision for 498-500
 - territorial jurisdiction (ICC 12(2)(a)), sufficiency if one element of crime committed in the territory of a party to the Statute/State accepting jurisdiction
 - contextual interpretation taking relevant rules of international law into account 475
 - international tribunal/State practice 475-7
 - Robingya* 475-80
- preliminary examination/pre-investigative examination (ICC 15)
 - early initiation/completion “within a reasonable time”, importance 483-5
 - dependence of successful suit for reparations and 485-6
 - jurisprudence
 - Burundi Article 15 Decision* 483-5
 - Comoros Article 53 Decision* 483-5
 - Côte d’Ivoire Article 15 Decision* 483-5
 - Decision requesting information on the status of the preliminary examination of the situation in the Central African Republic* 483-4
 - ECtHR jurisprudence 484-5
 - Georgia Article 15 Decision* 484
 - IACtHR jurisprudence 485-6
 - Katanga Article 74 Judgment* 484-5
 - Kenya Article 15 Decision* 483-5
 - Lubanga Article 74 Judgment* 484-5
 - Review of Prosecutor’s decision not to open a preliminary examination concerning alleged crimes in Egypt* 482-3
 - Prosecutor’s right to seek ruling on jurisdiction (ICC 19(3)) prior to beginning a preliminary investigation 453-7
- Prosecutor, role 482-6
- ROC 46(3) (pre-trial chamber: assignment of matters not covered under Regulation 46(2) to a pre-trial chamber) 447
- Rules of Procedure and Evidence (2013)
 - 48 (determination of reasonable basis to proceed with an investigation (ICC 15(3))) 482-3
 - 58(2) (ICC 19 proceedings: action on receipt of a challenge) 489
 - 93 (views of victims or their legal representatives) 451-2
 - 103(1) (third-party observations) 448-50
 - incorporation of public statement into court’s official record, possibility of 452
 - settlement of dispute by decision of the Court (ICC 119(1)), applicability to jurisdiction issues/*compétence de la compétence* 454-7, 494-8
 - standing of victims (ICC 68(3)/ROP 93) 451-2
 - status
 - alternative approaches to 461
 - “international penal tribunal” (Genocide Convention VI) compared 462
 - Robingya* 458-70

- Statute's potential for effect on third parties 466-8
 - support for establishment as evidence of 463-5
 - UN, relationship with 465-6
- ICJ jurisdiction, basis (ICJ 36(1))** (“**matters specially provided for ... in treaties and conventions in force**”)
 - bilateral/special agreements, examples, Burkina Faso–Niger (2009): *see Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)*
 - treaty/compromissory clause agreement as determinant
 - compatibility with Court's role and function as defined by the Statute, need for 29-33
 - Court as arbiter 28
 - Court's power to interpret parties' submissions to maintain them within the special agreement 29
 - extension of agreed jurisdiction, exclusion 29
 - jurisprudence
 - Burkina Faso/Niger Frontier Dispute* 29-33
 - Free Zones of Upper Savoy and the District of Gex* 32
 - Northern Cameroons* 29-30
 - Société Commerciale de Belgique* 32-3
- ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule**
 - 35(1) (notification of name of judge ad hoc) 11
 - 42 (transmission of copies of application) 11
 - 53(2) (availability of documents to the public) 12
 - 56 (closure of written proceedings: submission of further documents) 11-12
 - 61(4) (Court's indication of points to be addressed by parties: timing of replies) 12
 - 72 (oral proceedings: written reply to question/evidence or explanation) 12
- ICJ status and functions (ICJ 36(1) and ICJ 38)**
 - discretion to decline jurisdiction, *Northern Cameroons* 29-30
 - dispute settlement 30
 - integrity of Court's judicial function, duty to preserve 29-33
 - recording/incorporation of pre-proceedings agreement of the parties, exclusion 31-3
 - agreement reached during the proceedings distinguished 32-3
 - special agreement and 29-33
- ICSID arbitral tribunal, *compétence de la compétence* (ICSID 25) 455-6**
- independent and impartial electoral body: *see also* APDH**
 - “independent and impartial” 150
 - importance of distinguishing between 160-3
 - obligation to establish (ACDEG 17(1) and ECOWAS Democracy Protocol 3) 149-53
- individual responsibility (war crimes/crimes against humanity) (with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7/ICC 25-8) 515**
 - customary international law (CIL), ICC 25 as codification 516
 - jurisprudence, *Ramirez* 524-5
- injunction**
 - availability of alternatives 589
 - as discretionary decision due a high degree of deference 573
 - as equitable remedy 572-3
 - extraterritorial effect 576-80: *see also* extraterritorial jurisdiction including extraterritorial enforcement of legislation by legislating State, intellectual property rights
 - injunction as final determination of the matter 582-5
 - extensive review of the merits requirement 585
 - injunctions requiring significant ongoing monitoring 588
 - judicial restraint and 581-2

injunction (*cont.*)

jurisprudence: *see also* *Google v. Equustek*

1711811 Ontario Ltd v. Buckley Insurance Brokers Ltd 585

Acrow 586

Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd v. Argyll Stores (Holdings) Ltd 588

Fourie 581-2

Google Order Case 569-90

MacMillan Bloedel 574, 586

Mercedes Benz 582

National Commercial Bank of Jamaica Ltd v. Olint Corp 588

Plouffe 585

Redland Bricks Ltd v. Morris 588

RJR–MacDonald 573, 581-3

Schooff 585

Seaward v. Paterson 586

Spiller 585

Spycatcher 588

mandatory orders 588

non-party to underlying dispute, applicability to 574-6, 586-8

permanent injunction, requirements 585

purpose, preservation of subject matter of litigation 573

test for determining whether to exercise discretion (serious issue/risk of irreparable harm/
 balance of convenience/justice and equity) (*RJR–MacDonald*) 573, 581-3, 585

balance of convenience 578-80

equity 573, 575-6, 579

intangibility of borders: *see uti possidetis*/intangibility principle

international crimes, applicable law, international law (including customary international law), reasons for 514-15

international organizations, personality and capacities

jurisprudence

Reparation for Injuries 460

Rohingya 458-70

Internet

impact on intellectual property rights 576-80

jurisdiction issues including extraterritorial jurisdiction 576-80

jurisprudence: *see also* *Google v. Equustek*

APC v. Auchan Telecom 577

Google Spain Case 577

McKeogh v. Doe 577

Max Mosley v. Google 577

joint criminal enterprise (JCE)/individual criminal responsibility (with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7(1)/ICC 25(3)(d))

common purpose (ICC 25(3)(d)) 517-19

actus reus “any other way” 518-19

ICC 25(3)(a), ICC 35(3)(b) and ICC 25(3)(c) distinguished 517-18

JCE, distinguishability 521

mens rea (“with the aim”/“in the knowledge”) 519

aiding and abetting (ICC 25(3)(c)) distinguished 519-20

dolus eventualis/awareness of risk 519

JCE I, JCE II and JCE III distinguished 520

jurisprudence

- Bemba* 519
- Ezokola* 515-21
- Lubanga* 517-18
- Mbarushimana* 517-19
- Ruto* 517-18

judicial protection/effective remedy (ACHR 25), *Lori Berenson Mejía* 221

judicial restraint, injunction and 581-2

just satisfaction obligation (ECHR 41)

instruments of, ECtHR finding of breach 258-9

jurisprudence

- Anchugov* (Russian Constitutional Court) 291
- Murat Vural* 221

restitutio in integrum principle 291

Latvia (1991-)

Civil Procedure Act by section

8(1) (determination of circumstances of case by examination of legally obtained evidence) 332-3

9 (equality of parties) 332-3

230(1)(7) (notification of detailed arrangements for lodging an appeal) 332-3, 356-7

637(2) (recognition of foreign judgment: grounds for refusal) 332-3

legal certainty/legality principle 196

legal dispute, need for/existence of/obligation to indicate subject matter

Burkina Faso/Niger 30-3

East Timor 454

Mavrommatis 454

Robingya 454, 491-3

legal dispute, need for/obligation to indicate subject matter, critical date/date arising,

Burkina Faso/Niger 31-3

legislation, interpretation

conformity with international obligations including CIL

jurisprudence

Anekwu 542-3

B010 542-7

de Guzman 543

GreCon Dimter 542-3

Hape 542

National Corn Growers 542

Németh 542-3

Thibodeau 542-3

Zingre 542-3

legislature's clear intention to conform 542-3, 547

presumption of 542-3

context (ordinary and grammatical sense, object of the legislation and intention of legislature) 538

context (statutory)

consistency within statute 539-42

statute as a whole 539-42

intention of legislature 547-8

ordinary and grammatical sense 538-9

treaty relating to same subject-matter as aid 542-7

legitimate expectation

burden of proof 673

jurisprudence

Bancoult 674, 697-8*Begbie* 671*Bhatt Murphy* 672*Coughlan* 670-2, 696-7*Finucane* 670-7*Loreto Grammar School* 673*Mandalia* 696-7*MTK Underwriting Agency* 670*Nadarajah* 671-2, 675*Paponette* 673, 696-8*Preston* 696-7*United Policyholders Group* 673-4

public interest and 675-7

requirements

clear and unambiguous promise 672-4

detrimental reliance on, “detriment”, whether essential 672-5, 696-8

liberty and security of person, right to (ECHR 5)

“deprived of”/arbitrary deprivation (ECHR 5(1)) 283-4

exceptions “in accordance with procedure prescribed by law” (ECHR 5(1)) 202-3

life, right to (ECHR 2) (obligation to investigate death (“procedural” obligation))

ten-year rule 684-5

relevant acts or omissions after the critical date

allow an inconclusive investigation to be pursued further” 686-7

Brecknell principle (obligation to take further investigative measures in case of new and significant information) 679-80, 686-91jurisprudence: *see also Finucane* (right to life/procedural obligation to investigate death (ECHR 2)/compliance obligation (HRA 6) (UK Supreme Court))*Angelova and Iliev* 677-8*Branko Tomašić* 688*Byrzykowski* 677-8*Calvelli and Ciglio* 677-8*Finucane* 677-95*Janowiec* 682-6*Jordan* 691*Keyu* 685*Lazzarini and Ghiacci* 677-8*McCann* 677-8*McCaughy* 677-8, 684-6*Mladenović* 684, 688*Mocanu* 684*Nachova* 689*Oğur* 688*Ramsahai* 689*Šilih* (including discussion in *McCaughy*) 679-80, 684-6

as obligation of means 689-93

requirements, effective procedure, capable of leading to the identification and punishment of those responsible 687-93

as separate and autonomous duty 680-2

maps and charts, evidentiary value

as secondary evidence (“best evidence” rule) 37
uti possidetis boundary 36-7

Mareva injunction

against non-party to the underlying dispute 576
 extraterritorial effect 575-7, 587
 as protection against removal of assets from the jurisdiction 575-6

margin of appreciation, jurisprudence

Fontev ecchia 435
Handyside 435
Lautsi 435
Lawless 435
Schenk 435
Tautkus 435

mens rea (crimes against humanity/war crimes)

ICC 30 (mental element)
 “intent” (ICC 30(2)(a)) 526
 “with intent and knowledge” (ICC 30(1)) 526
 “means to cause ... or is aware that” (ICC 30(3)) 526
 relevant factors (in claims for refugee status)
 duties and activities within the organization 528
Ezokola 521-3, 526-9
JS 521-2, 526-9
 length of time in the organization 528
Mbarushimana 526-9
 method of recruitment/opportunity to leave 529
 part of the organization with which the claimant was most directly concerned 528
 position or rank in the organization 528
Ryivuze 522, 526-9
 size and nature of the organization 527-8
 UNHCR Guidelines on International Protection: Application of the Exclusion
 Clauses: Article 1F (2003) 523

Myanmar (Burma)

Criminal Code 1861 by paragraph
 3 (liability for offences committed outside the jurisdiction) 478
 118(a) (abetting commission of offence outside the jurisdiction) 478
 122(a) (liability of national or resident for treason committed outside the jurisdiction)
 478
 ICC and: *see Rohingya*

Nepal: see also Dhakal

Civil Liberties Act 1955 631-2
 Commission of Inquiries Act 2026 (1969), criticisms of 626, 630-1
 Comprehensive Peace Accord (Government of Nepal–Communist Party of Nepal
 (Maoist)) (21 November 2006)
 acceptance of obligation in respect of disappeared persons 622-3
 Truth and Reconciliation Commission, agreement to establish 622-3
 Constitution 2047 (1990) by article
 preamble (rule of law) 621
 12(1) (personal liberty/prohibition of death penalty) 621
 14(4) (torture) 621
 14(5) (obligation to give reasons for arrest) 621
 14(6) (prompt hearing) 621

Nepal (*cont.*)

- 23 (right to a remedy) 634-5
- 88(2) (Supreme Court's power to provide an appropriate remedy) 634-5
- Constitution (Interim) 2063 (2007), Part 3 (fundamental rights) by article
 - 12(1) (right to dignity) 621-2
 - 24 (rights regarding justice), standards and principles established by international regional courts as principles for purposes of 621
 - 24(1) (right to be informed of reasons for arrest) 621-2
 - 24(2) (prompt hearing) 621-2
 - 24(8) (right to be informed of proceedings) 621-2
 - 24(9) (fair trial) 621-2
 - 26(1) (torture) 621-2
 - 26(2) (torture: entitlement to compensation) 621-2
- Constitution (Interim) 2063 (2007), Part 4 (Responsibilities, Directive Principles and Policies of the State) by article
 - 33(l) (relief for victim families of disappeared persons) 623
 - 33(n) (establishment of Truth and Reconciliation Commission) 623
 - 36 (non-justiciability of Part IV of the Interim Constitution) 623-4
- Constitution (Interim) 2063 (2007), Part 10 (judiciary) by article
 - 100 (Courts' judicial powers pursuant to the Constitution) 635, 646
 - 107(2) (Supreme Court jurisdiction: fundamental rights) (PIL litigation) 634-5, 646
- human rights
 - Supreme Court's jurisdiction to compel compliance 624-5
 - treaties, Nepal's participation in 632-3
- Torture Compensation Act 1996, non-applicability in case of failure to establish status of disappeared person 631-2
- Treaty Act 2047 (1991) by section, 9 (treaties as part of the law of Nepal) 619
- non ultra petita* rule**
 - ACtHPR 164-8
 - ECtHR 350
- nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege*/non-retroactivity**, legal certainty/legality principle and 196

***pacta sunt servanda*/good faith observance of treaties (VCLT 26)**

- compliance with decisions of supervisory international tribunal 439
- jurisprudence
 - Anchugov* 256
 - Fontevicchia* 439
- municipal/internal law as justification for non-observance, exclusion (VCLT 27) 439
- Palermo Convention and Protocols (2000)**: *see also* B010; smuggling of migrants (Palermo Smuggling of Migrants Protocol (2000)); trafficking in persons (Palermo Trafficking Protocol) (2000)
 - as assistance to implementation of the Refugee Convention (1951) 544
 - "organized criminal group"/"financial or other material benefit" (Palermo Convention 2(a)) 544
- people smuggling**: *see* B010; Canada, Immigration and Refugee Protection Act 2001 (IRPA) by section, 37(1)(b) (inadmissibility on grounds of organized criminality: people smuggling, trafficking in persons or money-laundering)
- "persecution ... in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph"** (ICC 7(1)(h)), ICC jurisdiction over 481

- preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267)**
 jurisprudence
Dabbi 351
Ullens de Schooten 351
 refusal to refer, national courts' obligation to give reasons 350-2
- presumption of innocence (including ACHPR 7(1)(b)/ACHR 8(2), CFR 48 (1), ECHR 6(2), ICCPR 14(2), ICTY 21(3), UDHR 11(1))**
 ACHPR 7(1)(b) 190-1
 applicability from preliminary investigation to the delivery of judgment 191
 as fundamental human right 190-1
 ICCPR 14(2) 190-1
Umuhoya 190-1
- private life/privacy, definitions/scope (ACHR 11/ECHR 8/ICCPR 17), jurisprudence**
Fontevicchia 401-11
Ituango Massacres 402
MGÑ 408-9
Schussel 408-9
Von Hannover 402, 408-9
- public affairs, right to take part in (ACHPR 13)**
 failure to establish an independent and impartial electoral body (ACDEG 17) as breach 152-3
 jurisprudence, *APDH* 152-3
- recognition/enforcement of foreign judgment, fair hearing/right to defend oneself: see**
Avotins
- recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 (Judgments Regulation))**
 jurisprudence (non-recognition of judgment *in absentia* if defendant not given due notice of proceedings (JR 34(2))), *Avotins* 346-7, 350-4
 mutual recognition/mutual trust principle 352-4
 fundamental rights, obligation to protect/risk to 353
 "equivalent protection" (*Bosphorus* principle) and 353-4
 presumption of respect for (*Opinion 2/13*) 353
 mutual recognition mechanisms, importance 352-3
 object and purpose, simplification of recognition and enforcement of decisions so far as necessary for the proper functioning of the internal market (TFEU 81 [TEC 65]) 352-3
- recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 (Judgments Regulation) by article**
 preamble by recital
 16 (mutual trust as justification for automatic recognition) 352-3
 17 (mutual trust as basis for efficient and rapid enforcement procedure) 334-5, 352-3
 34(2) (non-recognition of default judgment in case of procedural irregularities)
 exhaustion of local remedies requirement, reasonableness 355
 non-recognition of judgment *in absentia* if defendant not given due notice of proceedings (*Avotins*) 346-7, 350-4
- Refugee Convention (1951)**
 interpretation, object and purpose/purposive interpretation (VCLT 31(1)), "to assure refugees the widest possible exercise of ... fundamental rights and freedoms" (preamble) 512-13
 non-application (general considerations), as balance between humanitarian aims of Convention and integrity of refugee protection regime 512-13

Refugee Convention (1951) (cont.)

- non-application on grounds of crime against peace, war crime or crime against humanity (RC 1F(a)): *see also* aiding and abetting/complicity or association (crimes against humanity, war crimes and other international crimes); joint criminal enterprise (JCE)/individual criminal responsibility (with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7(1)/ICC 25(3)(d))
 - “as defined in the international instruments” 514-17: *see also* joint criminal enterprise (JCE)/individual criminal responsibility (with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7(1)/ICC 25(3)(d))
 - ICC 25 (individual criminal responsibility) 516
 - ICC Statute 515-16
 - UNHCR Guidelines on International Protection: Application of the Exclusion Clauses: Article 1F (2003) 523
- jurisprudence
 - Ezokola* 505-30: *see also* *Ezokola*
 - Pushpanathan* 512-13
 - Sivakumar* 512
- purpose of provision, exclusion *ab initio* non-bona fide refugees 513
- “substantial”/“significant and knowing” contribution requirement
 - knowing contribution/ICC 30 (*mens rea*) 526
 - relevant factors 521-3, 526-9: *see also* *mens rea* (crimes against humanity/war crimes), relevant factors (in claims for refugee status)
 - significant contribution to the group’s crime or criminal purpose 525-6
 - voluntary contribution/duress defence (ICC 31(1)(d)) 525
- terrorist organization membership and, sufficiency as evidence of responsibility for international crime 521-3
- non-application when there are “serious reasons for considering ...” (RC 1F)
 - burden/standard of proof
 - “considering” vs “suspecting”/“believing” 529-30
 - “serious reasons for considering” 506-7, 509-14, 516-17, 522-3, 525-30
 - jurisprudence, *Ezokola* 506-7, 509-14, 516-17, 522-3, 525-30
- refugees, unlawful entry or presence, non-penalization (RC 31(1))**, admissibility under the Palermo Convention and Protocols (2000), effect on 545
- reparation for breach of treaty (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))**
 - applicability of
 - Chorzów Factory* principle 214-15
 - ILC(SR) 31(1) 214-15
 - burden/standard of proof (general) 214-15, 217
 - causal link, need for 215
 - compensation for non-pecuniary damage/moral prejudice 220-2
 - damage to professional reputation 220-1
 - moral prejudice suffered by spouse and children 221-2
 - compensation for pecuniary damage/material prejudice 216-20
 - costs and expenses
 - administrative processing of the judicial record 217-18
 - burden/standard of proof 219
 - expenses incurred while in prison 219
 - lawyers’ fees 218-19
 - as part of the concept of reparations 217
 - exclusion of measures open only to a court of appeal 209
 - jurisprudence
 - Abubakari* 210
 - Konaté* 214-15, 219
 - Mitingwi* 209

- Mtikila* 214-15, 217, 219
- Thomas* 210
- Umuboza* 174-223
- Zongo* 214-15, 221-2
- request for release in “special and compelling” circumstances
 210, 215-16
- reparation for breach of treaty (ACHR 63(1))**
 - compensation
 - compliance 427-8
 - costs and expenses (IACtHR): *see* costs (IACtHR) (ACHR 63(1))
 - jurisprudence
 - Barbani Duarte* 424-5
 - Bárnaca Velásquez* 423
 - Fontev ecchia* 423-5
 - Street Children* 424-5
 - measure
 - domestic court proceedings 423
 - lost earnings 423-4
 - moral injury/non-pecuniary damage 424-5
 - pecuniary damage 423-4
 - entitlement (“injured party”)
 - jurisprudence
 - Fontev ecchia* 423-5
 - Rochela Massacre* 419
 - persons declared victims of violation of Convention right 419
 - obligation
 - Chorzów Factory* principle of reparation (*restitutio in integrum*) 418-19
 - alternatives to in case of insufficiency/impossibility 436
 - jurisprudence
 - Aloeboetoe* 436
 - Barbani Duarte* 418-19
 - Blake* 436
 - Castillo Pérez* 418-19
 - Fontev ecchia* 436
 - Ticona Estrada* 418-19
 - Velásquez Rodríguez* 418-19
 - (other than compensation)
 - judgment as 420
 - jurisprudence
 - Barbani Duarte* 420-1
 - Barrios Altos* 421
 - El Amparo* 420
 - Fontev ecchia* 420-3, 434-8
 - Herrera Ulloa* 420-1
 - Kimel* 420-1
 - Tristán Donoso* 420-1
 - legislation, modification, non-application or annulment 422-3
 - public apology and acknowledgement of State responsibility 421-2
 - publication and dissemination of judgment 421
 - reversal of domestic court’s *res judicata* decision
 - conflict with Member State’s constitution 436-8
 - option offered by ACHR 63(1), whether 435-6
 - revocation of civil sentence 420-1
 - travaux préparatoires* 436

reparation for violation of IHRL/IHL, victim's right to

disappearances: *see* disappearances, obligation to provide effective remedy/reparation
 ICC 75 (reparations to victims), preliminary investigation, importance of prompt start to 485-6

interim measures

Dhakal 633-6, 645-6

HRC General Comment 31 637-8

UNGA Resolution 60/147 637

Robingya *see Robingya* (background and preliminary issues); *Robingya* (ICC jurisdiction (ICC 12(2)(a))); *Robingya* (separate opinion (partly dissenting) (Perrin de Brichambaut J)) (Chamber's competence to entertain Prosecutor's ICC 19(3) Request prior to beginning a preliminary investigation)

***Robingya* (background and preliminary issues)**

applicable law (ICC 21) 453

"[interpretation] in accordance with internationally recognized human rights" 485-6

principles and rules of law as interpreted in its previous decisions (ICC 21(2)) 473-4

Chamber's competence to entertain Prosecutor's ICC 19(3) Request prior to beginning a preliminary investigation 453-7

Chamber's conclusion 457

compétence de la compétence (as application of ICC 119(1)) 454-7

as established principle of international law (ICC 21(1)(b)) 454-7

legal dispute, need for 454

Request for decision on Court's jurisdiction under ICC 12(2)(a) 453-4

review of the jurisprudence 455-6

Prosecutor's arguments 453

preliminary issues

Bangladesh's response to one of the amicus curiae submissions 451

classification of decision as public 451

incorporation of public statement into court's official record, possibility of 452

victims' standing (ICC 68(3)) 451-2

procedural history 447-50

invitations/grant of leave for amicus curiae and other forms of submission 448-50

Myanmar's public statement (20 June 2018) 454

Prosecutor's Request (ICC 19(3)/ROP 46(3)) 447

***Robingya* (ICC jurisdiction (ICC 12(2)(a)))** 458-86

Chamber's conclusion 482

ICC jurisdiction over crimes other than deportation (ICC 12(2)) 480-2

"other inhumane acts of a similar character" (ICC 7(1)(k)) 481-2

deportation/refusal of re-entry to own country as persecution (ICC 7(2)(g)) 481-2

"persecution against any identifiable group ... in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph" (ICC 7(1)(h)) 481

ICC jurisdiction in relation to deportation as crime against humanity 470-80: *see also* deportation or forcible transfer of population (ICC 7(1)(d))

Chamber's conclusion 480

deportation or forcible transfer of population (ICC 7(1)(d)) 470-4

deportation, requirements (EOC 7(1)(d):1) 474

"expulsion or other coercive acts" 474

as two separate crimes 471-4

deportation to Bangladesh as fulfilment of territorial requirement 482

sufficiency if one element of crime committed in the territory of a party to the Statute/State accepting jurisdiction 481

- contextual interpretation taking relevant rules of international law into account 481
- international tribunal/State practice 475-7
- object and purpose of Statute 479-80
- State practice (Bangladesh) 478-9
- State practice (Myanmar) 478
- international legal personality of ICC 458-70: *see also* ICC, status
 - alternative approaches to 461
 - Chamber's conclusion 469-70
 - Myanmar's position vis-à-vis ICC (statement of 13 April 2018 (text)) 458-9
 - pacta tertiis nec nocent nec pro sunt* principle (VCLT 34)/exceptions (VCLT 38) 460
 - Statute's potential for effect on third parties 466-8
- preliminary examination/pre-investigative examination (ICC 15)
 - early initiation/completion "within a reasonable time", importance 483-5
 - dependence of successful suit for reparations and 485-6
 - scope 482-3
- Prosecutor's role (ICC 15) 482-6
- Robingya* (separate opinion (partly dissenting) (Perrin de Brichambaut J)) (Chamber's competence to entertain Prosecutor's ICC 19(3) Request prior to beginning a preliminary investigation) 486-501**
 - advisory opinion
 - absence of authority for 498-500
 - Prosecutor's Request for a ruling as 498-500
 - arguments summarized 487-8
 - dispute, need for 495-8
 - as general principle of international law/judicial procedure 495-8
 - as inherent power, limitations 495-8
 - conclusion 500-1
 - ICC 19(3) (Prosecutor's right to request a ruling on admissibility or jurisdiction), applicability 488-90
 - interpretation (context (VCLT 31(1)/VCLT 31(2))) 488-90
 - heading as 489
 - text of other articles in same treaty (including ROP 58(2)) 489
 - interpretation (object and purpose (VCLT 31(1))) 490
 - ICC 119(1) (settlement of dispute by decision of the Court), applicability 490-4
 - "any dispute" requirement 491-4
 - applicability to jurisdiction issues/*compétence de la compétence* 494-8: *see also* *compétence de la compétence* (including ICJ 36(6)), jurisprudence
 - interpretation (context (VCLT 31(1)/VCLT 31(2))) 491-4
 - invocation *proprio motu* 490-1
 - relevance to jurisdiction 490-1
- Russian Federation (1991-)**
 - Civil Procedure Code (1964), Art. 392(4)(4) (review of judicial decisions: ECtHR finding of violation of ECHR) 291
 - Constitution 1993 (including 1999 amendments) by article
 - 1(1) (Russia as democratic federal law-bound State) 269
 - 3(3) (referenda and free elections as supreme direct power of the people) 269
 - 4(1) (sovereignty of Russian Federation) 276-8
 - 4(2) (supremacy of Constitution throughout Russian territory) 276-8
 - 15 (supremacy of the Constitution), text 262
 - 15(1) (Constitution: primacy) 233, 286

Russian Federation (1991-) (*cont.*)

- 15(4) (generally recognized principles and norms of international law including treaties as part of the law of/primacy) 233, 267-9, 276-8, 280-2
 - text 233
- 32(1) (right to participation in public affairs both directly and through representatives) 269
- 32(2) (right of citizens to elect/be elected) 239-40, 269
 - text 233-4
- 32(3) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected)
 - compatibility with ECHR Protocol 1:3 (free elections) 240-1, 253-6, 261, 264-93
 - text 233-4, 240-1
- 33 (right of individual and collective appeal to State and local government bodies) 234
 - 71(n) (federal jurisdiction: judicial system) 282
 - 79 (transfer of powers to intergovernmental organizations) 276-8
 - 125(2)(d) (constitutionality of treaties not yet in force) 276-8
 - 125(6) (invalidity of unconstitutional acts including treaties) 276-8
 - 134 (amendment of the Constitution: proposals for) 234
 - 135 (amendment of the Constitution: procedure) 234
- Constitutional Court Law 1994 by article, 104 (limits of verification of constitutionality of dispute law by Constitutional Court) 265
- Constitutional Court Resolution No 3-P (constitutionality of Arts. 41 and 42(3) of the Criminal Procedure Code), clarification, 58 (assignment of reformatory institution) 288-9
- Criminal Code (CC) (1996 as amended 2012) by article
 - 15 (categories of crime) 284-5, 288-9
 - 44 (arrest) 282-3
 - 56 (deprivation of liberty for a fixed term) 285-6, 288-9
 - 56(1) (deprivation of liberty), definition 282-3, 285
 - 57 (deprivation of liberty for life) 283-4
 - 58(1)(a) (crimes committed because of carelessness) 289-90
 - 60 (general principles for imposing punishment) 285-6
 - 60(1) (just punishment) 285-6
 - 60(3) (just punishment: relevant factors) 285-6
 - 63 (aggravating circumstances) 285-6
 - 228 (narcotic/psychotropic drugs offences) 285
 - 233 (illegal use of documents permitting access narcotic/psychotropic drugs) 285
- Criminal Executive Code 1996 (64-FZ)
 - 40 289-90
 - 47(1) 289-90
 - 128 289-90
 - 129 289-90
- Criminal Procedure Code 2001 (CC:P) by article, 413(4)(2) (review of criminal proceedings on grounds of violation of ECHR) 291
- ECtHR judgments, binding force/compliance obligation (ECHR 46): *see Anchugov* (Russian Federation, Constitutional Court) (ECtHR judgments, compliance obligation (ECHR 46))
- elections, law relating to: *see also Anchugov*
 - Fundamental Guarantees of Electoral Rights and Eligibility to Participate in a Referendum, Law of 12 June 2002 by section, 4(3) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected) 234, 264-93
 - Presidential Elections, Law of 10 January 2003 by section, 3(4) (loss of right of detained persons to elect/be elected) 234, 264-93

Rwanda: *see also Umuboza* (ACtHPR)

- ACtHPR, withdrawal of Declaration accepting right of individual application (ACHPR Protocol 34(6)) (29 February 2016) 175, 182, 185
- Constitution 2003 by article, 145(3) (constitutionality of legislation: Supreme Court's jurisdiction) 186-9
- Counterterrorism, Law No 45/2008 (9 September 2008) 197-8
- Criminal Procedure Code by article, 116 (negation and minimization of genocide) 202-3
- fair trial in: *see Umuboza*
- Genocide, Crimes against Humanity and War Crimes, Law No 33 *bis* (6 September 2003) on the punishment of 186-9, 197-8
- Genocide, Law No 18/2008 (23 July 2008) on the Repression of the Crime of Ideology of Genocide 197-8, 202-3
- Genocide, Law No 84/2013 on the Minimisation of by article
 - 5(3) (negation of genocide: double genocide theory) 207-8
 - 6 (minimization of genocide) 197
- Penal Code 1977 197-8
- Penal Code 2012 197
- Supreme Court, Organic Law No 03/2012/OL (13 June 2012) on the organization, functioning and jurisdiction, constitutionality 186-9

Service of Documents Regulation (2000/2007), *Alpha Bank Cyprus Ltd* 316-17, 354
smuggling of migrants (Palermo Smuggling of Migrants Protocol (2000))

- “in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit” (Palermo 6(1)(a)) 544-6
 - interpretative note 545-6
 - saving clause (effect on rights, obligations and responsibilities under international law including RC 31/RC 33) (Palermo 19(1)) 544
- special agreement:** *see* ICJ jurisdiction, basis (ICJ 36(1)) (“matters specially provided for ... in treaties and conventions in force”)

territorial integrity principle

- OAU Charter (OAU III)/AU 3(b) 108-10
- uti possidetis* principle distinguished 108-10: *see also uti possidetis/intangibility principle*

territory/title/territorial sovereignty

- “better title” rule (possession/*effectivités* vs legal title) 40, 104-5
- Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)* 37, 40, 46-7, 104-7, 111, 113-17, 122-3

terrorist organization—membership as bar under Refugee Convention (1951) (RC 1F(A)) 521-3**thalweg**

- burden/standard of proof 214-15
- non-navigable rivers and 47-9

trafficking in persons (Palermo Trafficking Protocol) (2000), saving clause (Palermo 14(1)) 544**treaties and municipal law**

- implementing legislation
 - presumption of conformity with treaty/compliance obligation 417
 - standard of review 537-8
- primacy in case of inconsistency/conflict
 - constitutional provision for treaty supremacy: *see also Anchugov* (Russian Federation, Constitutional Court) (ECtHR judgments, compliance obligation (ECHR 46))
 - primacy of constitutional provisions 437

treaties, third parties and (VCLT 34-8), jurisprudence, *Robingya* 460, 466-8

treaty interpretation

applicable law, parties' agreement on: *see Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)*
 context (VCLT 31(2))

grammatical structure, disjunctive "or" 471

structure and content of treaty, headings, relevance 489

text of other articles in same treaty 43-4, 489

parties' intention at time of conclusion (contemporaneity) vs intertemporal law
 (evolutionary/"living-tree" principle), jurisprudence

Anchugov (Russian Constitutional Court) 278-9

Dudgeon 278-9

Markin 278-9

RR 278-9

Turkey, Criminal Code, Art. 146 (infringement of State constitutional order) 369

Umuhzoa: *see also* Rwanda; *Umuhzoa* (ACtHPR) (background/jurisdiction and admissibility); *Umuhzoa* (ACtHPR) (merits); *Umuhzoa* (ACtHPR) (reparations (ACHPR Protocol 27(1)))

Court's decision 210-11

Umuhzoa (ACtHPR) (background/jurisdiction and admissibility)

admissibility (exhaustion of local remedies/(ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5)))
 (effectiveness of remedy) 186-9

Court's finding of ineffectiveness

Court's decision 189

limitation of remedy to allegations of bias or technical and procedural errors 188-9

limitation of standing to apply for review to Ombudsman 189

parties' arguments (applicant) 187-8

parties' arguments (respondent State) 186-7

review as extraordinary remedy 189

admissibility (grounds other than exhaustion of local remedies), Court's finding of
 compliance 189-90

facts (applicant's account) 176

jurisdiction (Court's decision) 185

jurisdiction *ratione loci* (act on territory of Member State) 185

jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (ACHPR Protocol 3(1)), respondent's objection on grounds
 of non-appellate status of the Court 184

applicant's Reply 184

Court's response, consideration of compliance with international procedural
 standards and review of decisions of national courts distinguished 184-5

jurisdiction *ratione personae* (compliance with deposit of declaration requirement
 (ACHPR Protocol 34(6))) 185

jurisdiction *ratione temporis* (continuing wrong) 185

procedural history (ACtHPR) 181-3

procedural history (national courts)

High Court 178-80

pre-trial investigations 177-8

Supreme Court (appeal from High Court) 181

Supreme Court (unconstitutionality petition) 180-1

procedural matters

alleged violations 177

amicus curiae, acceptance of request to appear as 182

- parties 175
- parties' prayers 183
- Rwanda's withdrawal of Declaration accepting right of individual application (ACHPR Protocol 34(6)) (29 February 2016), effect on proceedings 175, 182, 183
- Umubozza (ACtHPR) (merits)** 190-209
 - alleged breach of ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19 (freedom of expression) 198-209
 - Court's conclusion 209
 - alleged breach of ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19 (freedom of expression) (Court's analysis) 201-9
 - definition/scope of right 201
 - applicability to unpopular views/opinions that may shock or disturb 204
 - conviction and imprisonment as restriction 202
 - varying degrees of tolerance 204, 208-9
 - fundamental nature of right 201
 - alleged breach of ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19 (freedom of expression) (Court's analysis) (justified restrictions/requirements (ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19(3))) 201-9
 - legitimate purpose (protection of national security and public order) 203-4
 - Court's finding 204-9
 - necessary and proportional 204-9
 - availability of alternative means 209
 - context, dependence on 204-8
 - in relation to applicant's criticisms of government and public officials 208-9
 - in relation to applicant's statements on genocide 206-8
 - "prescribed by law" 202-3
 - alleged breach of ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19 (freedom of expression) (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 198-200
 - CNLG (*amicus curiae*) 200-1
 - respondent State 200
 - alleged breach of right to a fair trial (*nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege*/non-retroactivity (ACHPR 7(22))) 195-7
 - Court's analysis/review of the legislation 196
 - Court's decision 197-8
 - parties' arguments
 - applicant 195-6
 - respondent State 196
 - alleged breach of right to a fair trial (presumption of innocence)
 - Court's analysis and findings
 - applicability from preliminary investigation to the delivery of judgment 191
 - presumption of innocence as fundamental human right 191
 - treaty provisions (ACHPR 7(1)(b)/ICCPR 14(2)) 191
 - parties' arguments
 - applicant 190-1
 - respondent State 191
 - alleged breach of right to a fair trial (right to an impartial tribunal (ACHPR 7(1)(d))) 194-5
 - Court's analysis and findings
 - Court's conclusion 195
 - Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Fair Trial and Legal Assistance in Africa (African Commission) 195
 - parties' arguments
 - applicant 194
 - respondent State 194

Umuboza (ACtHPR) (merits) (cont.)

- alleged breach of right to a fair trial (right to defence/to be heard (ACHPR 7(1)(c)))
 (Court's analysis and findings)
- rights apart from choice of counsel 194
- witnesses, right to call
 - interference with/restrictions on use of 193-4
 - questioning of witnesses by prison authorities 194
 - search for security reasons 193
 - seizure of documents without knowledge of defence counsel 193-4
- alleged breach of right to a fair trial (right to defence/to be heard (ACHPR 7(1)(c)))
 (parties' arguments)
- applicant 191-2
- respondent State 192-3

Umuboza (ACtHPR) (reparations (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))) 209-10, 212-13

- annulment of conviction and sentence and release from prison 215-16
- background/procedural matters
 - ACtHPR as appeal court, exclusion 209
 - applicant's requests 183, 209, 213
 - factual history including 24 November 2017 decision of the Court 212-13
 - procedural history 213-14
- compensation for non-pecuniary damage/moral prejudice 220-2
 - burden/standard of proof 221
 - Court's award 222
 - damage to professional reputation 220-1
 - moral prejudice suffered by spouse and children 221-2
- compensation for pecuniary damage/material prejudice 216-20
 - burden/standard of proof 219
- costs and expenses 210
 - burden/standard of proof 219
 - expenses incurred while in prison 219
 - lawyers' fees 218-19
 - as part of the concept of reparations 217
- Court's decision 223

uti possidetis/intangibility principle

- administrative boundaries and
 - Latin America and Africa distinguished 99-100, 102-3
 - transformation into international boundaries 99-100, 102-3
- Africa and: *see also* Cairo Declaration (1964) *below*
 - absence of *terra nullius*/areas liable to acquisition by occupation 100
 - AU Constitutive Act (2000) 34, 96, 97-8
 - discouragement of forceful annexation as objective 101
 - diversity of origin of post-independence boundaries 100-1, 103-4
 - OUA Charter 97-8
- Cairo Declaration (1964) 34: *see also* Africa and *above*
 - absence of reference to *uti possidetis* principle 100-1
 - Pan-African visions, effect 101-2
 - "respect of borders existing on achievement of independence", confusion with/
 distinguishability from *uti possidetis* principle: *see uti possidetis* and Cairo
 Declaration/AU "respect of borders existing on achievement of independence"
 principle distinguished *below*
- colonial law, continuing application of as anachronism 56-8
- as general principle of law/international law (ICJ 38(1)(c)), OAU/AU "respect for
 borders" distinguished 105-6

- “intangibility of boundaries inherited from colonization” principle, examples
 - Burkina Faso–Mali Special Agreement (1983) (SA preamble) 34
 - Burkina Faso–Niger Agreement on the establishment of the border between the two countries (1987) 8-11
 - Burkina Faso–Niger Special Agreement (2009) (SA 6) 8-11, 33-7
- inviolability of frontiers/territorial integrity principle distinguished 108-10
- jurisprudence
 - Colombia/Venezuela* Arbitral Award (1922) 99
 - Frontier Dispute (Benin/Niger)* 106, 108-10
 - Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Mali)* 34, 96-7, 99-100, 105-6, 108-10
 - Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)* 33-7, 96-111
 - Land, Island and Maritime Frontier Dispute (El Salvador/Honduras)* 99-100
 - Territorial Dispute (Libya/Chad)* 106, 108-11
- Latin America and
 - administrative boundaries, upgrading of 99-100, 102-3
 - terra nullius*, as means of avoiding 99, 102-3
 - uti possidetis* and Cairo Declaration/AU “respect of borders existing on achievement of independence” principle distinguished 96, 98-108
 - differences in legal nature 105-7
 - broad “holding” principle vs specific, colonial legislation-based determination of title 105
 - differences in legal scope and content 102-5
 - differences in origin and purpose 99-102
 - peaceful settlement of border disputes as common purpose 107-8
 - as principle of public law of Africa vs general principle of customary international law 105-8

Venice Commission (European Commission for Democracy through Law), Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters (2002) (extracts) 237