

INTERNATIONAL  
LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 186

*Volumes published under the title:*

**ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS  
 OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES**

- |                   |   |   |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Vol. 1 (1919-22)  | } | Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, KC,<br>and H. Lauterpacht, LLD |
| Vol. 2 (1923-24)  |   |   |
| Vol. 3 (1925-26)  | } | Edited by Arnold D. McNair, CBE, LLD,<br>and H. Lauterpacht, LLD    |
| Vol. 4 (1927-28)  |   |   |
| Vol. 5 (1929-30)  | } | Edited by H. Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA                              |
| Vol. 6 (1931-32)  |   |   |
| Vol. 7 (1933-34)  |   |   |
| Vol. 8 (1935-37)  |   |   |
| Vol. 9 (1938-40)  |   |   |
| Vol. 10 (1941-42) |   |   |
| Vol. 11 (1941-42) |   |   |
| Vol. 12 (1943-45) |   |   |
| Vol. 13 (1946)    |   |   |
| Vol. 14 (1947)    |   |   |
| Vol. 15 (1948)    |   |   |
| Vol. 16 (1949)    |   |   |

*Volumes published under the title:*

**INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS**

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Vol. 17 (1950)   | } | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA  |
| Vol. 18 (1951)   |   |   |
| Vol. 19 (1952)   |   |   |
| Vol. 20 (1953)   |   |   |
| Vol. 21 (1954)   |   |   |
| Vol. 22 (1955)   |   |   |
| Vol. 23 (1956)   |   |   |
| Vol. 24 (1957)   |   | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA,<br>and E. Lauterpacht                               |
| Vol. 25 (1958-I)   | } | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC  |
| Vol. 26 (1958-II)  |   |   |
| Vols. 27-68 <i>and</i> Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1-35 <i>and</i> 36-45  |   | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC  |
| Vols. 69-150 <i>and</i> Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and<br>Treaties to Vols. 1-80, Vols. 81-100 <i>and</i> Vols. 1-125 |   | Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC,<br>and Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC                 |
| Vols. 151-68 <i>and</i> Consolidated Index and Consolidated Table of Treaties to<br>Vols. 1-160  |   | Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC, LLD,<br>Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC, and K. L. Lee |
| Vols. 169-86   |   | Edited by Sir Christopher Greenwood, GBE, CMG, QC,<br>and K. L. Lee                                 |

*Lauterpacht Centre for International Law  
University of Cambridge*

# INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

**VOLUME  
186**

*Edited by*

SIR CHRISTOPHER GREENWOOD, GBE, CMG, QC  
*Member of the Iran–United States Claims Tribunal  
Bencher of Middle Temple  
Formerly Judge of the International Court of Justice*

*and*

KAREN LEE  
*Fellow of the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge  
Vice-Mistress and Fellow of Girton College, Cambridge*



**CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-49770-1 – International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood, Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom  
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India  
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.  
It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of  
education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108497701](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108497701)  
DOI: 10.1017/9781108596800

© Cambridge University Press 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written  
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

ISBN 978-1-108-49770-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy  
of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication  
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,  
accurate or appropriate.

## CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 186	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxxv
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	699

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-49770-1 – International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## PREFACE

The present volume contains the 2013 judgment of the International Court of Justice in *Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)*. International jurisprudence is further reflected in the judgments of the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (*APDH v. Republic of Côte d'Ivoire, Umuhoza v. Rwanda*), the European Court of Human Rights (*Anchugov v. Russia*, which is included with the related Russian Federation *Judgment No 12-P/2016, Avotiņš v. Latvia* and *BAC v. Greece*), the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (*Fontevicchia v. Argentina*, which is included with the related Argentinian Supreme Court judgment) and the International Criminal Court (*Rohingya Case (Bangladesh/Myanmar)*). In addition to the cases from Argentina and the Russian Federation, national jurisprudence is further reflected in decisions from the courts of Canada (*Ezokola, B010* and *Google Inc.*), Nepal (*Dhakai*) and the United Kingdom (Northern Ireland) (*Finucane*).

The Editors wish to thank the many people whose work has made this volume possible. Ms Caroline Kimeu prepared the summaries of *APDH, Umuhoza, Google Inc.* and *Dhakai*. Ms Rosalind Elphick wrote the summary of *Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger)*. Dr Stella Margariti summarized the *Rohingya Case* and *Ezokola*. Ms Victoria Barausova prepared the summaries of *Anchugov* and *Judgment No 12-P/2016*. Ms Anne Coulon summarized *Avotiņš*, and Ms Portia Karegeya summarized *BAC* and *B010*. Messrs Agustín Cavana, Leonardo Filippini and Howard James Foy prepared the summary and unofficial translation of the Argentinian judgment in *Fontevicchia* (the Spanish text of which Professor Carlos Espósito had kindly supplied). Ms Karen Lee summarized the Inter-American Court of Human Rights' *Fontevicchia* case and *Finucane*, and saw the volume through the press. Ms Maria Netchaeva, the ILR Editorial Assistant, prepared the Tables of Cases and Digest. Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG, compiled the Table of Treaties and Index. Mrs Alison Tickner checked the copy and Mrs Jenny Macgregor read the proofs.

The Editors are very grateful to the International Court of Justice, the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, the European Court of Human Rights, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, the International Criminal Court and the Supreme Court of Canada for kindly permitting these *Reports* to use the electronic files of the

judgments posted on their official websites. The unofficial translations of the Nepalese and Russian judgments contained in this volume were sourced from the NJA Law Journal and the Russian Federation Constitutional Court website respectively, with kind permission. The judgment from Northern Ireland published in this volume carries Crown copyright and contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0; the electronic file was sourced from the United Kingdom Supreme Court website.

Finally, our thanks go to all the others who have worked to complete this volume, particularly our publishers, Cambridge University Press, and typesetters, SPi, and their staff.

C. J. GREENWOOD

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE  
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,  
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

K. L. LEE

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE  
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,  
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

*July 2019*



## EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

### DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, e.g. the International Court of Justice, or ad hoc arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of Justice of the European Union). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

*Human rights cases.* The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Human Rights Committee. Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public

international lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

*International arbitrations.* The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations, even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Albert Jan van den Berg, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration).) But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

#### DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

#### EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editors is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

#### PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

For reproduction of material in this volume, electronic files have been used wherever possible and their source acknowledged in the Preface. Citations of the reports in which the case may be found are listed in the “Report” section in square brackets at the end of the case; the language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text indicate the pagination of the original report where included.

#### NOTES

*Footnotes.* Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

*Other notes.* References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

#### DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at p. xvii.

#### CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 were published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996. A Consolidated Index, Consolidated Tables of Cases and Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-125 were published in 2004. A Consolidated Index and Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-160 were published in 2017. Volume 185 contains Consolidated Tables of Cases for volumes 126-185.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-49770-1 – International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

### ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

Actions pour la Protection des Droits de l’Homme (APDH) <i>v.</i> Republic of Côte d’Ivoire (Application No 1/2014) (Merits) 129	<i>Re</i> Finucane (Application for Judicial Review) 648
Anchugov and Gladkov <i>v.</i> Russia (Application Nos 11157/04 and 15162/05) 224	Fontevicchia and D’Amico <i>v.</i> Argentina (Merits, Reparations and Costs) 376, 429 (note)
Anchugov and Gladkov <i>v.</i> Russia (Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the Case of, <i>Re</i> ) (Judgment No 12-P/2016) 260	Fontevicchia Case (Request by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs <i>in re Fontevicchia and D’Amico v. Argentina</i> ) 430
<i>Re</i> Application by Finucane for Judicial Review 648	Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger) (Judgment) 1
Avotiņš <i>v.</i> Latvia (Application No 17502/07) 294	Google Inc. <i>v.</i> Equustek Solutions Inc. and Others 565
B010 <i>v.</i> Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) 531	Judgment No 12-P/2016 260
BAC <i>v.</i> Greece (Application No 11981/15) 366	Request under Regulation 46(3) of the Regulations of the Court; Decision on the “Prosecution’s Request for a Ruling on Jurisdiction under Article 19(3) of the Statute” (“Rohingya Case”) 441
Burkina Faso/Niger (Frontier Dispute) (Judgment) 1	Rohingya Case 441
Dhakai and Others <i>v.</i> Nepal Government and Others 591	Umuhozo <i>v.</i> Republic of Rwanda (Application No 3/2014) (Merits) (Reparations) 169
<i>Re</i> Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the Case of <i>Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia</i> (Judgment No 12-P/2016) 260	
Ezokola <i>v.</i> Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) 502	

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-49770-1 – International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS AND  
 TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES) AND  
 COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

### I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

<p><i>African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights</i></p> <p>2016            Actions pour la Protection des Droits de l'Homme (APDH) v. Republic of Côte d'Ivoire (Application No 1/2014) (Merits) 129</p> <p>2017            Umuhoza v. Republic of Rwanda (Application No 3/2014) (Merits) 169, 175</p> <p>2018            Umuhoza v. Republic of Rwanda (Application No 3/2014) (Reparations) 169, 211</p> <p><i>European Court of Human Rights</i></p> <p>2013            Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Application Nos 11157/04 and 15162/05) 224</p> <p>2016            Avotiņš v. Latvia (Application No 17502/07) 294            BAC v. Greece (Application No 11981/15) 366</p>	<p><i>Inter-American Court of Human Rights</i></p> <p>2011            Fontevecchia and D'Amico v. Argentina (Merits, Reparations and Costs) 376, 429 (note)</p> <p><i>International Court of Justice</i></p> <p>2013            Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger) (Judgment) 1</p> <p><i>International Criminal Court</i></p> <p>2018            Request under Regulation 46(3) of the Regulations of the Court; Decision on the “Prosecution’s Request for a Ruling on Jurisdiction under Article 19(3) of the Statute” (“Rohingya Case”) 441</p>
---	--

### II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<p><i>Argentina</i></p> <p>2017            Fontevecchia Case (Request by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs <i>in re Fontevecchia and D'Amico v. Argentina</i>) 430</p>	<p><i>Canada</i></p> <p>2013            Ezokola v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) 502</p>
--	---

2015	<i>Russian Federation</i>
B010 <i>v.</i> Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) 531	
2016	
Google Inc. <i>v.</i> Equustek Solutions Inc. and Others 565	<i>Re</i> Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the Case of <i>Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia</i> (Judgment No 12-P/2016) 260
<i>Nepal</i>	<i>United Kingdom, Northern Ireland</i>
2007	2019
Dhakai and Others <i>v.</i> Nepal Government and Others 591	<i>Re</i> Application by Finucane for Judicial Review 648



## DIGEST OF CASES

### List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics. For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. xi.)

Air	International Organizations
<i>Aliens</i>	<i>International Tribunals</i>
Arbitration	<i>Jurisdiction</i>
Canals	Lakes and Landlocked Seas
Claims	Nationality
<i>Comity</i>	<i>Recognition</i>
Conciliation	<i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i>
Consular Relations	Reprisals and Countermeasures
<i>Damages</i>	<i>Rivers</i>
Diplomatic Relations	Sea
Economics, Trade and Finance	<i>Sources of International Law</i>
Environment	Space
<i>Evidence before International Courts and Tribunals</i>	State Immunity
Expropriation	State Responsibility
Extradition	State Succession
<i>General Principles of International Law</i>	States
Governments	<i>Territory</i>
<i>Human Rights</i>	Terrorism
<i>International Court of Justice</i>	<i>Treaties</i>
<i>International Criminal Law</i>	<i>War and Armed Conflict</i>

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-49770-1 – International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 186

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Aliens</b>	
Asylum seeking — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Article 8 — Convention not granting right to any particular type of residence permit — Failure of authorities to take decision on asylum application — Positive obligation of State to examine asylum request promptly — Requirement of effective and accessible procedure — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)	
<i>BAC v. Greece (Application No 11981/15)</i>	366
Migrants to Canada — Appellant seeking refugee status — Prohibited range of conduct making person inadmissible to apply for refugee status — Section 37(1)(b) of Immigration and Refugee Protection Act — “People smuggling” — Whether limited to activity done in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit — Definition in Section 117 — Reliance of Immigration Board on definition in Section 117 — Admissibility to Canada — Canada, Supreme Court	
<i>B010 v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)</i>	531
<b>Comity</b>	
Whether Canadian courts having jurisdiction to grant global injunction — Whether offending sensibilities of any other nation — Whether territorial scope necessary for effective remedy — Whether denial of injunction equitable — Whether global injunction violating international comity — Canada, Supreme Court	
<i>Google Inc. v. Equustek Solutions Inc. and Others</i>	565
<b>Damages</b>	
Reparations — Claim for damages for violation of African Charter of Human and Peoples’ Rights, 1981 and International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Release from imprisonment — Pecuniary damages — Whether evidence substantiating claims — Moral damages — Whether violation of applicant’s rights negatively impacting family members —	

### Damages (*cont.*)

Whether close family members eligible to receive moral damages — Medical evidence — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

*Umhuza v. Republic of Rwanda (Application No 3/2014) (Merits) (Reparations)* 169

Reparations — American Convention on Human Rights, 1969, Article 63(1) — Whether *restitutio in integrum* possible — Measures to ensure respect for any violated rights — Redressing of consequences — Whether Court judgment constituting form of compensation — Whether Argentina to set aside civil sentence — Publications — Monitoring of compliance with judgment — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

*Fontevicchia and D'Amico v. Argentina (Merits, Reparations and Costs)* 376

### Evidence before International Courts and Tribunals

African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights — Claim for damages for violation of African Charter of Human and Peoples' Rights, 1981 and International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Requirement of evidence of material prejudice arising from violation of human right — Whether link shown between material loss and violation — Presumption of moral prejudice on part of applicant due to detention conditions — Whether medical evidence violation of applicant's rights negatively impacting close family members — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

*Umhuza v. Republic of Rwanda (Application No 3/2014) (Merits) (Reparations)* 169

### General Principles of International Law

Principle of intangibility of boundaries inherited from colonization — Whether *effectivités* can be used to contradict a legal title — Equity — Role of equity in delimitation — International Court of Justice

*Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger) (Judgment)* 1

### Human Rights

Democracy — Obligation of State to establish independent and impartial electoral body — Whether Côte d'Ivoire's electoral law

violating its obligation to establish independent and impartial electoral body and right of its citizens to participate in public affairs — Commitments under international human rights treaties ratified by State — African Charter on Democracy, Elections and Governance, 2007 — ECOWAS Protocol on Democracy and Good Governance, 2001 — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

*Actions pour la Protection des Droits de l'Homme (APDH) v. Republic of Côte d'Ivoire (Application No 1/2014)* 129

Execution of judgments — Judgments of European Court of Human Rights — Execution by measure of general character — Execution by amendment of national law — Execution by political process — Achieving conformity through means of application of apparently non-conforming rule — Russian Federation, Constitutional Court

*Re Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Judgment No 12-P/2016)* 260

Execution of judgments — Judgments of European Court of Human Rights — Execution by measure of individual character — Whether execution by measure of individual character possible when applicant may not be considered a victim of established violation — European Court of Human Rights — Competence — Whether review of provisions of national legislation *in abstracto* permissible — Whether European Court of Human Rights can review a particular application of provision *in concreto* — Whether finding on violation when applicant not qualifying as victim amounting to review *in abstracto* — Russian Federation, Constitutional Court

*Re Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Judgment No 12-P/2016)* 260

Fundamental rights in European Union — Guarantees under Article 6(1) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Union membership not relieving States from obligations under European Convention on Human Rights — Obligations to be assessed in light of presumption of equivalent protection established by European Court of Human Rights — Presumption that a State implementing legal obligations flowing from its EU membership not violating its obligations under European Convention — Inapplicability of presumption where

**Human Rights** (*cont.*)

- EU Member State exercising State discretion — Presumption rebutted if protection of Convention rights manifestly deficient — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)  
*Avotiņš v. Latvia* (Application No 17502/07) 294
- Right to a fair hearing — Service of judicial documents — Judgment given in default — Failure to appeal — Burden of proof with regard to existence and availability of an appeal — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)  
*Avotiņš v. Latvia* (Application No 17502/07) 294
- Right to effective remedy — Duties of Nepalese Government to provide effective remedy — Duty to investigate and make information on disappeared persons public — Obligation to prosecute — Rights of persons deprived of their liberty — Orders of habeas corpus and mandamus — Compensation — Relevance of disappearances occurring at time of conflict — Whether interim monetary relief for families of disappeared persons constituting appropriate remedy — Nepal, Supreme Court  
*Dhakai and Others v. Nepal Government and Others* 591
- Rights to equality before law and equal protection of law — Obligation of State to protect citizens' rights — Whether electoral law violating Côte d'Ivoire's commitments to protect its citizens' rights to equality before the law and equal protection of law under international human rights treaties ratified by State — African Charter on Democracy, Elections and Governance, 2007 — ECOWAS Protocol on Democracy and Good Governance, 2001 — African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1981 — Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights  
*Actions pour la Protection des Droits de l'Homme (APDH) v. Republic of Côte d'Ivoire* (Application No 1/2014) 129
- Right to fair trial — Right to defence — African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1981, Article 7 — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966, Article 14 — Due process in criminal trial — Right to cross-examine witnesses — Bar on retroactive legislation — Retroactive application of law legitimate when effect was to reduce sentence — Right to

freedom of expression and opinion — African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, Article 9(2) — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Article 19 — Limits on freedom of expression — Rwanda — Prohibition of negation of genocide — Whether legitimate — Whether penalty proportionate — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

*Umuhoza v. Republic of Rwanda (Application No 3/2014) (Merits) (Reparations)*

169

Right to freedom of thought and expression — Right to privacy — Balancing of fundamental rights — Whether unreasonable or arbitrary interference with right to private life — Obligation to adopt measures to give domestic legal effect to right to freedom of thought and expression — American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Whether Argentina violating petitioners' right to freedom of expression under Article 13 of Convention — Whether Argentina failing to comply with obligation to give domestic legal effect under Article 2 of Convention to right to freedom of expression — Whether Argentina violating Articles 2 and 13 of American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

*Fontevecchia and D'Amico v. Argentina (Merits, Reparations and Costs)*

376

Right to life — Article 2 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Substantive obligation — Procedural obligation — Procedural obligation requiring State to carry out effective official investigation into circumstances of death — Human Rights Act 1998 entering into force on 2 October 2000 — Murder occurring in Northern Ireland in February 1989 — Allegations of State collusion — Strasbourg and United Kingdom jurisprudence — *Šilih* — *McCaughy* — *Janowiec* — Whether genuine connection test satisfied — Whether genuine connection between triggering event of death and critical date of 2 October 2000 — Whether ten-year limit flexible — Most of investigation taking place after critical date — Whether relevant — Whether investigation complying with Article 2 of Convention — Doubt expressed by Sir Desmond de Silva as to whether murder would have occurred without State agent involvement — Relevance — Requirements of Article 2-compliant inquiry — Deficiencies of inquiries conducted to date — New material — Decision of Committee of Ministers — Relevance — Whether United Kingdom breaching procedural obligation under Article 2 of Convention — Whether declaration appropriate — Whether

**Human Rights** (*cont.*)

need further to investigate circumstances of murder — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*Re Application by Finucane for Judicial Review*

648

Right to life — Right to liberty and security of the person — Right to protection from cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment — Enforced disappearances — Whether Nepalese security forces arresting and forcibly disappearing various persons in their custody during armed conflict between Nepalese Communist Party (Maoist) and Government of Nepal — Constitution of Nepal 1990 — Interim Constitution of Nepal 2007 — Whether Government of Nepal complying with its obligations under Constitution of Nepal 1990 and Interim Constitution 2007 — International human rights instruments ratified by Nepal — Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1966 — Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment and Punishment, 1984 — Whether Government of Nepal complying with its obligations under international human rights instruments ratified by Nepal — Relevance of Convention for Protection of all Persons from Enforced Disappearance, 2006 to which Nepal not a party — Nepal, Supreme Court

*Dhakai and Others v. Nepal Government and Others*

591

Right to privacy — Right to freedom of speech — Inter-American Court of Human Rights finding infringement of freedom of speech — Inter-American Court of Human Rights ordering annulment of ruling of domestic court — American Convention on Human Rights, 1969, Article 63(1) — Remedial jurisdiction of Inter-American Court of Human Rights — Whether limits of jurisdiction exceeded — Whether Inter-American Court of Human Rights having power to annul ruling of domestic court — Whether domestic court obliged to comply with ruling of Inter-American Court of Human Rights — Argentina, Supreme Court

*Fontevicchia Case (Request by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in re Fontevicchia and D'Amico v. Argentina)*

430

Right to vote and stand for election — Article 3 of Protocol No 1 to European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Restrictions of



right to vote for convicted prisoners — Article 32(3) of Constitution of Russian Federation — Application of provision — Russian Federation, Constitutional Court

*Re Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Judgment No 12-P/2016)*

260

Right to vote and stand for election — Article 3 of Protocol No 1 to European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether Article applicable to elections of Head of State — Limitations of right — Margin of appreciation — Whether disenfranchisement of all convicted prisoners falling outside any permissible margin of appreciation — Article 32(3) of Russian Constitution — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Applications Nos 11157/04 and 15162/05)*

224

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Article 8 — Private life — Article 13 — Positive obligation of State to adopt effective and accessible procedure to secure respect for private life — Asylum requests — Obligation of State to adopt regulations guaranteeing examination of asylum request within reasonable time to minimise situation of insecurity — Requirement of effective and accessible procedure — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*BAC v. Greece (Application No 11981/15)*

366

### International Court of Justice

Jurisdiction — *Compromis* — Delimitation — Request to include agreement between Parties in operative part of Court's decision — Judicial function of Court — Definition of dispute — Whether lack of formalization of agreement relevant to existence of dispute — Whether recording agreement of Parties would be outside the judicial function of Court — Article 38(1) of Statute of the Court — International Court of Justice

*Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger) (Judgment)*

1

### International Criminal Law

Crimes against humanity — Deportation and forcible transfer — Myanmar — Whether commission of at least one legal element of a crime on territory of a State Party justifying International

### International Criminal Law (*cont.*)

Criminal Court's jurisdiction — International Criminal Court (Pre-Trial Chamber I)

*Request under Regulation 46(3) of the Regulations of the Court (Decision on the "Prosecution's Request for a Ruling on Jurisdiction under Article 19(3) of the Statute") ("Rohingya Case")* 441

International crimes — Crimes against humanity — Individual criminal liability for complicity — Complicity in international crimes committed by a government — Contribution-based test to complicity — Voluntary, significant and knowing contribution to international crimes committed by a government — Complicity by association or passive acquiescence — Canada, Supreme Court

*Ezokola v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)* 502

### International Tribunals

African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights — Jurisdiction — Material jurisdiction — Whether Court having jurisdiction to hear the application — Admissibility — Whether application admissible — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

*Umuhuza v. Republic of Rwanda (Application No 3/2014) (Merits) (Reparations)* 169

African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights — Jurisdiction — Preliminary examination — Obligation of court to carry out preliminary examination of its jurisdiction — Material jurisdiction — Jurisdiction to interpret and apply human rights ratified by State — Whether African Charter on Democracy, Elections and Governance, 2007 and ECOWAS Protocol on Democracy and Good Governance, 2001 constituting "human rights instruments" within meaning of Article 3(1) of Protocol to African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1998 — Admissibility of application — Exhaustion of local remedies — Use of insulting or disparaging language in application — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

*Actions pour la Protection des Droits de l'Homme (APDH) v. Republic of Côte d'Ivoire (Application No 1/2014)* 129

European Court of Human Rights — Admissibility criteria — Article 35 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Exhaustion of domestic remedies — Whether referral to President of Russia and State Duma constituting adequate and effective remedy — Six months' time limit — Whether starting from cessation of a continuing situation — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Applications Nos 11157/04 and 15162/05)* 224

European Court of Human Rights — Competence — Whether excluding certain types of rules or measures by a State — Whether examination of provisions of a State's Constitution by European Court of Human Rights permitted — Whether European Court of Human Rights reviewing provisions of national legislation *in abstracto* — Whether European Court of Human Rights examining particular effect of provisions on applicant's rights *in concreto* — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Applications Nos 11157/04 and 15162/05)* 224

International Criminal Court — Jurisdiction — Crime allegedly committed on territory of a State party and a State not party to the Statute — Procedure — Request from Prosecutor for ruling on jurisdiction — Request made at early stage of investigation — International Criminal Court (Pre-Trial Chamber I)

*Request under Regulation 46(3) of the Regulations of the Court (Decision on the "Prosecution's Request for a Ruling on Jurisdiction under Article 19(3) of the Statute") ("Robingya Case")* 441

Jurisdiction — Inter-American Court of Human Rights — Remedial jurisdiction — American Convention on Human Rights, 1969, Article 63(1) — Whether Inter-American Court of Human Rights having power to annul ruling of domestic court — Whether exceeding limits of jurisdiction — Whether Convention authorizing Court to order reversal of domestic judgment — Whether domestic court obliged to comply with ruling of Inter-American Court of Human Rights — Argentina, Supreme Court

*Fontevicchia Case (Request by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in re Fontevicchia and D'Amico v. Argentina)* 430

## Jurisdiction

Execution of judgments — Judgments of European Court of Human Rights — Hierarchy of legal acts — Article 15 of Constitution of Russian Federation — Supreme legal force of Russian Constitution — International law as part of national legal order — Whether international judgments to be executed only if conforming with Russian Constitution — Power of Russian Constitutional Court to review compatibility of judgments with Russian Constitution — Russian Federation, Constitutional Court

*Re Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Judgment No 12-P/2016)*

260

Extra-territorial — Whether Canadian courts having jurisdiction to grant injunction — Interlocutory injunction — Third party — Interlocutory injunction enjoining third party to underlying dispute — British Columbia company suing former distributor for selling, via Internet, a product that allegedly infringed upon its intellectual property — British Columbia courts issuing interlocutory injunction — Google ordered to de-index globally websites of company selling allegedly infringing product — Whether non-parties to dispute immune from injunction — Whether injunction necessary and effective in preventing irreparable harm to British Columbia company — Whether British Columbia courts possessing jurisdiction to grant injunction with extraterritorial reach — Comity — Whether global injunction violating international comity — Whether injunction just and equitable — Canada, Supreme Court

*Google Inc. v. Equustek Solutions Inc. and Others*

565

Territorial — Trans-boundary crimes — Deportation and forcible transfer — Investigation into alleged deportation and forcible transfer of Rohingya minority from Myanmar to Bangladesh — International Criminal Court — Rome Statute, 1998 — Article 19(3) — Whether ICC having jurisdiction — Whether ruling on jurisdiction under Article 19(3) applicable — Article 119(1) — Existence of dispute — Principle of *la compétence de la compétence* — Effect of jurisdiction on States non-Parties — Myanmar not party to the Statute — International Criminal Court (Pre-Trial Chamber I)

*Request under Regulation 46(3) of the Regulations of the Court (Decision on the “Prosecution’s Request for a Ruling on Jurisdiction under Article 19(3) of the Statute”) (“Rohingya Case”)*

441

## Recognition

Mutual recognition based on European Union Law — Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (“Brussels I Regulation”) — Recognition and enforcement of judgment given in default — Applicability of “*Bosphorus* presumption” of equivalent protection — Whether Article 34(2) of Brussels I Regulation conferring any discretion to domestic courts — Whether preliminary ruling from European Court of Justice always required to be sought to satisfy *Bosphorus* test — Whether protection of fundamental rights manifestly deficient — Guarantees under Article 6(1) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether respondent State violating Article 6(1) of European Convention — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Avotiņš v. Latvia (Application No 17502/07)*

294

## Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law

Approach taken by other State Parties to concept of complicity — Whether Canadian approach needing rearticulation — Interpretation of Article 1F(a) of United Nations Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, 1951 excluding complicity by association or passive acquiescence — Canada, Supreme Court

*Ezokola v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)*

502

European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Human Rights Act 1998 — Human Rights Act 1998 entering into force on 2 October 2000 — Murder occurring in Northern Ireland in February 1989 — Allegations of State collusion — Article 2 of Convention — Substantive obligation — Procedural obligation — Procedural obligation requiring State to carry out effective official investigation into circumstances of death — Strasbourg and United Kingdom jurisprudence — *Šilih* — *McCaughy* — *Janowiec* — Whether genuine connection test satisfied — Whether genuine connection between triggering event of death and critical date of 2 October 2000 — Whether ten-year limit flexible — Most of investigation taking place after critical date — Whether relevant — Whether investigation complying with Article 2 of Convention — Doubt expressed by Sir Desmond de Silva as to whether murder would have occurred without State agent involvement — Relevance — Requirements of Article 2-compliant inquiry — Deficiencies of inquiries

### Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law (*cont.*)

conducted to date — New material — Decision of Committee of Ministers — Relevance — Whether United Kingdom breaching procedural obligation under Article 2 of Convention — Whether declaration appropriate — Whether need further to investigate circumstances of murder — Whether possible for appellant to maintain action in domestic courts under Human Rights Act 1998 when Act not in force at time of her husband's murder — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*Re Application by Finucane for Judicial Review* 648

Treaties — American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Article 13 of Convention guaranteeing right to freedom of expression — Obligation under Article 2 of Convention to give domestic legal effect to right to freedom of expression — Whether Argentina violating Articles 2 and 13 of American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

*Fontev ecchia and D'Amico v. Argentina (Merits, Reparations and Costs)* 376

Treaties — American Convention on Human Rights, 1969, Article 63(1) — Remedial jurisdiction of Inter-American Court of Human Rights — Whether Inter-American Court of Human Rights exceeding its limits — Whether Inter-American Court of Human Rights having power to annul ruling of domestic court — *Res judicata* — Argentinian Constitution — Fundamental principles of Argentinian law — Whether prevailing over treaty obligations — Extent to which judgments of Inter-American Court of Human Rights binding on State — Argentina, Supreme Court

*Fontev ecchia Case (Request by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in re Fontev ecchia and D'Amico v. Argentina)* 430

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Protocol No 1 to Convention — Article 3 — Russian Constitution — Article 15 of Russian Constitution — Russian Constitution having supreme legal force — Execution of judgment of European Court of Human Rights — Whether possible to execute judgment of European Court of Human Rights in accordance with Russian Constitution — Russian Federation, Constitutional Court

*Re Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Judgment No 12-P/2016)* 260

Treaties — Nepal — Human rights treaties — Act incorporating international human rights treaties into Nepalese domestic law — Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1966 — Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment and Punishment, 1984 — Implementation of resulting obligations — Relevance of Convention for Protection of all Persons from Enforced Disappearance, 2006 to which Nepal not a party — Constitution of Nepal 1990 and Interim Constitution 2007 — Whether Government of Nepal complying with its obligations — Nepal, Supreme Court

*Dhakal and Others v. Nepal Government and Others* 591

Treaties — United Nations Convention Relating to Status of Refugees, 1951 — 1967 Protocol — Canada signatory — Canada's obligations under treaties — Parliamentary intention — Canadian Immigration and Refugee Protection Act — Section 37(1)(b) — Interpretation — Inadmissibility to Canada on ground of “people smuggling” — Whether “people smuggling” limited to activity done in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit — Definition in Section 117 — Reliance of Immigration Board on definition in Section 117 — Admissibility to Canada — Canada, Supreme Court

*B010 v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)* 531

## Rivers

River boundary — Whether frontier located on bank of river or along median line — Access of population to water resources — International Court of Justice

*Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger) (Judgment)* 1

## Sources of International Law

Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, 1998 — Article 25(3)(d) on common purpose liability — Ad hoc tribunals' jurisprudence on joint criminal enterprise — Whether these modes of commission of international crimes also capturing complicity by association, rank or passive acquiescence — Nexus requirement between individual's conduct and group's criminal activity — Canada, Supreme Court

*Ezokola v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)* 502

## Territory

Boundaries — Demarcation — Boundaries between units of same colonial empire — Boundaries of former colonies — *Uti possidetis* — Organization of African Unity resolution on post-colonial boundaries — Whether to be equated with *uti possidetis* — Interpretation of colonial legislation regarding boundary — Burkina Faso and Niger — Relevance of map — *Effectivités* — Impact of boundary decision on population of border area — International Court of Justice

*Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Niger) (Judgment)*

1

## Treaties

American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Whether Convention authorizing Inter-American Court of Human Rights to order reversal of domestic judgment — Obligations of State under Convention — Whether domestic court obliged to comply with ruling of Inter-American Court of Human Rights — Argentina, Supreme Court

*Fontevicchia Case (Request by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in re Fontevicchia and D'Amico v. Argentina)*

430

Application — Human rights treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Right to life — Article 2 — Substantive obligation — Procedural obligation — Procedural obligation requiring State to carry out effective official investigation into circumstances of death — Human Rights Act 1998 — Death occurring before Human Rights Act 1998 entering into force on 2 October 2000 — Whether procedural obligation arising — Strasbourg and United Kingdom jurisprudence — *Šilih* — *McCaughey* — *Janowiec* — Whether genuine connection test satisfied — Whether genuine connection between triggering event of death and critical date of 2 October 2000 — Whether ten-year limit flexible — Most of investigation taking place after critical date — Whether relevant — Whether investigation complying with Article 2 of Convention — Doubt expressed by Sir Desmond de Silva as to whether murder would have occurred without State agent involvement — Relevance — Requirements of Article 2-compliant inquiry — Deficiencies of inquiries conducted to date — New material — Decision of Committee of Ministers — Relevance — Whether United Kingdom breaching procedural obligation under Article 2 of Convention — Whether



## DIGEST OF CASES

xxxiii

declaration appropriate — Whether need further to investigate circumstances of murder — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*Re Application by Finucane for Judicial Review* 648

Interpretation — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — “Evolutive” interpretation — Whether “evolutive” interpretation should be based on consensus between all Contracting States — Whether absence of consensus giving Contracting State right to object to interpretation — Russian Federation, Constitutional Court

*Re Execution of the Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Anchugov and Gladkov v. Russia (Judgment No 12-P/2016)* 260

Interpretation — United Nations Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, 1951 — Article 1F(a) — Refugee protection status — Whether exclusion from definition of refugee under Article 1F(a) on basis of complicity in international crimes — Canada, Supreme Court

*Ezokola v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)* 502

**War and Armed Conflict**

Non-international armed conflict — Armed conflict between Nepalese Communist Party (Maoist) and Government of Nepal — Duty of State regarding detainees — Whether Government of Nepal complying with its obligations under international human rights instruments ratified by Nepal — Whether Nepalese domestic legal framework on enforced disappearances adequate and effective — Nepal, Supreme Court

*Dhakal and Others v. Nepal Government and Others* 591

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-49770-1 – International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## TABLE OF TREATIES

This table contains a list, in chronological order according to the date of signature, of the treaties referred to in the decisions printed in the present volume. It has not been possible to draw a helpful distinction between treaties judicially considered and treaties which are merely cited.

In the case of bilateral treaties, the names of the parties are given in alphabetical order. Names of countries follow contemporaneous usage except in the case of small spelling changes (e.g. Roumania/Romania) where modern usage is adopted throughout. Where this seems helpful, the name of a treaty as it is commonly known is included.

Wherever possible, each treaty includes a reference to where an online English-language version of the treaty can be found. For treaties between 1648 and 1919, this is almost always the Consolidated Treaty Series (CTS), under Oxford Historical Treaties on the Oxford Public International Law website. Post-1919, references are most frequently to the League of Nations Treaty Series/United Nations Treaty Series (LNTS/UNTS). Here it should be noted that historically LNTS/UNTS references have taken the form “39 UNTS 55”, 39 being the volume number, 55 the page number. With effect from UNTS volume 2301, UNTS no longer includes the volume and page number as part of the reference, using simply the registration number. UNTS references are now in the form UNTS 42146. For ILR purposes, because of its value as an identifier, the registration number is now being added parenthetically to pre-volume 2301 treaties in the form 213 UNTS 221 [UNTS 2889].

Reference is also made to other treaty databases such as the European Treaty Series (ETS), Organization of American States Treaty Series (OASTS) or to Official Journals, particularly where these are the only databases currently hosting a treaty. Where a treaty appears to be available only on the website of the parent international organization, usually without any citation identifier, users are referred to the relevant website. For bilateral treaties, often the only source it has been possible to find is a non-English-language version on one of the parties’ official websites, such as the parliamentary proceedings approving the treaty. Users requiring a comprehensive list of written sources should refer to the CTS, or to the Flare Index to Treaties, available at IALS Digital.

For abbreviations used in the Table of Treaties reference should be made to the table of abbreviations attached to the index in individual volumes.

### 1945

June 26	Charter of the United Nations ( <a href="https://treaties.un.org/">https://treaties.un.org/</a> ) (UNTS registration number “not available”)	
	Preamble .....	461
	Chapter IX	
	Art. 55 .....	615-16

June 26	Statute of the International Court of Justice ( <a href="https://treaties.un.org/">https://treaties.un.org/</a> ) (UNTS registration number “not available”)	
	Chapter I	
	Art. 16 .....	160-1
	Art. 17 .....	160-1
	Art. 24 .....	160-1
	Art. 38(1) .....	29-33
	Art. 40(3) .....	11
Aug. 8	France, UK, USA, USSR, Agreement for the Prosecution and Punishment of Major War Criminals (London Agreement) (Nuremberg Charter) (82 UNTS 279 [UNTS 251])	
	Art. 6(c) .....	472-3
<b>1948</b>		
Dec. 9	Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (78 UNTS 277 [UNTS 1021])	
	Art. VI .....	462
<b>1950</b>		
Nov. 4	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, First Protocol (20 March 1952) (213 UNTS 221 [UNTS 2889])	
	Art. 3 .....	238-57, 264-93
<b>1951</b>		
July 28	Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (Geneva Convention; RC) (189 UNTS 150 [UNTS 2545])	542-3
	Preamble .....	512-13
	Chapter I	
	Art. 1F(a) .....	505-30
	Chapter V	
	Art. 31(1) .....	542-3, 546
<b>1957</b>		
Mar. 25	Treaty Establishing the European Economic Community (Treaty of Rome) (298 UNTS 11 [UNTS 4300]) (as amended by the Treaties of Amsterdam (2700 UNTS 161 [UNTS 47936]) and Nice (2701 UNTS 3 [UNTS 47937])) (EEC)	
	Art. 6 .....	308-9
	Art. 177(3) .....	318-19
	Art. 234 .....	318-19
<b>1964</b>		
June 23	Upper Volta [Burkina Faso]–Niger, Protocol relating to the delimitation of their common frontier	
	Art. 2 .....	61

## TABLE OF TREATIES

xxxvii

**1965**

- Nov. 15 Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil and Commercial Matters (658 UNTS 163 [UNTS 9432]) ..... 332
- Dec. 21 International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD) (60 UNTS 195 [UNTS 9464])  
 Art. 5(d)(ii) ..... 481-2

**1966**

- Dec. 16 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) (999 UNTS 171 [UNTS 14668]) ..... 615-16  
 Art. 2 ..... 618, 637-8  
 Art. 6 ..... 617-18  
 Art. 9 ..... 617-18, 637-8  
 Art. 10(1) ..... 236  
 Art. 10(3) ..... 230-2, 236  
 Art. 12(4) ..... 481-2  
 Art. 14(1) ..... 194-5  
 Art. 14(2) ..... 190-1  
 Art. 15(1) ..... 195-7  
 Art. 19 ..... 209, 215  
 Art. 19(3) ..... 203-8  
 Art. 25 ..... 236-7, 250-1, 270, 278-9  
 Art. 25(b) ..... 270  
 Art. 26 ..... 136-7, 153-6, 165-6
- Dec. 16 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) (993 UNTS 3 [UNTS 14531]) ..... 615-16  
 Art. 10 ..... 617-18

**1967**

- Jan. 31 Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees (RC Protocol) (606 UNTS 267 [UNTS 8791]) ..... 542-3

**1968**

- Sept. 27 Brussels Convention on Jurisdiction and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (EEX Convention) (1262 UNTS 153 [UNTS 20747])  
 Art. 27(2) ..... 325-6  
 Art. 34(2) *in fine* ..... 326-8

**1969**

- May 23 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) (1155 UNTS 331 [UNTS 18232])  
 Art. 27 ..... 235, 256, 439

	Art. 31 .....	512-13
	Art. 31(1) .....	435-6, 488-9
	Art. 31(3)(c) .....	472-3
	Art. 34 .....	458-60
	Art. 38 .....	460
Nov. 22	American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR) (1144 UNTS 123 [UNTS 17512])	
	Art. 1(1) .....	389, 428-9
	Art. 2 .....	411-18, 428-9
	Art. 11 .....	389-91, 401-3
	Art. 11(2) .....	402
	Art. 13 .....	380-429
	Art. 13(2) .....	388, 400
	Art. 13(2)(a) .....	403
	Art. 46(1)(a) .....	432-40
	Art. 51 .....	381-2
	Art. 61 .....	381-2
	Art. 61(2) .....	432-40
	Art. 62(1) .....	435-6
	Art. 62(3) .....	384, 435-6
	Art. 63(1) .....	418-28, 432-40
	Art. 68(1) .....	432-40
<b>1973</b>		
Nov. 30	International Convention on the Crime of Apartheid (Apartheid Convention) (1015 UNTS 243 [UNTS 14861])	
	Art. II(c) .....	481-2
<b>1981</b>		
June 26	African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (ACHPR) (1520 UNTS 218 [UNTS 26363])	
	Art. 1 .....	141-2
	Art. 3 .....	136-7, 143-4, 153-5
	Art. 3(1) .....	165-6
	Art. 3(2) .....	155-6, 165-6
	Art. 4 .....	140-1
	Art. 6 .....	140-1
	Art. 7 .....	190-8
	Art. 7(1)(b) .....	190-1, 209
	Art. 7(1)(c) .....	191-4, 215
	Art. 7(1)(d) .....	194-5, 209
	Art. 7(2) .....	195-7, 209
	Art. 9(2) .....	198-209, 215
	Art. 13(1) .....	136-7, 141-4, 155-6, 165-6

## TABLE OF TREATIES

xxxix

	Art. 13(2) .....	136-7, 141-4, 155-6, 165-6
	Art. 26 .....	141-2
	Art. 27(2) .....	203-4
	Art. 50 .....	143-4, 186
	Art. 56 .....	143-4, 186
	Art. 56(5) .....	186-9
<b>1984</b>		
Dec. 10	UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (New York Convention) (UNCAT) (1465 UNTS 85 [UNTS 24841]) .....	615-16, 619
<b>1987</b>		
Mar. 28	Burkina Faso–Niger, Agreement on the establishment of the border between the two countries (2707 UNTS 31 [UNTS 47964]) .....	110-11
	Preamble .....	34
	Art. 1 .....	34-6
	Art. 2 .....	22, 41-7, 77
	Art. 3 .....	22-3
	Art. 5 .....	61
	Art. 7 .....	31
Mar. 28	Burkina Faso–Niger, Protocol on the establishment of the border between the two countries (2707 UNTS 37 [UNTS 47965]) .....	22-3
	Art. 1 .....	22-3
	Art. 2 .....	22-3
	Arts. 11-20 .....	53
	Art. 13 .....	61-2
	Art. 14 .....	61-2
	Art. 20 .....	61-2
<b>1994</b>		
June 9	Inter-American Convention on the Forced Disappearance of Persons (33 ILM 1618 (1994)) .....	617
<b>1998</b>		
June 10	Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on the Establishment of the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (2144 UNTS 255 [UNTS 37437]) (ACHPR Protocol) .....	137-8, 140-3, 156-7
	Art. 3 .....	140-3, 185
	Art. 3(1) .....	138, 175, 185
	Art. 5(3) .....	143-4
	Art. 6(2) .....	

	Art. 16 .....	160-1
	Art. 17 .....	160-1
	Art. 18 .....	160-1
	Art. 22 .....	160-1
	Art. 27(1) .....	209-10, 212-23
	Art. 34(6) .....	134, 139-40, 143, 175, 182, 183, 185
July 17	Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC) (2187 UNTS 90 [UNTS 38544])	
	Art. 7(1)(d) .....	470-4
	Art. 7(1)(h) .....	481
	Art. 7(1)(k) .....	481-2
	Art. 7(2)(g) .....	481-2
	Art. 9(3) .....	472
	Art. 12(2)(a) .....	447, 453-4, 475-83
	Art. 15 .....	482-3
	Art. 15(3) .....	482-5, 500-1
	Art. 19(1) .....	498
	Art. 19(3) .....	447-501
	Art. 21 .....	497-8
	Art. 21(1)(b) .....	454-7
	Art. 25 .....	516
	Art. 25(3)(d) .....	516-19
	Art. 30 .....	519
	Art. 30(2)(a) .....	526
	Art. 30(2)(b) .....	526
	Art. 30(3) .....	526
	Art. 31(1)(d) .....	525
	Art. 53(1)(a)-(c) .....	482-4
	Art. 68(3) .....	448, 451-2
	Art. 119 .....	454
	Art. 119(1) .....	487
Nov. 1	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1950) as amended by Protocol 11, effective as of 1 November 1998 (ECHR) (213 UNTS 221 [UNTS 2889])	
	Art. 1 .....	239-40, 256
	Art. 2 .....	677-95
	Art. 6(1) .....	299-365
	Art. 8(1) .....	368-75
	Art. 10 .....	257-8
	Art. 11 .....	401-11
	Art. 13 .....	374-5
	Art. 14 .....	257-8



## TABLE OF TREATIES

xli

	Art. 19 .....	239-40, 290-1
	Art. 21 .....	160-1
	Art. 23(4) .....	160-1
	Art. 26(4) .....	300-1
	Art. 26(5) .....	300-1
	Art. 34 .....	290-1, 349
	Art. 35(1) .....	241-7, 300, 353
	Art. 36(2) .....	300-1
	Art. 41 .....	258-9, 291
	Art. 43 .....	300-1
	Art. 45(2) .....	358
	Art. 46 .....	264-93
	Art. 46(1) .....	349
<b>2000</b>		
Nov. 15	Palermo Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (2225 UNTS 209 [UNTS 39574]) .....	541-2, 543-5, 547
	Art. 2(a) .....	544
	Art. 5 .....	547
Nov. 15	Palermo Protocol against Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air (2241 UNTS 507 [UNTS 39574]) .....	543-5, 547
	Art. 3(a) .....	544
	Art. 6(1)(a) .....	544
	Art. 19(1) .....	544
Nov. 15	Palermo Protocol against Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children (2237 UNTS 343 [UNTS 39574]) .....	543-5
	Art. 14(1) .....	544
Dec. 7	European Charter of Fundamental Rights (CFR) (OJ 2000 C364/01) .....	308-13
	Art. 6 .....	545-6
	Art. 47 .....	310, 316-17
	Art. 53 .....	310, 315-18, 349
<b>2001</b>		
Dec. 21	ECOWAS Protocol on Democracy and Good Governance (ECOWAS Democracy Protocol) (available at <a href="https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/RuleOfLaw/CompilationDemocracy/Pages/ECOWASProtocol.aspx">https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/RuleOfLaw/CompilationDemocracy/Pages/ECOWASProtocol.aspx</a> ) .....	134, 140-3
	Art. 3 .....	136-7, 149-55

**2003**

- Jan. 26 Burkina Faso–Niger, Protocol of Agreement Establishing a  
 Consultation Framework  
     Art. 1 ..... 62  
     Art. 2 ..... 62

**2006**

- Dec. 20 International Convention for the Protection of All  
 Persons from Enforced Disappearance (CED)  
 (UNTS 48088) ..... 615, 616-17, 632-4  
     Art. 2 ..... 617  
     Art. 24(2) ..... 637

**2007**

- Jan. 30 African Charter on Democracy, Elections and Governance  
 (ACDEG) (UNTS 55377) ..... 134, 140-3  
     Art. 2(1) ..... 140-1  
     Art. 3(1) ..... 140-1  
     Art. 10(3) ..... 136-7, 153-5, 164-8  
     Art. 17 ..... 141, 155-6  
     Art. 17(1) ..... 136-7, 149-53, 158-68
- Dec. 13 Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union  
 (TEU) (OJ 2010 C83/1)  
     Title I Common Provisions  
     Art. 6 ..... 308
- Dec. 13 Treaty of Lisbon on the Functioning of the European Union  
 (TFEU) (OJ 2012 C326/47)  
     Part III Union Policies and Internal Actions, Title V Area of  
 Freedom, Security and Justice, Chapter 1 General Provisions  
     Art. 67 ..... 309, 352-3  
     Art. 67(1) ..... 353  
     Part III Union Policies and Internal Actions, Title V Area of  
 Freedom, Security and Justice, Chapter 3 Judicial Cooperation in  
 Civil Matters  
     Art. 81(1) ..... 352-3  
     Art. 81(2) ..... 352-3  
     Part III Union Policies and Internal Actions, Title V Area of  
 Freedom, Security and Justice, Chapter 4 Judicial Cooperation  
 in Criminal Matters  
     Art. 82(1) ..... 310

## TABLE OF TREATIES

xlili

Part VI Institutional Financial Provisions, Title I Institutional Provisions, Chapter 2 Legal Acts of the Union, Adoption Procedures and Other Provisions, Section 1 The Legal Acts of the Union

Art. 288 ..... 310

**2009**

Feb. 24	Special Agreement seising the ICJ of the boundary dispute between Burkina Faso and Niger (UNTS 47966) .....	8-11, 24-5
	Art. 2 .....	25, 42-3, 46-7, 126-8
	Art. 2(1) .....	25, 28, 38-9
	Art. 2(2) .....	25, 27-33
	Art. 6 .....	33-7
	Art. 7(4) .....	17, 54-5
	Art. 30(1) .....	526

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-49770-1 – International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---