### INDEX

#### Abbreviations used in the index

ACHR (American Convention on Human Rights (1969))
Antarctic Protocol (Antarctic Treaty (1991), Protocol on Environmental Protection)
CBD (Biological Diversity Convention (1992))
CETA (EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (2016))
CIL (customary international law)
CJEC/CJEU (Court of Justice of the European Communities/Union)
EA (Euratom Treaty)
ECAA Agreement (European Common Aviation Area Agreement)
ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))
ECJ (European Court of Justice)
EEA (European Economic Area)
EIA (environmental impact assessment)
Espoo (Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context, 1991)
FTA (Free Trade Agreement)
GEM (Guardabarranco Environment Movement (Nicaragua))
IBA (International Bar Association)
ICC (International Criminal Court/ICC Statute)
ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
ICS (Investment Court System)
ILL/IDI Resolution on universal civil jurisdiction (Institute of International Law/Institut de droit international Resolution of 30 August 2015 on universal civil jurisdiction with regard to reparation for international crimes)
ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Draft Articles on State Responsibility)
ILC(TBH) (International Law Commission Draft Articles on Prevention of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities, with commentaries (2001))
ISDS (investor–State dispute settlement)
Managua Convention (Convention for the Conservation of the Biodiversity and the Protection of Priority Wilderness Areas in Central America (1992))
OAS (Organization of American States/OAS Charter)
PCIJ (Permanent Court of International Justice/PCIJ Statute)
Ramsar (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (1971))
ROC (Rules of Court/Regulations of Court)
ROP (Rules of Procedure)
TEC (Treaty establishing the European Community)
TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))
TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))
UNC (United Nations Charter (1945))
UNCC (UN Compensation Commission)
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UNEP (UN Environment Programme)
UNGA (United Nations General Assembly)
Water Convention (Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes (1992))
WTO (World Trade Organization)

affidavit
admissibility
affidavit of State official for purpose of litigation 258-9
ICJ 258-9
treatment with caution 258-9

Biological Diversity Convention (1992) (CBD), EIAs (CBD 14) 281-2, 358, 407-8

causation/causal link as requirement for finding of liability for reparation (ILC(SR) 31(2)), Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) 432-3; see also Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation)
CETA (EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement) (2016): see Opinion 1/17; and the Table of Treaties
CETA Tribunal: see Opinion 1/17
CJEU competence (TEU 19(1)/TFEU 19(3))
decisions of authority established by treaties and entrusted with responsibility for its implementation 571
Sevince 571
Member States’ obligation to provide remedies sufficient to ensure effective legal protection for EU law (TEU 19(1)) (Opinion 1/17) 659

common commercial policy (CCP)
Opinion 2/15 (EUSFTA) 568
“shall be conducted in the context of the principles and objectives of the Union’s external action” (TFEU 207(1)) 605-6

compensation for damage caused by internationally wrongful act as alternative to restitution (including ILC(SR) 36/Chorzów Factory principle)
compensation for costs and expenses incurred as a result of unlawful activities 446-65; see also Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation)
compensation as preferred remedy, justification 503-6
impossibility of restitution/disproportionate burden of restitution (ILC(SR) 35) 503-4
injured State’s preference for 503-5
material impossibility of restitution (ILC(SR) (35(a))) 504-5
equitable considerations (ILC(SR) 36) (commentary) 481-3, 506-7, 535-6
jurisprudence
Avena 432-3
Diallo 432, 535-6
Gabčíkovo–Nagymaros Project 432
Pulp Mills 432, 503-4
compensation for damage caused by internationally wrongful act as alternative to restitution (including ILC(SR) 36/Chorzów Factory principle) (cont.)

Trail Smelter 433, 542-3
wrongful conduct as measure of 539-42
II. C(SR) 36 (commentary) 540

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua: see Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (background, including facts, procedural history and jurisdiction); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation), separate and dissenting opinions and declarations; Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (joinder of cases); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Merits); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Indication of New); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)), separate opinion (Cançado Trindade J) (dissenting); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)), separate opinion (Dugard, Judge ad hoc) (dissenting) (presence of GEM in disputed territory); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)) (separate opinions and declarations); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Counterclaims); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Merits) (Court’s decision); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Merits) (separate opinions and declarations); Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road) (issues); Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road) (joinder of cases); Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road) (Nicaragua’s request for provisional measures)

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (background, including facts, procedural history and jurisdiction) 226-51

background (geographical)
Isla Calero 248
Northeast Caribbean Wetland/San Juan River Wildlife Refuge 248
San Juan/Lower San Juan/Colorado Rivers 247-8

background (historical) (in chronological order)
Treaty of Limits (1858) (Cañas-Jerez Treaty) (1858 Treaty) 248-50
Arbitration Treaty (1886) 250
Cleveland Award (1888) 250
Pacheco–Matus Convention (1896) 250-1
demarcation process/Alexander Awards (1897-1900) 250-1
Navigational Rights (2009) 251
Costa Rica starts construction of Route 1856 Juan Rafael Mora Porras (the “road”) 251
Nicaragua starts works to improve navigability (18 October 2010) 251
Costa Rica files Application and Request for Provisional Measures (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua) (18 November 2010) 251

background (sketch-maps)
1: geographical context 249
2: Route 1856 Juan Rafael Mora Porras 252
3: “first channel” 256
4: the three caños in the northern part of Isla Portillos as excavated in 2010 and 2013 431
Costa Rica’s Application
jurisdiction, basis 32
Nicaragua’s alleged breaches of obligations 30-1
requests to Court, 32: see also Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica))
jurisdiction (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)
Costa Rica’s position (Bogotá XXXI/Costa Rica’s ICJ 36(2) declaration/Nicaragua’s PCIJ 36 declaration) 227, 247
Court’s conclusion 247
jurisdiction (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica)
Court’s conclusion 247
Nicaragua’s position 228, 247
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)
Application (Costa Rica) 236-7
oral proceedings
Costa Rica 239-41
Nicaragua 241-2
written proceedings
Costa Rica 237-8
Nicaragua 238
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica)
Application (Nicaragua) 242
notification to parties to the Ramsar Convention 227
oral proceedings
Costa Rica 247
Nicaragua 245-7
request for provisional measures (Costa Rica) (18 November 2010) 227
written proceedings
Costa Rica 245
Nicaragua 242-5
procedural history in chronological order
appointment of ad hoc judges 227
Order of 9 March 2011 (provisional measures) 227
institution of proceedings (Nicaragua) (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica) (Construction of a Road) (Application of 22 December 2011) 228
notification to parties to the Ramsar Convention, CBD and Managua Convention 228
appointment of ad hoc judges 228
Counter-Memorial (Nicaragua), counterclaims (6 August 2012) 228-9
objections to admissibility (19 September 2012) 229
Nicaragua’s request to Court to decide proprio motu on the need for provisional measures (ROC 75(2)) (19 December 2012) 229
dismissal of request (11 March 2013) 229
joinder of cases (17 April 2013), change of ad hoc judge consequent on 230
Court’s ruling on the admissibility of Nicaragua’s counterclaims (18 April 2013) 230
Costa Rica/Nicaragua, requests for modification of 8 March 2011 Order for Provisional Measures (23 May 2013) 230
Court’s dismissal of (16 July 2013) 230
request for new provisional measures (Costa Rica) (24 September 2013) 230-1
request for provisional measures (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica) (Nicaragua) (11 October 2013) 230-1
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (background, including facts, procedural history and jurisdiction) (cont.)

Court’s reaffirmation of 8 March 2011 provisional measures/indication of new measures addressed to both parties (22 November 2013) 230-1

Court’s dismissal (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica) of request for provisional measures (13 December 2013) 230-1

Court’s agreement (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica) to second round of written proceedings (22 January 2014) 231

Nicaragua’s request for the appointment of a neutral expert (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica) (4 August 2014) 231

Court’s proposal to call on experts responsible for reports annexed to the written proceedings (5 December 2014) 232

Court’s request for information required by ROC 57 and written statements from experts 232-3

procedural arrangements for examining the experts 232-3

Court’s agreement to Nicaragua’s request for postponement of the oral proceedings (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua) (17 November 2014) 231-2

Court’s decision (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica) not to make a site visit (25 February 2015) 232

Court’s agreement to Costa Rica’s request to submit a video 233

hearing of experts 236

Court’s request for maps/parties’ compliance (23 March 2015) 233-4

Court’s agreement to Nicaragua’s request to submit photographs 234

public hearings in the joined cases (14 April 2015 to 1 May 2015) 235

Court’s request for full text of Ramsar Advisory Mission No 72 report on the San Juan River Wildlife Refuge (Spanish and English) 234

Court’s rejection (Nicaragua v. Costa Rica) of Costa Rica’s request to submit photographs (29 April 2015) 234

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation) 424

background (procedural history) 425-8

agreement on time limits for submission of written pleadings 426-7

Court’s finding (Merits) of Costa Rica’s entitlement to compensation/settlement by the Court in the absence of agreement between the parties 426

notification to Court of parties’ failure to agree on compensation 426

parties’ positions

Costa Rica 428, 434

Nicaragua 428, 434

summary of Court’s findings (Merits) on facts 429-31

compensation for costs and expenses incurred as a result of Nicaragua’s unlawful activities 446-65

causal link between act and damage, need for 446

costs and expenses incurred in monitoring the northern part of Isla Portillos following the withdrawal of Nicaragua’s military personnel and in implementing the Court’s 2011 and 2013 Provisional Orders 453-60

Court’s analysis and findings 455-60

parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 453-4

parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 454-5

Costa Rica’s request for compensation for costs and expenses incurred in relation to Nicaragua’s unlawful activities in the northern part of Isla Portillos between October 2010 and April 2011 446-53

Court’s analysis and conclusions 448-53

parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 446-7

parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 447
costs and expenses incurred in works carried out to prevent irreparable prejudice to
the environment of the “disputed territory” 460-5
Court’s analysis and conclusions 460-5
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 460
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 461
Court’s conclusion 465
compensation for environmental damage 434-46
compatibility with the principles of international law governing the
consequences of internationally wrongful acts including the principle
of full reparation 435
compensability of environmental damage 434-5
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 434
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 434-5
Court’s analysis and finding 435
Court’s decision 467-8
determination of the extent of the damage and amount of compensation due 438-46
Court’s analysis and findings 442-6
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 438-42
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 439-42
interest, pre- and post-judgment 465-7
Court’s analysis and conclusions
post-judgment interest 466-7
pre-judgment interest 465-6
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 465
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 465
payment of amount awarded by the Court 543
relevant legal principles 432-4
absence of adequate evidence as to the extent of material damage, effect/equitable
basis alternative 433, 446
burden of proof 433
causal link between act and damage, need for 432-3
Chorzów Factory principle (full reparation/restitutio in integrum for injury caused by
internationally wrongful act) 432
compensation as appropriate form of reparation 432
punitive/exemplary damages, exclusion 432
total sum awarded 435-8, 467
Court’s preference (“reasonable basis” test) 437-8
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) (ecosystem services approach) 435-7
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation), separate and dissenting
opinions and declarations
Bhandari J (separate opinion) 503-11
compensation as preferred remedy, justification 503-6
injured State’s preference for 505
material impossibility of restitution (ILC(SR) (35(a))) 504-5
punitive damages 509-11
equitable considerations (ILC(SR) 36) (commentary) 506-7
precautionary principle 507-9
restitution as preferred remedy (ILC(SR) 35) 503-6
Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) 468-92
Chorzów Factory principle (full reparation/restitutio in integrum for injury caused by
internationally wrongful act)
compensation as appropriate form 470-1
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation), separate and dissenting opinions and declarations (cont.)
- fundamental importance of principle 472-4
- intertemporal law and 478-80
- prompt compliance with reparation obligation 472, 491
- compensation, sufficiency as remedy 480-1
- non-pecuniary reparations, need for 484-90
- equitable considerations (ILC(SR) 36) (commentary) 481-3
- reparation for injury (ILC(SR) 31), interrelationship between alternative forms 472-4, 476-8
- restorative justice, importance of 483-6, 491-2
- summary of the issues 468-70, 490-2
- Donoghue J (separate opinion) 493-503
- compensation for the impairment or loss of environmental goods and services, entitlement 493
- Costa Rica’s claim for the “value for restoration of the wetland” 502-3
- evidence in support of Costa Rica’s claim 493-8
- Dugard, Judge ad hoc (dissenting opinion) (award of environmental damages relating to the impairment or loss of goods and services arising out of Nicaragua’s unlawful activities) 525-43
- climate change, Court’s failure to address 537-9
- Court’s reliance on Nicaragua’s “corrected analysis” 529-32
- choice of factors 531-2
- Court’s unsatisfactory handling of Costa Rica’s soil formation and erosion control claim 533-5
- equitable considerations 535-6
- gravity of conduct/punitive damages 539-42
- measurement of compensation for environment 536-7
- wrongful conduct and 539-42
- methodology 527-31
- suggestions for a reasonable and equitable award 542-3
- summary of concerns 525-7
- Gevorgian J (declaration) (burden of proof (measure of damages)) 511-14
- summary of relevant principles 511-12
- Guillaume, Judge ad hoc 514-25
  - Costa Rica’s claims
    - ancillary expenses 523-4
    - environmental damage (burden of proof/methodology) 517-23
    - site restoration expenses 516-17
    - pre-judgment interest 525
    - relevant principles 515-16
    - burden of proof (measure of damages) 516
    - compensation alternative in case of impossibility/disproportionate burden 515
    - punitive/exemplary damages, possibility of 516
    - reparation, forms of (ILC(SR) 34) 515
    - restitution as preferred remedy (ILC(SR) 35) 515
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (joinder of cases) 88
  - Court’s analysis 92-3
  - sound administration of justice/judicial economy as purpose 92
  - Court’s decision 93
  - Nicaragua’s proposal for joinder of Certain Activities and Construction of a Road cases 90, 491-2
  - Costa Rica’s comments on 91-2
INDEX

procedural history 88-92
separate opinion (Cançado Trindade J) 94-104
compétence de la compétence 96-7
conclusion 102-4
development of practice 97-8
“inherent”/“implied” powers 95-6
sound administration of justice
as principle of the international judicial function 99-101
procedural equality of parties and 101-2
as purpose 98

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Merits)
issue A: alleged breach of sovereignty over the disputed territory 253-62
admissibility (critical date/continuing violation) 253
alleged breach of 1858 Treaty, Art. IX obligation not to commit hostilities 261
alleged breach of OAS Charter 21 (military occupation) 262
alleged breach of UNC 2(4) (threat or use of force) 261-2
Court’s evaluation of the evidence 258-62
admissibility of affidavit 258-9
effectivités 259-60
insuffisance of evidence relating to pre-2010 situation 258
“disputed territory”
1858 Treaty, Art. II 254
Alexander Award (First) 255-6
Alexander Award (Second) 256-7
Alexander Award (Third) 257
Cleveland Award (1888) 255
Pacheco–Matus Convention, Art. II (designation of member of Demarcation
Commissions as having the power to resolve any dispute with final effect) 255-6
Provisional Measures Order of 8 March 2011 254
parties’ arguments
Costa Rica 253, 257-60
Nicaragua 253, 258-60
“right bank of the … river” (1858 Treaty, Art. II)/“perpetual right of free navigation”
(1858 Treaty, Art. VI)
interpretation (in the context of other articles in the same treaty (VCLT 31(2)))
257, 259-60
interrelationship 257
San Juan River as “outlet of commerce” 257
issue B: alleged violations of international environmental law 262-70
alleged breach of EIA obligation 263-5
alleged risk of transboundary harm from dredging activities/need for an EIA 265
as customary international law obligation 263
due diligence obligation 264
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 263
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 263
Pulp Mills 264
alleged breach of good neighbourliness principle (sic utere tuo)/avoidance of harm to
others 267-70
Cleveland Award 268-70
customary international law and 269
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 268
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 268-9
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Merits) (cont.)

alleged breach of obligation to notify and consult 265-7
Managua Convention (1992) 267
obligation to consult about implementing obligations arising from the Convention (Ramsar 5) 267
obligation to notify Ramsar Secretariat of changes or likely changes in the ecological character of any wetland in its territory (Ramsar 3(2)) 266-7
Court’s conclusions
procedural obligations (including Nicaragua’s commitments to new EIA/consultation in the event of expansion of dredging programme) 267
substantive obligation (good neighbourliness principle) 269-70
summary of Nicaragua’s alleged breaches of procedural and substantive obligations 262

issue C: alleged failure to comply with provisional measures 270-1
Court’s analysis and conclusion
Order of 8 March 2011 270-1
Order of 22 November 2013 271
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 270
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 270

issue D: alleged breach of navigational rights under the 1858 Treaty, Cleveland Award and Court’s decision in Navigational Rights 272-4
Note: reference should also be made to the index to Navigational Rights in ILR 151 or in the Consolidated Index.
1858 Treaty, Art. VI (Costa Rica’s perpetual right of free navigation “con objetos de comercio”), (text, English/Spanish) 272-3
admissibility of claim 272
Cleveland Award 273
Court’s finding of instances of breach of Costa Rica’s rights 274
Navigational Rights, Court’s conclusions 273

issue E: reparation (Costa Rica’s requests/Court’s findings) 274-6
compensation for material damage caused by breaches of obligations identified by Court agreement of parties/determination by Court in absence of 275-6
entitlement 261, 275-6
declaration of illegality 275
guarantees of non-repetition 275
rejected requests 275
summary of requests 274-5
written pleadings, second round 427

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)) 30-52

Court’s decision
cessation of the construction of a canal across Costa Rican territory 34
cessation of dumping of sediment 34
cessation of felling of trees and removal of vegetation and soil from Costa Rican territory, cessation of the construction of a canal across Costa Rican territory 34
Costa Rica’s right to despatch civilian personnel to disputed territory to protect the environment 51-2
immediate and unconditional withdrawal of Nicaraguan troops 34, 40
restraint of both parties from action which might aggravate or extend the dispute 51-2
restraint of both parties from sending civilian, police or security personnel to the disputed territory 51-2
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restraint from action which might prejudice rights of Costa Rica/aggravate or extend dispute 40
suspension of dredging programme 34, 40
measures requested 34
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 36-40
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 38-9, 41
procedural history 34-6
appointment of ad hoc judges 35
purpose/requirements (non-anticipation of decision on merits) 51
purpose/requirements (plausibility of rights to be protected) 42-4
link between the rights in question and the measures requested 44-5
purpose/requirements (prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice/real and imminent risk test) 45-51
Court’s decision (Costa Rica’s first request) 48-50
Costa Rica’s right to despatch civilian personnel to disputed territory to protect the environment 50
finding of real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 49
measures addressed to both parties 49-50
rejected requests (termination of impugned acts) 48
Court’s decision (Costa Rica’s second request (suspension of dredging programme)) 50-1
Court’s right to indicate measures other than those requested 49-50
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 46-7
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 47-8
purpose/requirements (prima facie jurisdiction) 41-2
purpose/requirements (restraint from action which might prejudice rights of Costa Rica/aggravate or extend dispute) (Court’s decision) 51
reasons for 33-4

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Indication of New) 176
background (procedural history) 176-80
Costa Rica/Nicaragua’s requests for modification of provisional measures/Court’s dismissal of requests (16 July 2013) 178
Costa Rica’s Application and Request for Provisional Measures (18 November 2010) 176
Order of 8 March 2011, measures indicated 177
Costa Rica’s request for new measures (24 September 2013) 178-9
measures requested 179
Nicaragua’s observations 180
joinder of cases (17 April 2013) 178
Nicaragua’s Application and request for proprio motu provisional measures (22 December 2011) 177
Court’s dismissal of request (11 March 2013) 178
Court’s decision 192-3
measures prescribed 189-91
binding effect 191
Court’s right to indicate measures other than those requested (ROC 75(2)) 189-90
requirements (Court’s analysis)
link between the rights in question and the measures requested 182-3
plausibility of rights 181-2
prima facie jurisdiction 181
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Indication of New) (cont.)

risk of irreparable prejudice/urgency 183-9
Court’s observations 186-8
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 184-5
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 185-7
requirements (Court’s evaluation)
risk of irreparable prejudice 188
urgency 188-9, 193-209
final considerations 207-9
parties’ arguments 194-9
parties’ arguments (general assessment) 199-201

separate opinion/declarations
Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion), provisional measures as autonomous regime 201-7
Dugard, Judge ad hoc (declaration) (Costa Rica’s access to the disputed territory to prevent irreparable damage) 212-14
Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (declaration) (Costa Rica’s access to the disputed territory to prevent irreparable damage) 210-12

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)) 129

background (procedural)
Costa Rica’s Application of 18 November 2010/Order of 8 March 2011 130
joinder of cases (17 April 2013) 131
modifications requested (Costa Rica) 132
modifications requested (Nicaragua) 132-3
Nicaragua’s Application of 5 December 2011/Court’s rejection (11 March 2013) of request for consideration of provisional measures 131
Nicaragua’s observations on Costa Rica’s request/submission of its own request 131-2

Court’s decision 133-41
Costa Rica’s request (existence of change)
Court’s analysis and conclusion 135-6
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 134
parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 134-5
Costa Rica’s request (justification), Court’s analysis and decision compliance with ICJ 41 requirements (risk of irreparable prejudice/urgency), need for 137-8
Court’s finding 139-40
reaffirmation of measures indicated in 8 March 2011 Order/restraint from action which might aggravate or extend the dispute 140
Costa Rica’s request (justification), parties’ arguments
Costa Rica (irreparable prejudice) 138
Costa Rica (urgency) 138
Court’s analysis and decision (construction of a road) 136-7
Court’s analysis and decision (joinder of cases) 137
Nicaragua 138-9
parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 135
“some change in the situation justifies such revocation or modification” (ROC 76(1)) requirement, Nicaragua’s request (existence of change), parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 135
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Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)), separate opinion (Cançado Trindade J) (dissenting) 141-70
Costa Rica’s visits to the disputed area (Ramsar 3(2)/Order of 8 March 2011) 144-7
judicial restraint, role 162-6
parties’ requests (Costa Rica)
assessment of 153-4
measures requested 147
parties’ positions (“change in the situation”) 147-9
Costa Rica 148-9
Nicaragua 149
parties’ positions (imminent risk of irreparable prejudice) 149-51
Costa Rica 150
Nicaragua 151
parties’ requests (Nicaragua) (“change in the situation”) 151-3
assessment 154-5
parties’ positions
Costa Rica 149, 152-3
Nicaragua 151-2
parties’ requests (summary) 143-4
purpose/requirements
interests of the population vs territory and property 156-8
justice, importance of 161-2
rights of individuals vs inter-State rights 158-61
summary of issues 142-3

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica) (separate opinions and declarations) (dissenting) (presence of GEM in disputed territory) 170-6
Court’s findings
Costa Rica’s failure to demonstrate presence of GEM as a real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice to Costa Rica’s rights 174-5
dissent, reasons for 175-6
presence of groups such as GEM as “change in the situation” (ROC 76(1)) 174
Order of 8 March 2011 (exclusion from the disputed territory of “any personnel, whether civilian, police or security”) 70-6
applicability of prohibition to GEM 171-4
purpose (avoidance of exacerbation of the dispute/interference with decision on the merits) 170-1, 173-4

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)) (separate opinions and declarations)
Dugard, Judge ad hoc (separate opinion) (even-handedness/non-anticipation of decision on the merits, applicability in case of clear plausibility of party’s right) 80-8
force, prohibition of the threat or use of (UNC 2(4)) 85-6
plausibility requirement
in principle 80-2
plausibility of Costa Rica’s right 83-4
summary of the issue/conclusion 80, 87-8
territorial integrity/inviolability of boundaries principle
considerations attaching to provisional measures in case of violation 85-6
as jus cogens 85-6
“nature of the territory”, relevance 86-7
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)) (separate opinions and declarations) (cont.)

Greenwood J (declaration) 67-73
- criteria for provisional measures 67-70
- Court’s right to indicate measures other than those requested/to act proprio motu 69-70
- Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite 67-8
- plausibility/credibility/reasonable possibility/probability/arguable case 68-9
- plausibility/link between the rights in question and the measures requested 69
- prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice/urgency 69
- prima facie jurisdiction 68
- criteria for provisional measures, applicability
- cooperation obligation, importance 72-3
- Costa Rica’s alleged breach of the Cleveland Award 3(6) 70
- Costa Rica’s claim to disputed area (plausibility/necessity of provisional measures) 71-3
- Costa Rica’s request for suspension of dredging activities 71

Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (declaration) 74-80
- activities on the disputed territory 76-80
- Court’s decision to allow Costa Rica to despatch civilian personnel to the disputed territory charged with the protection of the environment 78-80
- suspension of Nicaragua’s dredging programme 75-6

Koroma J (separate opinion) (plausibility requirement) 52-7

Sepúlveda-Amor J (separate opinion) 57-64
- Court’s right to indicate measures other than those requested 62
- plausibility requirement 58-61
- prevention of irreparable prejudice as a consequence of the caño 61-4
- summary of issues 57-8

Skotnikov J (declaration) (Court’s decision to allow Costa Rica to despatch civilian personnel to the disputed territory charged with the protection of the environment) 64-7
- cooperation obligation, importance 66-7

Xue J (declaration) (Court’s decision to allow Costa Rica to despatch civilian personnel to the disputed territory charged with the protection of the environment) 73-4

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Counterclaims) 109
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interests of the population vs territory and property 156-8
justice, importance of 161-2
link between rights to be protected and measures requested 44-5, 69, 182-3, 220-1
non-anticipation of decision on merits 51, 73-4
plausibility of rights to be protected 42-5, 52-7, 181-2, 218-19
alternatives to “plausibility” including credibility/reasonable possibility/probability/arguable case 56-7, 59-60, 68-9, 80-2
jurisprudence (plausibility as precondition)
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) 42-5, 52-61, 80-2, 181-2, 219
Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite 53-6, 59-60, 69, 80-1, 219
provisional measures, purpose/requirements (cont.)
prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice 61-4
serious/imminent risk test 45-51, 183-9, 221-4
respect for territorial integrity/inviolability of boundaries, considerations applicable to 85-6
rights of individuals vs inter-State rights 158-61
urgency
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) 45-51, 177-80
real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice requirement 45-51, 137-8, 221-4
punitive damages
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation) 472-4, 480-1, 491, 502, 509-12, 514, 516, 540-1
environmental damage 472-4, 480-1, 491, 502, 509-12, 514, 516, 540-1
gravity of conduct, relevance 539-42

Ramsar Convention (1971)
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Dugard, Judge ad hoc) 420-4
obligation to consult about implementing obligations arising from the Convention (Ramsar 5) 267, 283-4, 421-4
formulation of planning to promote conservation and wise use of wetlands (Ramsar 3(1)) and 422-4
obligation to notify Ramsar Secretariat of changes or likely changes in the ecological character of any wetland in its territory (Ramsar 3(2)) 266-7, 283-4

reparation for breach of treaty/internationally wrongful act other than compensation
declaration of illegality, Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) 275
guarantees of non-repetition
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) 275
Navigational Rights 275

reparation for injury caused by internationally wrongful act (ILC(SR) 31) Note: ILC (SR) 31 states the obligation to make full reparation for the injury caused by the internationally wrongful act. ILC(SR) 34 sets out the forms of reparation including restitution (ILC(SR) 35), compensation (ILC(SR) 36) and satisfaction (ILC(SR) 37) interrelationship between alternative forms 472-4, 476-8
restorative justice as key element 483-6, 491-2

restitution/restitutio in integrum (including ILC(SR) 31(1), ILC(SR) 35 and ILC(SR) 36/Chorzów Factory principle): see also compensation for damage caused by internationally wrongful act as alternative to restitution (including ILC(SR) 36/Chorzów Factory principle); Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation)
fundamental importance of principle 472-4
history and development of principle 474-6
prompt compliance with reparation obligation 472, 491
restitution as preferred remedy (ILC(SR) 35) 503-6, 515

Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992) 536
environmental impact assessment (EIA) (Principle 17) 357-8
good neighbourliness principle (sic utere tuo)/avoidance of harm to others (Principle 2) 344

self-determination, right of (UNGA Resolution 2625 (XXV))
as customary international law 381-2, 502
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UNGA Resolution 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States), territorial integrity and 85, 302, 381-2

sovereign equality of States (UNC 2(1)), interrelationship with territorial sovereignty 343-6

Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment (1972) 351, 536
good neighbourliness principle (sic utere tuo)/avoidance of harm to others (Principle 21) 354

sustainable development principle (Principle 1) 351-2

“subject of the dispute”, obligation to indicate in application (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(2))
new claim/claim introduced during proceedings (ICJ 40(1) and ROC 38(2), ROC 49 (1) and ROC 79)

Certain Phosphate Lands in Nauru 395-6
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Judge ad hoc Guillaume) 395-7
Diallo 395-6

sustainable development principle
integration principle: see environmental protection/socio-economic interests, need to balance (integration principle)

jurisprudence

Certain Activities/Construction of a Road 351-3
Gabčíkovo–Nagymaros Project 352-3
treaties and other international instruments reflecting

Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment (1972) (Principle 1) 351-2

territorial integrity/inviolability of boundaries principle 301-4, 395: see also force, prohibition of the threat or use of/non-intervention in the affairs of another State (UNC 2(4))
customary international law/jus cogens 303-4

jurisprudence

Corfu Channel 303-4
Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) 85-6, 300, 301-4, 376-80, 395
Kosovo 303-4

Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua 303-4
Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road) 297-8
Temple of Preah Vihear 85

provisional measures in a case involving violation of, considerations 85-6 “nature of the territory”, relevance 86-7
treaties and other international instruments relevant to

OAS Charter 21 302
UNC 2(4) 303-4
UNGA resolution 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation) 85, 302, 381-2

territorial sovereignty: see also sovereign equality of States (UNC 2(1))

Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) 259-60, 307-8

transboundary pollution: see Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation), compensation for environmental damage; environmental protection obligations; good neighbourliness principle (sic utere tuo)/avoidance of harm to others
treaties, individuals’ rights and obligations, Intertanko 571
treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(2)) (context), text of other articles in same treaty 257
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**treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(3)) (points to be taken into account together with context)**, subsequent agreement between the parties regarding interpretation or application of treaty (VCLT 31(3)(a)), examples considered, CETA Joint Committee's binding interpretations (CETA 8.31.3) 684

**war crimes, classification as**, knowing damage to the natural environment (ICC 8(2)(b)(iv)) 536

**WTO Agreement (1994) (Marrakesh Agreement)**, EU legal order and 579-80