

## INDEX

### Abbreviations used in the index

- ACHR (American Convention on Human Rights (1969))  
 Antarctic Protocol (Antarctic Treaty (1991), Protocol on Environmental Protection)  
 CBD (Biological Diversity Convention (1992))  
 CETA (EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (2016))  
 CFR (European Charter of Fundamental Rights) (2000)  
 CIL (customary international law)  
 CJEC/CJEU (Court of Justice of the European Communities/Union)  
 EA (Euratom Treaty)  
 ECAA Agreement (European Common Aviation Area Agreement)  
 ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))  
 ECJ (European Court of Justice)  
 EEA (European Economic Area)  
 EIA (environmental impact assessment)  
 Espoo (Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context, 1991)  
 FTA (Free Trade Agreement)  
 GEM (Guardabarranco Environment Movement (Nicaragua))  
 IBA (International Bar Association)  
 ICC (International Criminal Court/ICC Statute)  
 ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))  
 ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)  
 ICS (Investment Court System)  
 IIL/*IDI* Resolution on universal civil jurisdiction (Institute of International Law/*Institut de droit international* Resolution of 30 August 2015 on universal civil jurisdiction with regard to reparation for international crimes)  
 ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Draft Articles on State Responsibility)  
 ILC(TBH) (International Law Commission Draft Articles on Prevention of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities, with commentaries (2001))  
 ISDS (investor–State dispute settlement)  
 Managua Convention (Convention for the Conservation of the Biodiversity and the Protection of Priority Wilderness Areas in Central America (1992))  
 OAS (Organization of American States/OAS Charter)  
 PCIJ (Permanent Court of International Justice/PCIJ Statute)  
 Ramsar (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (1971))  
 ROC (Rules of Court/Regulations of Court)  
 ROP (Rules of Procedure)  
 TEC (Treaty establishing the European Community)  
 TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))  
 TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))  
 UNC (United Nations Charter (1945))  
 UNCC (UN Compensation Commission)  
 UNCLOS (United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (1982))

UNEP (UN Environment Programme)  
 UNGA (United Nations General Assembly)  
 VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))  
 Water Convention (Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary  
 Watercourses and International Lakes (1992))  
 WTO (World Trade Organization)

**affidavit**

admissibility  
 affidavit of State official for purpose of litigation 258-9  
 ICJ 258-9  
 treatment with caution 258-9

**Biological Diversity Convention (1992) (CBD)**, EIAs (CBD 14) 281-2, 358, 407-8  
**Brundtland Report (2007)** 352

**causation/causal link as requirement for finding of liability for reparation (ILC(SR) 31(2))**, *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 432-3; *see also Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation)

**CETA (EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement) (2016)**: *see Opinion 1/17; and the Table of Treaties*

**CETA Tribunal**: *see Opinion 1/17*

**CJEU competence (TEU 19(1)/TFEU 19(3))**

interpretation of EU treaties with third parties (“international agreements”) 571  
 decisions of authority established by treaties and entrusted with responsibility for its implementation 571  
*Sevince* 571  
 Member States’ obligation to provide remedies sufficient to ensure effective legal protection for EU law (TEU 19(1)) (*Opinion 1/17*) 659

**common commercial policy (CCP)**

*Opinion 2/15* (EUSFTA) 568  
 “shall be conducted in the context of the principles and objectives of the Union’s external action” (TFEU 207(1)) 605-6

**compensation for damage caused by internationally wrongful act as alternative to restitution (including ILC(SR) 36/Chorzów Factory principle)**

compensation for costs and expenses incurred as a result of unlawful activities 446-65:  
*see also Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation)  
 compensation as preferred remedy, justification 503-6  
 impossibility of restitution/disproportionate burden of restitution (ILC(SR) 35) 503-4  
 injured State’s preference for 503-5  
 material impossibility of restitution (ILC(SR) (35(a))) 504-5  
 equitable considerations (ILC(SR) 36) (commentary) 481-3, 506-7, 535-6  
 jurisprudence  
*Avena* 432-3  
*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua*: *see Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation)  
*Diallo* 432, 535-6  
*Gabčíkovo–Nagyymaros Project* 432  
*Pulp Mills* 432, 503-4

**compensation for damage caused by internationally wrongful act as alternative to restitution (including ILC(SR) 36/Chorzów Factory principle) (cont.)**

*Trail Smelter* 433, 542-3

wrongful conduct as measure of 539-42

ILC(SR) 36 (commentary) 540

**Costa Rica v. Nicaragua:** see *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* (background, including facts, procedural history and jurisdiction); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation), separate and dissenting opinions and declarations; *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (joinder of cases); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Merits); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Indication of New); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)), separate opinion (Cañado Trindade J) (dissenting); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)), separate opinion (Dugard, Judge ad hoc) (dissenting) (presence of GEM in disputed territory); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)) (separate opinions and declarations); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* (Counterclaims); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* (Merits) (Court's decision); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* (Merits) (separate opinions and declarations); *Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)* (issues); *Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)* (joinder of cases); *Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)* (Nicaragua's request for provisional measures)

**Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (background, including facts, procedural history and jurisdiction) 226-51**

background (geographical)

Isla Calero 248

Northeast Caribbean Wetland/San Juan River Wildlife Refuge 248

San Juan/Lower San Juan/Colorado Rivers 247-8

background (historical) (in chronological order)

Treaty of Limits (1858) (Cañas-Jerez Treaty) (1858 Treaty) 248-50

Arbitration Treaty (1886) 250

Cleveland Award (1888) 250

Pacheco–Matus Convention (1896) 250-1

demarcation process/Alexander Awards (1897-1900) 250-1

*Navigational Rights* (2009) 251

Costa Rica starts construction of Route 1856 Juan Rafael Mora Porras (the “road”) 251

Nicaragua starts works to improve navigability (18 October 2010) 251

Costa Rica files Application and Request for Provisional Measures (*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua*) (18 November 2010) 251

background (sketch-maps)

1: geographical context 249

2: Route 1856 Juan Rafael Mora Porras 252

3: “first channel” 256

[4:] the three *caños* in the northern part of Isla Portillos as excavated in 2010 and 2013 431

- Costa Rica's Application
  - jurisdiction, basis 32
  - Nicaragua's alleged breaches of obligations 30-1
  - requests to Court, 32: *see also* *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica))
  - jurisdiction (*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua*)
    - Costa Rica's position (Bogotá XXXI/Costa Rica's ICJ 36(2) declaration/Nicaragua's PCIJ 36 declaration) 227, 247
    - Court's conclusion 247
  - jurisdiction (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica*)
    - Court's conclusion 247
    - Nicaragua's position 228, 247
  - parties' arguments (*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua*)
    - Application (Costa Rica) 236-7
    - oral proceedings
      - Costa Rica 239-41
      - Nicaragua 241-2
    - written proceedings
      - Costa Rica 237-8
      - Nicaragua 238
  - parties' arguments (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica*)
    - Application (Nicaragua) 242
    - institution of proceedings (Costa Rica) (*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)*) (Application of 18 November 2010) 226-7
      - notification to parties to the Ramsar Convention 227
    - oral proceedings
      - Costa Rica 247
      - Nicaragua 245-7
    - request for provisional measures (Costa Rica) (18 November 2010) 227
    - written proceedings
      - Costa Rica 245
      - Nicaragua 242-5
- procedural history in chronological order
  - appointment of ad hoc judges 227
  - Order of 9 March 2011 (provisional measures) 227
  - institution of proceedings (Nicaragua) (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)*) (Application of 22 December 2011) 228
    - notification to parties to the Ramsar Convention, CBD and Managua Convention 228
  - appointment of ad hoc judges 228
  - Counter-Memorial (Nicaragua), counterclaims (6 August 2012) 228-9
    - objections to admissibility (19 September 2012) 229
  - Nicaragua's request to Court to decide *proprio motu* on the need for provisional measures (ROC 75(2)) (19 December 2012) 229
    - dismissal of request (11 March 2013) 229
  - joinder of cases (17 April 2013), change of ad hoc judge consequent on 230
  - Court's ruling on the admissibility of Nicaragua's counterclaims (18 April 2013) 230
  - Costa Rica/Nicaragua, requests for modification of 8 March 2011 Order for Provisional Measures (23 May 2013) 230
    - Court's dismissal of (16 July 2013) 230
  - request for new provisional measures (Costa Rica) (24 September 2013) 230-1
  - request for provisional measures (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica*) (Nicaragua) (11 October 2013) 230-1

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (background, including facts, procedural history and jurisdiction) (cont.)***

- Court's reaffirmation of 8 March 2011 provisional measures/indication of new measures addressed to both parties (22 November 2013) 230-1
  - Court's dismissal (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica*) of request for provisional measures (13 December 2013) 230-1
  - Court's agreement (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica*) to second round of written proceedings (22 January 2014) 231
  - Nicaragua's request for the appointment of a neutral expert (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica*) (4 August 2014) 231
    - Court's proposal to call on experts responsible for reports annexed to the written proceedings (5 December 2014) 232
    - Court's request for information required by ROC 57 and written statements from experts 232-3
      - procedural arrangements for examining the experts 232-3
  - Court's agreement to Nicaragua's request for postponement of the oral proceedings (*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua*) (17 November 2014) 231-2
  - Court's decision (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica*) not to make a site visit (25 February 2015) 232
  - Court's agreement to Costa Rica's request to submit a video 233
    - hearing of experts 236
  - Court's request for maps/parties' compliance (23 March 2015) 233-4
  - Court's agreement to Nicaragua's request to submit photographs 234
  - public hearings in the joined cases (14 April 2015 to 1 May 2015) 235
  - Court's request for full text of Ramsar Advisory Mission No 72 report on the San Juan River Wildlife Refuge (Spanish and English) 234
  - Court's rejection (*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica*) of Costa Rica's request to submit photographs (29 April 2015) 234
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation) 424***
- background (procedural history) 425-8
    - agreement on time limits for submission of written pleadings 426-7
    - Court's finding (Merits) of Costa Rica's entitlement to compensation/settlement by the Court in the absence of agreement between the parties 426
    - notification to Court of parties' failure to agree on compensation 426
    - parties' positions
      - Costa Rica 428, 434
      - Nicaragua 428, 434
    - summary of Court's findings (Merits) on facts 429-31
  - compensation for costs and expenses incurred as a result of Nicaragua's unlawful activities 446-65
    - causal link between act and damage, need for 446
    - costs and expenses incurred in monitoring the northern part of Isla Portillos following the withdrawal of Nicaragua's military personnel and in implementing the Court's 2011 and 2013 Provisional Orders 453-60
      - Court's analysis and findings 455-60
      - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 453-4
      - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 454-5
    - costs and expenses incurred in relation to Nicaragua's unlawful activities in the northern part of Isla Portillos between October 2010 and April 2011 446-53
      - Court's analysis and conclusions 448-53
      - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 446-7
      - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 447

- costs and expenses incurred in works carried out to prevent irreparable prejudice to the environment of the “disputed territory” 460-5
  - Court’s analysis and conclusions 460-5
  - parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 460
  - parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 461
  - Court’s conclusion 465
- compensation for environmental damage 434-46
  - compatibility with the principles of international law governing the consequences of internationally wrongful acts including the principle of full reparation 435
  - compensability of environmental damage 434-5
    - parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 434
    - parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 434-5
    - Court’s analysis and finding 435
  - Court’s decision 467-8
- determination of the extent of the damage and amount of compensation due 438-46
  - Court’s analysis and findings 442-6
  - parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 438-42
  - parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 439-42
- interest, pre- and post-judgment 465-7
  - Court’s analysis and conclusions
    - post-judgment interest 466-7
    - pre-judgment interest 465-6
  - parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 465
  - parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 465
- payment of amount awarded by the Court 543
- relevant legal principles 432-4
  - absence of adequate evidence as to the extent of material damage, effect/equitable basis alternative 433, 446
  - burden of proof 433
  - causal link between act and damage, need for 432-3
  - Chorzów Factory* principle (full reparation/*restitutio in integrum* for injury caused by internationally wrongful act) 432
    - compensation as appropriate form of reparation 432
    - punitive/exemplary damages, exclusion 432
  - total sum awarded 435-8, 467
    - Court’s preference (“reasonable basis” test) 437-8
    - parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) (ecosystem services approach) 435-7
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation), separate and dissenting opinions and declarations***
  - Bhandari J (separate opinion) 503-11
    - compensation as preferred remedy, justification 503-6
      - injured State’s preference for 505
      - material impossibility of restitution (ILC(SR) (35(a))) 504-5
      - punitive damages 509-11
    - equitable considerations (ILC(SR) 36) (commentary) 506-7
    - precautionary principle 507-9
    - restitution as preferred remedy (ILC(SR) 35) 503-6
  - Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) 468-92
    - Chorzów Factory* principle (full reparation/*restitutio in integrum* for injury caused by internationally wrongful act)
      - compensation as appropriate form 470-1

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (compensation), separate and dissenting opinions and declarations* (cont.)**

- fundamental importance of principle 472-4
- intertemporal law and 478-80
- prompt compliance with reparation obligation 472, 491
- compensation, sufficiency as remedy 480-1
  - non-pecuniary reparations, need for 484-90
- equitable considerations (ILC(SR) 36) (commentary) 481-3
- reparation for injury (ILC(SR) 31), interrelationship between alternative forms 472-4, 476-8
- restorative justice, importance of 483-6, 491-2
- summary of the issues 468-70, 490-2
- Donoghue J (separate opinion) 493-503
  - compensation for the impairment or loss of environmental goods and services, entitlement 493
  - Costa Rica's claim for the "value for restoration of the wetland" 502-3
  - evidence in support of Costa Rica's claim 493-8
- Dugard, Judge ad hoc (dissenting opinion) (award of environmental damages relating to the impairment or loss of goods and services arising out of Nicaragua's unlawful activities) 525-43
- climate change, Court's failure to address 537-9
- Court's reliance on Nicaragua's "corrected analysis" 529-32
  - choice of factors 531-2
  - Court's unsatisfactory handling of Costa Rica's soil formation and erosion control claim 533-5
- equitable considerations 535-6
- gravity of conduct/punitive damages 539-42
- measurement of compensation for environment 536-7
  - wrongful conduct and 539-42
- methodology 527-31
- suggestions for a reasonable and equitable award 542-3
- summary of concerns 525-7
- Gevorgian J (declaration) (burden of proof (measure of damages)) 511-14
  - summary of relevant principles 511-12
- Guillaume, Judge ad hoc 514-25
  - Costa Rica's claims
    - ancillary expenses 523-4
    - environmental damage (burden of proof/methodology) 517-23
    - site restoration expenses 516-17
  - pre-judgment interest 525
  - relevant principles 515-16
    - burden of proof (measure of damages) 516
    - compensation alternative in case of impossibility/disproportionate burden 515
    - punitive/exemplary damages, possibility of 516
    - reparation, forms of (ILC(SR) 34) 515
    - restitution as preferred remedy (ILC(SR) 35) 515
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (joinder of cases)* 88**
  - Court's analysis 92-3
    - sound administration of justice/judicial economy as purpose 92
  - Court's decision 93
  - Nicaragua's proposal for joinder of *Certain Activities* and *Construction of a Road* cases 90, 491-2
  - Costa Rica's comments on 91-2

procedural history 88-92  
 separate opinion (Caçado Trindade J) 94-104  
*compétence de la compétence* 96-7  
 conclusion 102-4  
 development of practice 97-8  
 “inherent”/“implied” powers 95-6  
 sound administration of justice  
   as principle of the international judicial function 99-101  
 procedural equality of parties and 101-2  
 as purpose 98

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Merits)***

issue A: alleged breach of sovereignty over the disputed territory 253-62  
 admissibility (critical date/continuing violation) 253  
 alleged breach of 1858 Treaty, Art. IX obligation not to commit hostilities 261  
 alleged breach of OAS Charter 21 (military occupation) 262  
 alleged breach of UNC 2(4) (threat or use of force) 261-2  
 Court’s evaluation of the evidence 258-62  
   admissibility of affidavit 258-9  
   *effectivités* 259-60  
   insufficiency of evidence relating to pre-2010 situation 258  
 “disputed territory”  
   1858 Treaty, Art. II 254  
   Alexander Award (First) 255-6  
   Alexander Award (Second) 256-7  
   Alexander Award (Third) 257  
   Cleveland Award (1888) 255  
   Pacheco–Matus Convention, Art. II (designation of member of Demarcation  
     Commissions as having the power to resolve any dispute with final effect) 255-6  
   Provisional Measures Order of 8 March 2011 254  
 parties’ arguments  
   Costa Rica 253, 257-60  
   Nicaragua 253, 258-60  
 “right bank of the ... river” (1858 Treaty, Art. II)/“perpetual right of free navigation”  
   (1858 Treaty, Art. VI)  
   interpretation (in the context of other articles in the same treaty (VCLT 31(2)))  
   257, 259-60  
   interrelationship 257  
   San Juan River as “outlet of commerce” 257  
 issue B: alleged violations of international environmental law 262-70  
 alleged breach of EIA obligation 263-5  
   alleged risk of transboundary harm from dredging activities/need for an EIA 265  
   as customary international law obligation 263  
   due diligence obligation 264  
   parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 263  
   parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 263  
   *Pulp Mills* 264  
 alleged breach of good neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to  
   others 267-70  
   Cleveland Award 268-70  
   customary international law and 269  
   parties’ arguments (Costa Rica) 268  
   parties’ arguments (Nicaragua) 268-9



***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Merits) (cont.)***

- alleged breach of obligation to notify and consult 265-7
  - Managua Convention (1992) 267
  - obligation to consult about implementing obligations arising from the Convention (Ramsar 5) 267
  - obligation to notify Ramsar Secretariat of changes or likely changes in the ecological character of any wetland in its territory (Ramsar 3(2)) 266-7
- Court's conclusions
  - procedural obligations (including Nicaragua's commitments to new EIA/consultation in the event of expansion of dredging programme) 267
  - substantive obligation (good neighbourliness principle) 269-70
- summary of Nicaragua's alleged breaches of procedural and substantive obligations 262
- issue C: alleged failure to comply with provisional measures 270-1
  - Court's analysis and conclusion
    - Order of 8 March 2011 270-1
    - Order of 22 November 2013 271
  - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 270
  - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 270
- issue D: alleged breach of navigational rights under the 1858 Treaty, Cleveland
  - Award and Court's decision in *Navigational Rights* 272-4
  - Note*: reference should also be made to the index to *Navigational Rights* in ILR 151 or in the Consolidated Index.
  - 1858 Treaty, Art. VI (Costa Rica's perpetual right of free navigation "con objetos de comercio"), (text, English/Spanish) 272-3
  - admissibility of claim 272
  - Cleveland Award 273
  - Court's finding of instances of breach of Costa Rica's rights 274
  - Navigational Rights*, Court's conclusions 273
- issue E: reparation (Costa Rica's requests/Court's findings) 274-6
  - compensation for material damage caused by breaches of obligations identified by Court agreement of parties/determination by Court in absence of 275-6
  - entitlement 261, 275-6
  - declaration of illegality 275
  - guarantees of non-repetition 275
  - rejected requests 275
  - summary of requests 274-5
  - written pleadings, second round 427

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica))*** 30-52

- Court's decision
  - cessation of the construction of a canal across Costa Rican territory 34
  - cessation of dumping of sediment 34
  - cessation of felling of trees and removal of vegetation and soil from Costa Rican territory, cessation of the construction of a canal across Costa Rican territory 34
  - Costa Rica's right to despatch civilian personnel to disputed territory to protect the environment 51-2
  - immediate and unconditional withdrawal of Nicaraguan troops 34, 40
  - restraint of both parties from action which might aggravate or extend the dispute 51-2
  - restraint of both parties from sending civilian, police or security personnel to the disputed territory 51-2

- restraint from action which might prejudice rights of Costa Rica/aggravate or extend dispute 40
- suspension of dredging programme 34, 40
- measures requested 34
- parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 36-40
- parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 38-9, 41
- procedural history 34-6
  - appointment of ad hoc judges 35
- purpose/requirements (non-anticipation of decision on merits) 51
- purpose/requirements (plausibility of rights to be protected) 42-4
  - link between the rights in question and the measures requested 44-5
- purpose/requirements (prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice/real and imminent risk test) 45-51
  - Court's decision (Costa Rica's first request) 48-50
    - Costa Rica's right to despatch civilian personnel to disputed territory to protect the environment 50
    - finding of real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 49
    - measures addressed to both parties 49-50
    - rejected requests (termination of impugned acts) 48
  - Court's decision (Costa Rica's second request (suspension of dredging programme)) 50-1
  - Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested 49-50
  - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 46-7
  - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 47-8
- purpose/requirements (prima facie jurisdiction) 41-2
- purpose/requirements (restraint from action which might prejudice rights of Costa Rica/aggravate or extend dispute) (Court's decision) 51
- reasons for 33-4
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Indication of New)*** 176
  - background (procedural history) 176-80
    - Costa Rica/Nicaragua's requests for modification of provisional measures/Court's dismissal of requests (16 July 2013) 178
    - Costa Rica's Application and Request for Provisional Measures (18 November 2010) 176
      - Order of 8 March 2011, measures indicated 177
    - Costa Rica's request for new measures (24 September 2013) 178-9
      - measures requested 179
      - Nicaragua's observations 180
    - joinder of cases (17 April 2013) 178
    - Nicaragua's Application and request for *proprio motu* provisional measures (22 December 2011) 177
    - Court's dismissal of request (11 March 2013) 178
  - Court's decision 192-3
  - measures prescribed 189-91
    - binding effect 191
    - Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested (ROC 75(2)) 189-90
  - requirements (Court's analysis)
    - link between the rights in question and the measures requested 182-3
    - plausibility of rights 181-2
    - prima facie jurisdiction 181

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Indication of New)* (cont.)**

- risk of irreparable prejudice/urgency 183-9
  - Court's observations 186-8
  - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 184-5
  - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 185-7
- requirements (Court's evaluation)
  - risk of irreparable prejudice 188
  - urgency 188-9, 193-209
    - final considerations 207-9
    - parties' arguments 194-9
    - parties' arguments (general assessment) 199-201
- separate opinion/declarations
  - Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion), provisional measures as autonomous regime 201-7
  - Dugard, Judge ad hoc (declaration) (Costa Rica's access to the disputed territory to prevent irreparable damage) 212-14
  - Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (declaration) (Costa Rica's access to the disputed territory to prevent irreparable damage) 210-12

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76))* 129**

- background (procedural)
  - Costa Rica's Application of 18 November 2010/Order of 8 March 2011 130
  - joinder of cases (17 April 2013) 131
  - modifications requested (Costa Rica) 132
  - modifications requested (Nicaragua) 132-3
  - Nicaragua's Application of 5 December 2011/Court's rejection (11 March 2013) of request for consideration of provisional measures 131
  - Nicaragua's observations on Costa Rica's request/submission of its own request 131-2
- Court's decision 133-41
  - Costa Rica's request (existence of change)
    - Court's analysis and conclusion 135-6
    - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 134
    - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 134-5
  - Costa Rica's request (justification), Court's analysis and decision
    - compliance with ICJ 41 requirements (risk of irreparable prejudice/urgency), need for 137-8
    - Court's finding 139-40
    - reaffirmation of measures indicated in 8 March 2011 Order/restraint from action which might aggravate or extend the dispute 140
  - Costa Rica's request (justification), parties' arguments
    - Costa Rica (irreparable prejudice) 138
    - Costa Rica (urgency) 138
    - Court's analysis and decision (construction of a road) 136-7
    - Court's analysis and decision (joinder of cases) 137
    - Nicaragua 138-9
    - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 135
  - "some change in the situation justifies such revocation or modification" (ROC 76(1)) requirement, Nicaragua's request (existence of change), parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 135

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)), separate opinion (Caçado Trindade J) (dissenting)*** 141-70

- Costa Rica's visits to the disputed area (Ramsar 3(2)/Order of 8 March 2011) 144-7
- judicial restraint, role 162-6
- parties' requests (Costa Rica)
  - assessment of 153-4
  - measures requested 147
  - parties' positions ("change in the situation") 147-9
    - Costa Rica 148-9
    - Nicaragua 149
  - parties' positions (imminent risk of irreparable prejudice) 149-51
    - Costa Rica 150
    - Nicaragua 151
- parties' requests (Nicaragua) ("change in the situation") 151-3
  - assessment 154-5
  - parties' positions
    - Costa Rica 149, 152-3
    - Nicaragua 151-2
- parties' requests (summary) 143-4
- purpose/requirements
  - interests of the population vs territory and property 156-8
  - justice, importance of 161-2
  - rights of individuals vs inter-State rights 158-61
- summary of issues 142-3

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)), separate opinion (Dugard, Judge ad hoc) (dissenting) (presence of GEM in disputed territory)*** 170-6

- Court's findings
  - Costa Rica's failure to demonstrate presence of GEM as a real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice to Costa Rica's rights 174-5
    - dissent, reasons for 175-6
  - presence of groups such as GEM as "change in the situation" (ROC 76(1)) 174
- Order of 8 March 2011 (exclusion from the disputed territory of "any personnel, whether civilian, police or security")
  - applicability of prohibition to GEM 171-4
  - purpose (avoidance of exacerbation of the dispute/interference with decision on the merits) 170-1, 173-4

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)) (separate opinions and declarations)***

- Dugard, Judge ad hoc (separate opinion) (even-handedness/non-anticipation of decision on the merits, applicability in case of clear plausibility of party's right) 80-8
- force, prohibition of the threat or use of (UNC 2(4)) 85-6
- plausibility requirement
  - in principle 80-2
  - plausibility of Costa Rica's right 83-4
- summary of the issue/conclusion 80, 87-8
- territorial integrity/inviolability of boundaries principle
  - considerations attaching to provisional measures in case of violation 85-6
  - as *jus cogens* 85-6
  - "nature of the territory", relevance 86-7

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities) (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)) (separate opinions and declarations) (cont.)***

- Greenwood J (declaration) 67-73
  - criteria for provisional measures 67-70
    - Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested/to act *proprio motu* 69-70
    - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 67-8
    - plausibility/credibility/reasonable possibility/probability/arguable case 68-9
    - plausibility/link between the rights in question and the measures requested 69
    - prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice/urgency 69
    - prima facie jurisdiction 68
  - criteria for provisional measures, applicability
    - cooperation obligation, importance 72-3
    - Costa Rica's alleged breach of the Cleveland Award 3(6) 70
    - Costa Rica's claim to disputed area (plausibility/necessity of provisional measures) 71-3
    - Costa Rica's request for suspension of dredging activities 71
- Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (declaration) 74-80
  - activities on the disputed territory 76-80
    - Court's decision to allow Costa Rica to despatch civilian personnel to the disputed territory charged with the protection of the environment 78-80
    - suspension of Nicaragua's dredging programme 75-6
- Koroma J (separate opinion) (plausibility requirement) 52-7
- Sepúlveda-Amor J (separate opinion) 57-64
  - Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested 62
  - plausibility requirement 58-61
  - prevention of irreparable prejudice as a consequence of the *caño* 61-4
  - summary of issues 57-8
- Skotnikov J (declaration) (Court's decision to allow Costa Rica to despatch civilian personnel to the disputed territory charged with the protection of the environment) 64-7
  - cooperation obligation, importance 66-7
- Xue J (declaration) (Court's decision to allow Costa Rica to despatch civilian personnel to the disputed territory charged with the protection of the environment) 73-4

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Counterclaims)***  
109

- admissibility requirements/characteristics (ROC 80)
  - autonomous nature 116
  - "comes within the jurisdiction"/"directly connected with the subject-matter" as cumulative requirement 116-18
  - ROC 80 (text) 116
- Costa Rica's claims (Application) 112
- Costa Rica's submissions (Memorial) 113-14
- Counterclaim 1: Costa Rica's responsibility for impairment and possible destruction of navigation on the San Juan River 118-24
  - Costa Rica's objection (Nicaragua's principal claim dealing with the same subject matter) 117-18
  - Court's conclusion (absence of a factual or legal connection) 123-4
  - Court's finding 117-18
  - direct connection requirement 119-23

- direct connection requirement (Court's analysis and findings)
  - Costa Rica 119-20
  - Counterclaim 2 (direct legal connection) 122
  - Counterclaim 2 (geographical/temporal factual connection) 121-2
  - Counterclaim 3 (direct factual connection) 122-3
  - Counterclaim 3 (direct legal connection) 123
  - relevant factors (review of the jurisprudence) 119-20
  - reasons for considering second and third counterclaims together 118
  - statement of claims 118
- Counterclaims 2 and 3: Nicaragua's sole sovereignty over the Bay of San Juan del Norte area/Nicaragua's right to free navigation on the Colorado branch of the San Juan de Nicaragua River, direct connection requirement (parties' arguments), Nicaragua 119
- Counterclaim 4: Costa Rica's failure to implement 8 March 2011 provisional measures (Court's finding) 124
- Court's decision 124-5
  - analysis of connection between Costa Rican claims/Nicaraguan counterclaims 127-9
  - "connection ... both in fact and in law" 125-9
  - review of the jurisprudence 125-7
- declaration (Guillaume, Judge ad hoc) (direct connection requirement (second and third claims)) 125-9
- Nicaragua's Counter-Memorial/counterclaims 114-15
  - Costa Rica's observations on admissibility 115
  - Nicaragua's observations on admissibility 115
- procedural history 109-12
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Merits)***
  - (Court's decision)** 299-301
    - (1) Costa Rica's sovereignty over the "disputed area" 300
    - (2) Nicaragua's excavation of three *caños* and establishment of a military presence on Costa Rican territory as violation of Costa Rica's territorial sovereignty 300
    - (3) Nicaragua's excavation of three *caños* and establishment of a military presence on Costa Rican territory as violation of 8 March 2011 Provisional Measures Order 300
    - (4) Nicaragua's breach of Costa Rica's navigation rights under the 1858 Treaty 300
    - (5)(a) Nicaragua's obligation to compensate Costa Rica for material damage caused by unlawful activities 300
    - (5)(b) in absence of agreement between the parties settlement of compensation by the Court 300
    - (5)(c) rejection of Costa Rica's request for award of costs against Nicaragua 300
    - (6) Costa Rica's violation of general international law obligation to carry out EIA 300
    - (7) rejection of all other submissions by the parties 301
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Merits) (separate opinions and declarations)*** 301-4
- Bhandari J (separate opinion) (EIA obligation) 349-66
  - development of the law relating to 351-9
  - Espoo (1991) 360-3
  - global commons 354
  - polluter pays principle 355
  - precautionary principle 354-5
  - preventive action principle 353
  - suggested minimum standards 363-5
  - summary of the issues 349-51

***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/ Construction of a Road) (Merits) (separate opinions and declarations)*** (*cont.*)

- sustainable development principle 351-3
- transboundary harm 356-9
- Cançado Trindade J (provisional measures)
  - breach of provisional measures order
    - declaration of non-compliance, sufficiency as reparation 333-6
    - ICJ practice in determination of/delayed response 327-9
    - ICJ's monitoring role 333
    - prompt determination of breach/non-compliance, need for 329-33
    - State responsibility for 326-7
  - due diligence and 336-7
  - enlargement of scope of provisional measures 324-6
  - evolution of provisional measures 320-2
  - precautionary principle and 336-7
  - preventive dimension in international law 318-19
  - provisional measures as autonomous regime 318, 320-3
    - evidence of 322-3
  - summary of views 340-2
- Donoghue J (transboundary environmental harm under customary international law) 342-9
  - due diligence obligation
    - EIA and 346-7
    - good neighbourliness principle 343-6
    - obligation to notify and consult and 347-9
  - EIA, extent of obligation in case of risk of significant transboundary harm 346-7
    - national responsibility for determining modalities 347
  - obligation to notify and consult with potentially affected States in case of risk of significant transboundary harm 347-9
  - sovereign equality of States/territorial sovereignty, interrelationship 343-6
  - terminology (general international law/customary international law) 342-3
- Dugard, Judge ad hoc (separate opinion) 401-24
  - EIA
    - Court's handling in *Certain Activities* 411-20
    - Court's handling in *Construction of a Road* 410-11
    - as due diligence obligation 403-6
    - as independent obligation 404-6
    - national responsibility for determining modalities 408-9
    - preventive action principle and 403-4
    - scope and requirements 409-10
    - treaties providing for 407-8
  - environmental protection, Court's findings 402-3
  - general international law, definitions 406-7
    - general principles of international law (ICJ 38(1)(c)) distinguished 406-7
    - inclusion of international [codifying] treaties, widely accepted judicial decision, CIL and general principles of law (ICJ 38(1)(c) and (d)) 407
  - Ramsar Convention 420-4: *see also* Ramsar Convention (1971)
  - summary of views 401-2
  - territorial integrity 407
- Gevorgian J (declaration) ("sovereignty over disputed territory") 389-91
- Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (*Certain Activities*) (declaration) 392-9
  - applicable law (1858 Treaty, Cleveland Award and Alexander Awards) 392-4

- Costa Rica's requests 392
  - Costa Rica's claims of harm to its wetland from dredging programme 398-9
  - Costa Rica's rights of navigation, alleged breach 397-8
  - Costa Rica's sovereignty over the "disputed area", Court's entitlement to rule on 395-7
    - as new claim (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(1)) 395-7
  - Court's decision 392
  - current geographical situation 394-5
  - dredging/excavation of *caños*/establishment of military presence
    - as breach of Provisional Measures Order of 8 March 2011 397
    - as violation of Costa Rica's territorial sovereignty/integrity 395
- Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (*Construction of a Road*) (declaration), "significant transboundary harm" 399-401
- Owada J (separate opinion) (EIA obligation) 309-12
  - as general international law obligation 309-12
  - good neighbourliness principle/due diligence and 312
  - Pulp Mills* 310-11
  - Responsibilities and Obligations of States with respect to Activities in the Area* 310
- Owada J (separate opinion) (sovereignty over the disputed territory) 304-8
  - Alexander Award (first)
    - continuing applicability of reasoning 307
    - "first *channel* met" vs "first *caño* met" 306
    - geographical changes since Cleveland Award, effect 305-6
  - Alexander Award (third) 307
  - effectivités*, evidentiary value 307-8
  - legal consequences of Court's finding 308-9
    - Cameroon v. Nigeria* distinguished 309
    - UNC 2(4) obligations/reparation and 308-9
  - relevant instruments (1858 Treaty, Cleveland Award and first Alexander Award), importance of logical sequence 304-6
- Robinson J (use of force (UNC 2(4))) 366-89
  - Cameroon v. Nigeria* compared 367-9
  - conclusion 386-9
  - determination of violation
    - express determination of all non-frivolous claims, importance of 372-5
    - relevant factors 379-86
  - evidence establishing Nicaraguan military presence as action *against* Costa Rica 385-6
  - evidence establishing Nicaragua's military presence on Costa Rican territory 369-72
  - violation of territorial integrity not involving the use of force distinguished 376-9
  - threshold of force 379-80
- Tomka, Greenwood, Sebutinde JJ and Judge ad hoc Dugard (rejection of Costa Rica's claim for costs) 313-16
  - circumstances justifying costs 313-16
  - parties to pay own "unless otherwise decided by the Court" (ICJ 64)/"order for the purpose of giving effect to that decision" (ROC 97) 313
- Yusuf VP (declaration) (obligation to respect territorial integrity and sovereignty over the San Juan River) 301-4
  - insufficiency of Court's reasoning 301-2
    - Court's function (elucidation, interpretation and development of the rules and principles of international law) 302
  - jurisprudence 303-4



***Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road) (Merits) (separate opinions and declarations)* (cont.)**

sources

- customary international law 302
- OAS 21 (inviolability of territory) 302
- UNGA resolution 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation) 302

**costs (ICJ 64)**

*Certain Activities* 300, 313-16

parties to pay own “unless otherwise decided by the Court”/“order for the purpose of giving effect to that decision” (ROC 97) 313

**counterclaims (ICJ) (ROC 80)**

admissibility (ROC 80(1))

“comes within the jurisdiction”/“directly connected with the subject-matter” as cumulative requirement 116-18

“direct connection with the subject-matter of the claim of the other party” 119-23, 125-9

“connection ... both in fact and in law” 125-9

characteristics/definition, autonomous nature 116

jurisprudence (including PCIJ cases)

*Application of the Genocide Convention* 116, 120-1, 125-6

*Armed Activities (Congo v. Uganda)* 116-17, 120-1, 126-7

*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* 109, 125-9; *see also*

*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* (Counterclaims)

*Jurisdictional Immunities of the State (Germany v. Italy)* 116-17

*Land and Maritime Boundary (Cameroon/Nigeria)* 120-1, 126

*Oil Platforms* 116-17, 120-1, 126

procedural arrangements, need for inclusion in counter-memorial (ROC 80(2)) 116

text (ROC 80) 116

**differential treatment, justification/requirements (applicability of CFR 20 to EU treaties with third parties)**

*Åkerberg Fransson* 671

*Arcelor Atlantique* 672

*Berlioz Investment Fund* 671

*Cresco* 672

*Glatzel* 672

*Opinion 1/17* 607-10, 672

*Schaible* 672

*Spika* 672

*Texdata Software* 671

**dispute settlement conventions and other international instruments relating to:** *see*

*Opinion 1/17* (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law)

**due diligence:** *see* environmental impact assessment (EIA), need for; good

neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to others, due diligence obligation

**effective remedy before national authority, need for in case of violation of EU rights (CFR 47(1))**

independence of tribunal requirement 611-24

*Opinion 1/17* 611-24, 675-86

- environment:** *see Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation), separate and dissenting opinions and declarations; environmental damage, remedies; environmental impact assessment (EIA), need for; environmental impact assessment, need for, jurisprudence; precautionary principle
- environmental damage, remedies**
- burden/standard of proof of damage/liability 433
  - compensation 434-46
    - absence of adequate evidence as to the extent of material damage, effect/equitable basis alternative 433, 446
    - compatibility with the principles of international law governing the consequences of internationally wrongful acts including the principle of full reparation 435
    - compensability of environmental damage 434-5
    - evaluation 536-7
    - for the impairment or loss of environmental goods and services 493
    - object and purpose 435
    - sufficiency as remedy 480-1
  - III/IDI resolution on “Responsibility and Liability under International Law for Environmental Damage” (Strasbourg 1997) 480-1
  - as intertemporal issue 478-80
  - non-pecuniary reparations, need for 484-90
  - prompt compliance with reparation obligation, importance 472, 491
  - punitive/exemplary damages, possibility of 472-4, 480-1, 491, 509-12, 514, 516, 540-1
  - repair/rectification of damage/restorative justice, importance of 483-6, 491-2
  - valuation of environmental damage, ecosystem services replacement costs 528-9
  - valuation of environmental damage, methodology 435-8, 498-502, 527-31
    - ecosystem services approach 435-7, 528
    - “reasonable value” test 437-8
    - UNCC approaches to 437-8, 527
    - UNEP Guidelines for the Development of Domestic Legislation on Liability, Response Action and Compensation for Damage Caused by Activities Dangerous to the Environment 436
- environmental impact assessment (EIA), need for**
- as customary/general international law obligation 263, 278, 281, 300, 309-12, 406-8
  - due diligence and 264, 278, 312, 336-7, 342, 344-7, 403-6
    - EIA as independent obligation 404-6
  - marine environment protection (UNCLOS 206) 407-8
  - national security/emergency, possibility of exemption 279-81
  - treaties and other international instruments relevant to
    - Antarctic Protocol (1991) 407-8
    - CBD 14 (impact assessment and minimizing adverse impacts) 281-2, 358, 407-8
    - Espoo (1991): *see* Espoo (1991)
    - ILC(TBH) 7 (assessment of risk/EIA) 404-5, 407-8
    - Rio Declaration (1992) (Principle 17) 357-8
    - UNEP Goals and Principles of EIA (1987) 357-8, 365
    - Water Convention (1992) 407-8
- environmental impact assessment (EIA), need for, jurisprudence**
- Certain Activities/Construction of a Road*, 263-5, 276-81, 309-12, 349-66, 406-20: *see also Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Merits), issue B: alleged violations of international environmental law; *Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)*, issue A.1: alleged breach of obligation under general international law to carry out an EIA
  - Pulp Mills* 264, 279-81, 310-11, 346-7, 358-61, 404-6, 408-9
  - Responsibilities and Obligations of States with respect to Activities in the Area* 310, 407-8

**environmental impact assessment (EIA), scope/requirements**

- absence of clear customary international law or treaty specification 356-9
  - summary of “inherent” rules as applied in *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua* 409-10
  - synthesis of provisions in international law instruments 360-1
- completion prior to implementation of project 281
- consultation of affected populations, *Espoo* 2(6) 361-2
- continuous monitoring 281
- EIA as responsibility of State carrying out possibly harmful activity 278
- national responsibility for determining modalities 279-80, 347, 408-9
- standard of assessment, “significant transboundary harm” 278, 282-3
- suggested minimum standards (Bhandari J) 363-5

**environmental protection obligations**

- breach as war crime (ICC 8(2)(b)(iv)) 536
- customary international law (CIL) 342-9
- development of law 351-9
  - technological developments and 351
- development of law, *Gabčíkovo–Nagyymaros Project* 352-3
- due diligence obligation, 343-6, 403-6: *see also* environmental impact assessment (EIA), need for, due diligence and
- sovereign equality of States/territorial sovereignty considerations 343-6
- sustainable development: *see* sustainable development principle
- treaties and other international instruments relevant to
  - ACHR 24 536
  - Brundtland Report 352
  - Ramsar Convention (1971): *see* Ramsar Convention (1971)
  - Rio Declaration: *see* Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992)
  - Stockholm Declaration (1972): *see* Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment (1972)

**environmental protection/socio-economic interests, need to balance (integration principle) 352-3**

- Brundtland Report (2007) 352

**Espoo (1991)**

- consultation obligation (*Espoo* 5) 348, 362
- dispute settlement (*Espoo* 15) 362
- EIAs as an obligation 407-8
- final decisions, dependence on outcome of the EIA (*Espoo* 6) 362
- minimum requirements for EIA 362-3
- non-exhaustive list of activities requiring an EIA (Appendix I) 362-3
- notification obligation (*Espoo* 3) 348-9, 362
- public participation (*Espoo* 2(6)) 361-2
- Pulp Mills* 361-2
- as regional treaty/non-applicability to non-signatories 360-1

**EU legal order**

- autonomy/independence
  - exclusive jurisdiction of CJEU/ECJ (TFEU 344/TEC 292/EA 193) and 569-70, 572-4
  - jurisprudence
    - Achmea* 580-5
    - Opinion 1/00* 573-4, 658
    - Opinion 1/09* 569-70, 573-4
    - Opinion 1/17*: *see* *Opinion 1/17*
    - Opinion 1/92* 573-4
    - Opinion 2/13* 569-70, 573, 658-9
  - national courts’ role in protecting 569-70, 659

- preliminary ruling (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 267) as means of protecting 569-70, 659
- common values (TEU 2) as underlying principles 658-9
- jurisprudence
  - Achmea* (CJEU decision (2018)) 576-7
  - Opinion 1/17* 576-7, 658-9
  - Wightman* 658-9
- mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3))
  - EU treaties with third parties and 576-9, 583-4, 662-3
  - requirement that Member States presume other Member States' compliance with fundamental rights (*Opinion 2/13*) 662-3
- EU Treaties, interpretation or application (TFEU 344/TEC 292) (exclusive jurisdiction)**
  - applicable law, limitation to VCLT and rules and principles of international law applicable between the parties (CETA 8.31.1) 586-7
  - binding effect of Tribunal awards as "between the disputing parties and in respect of that particular case" (CETA 8.41.1) 588
  - determination of legality of measure "under the domestic law of a Party", exclusion (CETA 8.31.2) 587
  - limitation of remedies to monetary damages/restitution (CETA 8.39.1) 587-8
  - "may consider the domestic law of a Party as a matter of fact" (CETA 8.31.2) 588-91
  - "as a matter of fact" 590
  - non-binding effect of Tribunal's decisions on EU courts and authorities (CETA 8.31.2) 591-2
  - parties' right to regulate within their territories to achieve legitimate policy objectives (CETA 8.9) 589-90
  - requirement to follow the prevailing interpretation given to the domestic law by the party in question/non-binding effect of Tribunal's interpretation on the party's courts or authorities of that party 590, 594-5
  - preservation of autonomy of EU legal order as object 569-70, 572-4
  - "sincere cooperation"/loyalty obligation (TEU 4(3)) and 569-70
- EU treaties with third parties ("international agreements" (TFEU 216-19))**
  - "binding [effect] on the institutions of the Union [Community] and on Member States" (TFEU 216(2)) 570-1, 658
  - "body set up by an agreement, when that body is called upon to adopt acts having legal effects", participation of EU in (TFEU 218(9)) 684-5
- direct effect
  - clear, precise and unconditional obligation requirement 571
  - exclusion (CETA 30.6) 571-2
    - as standard FTA practice 579
    - Stichting Natuur en Milieu and Pesticide Action Network Europe* 579
  - dispute settlement mechanisms including the creation of a court responsible for the interpretation of its provisions
  - binding effect of decisions on EU institutions including the CJEU 572, 584-5, 658
    - exclusion of jurisdiction over instruments of EU law other than the agreement establishing the mechanism 573-4, 584, 586-8, 660-4
  - compatibility with autonomy of the EU legal order requirement 572-4, 658-70
  - compatibility with the right to an effective remedy and a fair trial (CFR 47) 611-24, 675-80
  - "envisaged" agreement 660
- jurisprudence
  - Achmea* 661-2
  - Deficiencies in the System of Justice* 615, 678-9
  - Opinion 1/00* 573-4, 595-6, 658
  - Opinion 1/09* 573-4, 596-8, 661-2

**EU treaties with third parties (“international agreements” (TFEU 216-19))** (*cont.*)

- Opinion 1/17*: see *Opinion 1/17*
- Opinion 1/91* 595-6, 658
- Opinion 1/92* 573-4
- Opinion 2/13* 572-3, 596-8, 658
- Opinion 2/15* 572-3
- Western Sahara Campaign UK* 572
- reciprocity and: see reciprocity *below*
- fundamental rights including CFR, compliance obligation 605-6, 615
- as integral part of EU legal order 570-2
- preliminary ruling/compatibility with the Treaties (TFEU 218(11) reference)
  - Court’s jurisdiction
    - any question in relation to doubts about compatibility of the treaty with EU law including the CFR 670-1
    - Opinion 1/16* 670
    - Western Sahara Campaign UK* 670
  - “envisaged” agreement 566, 660
  - jurisprudence, *Opinion 1/17* (CETA) 566
  - purpose, avoidance of complications of treaty compatible with international law but not EU law 566
- priority in case of conflict with EU Treaties, *IATA and ELFAA* 570-1
- reciprocity
  - CETA provisions (CETA 8:C and 8:D) 575-6, 660
  - direct effect and 579-80
  - dispute mechanism provisions and 577-9, 660
  - Opinion 1/17* (AG Bot) 574-9
  - reasons for (investment treaty)
    - different standards of protection in the domestic law of the parties 574-5
    - mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)), absence 576-7, 583-4
    - promotion of investment of EU investors/third State investors 576
- WTO Agreements (1994) 579-80

**EU treaties with third parties (“international agreements” (TFEU 216-19)), jurisprudence**

- Aebtri* 660
- Brita* 660
- C&J Clark International and Puma* 579-80
- Haegeman* 660
- Intertanko* 571
- Opinion 1/17* 566, 660: see also *Opinion 1/17*
- Stichting Natuur en Milieu and Pesticide Action Network Europe* 579
- Western Sahara Campaign UK* 571-2, 605-6
- European Charter of Fundamental Rights (CFR) (2000)**
  - guaranteed rights (CFR 52), exercise of CFR rights based on EU Treaties in accordance with the Treaties 606
  - “same legal value as the Treaties” status 605-6, 670-1
  - CFR 21(2)/TFEU 18 (non-discrimination), equivalence 606, 671
- European citizenship (TFEU 18-25 [TEC 17-22])**
  - differential treatment, justification 607-10
  - nationality, non-discrimination (TFEU 18 [TEC 12])
    - non-applicability to nationals of non-EU Member States
    - Francesco Guarnieri* 606-7
    - Opinion 1/17* 606-7, 671

- Petrov* 606-7  
*Vatsouras* 606-7, 671
- evidence (ICJ/PCIJ)**  
*Application of the Genocide Convention* 258-9  
*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 258-62  
*Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 258-9
- fair and public hearing/access to the courts (ECHR 6), justified restrictions/interference, requirements (ECHR 6(1))**  
 “proportionate to the legitimate aim” 678  
*Opinion 1/17* 678  
*Toma* 678  
 very essence of right, obligation not to impair  
*Opinion 1/17* 678  
*Toma* 678
- force, prohibition of the threat or use of/non-intervention in the affairs of another State (UNC 2(4))**  
 customary international law/*jus cogens* 85  
 determination of alleged violation  
 express determination of all non-frivolous claims, importance of 372-5  
 relevant factors 379-86  
   context 384-5  
   gravity 380-2  
   purpose 382-6  
 “force”  
   “against” 382-3  
   establishment of military presence on another’s territory 388-9  
 jurisprudence  
   *Cameroon v. Nigeria* 309, 367-9  
   *Corfu Channel* 379-80, 383  
   *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 85-6, 261-2, 308-9, 366-89  
   *Kosovo Advisory Opinion* 85  
   *Legality of Nuclear Weapons* 381  
   *Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua* 380-2, 384, 386-9  
   *Oil Platforms* 383-4  
 reparation, possibilities 308-9  
   apology 389  
 violation of territorial integrity not involving the use of force distinguished 376-9  
 threshold of force 379-80
- General Assembly resolution 2625 (XXV)** (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States): *see* self-determination, right of, UNGA Resolution 2625 (XXV)
- general international law, definitions** 342-3, 406-7  
 general principles of international law (ICJ 38(1)(c)) distinguished 406-7  
 inclusion of international [codifying] treaties, widely accepted judicial decision, CIL and general principles of law (ICJ 38(1)(c) and (d)) 407  
 “international custom, as evidence of a general practice accepted as law” (ICJ 38(1)(b)) 342-3

**general principles of international law**

examples 406-7

jurisprudence

*Chorzów Factory* 406-7

*Mavrommatis Jerusalem Concessions* 406-7

**global commons** 353-4**good neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to others**

as customary international law 269

due diligence obligation 282-3, 343-9

EIA, role 312

global commons and 354

“harm”

ILC(TBH) 2(b) 356

relevant factors 356-7

“significant” 356, 399-401

jurisprudence

*Certain Activities/Construction of a Road* 267-70, 285-96, 309-12: *see also Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Merits), issue B: alleged violations of international environmental law; *Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)*, issue B.1: alleged breach of good neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to others

*Corfu Channel* 356-7

*Pulp Mills* 269, 344-5

obligation to notify and consult with potentially affected States in case of risk of significant transboundary harm 265-7, 282-4, 347-9

customary international law 265, 347-9

due diligence and 347-9

Esposo 3 (notification) 348-9, 361-2

Esposo 5 (consultation) 348

ILC(TBH) 8 (notification and information) 348-9

ILC(TBH) 9 (consultation on preventive measures) 348

Ramsar 3(2) (obligation to notify Ramsar Secretariat) 266-7, 283-4

Ramsar 7 (obligation to consult about implementing obligations) 267, 283-4

Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992) 344

**hazardous activities** (ILC draft articles on the Prevention of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities (2001) (ILC(TBH)))

customary international law and 348

ILC(TBH) 2(b) (“harm”) 356

ILC(TBH) 3 (obligation to prevent/minimize risk of transboundary harm) 289, 348  
 as due diligence obligation 403-4

ILC(TBH) 4 (cooperation in good faith obligation) 354

ILC(TBH) 7 (assessment of risk/EIA) 404-5, 407-8

ILC(TBH) 8 (notification and information) 348-9

ILC(TBH) 9 (consultation on preventive measures) 348

**ICJ procedural issues**

joinder of actions

jurisprudence

- Appeals from Certain Judgments of the Hungaro-Czechoslovak Mixed Arbitral Tribunal* 97-8
- Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia* 97-8
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* 88, 94-104
- Eastern Greenland* 92, 97-8
- North Sea Continental Shelf* cases 92, 97-8
- South West Africa* cases 97-8
- sound administration of justice/judicial economy as purpose 92, 98
- ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule**
- 31 (consultation with parties on procedure) 426-7
- 38(2) (application: legal basis for jurisdiction and precise nature of claim) 395-7
- 38(4) (provision of copy of application to respondent) 34-5
- 42 (transmission of copies of application) 35
- 43 (construction of a convention to which States not party to proceedings are party: Court's directions to Registrar) 35, 90, 110, 227
- 47 (joinder of cases) 88, 94-104
- 53(2) (availability of documents to the public) 235
- 54 (closure of written proceedings: date for hearing) 231
- 57 (notification of evidence to be submitted and information relating to witnesses and experts to be called) 232-3
- 61(4) (Court's indication of points to be addressed by parties: timing of replies) 236
- 62(1) (Court's right to call on parties for evidence/explanations) (disclosure) 35-6, 234
- 66 (obtaining of evidence *ex proprio motu* or at request of party) 231
- 67 (enquiry or expert opinion) 231
- 73 (request for interim protection) 227, 230-1
- 73-5 (provisional measures) 33
- 74 (request for interim measures) 227, 230-1
- 74(1) (request for interim protection: priority) 67-8
- 74(3) (interim protection: date for oral hearing) 36
- 75 (provisional measures) 227, 230-1
- 75(1) (examination of circumstances requiring provisional measures *ex proprio motu*) 69, 178, 229
- 75(2) (provisional measures: Court's right to prescribe measures other than those requested) 49-50, 69-70, 189-91, 229
- 76(1) (modification or revocation of provisional measures), "some change in the situation justifies such revocation or modification" 133-40; *see also Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)); provisional measures (ICJ 41), revocation or modification (ROP 76)
- 79(1) (preliminary objections: time limits for lodging objection to jurisdiction/admissibility) 181
- 80 (counterclaims): *see Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* (Counterclaims); counterclaims (ICJ) (ROC 80)
- 97 (costs) 313
- independent and impartial tribunal (including ACHR 8(1), ECHR 6(1), ICCPR 14(1) and CFR 47(1))**
- impartiality
- "ethics" compliance (CETA 8.30.4)/compliance with IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration (CETA 8.30.1) 686
- maintenance of equal distance from the parties 685-6
- non-affiliation with any government (CETA 8.30.1) 686
- remuneration from government, relevance 686
- "shall not take instructions from any organisation, or government" (CETA 8.30.1) 686



**independent and impartial tribunal (including ACHR 8(1), ECHR 6(1), ICCPR 14(1) and CFR 47(1))** (*cont.*)

“independent tribunal” 615, 678-9, 682-6

jurisprudence

*Deficiencies in the System of Justice* 615, 678-9

*Opinion 1/17* 611-24, 675-86: *see also* *Opinion 1/17*

restrictions/limitations: *see* fair and public hearing/access to the courts (ECHR 6),

justified restrictions/interference, requirements (ECHR 6(1))

**Institute of International Law/Institut de droit international (IIL/IDI)**, environmental damage, resolution on responsibility for (Strasbourg 1997) 480-1

**interest**

on compensation/damages, as element of 465-6

*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 465-6, 525

pre-judgment 465-6, 525

**intertemporal law (*Island of Palmas*)**, reparation/*restitutio in integrum* obligation and 478-80

“investment”/“foreign investment”, definition/classification as, CETA 8.1 628-9, 665

“investor”/“foreign investor”, “a natural person or an enterprise of a Party ... [making]

an investment in the territory of the other Party. For the purposes of this

definition, an enterprise of a Party” (CETA 8.1) 629

**judge ad hoc (ICJ 31(2) and (3)/PCIJ 31 and ROC 71)** (examples)

*Certain Activities (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)* 227

*Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)* 228

**judicial restraint**, role (Cançado Trindade J) 162-6

**legal dispute, requirements**, critical date/date arising, events arising after/continuing events 253

**Lotus principle (“what is not prohibited is allowed”/protective principle)**, constraints 343

**maps and charts, evidentiary value**

jurisprudence

*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 259

*Eritrea/Ethiopia* 259

*Pedra Branca/Pulau Batu Puteh (Malaysia/Singapore)* 259

Nicaraguan maps 259

reliability/accuracy, factors affecting, scale 259

**marine environment, protection and preservation (UNCLOS Part XII: Section 4)**, EIA

obligation (UNCLOS 206) 407-8

**measure of damages/compensation including valuation of company/property/assets:**

*see also* environmental damage, remedies

absence of adequate evidence as to the extent of material damage, effect/equitable basis alternative 433, 446

burden/standard of proof 511-14, 516

causal link between act and damage, need for 432-3, 446, 515-16

equitable considerations (ILC(SR) 36) (commentary) 433, 446, 481-3, 506-7, 535-6

***Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)* (issues)**

issue A.1: alleged breach of obligation under general international law to carry out an EIA 276-81

- Court's analysis and conclusion (obligation to carry out an EIA: Costa Rica's compliance with) 281
    - completion prior to implementation of project requirement 281
    - continuing nature of the obligation 281
    - as general international law obligation 278, 281
    - studies carried out by Costa Rica, evaluation of 281
  - Court's analysis and conclusion (obligation to carry out an EIA: existence of) 278-9
    - adequacy of Costa Rica's claimed preliminary assessment 278
    - Court's conclusion 279
    - due diligence and 278
    - EIA as responsibility of State carrying out possibly harmful activity 278
    - factors considered by the Court (scale of project, location of the road and geographic condition of the river basin) 278-9
    - "significant transboundary harm" test 278
  - Court's analysis and conclusion (obligation to carry out an EIA: possibility of exemption) 279-81
    - Court's conclusion 280
    - lack of evidence justifying failure to conduct EIA 280
    - national responsibility for determining EIA modalities/relevance of emergency exemption under Costa Rican law 279-80
    - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 277
    - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 276-8
  - issue A.2: alleged breach of CBD 14 (impact assessment and minimizing adverse impacts) 281-2
    - Court's analysis and conclusion 282
    - parties' arguments 281-2
  - issue A.3: alleged breach of obligation to notify and consult 282-4
    - in case of risk of "significant transboundary harm"
      - Court's analysis and conclusion (due diligence obligation/Costa Rica's failure to undertake EIA) 282-3
      - parties' arguments 282
    - obligations under 1858 Treaty
      - Court's analysis and conclusion (*Navigational Rights* distinguished) 283
      - parties' arguments 283
    - obligations under Ramsar Convention 283-4
    - summary of Nicaragua's contentions 282
  - issue B.1: alleged breach of good neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to others 285-96
    - (a) contribution of sediment from the road to the river 287-8
      - Court's analysis and conclusion 288
      - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 287
      - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 287-8
    - (b) road-derived sediment as cause of significant harm to Nicaragua 288-96
      - (b)(i): alleged harm caused by increased sediment concentrations in the river 288-91
        - Court's analysis and conclusion (Nicaragua's failure to establish increased sediment concentrations as cause of significant transboundary harm) 290-1
        - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 289
        - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 288-9
      - (b)(ii): alleged harm to the river's morphology, to navigation and to Nicaragua's dredging programme 291-4
        - Court's conclusion 294

***Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road) (issues) (cont.)***

- (b)(ii): alleged harm to the river's morphology, to navigation and to Nicaragua's dredging programme (Court's analysis)
  - harm to morphology and navigation 293-4
  - impact on dredging burden 293
  - morphological changes 292-3
- (b)(ii): alleged harm to the river's morphology, to navigation and to Nicaragua's dredging programme (parties' arguments) 294-5
  - Costa Rica 292, 294-5
  - Court's analysis (Nicaragua's failure to demonstrate harm) 295
  - Nicaragua 291-2, 294
  - points of agreement 291
- (b)(iii): alleged harm to water quality and the aquatic ecosystem, Court's conclusion 295
- (b)(iv): alleged harm to health and tourism/risk of toxic spills 295-6
  - Court's conclusion (Nicaragua's failure to demonstrate harm) 296
  - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 296
  - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 295-6
- general
  - Court's conclusion (Nicaragua's failure to demonstrate harm) 296
  - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 286
  - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 285-6
- issue B.2: alleged breaches of treaty obligations 296-7
  - Court's conclusion (Nicaragua's failure to show that Costa Rica infringed instruments in question) 297
  - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 297
  - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 296-7
- issue B.3: alleged breach of obligation to respect Nicaragua's territorial integrity and sovereignty over the San Juan River 297-8
  - Court's conclusion (Nicaragua's failure to demonstrate alleged violations) 298
  - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 298
  - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 297-8
- issue C: reparation (Nicaragua's requests)
  - Court's observation on Costa Rica's mitigation works/due diligence obligation to monitor the environmental effects of the project 299
  - order for Costa Rica to cease unlawful activities 298
  - restitution and compensation 298-9

***Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road) (joinder of cases)*** 104

- Court's analysis 107-8
- Nicaragua's request for joinder 106-7
  - Costa Rica's comments on 107
- procedural history 104-7

***Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road) (Nicaragua's request for provisional measures)*** 214-25

- Court's analysis of the requirements and conclusions
  - link between the rights in question and the measures requested 220-1
  - plausibility of rights 218-20
  - prima facie jurisdiction 218
  - risk of irreparable prejudice/urgency 221-4
    - Court's conclusions 223-4
    - parties' arguments (Costa Rica) 222-3
    - parties' arguments (Nicaragua) 221-2

- Court's decision 224-5
  - measures Costa Rica has taken/intends to take 224
- procedural history
  - Court's rejection of requests for modification of measures (16 July 2013) 215
  - joinder of *Certain Activities* and *Construction of a Road* (17 April 2013) 215
  - Nicaragua's Application (*Construction of a Road*) 214-15
  - Nicaragua's request for new provisional measures (11 October 2013) 215-16
    - Costa Rica's objection 217
    - reasons for request 216, 218
    - requested measures 216-17
  - Nicaragua's request for provisional measures *ex proprio motu*/Court's rejection of request (11 March 2013) 215
- non-discrimination obligation (CFR 21), *Opinion 1/17*** 603-10, 670-5
  
- OAS (Organization of American States), inviolability of territory (OAS 21)** 302
- Opinion 1/17***: *see* *Opinion 1/17* (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (background); *Opinion 1/17* (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (Court's analysis and conclusion); *Opinion 1/17* (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (Opinion of AG Bot)
- Opinion 1/17* (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (background)**
  - Belgium's TFEU 218(11) request (text) 559, 627
  - CETA
    - Joint Interpretative Instrument
      - Point 1(b) (shared commitment of Canada, EU and its Member States) 643
      - Point 1(d) (parties' continuing ability to achieve legitimate public policy objectives) 643
      - Point 2 (parties' right to regulate to achieve legitimate public policy objectives) 643-4
      - Point 6 (investment protection) 589-90, 644-5
    - Statement 36 645-6
  - as a "new generation" FTA 558
  - parties' domestic legal systems, exclusion of direct effect of CETA in (CETA 30.6.1) 643
  - provisional application (Council Decision (EU) 2017/38 of 28 October 2016) 558, 627
    - non-applicability to CETA provisions under consideration 627-8
    - signature 558
  - ISDS (general), issues relating to 560-5
    - challenges facing the EU 561-2
    - dispute settlement clauses, value 560
    - hybrid nature of EU proposed solution 562
    - as preferred alternative to inter-State arbitration/diplomatic protection/domestic judicial systems 560-1
  - ISDS mechanism (CETA) (textual extracts)
    - applicable law
      - Appellate Tribunal (CETA 8.28.2) 635-6
      - Tribunal decisions (CETA 8.31) 635
    - CETA Joint Committee
      - composition and responsibilities (CETA 26.1) 642
      - decision-making (CETA 26.3) 642

***Opinion 1/17 (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (background) (cont.)***

- consultations (place (CETA 8.19.2)/alternative methods (CETA 8.19.3)) 636
- definitions (CETA 1.1), “measure” 634-5
- definitions (CETA 8.1)
  - “covered investment” 629
  - “investment” 628-9
  - “investor” 629
  - “locally established enterprise” 636
- Members of Appellate Tribunal
  - administrative and organizational matters (CETA 8.28.7) 641
  - appointment/qualifications (CETA 8.28.3-4) 641
  - independence (CETA 8.30.1) 641
- Members of Tribunal
  - appointment/qualifications/terms of office (CETA 8.27.2-5) 640-1
  - code of conduct to be prepared by the Committee on Services and Investment (CETA 8.44.2) 642-3
  - fees and expenses (CETA 8.27.12-16) 640-1
  - removal for behaviour inconsistent with CETA 8.30.1 (CETA 8.30.4) 641
  - remuneration from government, effect (CETA 8.30.1) 642
- procedural rules 636-40
  - Appellate Tribunal (CETA 8.28.5, CETA 8.28.7(b) and CETA 8.28.9) 638-9
  - binding effect of award/enforcement (CETA 8.441) 639-40
  - choice of Rules (CETA 8.23.2) 636
  - claims manifestly without legal merit (CETA 8.32) 637
  - claims unfounded as a matter of law (CETA 8.33) 637
  - eligible claimants (CETA 8.23.1) 636
  - hearing by sole arbitrator (CETA 8.27.9) 638
  - hearings in three-Member divisions (CETA 8.27.6/CETA 8.27.7) 638
  - remedies/costs (CETA 8.39) 639
  - respondent’s consent to Section F procedures (CETA 8.25.1) 637-8
- scope (eligible disputes) 629-35
  - applicability to disputes between a Canadian investor and the EU 629-30
  - excluded claims (CETA 8.2) 634-5
  - requirements for submission of a claim (CETA 8.22.1) 637
  - Section C (CETA 8.6-8.8) (non-discriminatory treatment) 630-1
  - Section D (CETA 8.9-8.14) (investment protection) 589-90, 631-4
  - waiver of right to initiate proceedings in court under domestic or international law (CETA 8.22.1(g)/CETA 8.21.5) 637
- summary 559-60, 628
- issue 1: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the autonomy of the EU legal order
  - Belgium’s arguments 646-7
  - summary of observations 651-3
- issue 2: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the general principle of equal treatment and the requirement of effectiveness
  - Belgium’s arguments 647-8
  - summary of observations 653-4
- issue 3: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the right of access to an independent tribunal
  - Belgium’s arguments 649-51
  - summary of observations 654-7

- Opinion 1/17 (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (Court's analysis and conclusion)*** 625-87: *see also* *Opinion 1/17* (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (background)
- admissibility as request relating to an “agreement envisaged” (TFEU 218(11)) 657
  - Court's decision 687
  - issue 1: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the autonomy of the EU legal order 658-70
    - Court's conclusion 670
    - issue 1.1: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the autonomy of the EU legal order (principles)
      - “binding [effect] on the institutions of the Union [Community] and on Member States” (TFEU 216(2)) 658
      - compatibility with autonomy of the EU legal order requirement 658-61
      - exclusion of jurisdiction over instruments of EU law other than the agreement establishing the mechanism requirement 660-1
    - issue 1.2: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the autonomy of the EU legal order (exclusion of effect on the operation of the EU institutions in accordance with the EU constitutional framework) 664-70
      - Belgium's arguments/Member State observations 664-5
      - relevant factors
        - consent to settlement of dispute (CETA 8.25.1)/exclusion of right of objection by EU to measure adopted by it being examined by Tribunal 665
        - “investment” (CETA 8.1) as broad concept 665
        - limitation of claims to measures “relating” to a “covered investment” or an “investor of the other Party”/possibility of such measures being of general application 666
        - restrictions on Tribunal's jurisdiction to challenge a party's measures to protect 667-70
        - Tribunal's right to award damages for breach of CETA Chapter 8, Section C or D (CETA 8.39.1(a))/EU's compliance obligation (CETA 8.41.2) 666-7
  - issue 1.2: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the autonomy of the EU legal order (exclusion of jurisdiction over instruments of EU law other than CETA), relevant factors 661-4
    - absence of mechanism for prior involvement of the Court/review of awards by Member State courts 664
    - Appellate Tribunal's jurisdiction
      - limitation to errors in the application or interpretation of the applicable law [as in CETA 8.31.1] (CETA 8.28.2(a)) 664
      - “manifest errors in the appreciation ... of relevant domestic law” 664
    - applicable law, limitation to VCLT and rules and principles of international law applicable between the parties (CETA 8.31.1) 661
      - Achmea* distinguished 662
      - Opinion 1/09* distinguished 661-2
    - Court's conclusion 664
    - determination of legality of measure “under the domestic law of a Party”, exclusion (CETA 8.31.2) 661
      - “may consider the domestic law of a Party as a matter of fact” (CETA 8.31.2), relevance 663
      - requirement to follow the prevailing interpretation given to the domestic law by the party in question/non-binding effect of Tribunal's interpretation on the party's courts or authorities of that party 663

***Opinion 1/17 (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (Court's analysis and conclusion) (cont.)***

- determination of respondent in disputes with the EU or its Member States as EU responsibility (CETA 8.21) 663
- mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)), non-applicability in EU treaties with third countries 662-3
- issue 2: compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism with the general principle of equal treatment and the requirement of effectiveness 670-5
- issue 2.1: compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism with the general principle of equal treatment and the requirement of effectiveness (principles) 670-3
  - effectiveness requirement, limitation of Belgium's request to possibility of a restriction on TFEU 101/TFEU 102 provisions on distorted competition 672-3
  - equality of treatment/non-discrimination (CFR 20/CFR 21(2))
    - CFR 21(2)/TFEU 18 (non-discrimination), equivalence 671
    - CFR's "same legal value as the Treaties" status 670-1
    - differential treatment, justification 672
    - non-applicability of TFEU 18 to nationals of non-EU Member States 671
    - relevance of CFR provisions 670-2
  - preliminary ruling/compatibility with the Treaties (TFEU 218(11) reference)
    - admissibility of any question in relation to doubts about compatibility of the treaty with EU law including the CFR 670-1
- Opinion 1/15* 670
- issue 2.2: compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism with the general principle of equal treatment and the requirement of effectiveness (Court's conclusions)
  - effectiveness of EU competition law 675
  - equal treatment 673-5
- issue 3: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the right of access to an independent and impartial tribunal (CFR 47) 675-86
- issue 3.1: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the right of access to an independent and impartial tribunal (CFR 47) (Court's findings)
  - accessibility (financial concerns/means of reducing costs) 679-82
    - hearing by sole member of the Tribunal (CETA 8.27.9) 680
    - supplemental rules to aid natural persons or small and medium-sized enterprises (CETA 8.39.6) 679-81
    - supplemental rules (CETA 8.39.6), Statement No 36 commitment to, sufficiency for conclusion that the CETA is compatible with CFR 47 accessibility requirement 681-2
    - transformation of fees and expenses into regular salary (CETA 8.27.15) 680-1
    - unsuccessful party's obligation to pay costs (CETA 8.39.5), impact on access 679-80
  - compliance with IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest/ethics (CETA 8.30.1) 686
  - impartiality (relevant factors)
    - maintenance of equal distance from the parties (CETA 8.27.6, CETA 8.27.7 and CETA 8.30.1) 685
    - removal of offending Member/Members in the event of non-compliance with principle 675-86
    - "shall not take instructions from any organisation, or government" (CETA 8.30.1) 686
  - independence (relevant factors) 682-6
    - "body set up by an agreement, when that body is called upon to adopt acts having legal effects", participation of EU in (TFEU 218(9)) 684-5

- CETA Joint Committee's interpretations (CETA 8.31.3) as "any subsequent agreement between the parties regarding the interpretation of the treaty" (VCLT 31(3)) 684
- CETA Joint Committee's interpretations (CETA 8.31.3), non-retroactivity 685
- CETA Joint Committee's power to adopt interpretations of CETA binding on the Tribunals (CETA 8.10.3/CETA 8.31.3) 684-5
- extension of CETA 8.27.4 and CETA 8.30 to Members of Appellate Tribunal (Joint Interpretative Instrument, Point 6(f)) 682
- power of CETA Joint Committee to appoint Members of the Tribunals/decide constitution of divisions (CETA 8.27.2 and CETA 8.27.3/CETA 8.28.3 and CETA 8.28.7) 683
- protection against removal of Tribunal Members (CETA 8.39.4) 682
- qualifications (CETA 8.27.4) 682
- remuneration of the Members of the Tribunal and Appellate Tribunal (CETA 8.27.12-15) 682-4
- non-affiliation with any government (CETA 8.30.1)/remuneration from government, relevance 686
- issue 3.1: compatibility of the envisaged ISDS mechanism with the right of access to an independent and impartial tribunal (CFR 47) (principles)
- accessibility/independence of CETA tribunals, guarantees of
- CETA non-discrimination and protection of investments provisions 677-8
- establishment of tribunals outside the judicial systems of the parties 677-8
- link to free and fair trade objective (TEU 3(5)/CETA ISDS mechanism) 678
- restrictions on the right of access (CETA 8.18), requirements 678
- summary 679
- "CETA [as] an important and radical change in investment rules and dispute resolution" (Joint Interpretative Instrument, Point 6(f), 6(g) and 6(i))/examples adversarial procedure, application of rules of law, autonomy and final and binding nature of decisions 677
- CETA tribunals as permanent judicial bodies approved by law 677
- compulsory nature of jurisdiction (CETA 8.25/CETA 30.6) 677
- constitution of the Tribunal (CETA 8.27) 676-7
- divisions of Tribunal (CETA 8.27.7)/Appellate Tribunal (CETA 8.28.5) 676-7
- provision of appeal mechanism (CETA 8.28) 677
- compliance of dispute settlement mechanisms with CFR 47, need for 675-6
- hybrid nature of the CETA Tribunal, effect on factors determining CFR 47 compliance 676-7
- Opinion 1/17 (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (Opinion of AG Bot):*** *see also Opinion 1/17* (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (background)
- admissibility as a request relating to an "agreement envisaged" (TFEU 218(11)) 566
- AG's conclusion 624
- issue 1: compatibility of CETA with CJEU's exclusive jurisdiction over interpretation of EU law (TFEU 344) 567-603
- AG's conclusion 603
- Belgium's arguments 567-8
- Opinion 2/15* distinguished 568
- issue 1.1: EU judicial system as a guarantee of the autonomy of the EU legal order
- national courts' role in protecting 569-70
- Opinion 1/09* 569-70
- Opinion 2/13* 569
- preliminary ruling (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 267), importance 569-70



**Opinion 1/17 (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (Opinion of AG Bot) (cont.)**

- issue 1.2: conditions for the establishment of dispute settlement mechanisms in EU international agreements 570-4
  - compatibility of agreement with autonomy of the EU legal order 572-4
  - exclusion of jurisdiction over instruments of EU law other than the agreement establishing the mechanism 573-4
  - direct effect of agreement
    - clear, precise and unconditional obligation requirement 571
    - compatibility with autonomy of the EU legal order requirement 572-4
    - exclusion (CETA 30.6) 571-2
  - status of EU international agreements
    - binding effect of decisions of court on EU institutions including the CJEU 572
    - “binding [effect] on the institutions of the Union [Community] and on Member States” (TFEU 216(2)/TEC 300(7)) 570-1
    - as integral part of EU legal order 570-2
    - priority over secondary EU legislation 570-1
- issue 1.3: reciprocity 574-80
  - CETA provisions (CETA 8:C and CETA 8:D) 575-6
  - dispute mechanism provisions and 577-9
  - reasons for (investment treaty)
    - different standards of protection in the domestic law of the parties 574-5
    - mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)), absence 576-7, 583-4
    - promotion of investment of EU investors/third-State investors 576
- issue 1.4: mechanism consistent with the CETA’s lack of direct effect
  - exclusion of direct effect as standard FTA practice 579
  - reciprocity and 579-80
- issue 1.5: *Achmea*, distinguishability 580-5
  - Achmea* findings summarized 580-3
  - CETA’s FTA status/“binding [effect] on the institutions of the Union [Community] and on Member States” (TFEU 216(2)/TEC 300(7)) 584-5
  - exclusion of jurisdiction over instruments of EU law other than the agreement establishing the mechanism 584
  - mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)), absence from CETA 583-4
- issue 1.6: sufficiency of CETA provisions to preserve CJEU’s exclusive jurisdiction over the interpretation of EU law (TFEU 344) 585-98
  - AG’s conclusion 595-8
    - determination of respondent in disputes with the EU or its Member States as EU responsibility (CETA 8.21) 596-8
    - draft agreement on the accession of the EU to the ECHR/*Opinion 2/13* distinguished 596-8
    - ECAA Agreement/*Opinion 1/00* distinguished 595-6
    - EEA Agreement—*Opinion 1/91* distinguished 595-6
  - Appellate Tribunal’s role 593-4
  - applicable law, limitation to VCLT and rules and principles of international law applicable between the parties (CETA 8.31.1) 586-7
  - binding effect of Tribunal awards as “between the disputing parties and in respect of that particular case” (CETA 8.41.1)/compatibility with Court’s right to give definitive interpretation 588, 592
  - CETA Joint Committee’s role 592-3
  - determination of legality of measure “under the domestic law of a Party”, exclusion (CETA 8.31.2) 587

- limitation of jurisdiction to breaches of CETA Chapter 8, Sections C and D/exclusion of jurisdiction over instruments of EU law other than CETA (CETA 8.18.1/CETA 18.1.5) 586-8
  - limitation of remedies to monetary damages/restitution (CETA 8.39.1) 587-8
  - “may consider the domestic law of a Party as a matter of fact” (CETA 8.31.2) 588-91
    - “as a matter of fact” 590
    - “parties’ right to regulate within their territories to achieve legitimate policy objectives” (CETA 8.9) 589-90
    - requirement to follow the prevailing interpretation given to the domestic law by the party in question/non-binding effect of Tribunal’s interpretation on the party’s courts or authorities of that party 590-1, 594-5
    - non-binding effect of Tribunal’s decisions on EU courts and authorities (CETA 8.31.2) 591-2
    - parties’ right to issue binding notes of interpretation (CETA Joint Interpretative Statement) 592
  - issue 1.7: effect on role of national courts in ensuring the effective application of EU law 598-600
    - AG’s conclusion 599-600
  - issue 1.8: consistency with EU’s external action objectives (TEU 3(5)/TEU 21(2)/TFEU 207(1)) 600-1
  - issue 1.9: absence of mechanism for prior involvement of the Court/review of awards by Member State courts 601-3
    - AG’s conclusion 603
  - issue 2.1: equality of treatment/non-discrimination (CFR 20/CFR 21) 603-10
    - Belgium’s arguments 603-5
    - EU obligation in conduct of external action/common commercial policy to respect fundamental rights including CFR (TEU 21/TFEU 207(1)) 605-6
    - CFR 21(2)/TFEU 18 (non-discrimination), equivalence 606
    - differential treatment, justification 607-10
    - equality of treatment as general principle of law/fundamental right 605-6
    - exercise of CFR rights based on EU Treaties in accordance with the Treaties 606
    - non-discrimination obligation (TFEU 18), non-applicability to nationals of non-EU Member States 606-7
  - issue 2.2: effectiveness of EU competition law 610-11
    - AG’s conclusion 611
  - issue 3: right of access to an independent and impartial tribunal (CFR 47) 611-24
    - AG’s conclusion 624
    - Belgium’s arguments 611-15
  - issue 3.1: general considerations
    - compliance of dispute settlement mechanisms with CFR 47, need for 615
    - decision-making role of the CETA Joint Committee 617-18
    - hybrid nature of the CETA Tribunal 562, 616
      - effect on factors determining CFR 47 compliance 616-17
    - “independence” 615
  - issue 3.2: access to the CETA Tribunal for small and medium-sized enterprises 619-21
    - AG’s conclusion 621
    - financial concerns/means of reducing costs 619
      - amicable settlement of disputes (CETA 8.19)/consultation procedures (CETA 8.19.3) 620
      - foreign investors’ resort to CETA Tribunal as voluntary waiver of rights to bring proceedings before parties’ courts 619

**Opinion 1/17 (compatibility of CETA ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F) with EU law) (Opinion of AG Bot) (cont.)**

- supplemental rules to aid natural persons or small and medium-sized enterprises (CETA 8.39.6) 620-1
- unsuccessful party's obligation to pay costs (CETA 8.39.5), Tribunal's discretion to derogate from 619-20
- Tribunal as an alternative method of dispute settlement, use of sole arbitrator (CETA 8.27.9) 620
- issue 3.3: remuneration of the Members of the Tribunal and Appellate Tribunal (CETA 8.27.12-15) 621-2
- issue 3.4: appointment and possible removal of Members of the Tribunal and of the Appellate Tribunal 622-3
  - AG's conclusion 622-3
  - "ethics" compliance (CETA 8.30.4) 622
  - qualifications (CETA 8.27.4) 622
    - CETA Committee on Services and Investment's power to make "supplementary" rules (CETA 8.44.2) 686
  - removal (CETA 8.30.4) 622
- issue 3.5: ethics rules (CETA 8.30.1, compatibility with right of access to an independent and impartial tribunal (CFR 47)) 623-4

**"polluter pays" principle 355**

**precautionary principle:** *see also* good neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to others

- best efforts/due diligence and 336-7
- customary international law (CIL) 507-9
- jurisprudence
  - Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 336-7, 354-5, 507-9
  - Nuclear Tests II* 354-5
  - Pulp Mills* 336-7
  - Southern Bluefin Tuna* 508
  - Whaling in the Antarctic* 354-5
- preventive action principle linkage 336-7
- provisional measures and 336-7
- treaties and international instruments relevant to, Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992) (Principle 15) 336-7, 507-9

**preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267)**

- Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses* 569-70
- EU legal order, as means of protecting 569-70, 659

**preventive action principle**

- definition 353
- as due diligence obligation 403-4
- Gabčíkovo–Nagyymaros Project* 353
- ILC Draft Principles on the Prevention of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities (2001) (ILC(TBH)) 353
- precautionary principle, linkage 336-7

**provisional measures (ICJ 41)**

- as autonomous regime 166-70, 201-7, 318, 320-3
- binding force/compliance obligation 51, 140
- ICJ's monitoring role 333

- jurisprudence
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 51, 140
  - Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 51, 140
  - LaGrand* 51, 140
  - prompt determination of breach/non-compliance, need for 327-33
  - State responsibility and 326-7
- Court's right to act *ex proprio motu* (ROC 61(6)/ROC 75(1)) 69-70, 178
- Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested (ROC 75(2)) 49-50, 62, 69-70, 189-91, 229
- measures requested/ordered
  - applicant's right to send civilian personnel to disputed area to prevent environmental damage 50, 64-7
  - cessation of unlawful action 34, 40, 48-50
  - restraint from action which might prejudice rights/aggravate or extend dispute 40, 51, 140
  - withdrawal of armed forces/compliance with ceasefire agreement 34, 40, 48-50
- prima facie jurisdiction/admissibility on the merits 41-2, 181
- reparation for non-compliance, declaration of non-compliance 333-6
- revocation or modification (ROP 76) 230
  - "some change in the situation justifies such revocation or modification" (ROC 76(1)) 230
  - "justifies" (compliance with ICJ 41 requirements (risk of irreparable prejudice/urgency)), need for 137-8, 170-6
- provisional measures (including ICJ 41), jurisprudence**
  - Application of the Genocide Convention* 44-6, 49, 62, 137-8
  - Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo* 44-5, 62
  - Certain Activities/Construction of a Road: see Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Indication of New); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures, Request by Costa Rica for Modification (ROC 76)); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Provisional Measures (Request by Costa Rica)) (separate opinions and declarations); *Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)* (Nicaragua's request for provisional measures)
  - Land and Maritime Boundary (Cameroon/Nigeria)* 44-5
  - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 45-6, 67-8, 137-8
  - Pulp Mills* 44-5
  - Temple of Preah Vihear* 189-90
  - US Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran* 44-5
- provisional measures, purpose/requirements**
  - interests of the population vs territory and property 156-8
  - justice, importance of 161-2
  - link between rights to be protected and measures requested 44-5, 69, 182-3, 220-1
  - non-anticipation of decision on merits 51, 73-4
  - plausibility of rights to be protected 42-5, 52-7, 181-2, 218-19
    - alternatives to "plausibility" including credibility/reasonable possibility/probability/arguable case 56-7, 59-60, 68-9, 80-2
  - jurisprudence (plausibility as precondition)
    - Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 42-5, 52-61, 80-2, 181-2, 219
    - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 53-6, 59-60, 69, 80-1, 219

**provisional measures, purpose/requirements** (*cont.*)

- prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice 61-4
  - serious/imminent risk test 45-51, 183-9, 221-4
- respect for territorial integrity/inviolability of boundaries, considerations applicable to 85-6
- rights of individuals vs inter-State rights 158-61
- urgency
  - Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 45-51, 177-80
  - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice requirement 45-51, 137-8, 221-4

**punitive damages**

- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation) 472-4, 480-1, 491, 502, 509-12, 514, 516, 540-1
- environmental damage 472-4, 480-1, 491, 502, 509-12, 514, 516, 540-1
- gravity of conduct, relevance 539-42

**Ramsar Convention (1971)**

- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities/Construction of a Road)* (Dugard, Judge ad hoc) 420-4
- obligation to consult about implementing obligations arising from the Convention (Ramsar 5) 267, 283-4, 421-4
  - formulation of planning to promote conservation and wise use of wetlands (Ramsar 3(1)) and 422-4
- obligation to notify Ramsar Secretariat of changes or likely changes in the ecological character of any wetland in its territory (Ramsar 3(2)) 266-7, 283-4

**reparation for breach of treaty/internationally wrongful act other than compensation**

- declaration of illegality, *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 275
- guarantees of non-repetition

*Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 275  
*Navigational Rights* 275

**reparation for injury caused by internationally wrongful act (ILC(SR) 31)** *Note:* ILC

- (SR) 31 states the obligation to make full reparation for the injury caused by the internationally wrongful act. ILC(SR) 34 sets out the forms of reparation including restitution (ILC(SR) 35), compensation (ILC(SR) 36) and satisfaction (ILC(SR) 37) interrelationship between alternative forms 472-4, 476-8
- restorative justice as key element 483-6, 491-2

**restitution/restitutio in integrum (including ILC(SR) 31(1), ILC(SR) 35 and ILC(SR)**

- 36/Chorzów Factory principle):** *see also* compensation for damage caused by internationally wrongful act as alternative to restitution (including ILC(SR) 36/Chorzów Factory principle); *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation)

- fundamental importance of principle 472-4
- history and development of principle 474-6
- prompt compliance with reparation obligation 472, 491
- restitution as preferred remedy (ILC(SR) 35) 503-6, 515

**Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992)** 536

- environmental impact assessment (EIA) (Principle 17) 357-8
- good neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to others (Principle 2) 344

**self-determination, right of (UNGA Resolution 2625 (XXV))**

- as customary international law 381-2, 502

- UNGA Resolution 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States), territorial integrity and 85, 302, 381-2
- sovereign equality of States (UNC 2(1))**, interrelationship with territorial sovereignty 343-6
- Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment (1972)** 351, 536
- good neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to others (Principle 21) 354
- sustainable development principle (Principle 1) 351-2
- “subject of the dispute”, obligation to indicate in application (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(2))**
- new claim/claim introduced during proceedings (ICJ 40(1) and ROC 38(2), ROC 49 (1) and ROC 79)
- Certain Phosphate Lands in Nauru* 395-6
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (Judge ad hoc Guillaume) 395-7
- Diallo* 395-6
- sustainable development principle**
- integration principle: *see* environmental protection/socio-economic interests, need to balance (integration principle)
- jurisprudence
- Certain Activities/Construction of a Road* 351-3
- Gabčíkovo–Nagyymaros Project* 352-3
- treaties and other international instruments reflecting
- Brundtland Report (2007) 352
- Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment (1972) (Principle 1) 351-2
- territorial integrity/inviolability of boundaries principle** 301-4, 395: *see also* force, prohibition of the threat or use of/non-intervention in the affairs of another State (UNC 2(4))
- customary international law/*jus cogens* 303-4
- jurisprudence
- Corfu Channel* 303-4
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 85-6, 300, 301-4, 376-80, 395
- Kosovo* 303-4
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua* 303-4
- Nicaragua v. Costa Rica (Construction of a Road)* 297-8
- Temple of Preah Vihear* 85
- provisional measures in a case involving violation of, considerations 85-6
- “nature of the territory”, relevance 86-7
- treaties and other international instruments relevant to
- OAS Charter 21 302
- UNC 2(4) 303-4
- UNGA resolution 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation) 85, 302, 381-2
- territorial sovereignty**: *see also* sovereign equality of States (UNC 2(1))
- Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* 259-60, 307-8
- transboundary pollution**: *see Costa Rica v. Nicaragua (Certain Activities)* (compensation), compensation for environmental damage; environmental protection obligations; good neighbourliness principle (*sic utere tuo*)/avoidance of harm to others
- treaties, individuals’ rights and obligations**, *Intertanko* 571
- treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(2)) (context)**, text of other articles in same treaty 257

**treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(3)) (points to be taken into account together with context)**, subsequent agreement between the parties regarding interpretation or application of treaty (VCLT 31(3)(a)), examples considered, CETA Joint Committee's binding interpretations (CETA 8.31.3) 684

**war crimes, classification as**, knowing damage to the natural environment (ICC 8(2)(b)(iv)) 536

**WTO Agreement (1994) (Marrakesh Agreement)**, EU legal order and 579-80