

INDEX

Abbreviations used in the index

- 2005/35/EC (Directive 2005/35/EC on ship-source pollution and the introduction of penalties for infringements)
- AA (Arbitration Act)
- AG (Attorney General)
- AQO (Al-Qaida and Taliban (UN Measures) Order 2006 (UK))
- ARHG* (Extradition and Mutual Assistance Act) (Austria)
- ATA (Anti-Terrorism Act (USA))
- ATS (Alien Tort Statute 1789 (28 USC 1350))
- BIT (bilateral investment treaty)
- CERD (UN Committee/Convention on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (1965))
- CESCR (UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights)
- CHIPS (Clearing House Interbank Payments System)
- CIL (customary international law)
- CJA (Criminal Justice Act)
- CJEU (Court of Justice of the European Union)
- CMP (closed material procedure)
- DES (Defence Engagement Sudan)
- EA (Enforcement of Foreign Civil Judgments Act (South Africa))
- EA (Extradition Act)
- ECA (European Communities Act (1972))
- ECE (European Convention on Extradition (1957))
- ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))
- ECtHR (European Court of Human Rights)
- EEZ (exclusive economic zone)
- EP (Extradition Protocol)
- FATF (Financial Action Task Force)
- FRCP (US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure)
- FSIA (Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (US/South Africa))
- FTC (International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (1999))
- FTT (First Tier Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum) (UK))
- HoA (Heads of Agreement)
- IA (Immigration Act)
- IC/Intervention Convention (International Convention relating to Intervention on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution Casualties (1969))
- ICC (International Criminal Court/ICC Statute)
- ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
- ICESCR (International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966))
- ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
- IHL (international humanitarian law)
- INSLM (Independent National Security Legislation Monitor) (Australia)
- JSA (Justice and Security Act 2013)

KRG (Kurdistan Regional Government of Iraq)
 KRI (Kurdistan Region of Iraq)
 LCIA (London Court of International Arbitration)
 LSSA (Law Society of South Africa)
 MARPOL (International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (1973) and Protocol (1978))
 MC/Montreal Convention (1999) (Montreal Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air (1999))
 NIAC (non-international armed conflict)
 NYC (New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (1958))
 OSJA (Overseas Security and Justice Assistance Human Rights Guidance)
 QD (Qualification Directive (Council Directive 2004/83/EC))
 RC (Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (1951))
 ROC (Rules of Court)
 SADC (Southern African Development Community/SADC Treaty)
 SADCT (Southern African Development Community Tribunal)
 SADCTP (2000) (SADCT Protocol (2000))
 SADCTP (2014) (SADCT Protocol (2014))
 SAF (Sudanese Armed Forces)
 SARFU (South African Rugby Football Union)
 SIA (State Immunity Act)
 SoS (Secretary of State)
 TA (2000) (Terrorism Act (2000) (UK))
 TA (2006) (Terrorism Act (2006) (UK))
 TBC (Terrorist Bombings Convention (1997))
 TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))
 TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))
 TVPA (Torture Victim Protection Act 1991 (US))
 UNC (United Nations Charter (1945))
 UNCAT (UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1984))
 UNCLOS (UN Law of the Sea Convention (1982))
 UNGA (United Nations General Assembly)
 UNPIC (UN Privileges and Immunities Convention (1946))
 UNSC (UN Security Council)
 UNSCR (UN Security Council resolution)
 UT (Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber) (UK))
 VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))
 WC (Warsaw Convention on International Carriage by Air (1929))
 WTO (World Trade Organization)

AA-R (Iran) (complicity in commission of international crime as impediment to asylum)
 background (factual)
 appellant's concessions 409-10
 Basij, definition 406
 exclusion decision, justification 408-9
 background (procedural)
 appellant's arguments 411

- FTT findings 410
- UT findings 411
- Court's findings 411-12
- grounds of appeal
 - ground 1: error in assessing AA-R's role in the Basij as a "significant contribution" 405
 - ground 2: want of proper, adequate and clear reasons 405
- legal framework
 - EU Asylum Qualification Directive (QD) (Directive 2004/83/EC)
 - QD 12(2)(a) (serious non-political crime) 406-8
 - QD 12(3) (application of QD 12 to "persons who instigate or otherwise participate in the commission of the crimes or acts") 408
 - jurisprudence
 - JS (Sri Lanka)* 406, 408
 - SK (Zimbabwe)* 407
 - treaties
 - ICC 7(1) ("crimes against humanity") 406-7
 - ICC 7(1)(k) ("other inhumane acts of a similar character") 407
 - ICC 25(3) (individual criminal responsibility: criteria) 407
 - ICC 28 (command responsibility) 407-8
 - RC 1F(a) (non-application of Convention on grounds of crime against peace, war crime or crime against humanity) 406-8
- "accident" (WC 17/MC 17.1)**
 - "event" or "happening" requirement 424
 - jurisprudence
 - Abramson* 426-7
 - Barclay* 421, 425, 427
 - Deep Vein Thrombosis* 418, 421, 424-7
 - Ford* 415-27
 - Morris* 421
 - Paterson* 427
 - Saks* 418, 421, 424, 425, 427
 - Thornton* 424
 - as reference to the cause of the accident 424
 - "unexpected or unusual event" requirement (*Saks*) 421-2
 - distinguishability 424
 - "external to the passenger" requirement 425
 - "event causing injury occurred independently of anything done or omitted by the passenger" 427
 - "peculiar internal condition" as immediate cause of "bodily injury" 425
 - "as some link in the chain" leading to the "bodily injury" 425
 - "unusual" 426-7
 - victim's perception as test 416-17, 424-5
- act of State (foreign State acts), definition/characteristics, jurisprudence**
 - Buttes Gas* 472-3
 - Kuwait Airways (Nos 4 and 5)* 472-3
- admissibility of evidence obtained by torture/confession**
 - jurisprudence
 - A (No 2)* 597, 601-2
 - Youssef* 596-602
 - Sanctions Committee Consolidated List, obligation to inquire into allegations of the use of evidence obtained through torture 587-91, 596-602

Alien Tort Statute 1789 (28 USC 1350) (ATS) (federal jurisdiction in cases of suit by alien for tort in violation of international law or treaty)

applicability to suits between foreign plaintiffs and foreign defendants 652, 660-5, 677-8

evolutionary nature of customary international law 676-7

extraterritorial application, presumption against, as means of avoiding diplomatic friction 678-81

as jurisdictional statute 636, 652

jurisprudence

Exxon 635, 675

Filártiga 637-8, 667-8, 683-4

Flomo 635

Jesner: see *Jesner* (ATS, corporate liability under)

Kiobel 634-5, 638, 640, 644, 645-9, 654, 668-70, 675-81, 686-8

Nestle 635

Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain 635-6, 638-40, 643-5, 649-50, 652-70, 676-8, 680-4

legislative history 635-7, 660-5

TVPA, applicability of principles on liability to ATS 645-6, 683-5

“in violation of the law of nations or a treaty of the US”

corporate liability under ATS for human rights violations

Court’s authority in ATS suit to determine: see corporate liability under ATS for human rights violations, Court’s authority in ATS suit to determine *below*

FTC 5(1) (“measures to enable a legal entity . . . to be held liable”), relevance 642, 672-3

international criminal tribunals’ limitation to natural persons 641-3, 670-3

potential liability of corporations in tort, support for in the text, history and purpose of ATS 674-6

State practice 673

as unresolved issue (*Kiobel*) 634-5

whether meeting specific, universal and obligatory test 640-3

corporate liability under ATS for human rights violations, Court’s authority in ATS suit to determine 638-9, 644-9

creation of new causes of action as responsibility of the political branches 657-60, 681-2

non-essential nature of corporate liability for purposes of ATS goals/availability of alternative remedies 647-8, 685-6

risk of retaliation and diplomatic discord 648-56, 657-60, 678-81, 686-8

TVPA limitation of liability to natural persons as precedent 644-7

specific, universal and obligatory norm requirement (*Sosa*) 638-40, 643-5, 649-50, 652-70, 676-8, 680-4

inappropriateness to current international law enforcement 666-70

Animal Science Products (foreign law) (US Supreme Court)

background

District Court proceedings (*In re Vitamin C Antitrust Litigation*), Court’s decisions 694-5

parties’ positions (Court of Appeals proceedings (*In re Vitamin C Antitrust Litigation*)) 693-6

parties’ positions (Supreme Court)

petitioners 693-4

respondent/Ministry’s statements to the District Court 693-5

Court’s analysis (determination of foreign law under FRCP 44.1)

Court of Appeals’ errors

failure to consider problems identified by the District Court 700

failure to consider respondent’s WTO statement of relevant law 698

- failure to recognize courts' right to consider "any relevant material or source" 698
- inconsistency with Supreme Court's treatment of analogous submissions from US States 698
- misreading of reciprocity requirement 699
- misunderstanding of *Pink* 698-9
- FRCP 44.1, objectives
 - change of approach from common law treatment as question of fact to treatment as question of law 696-7
 - harmonization of the determination of foreign law and domestic law 696-7
- international comity, role 697-8
- issue before the Court 692, 696
- weight to be given to views of foreign government
 - absence of statutory guidance 697
 - conflicting statements/statements made in the context of litigation, need for caution 697-8
 - jurisprudence 697
 - "may consider any relevant material or source" 696-8
 - relevant factors 697-8
 - treaties establishing mechanisms for obtaining official statements on foreign law 699-700
- Court's decision 692, 700
- Austria (1955- (Second Republic))**
 - Criminal Procedure Code (*StPO*) by section
 - 292 (last sentence) (Court's options in case of a finding of nullity) 192
 - 292 (nullity complaint procedure) 192
 - 363a(1) (rehearing of criminal proceedings in case of ECtHR judgment) 189
 - extradition to country where risk of human rights breaches, assurances/measures to guarantee protection 186-93
 - Extradition and Mutual Assistance Act (*ARHG*) by section 191
 - 1 (priority of international agreements) 189-91
 - 20(1) (prohibition of extradition in absence of guarantee that the death sentence will not be imposed) 192
 - 33(1) (extradition request: closed proceedings) 186-7
 - 33(3) (requirements for extradition including provisions of international agreements) 186-7, 189-91
 - 34(1) (first and second sentences (refusal of extradition for political or international law reasons)) 189-90
 - 34(1) (judicial decision on impermissibility of extradition: binding effect on Minister of Justice) 189-90
- autrefois convict*** 493-5
 - burden of proof 495-7
- Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6))**: see *Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6)) (background); *Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6)) (judgment of the Court (Third Chamber)); *Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6)) (Opinion (AG Wahl))
- Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6)) (background)**
 - facts (including proceedings in Finnish courts) 135-7, 166-70
 - issues raised 139
 - request for preliminary ruling 137-9, 158, 168-70
 - relevant EU law (Directive 2005/35 (ship-source pollution)) 133-5, 164-5

Bosphorus Queen Shipping (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6)) (background) (*cont.*)

Recitals

- 1 (incorporation of international standards into EU law/possibility of more stringent Member State measures) 134, 165
 - 1-4 and 12 164
 - 3(1) (applicability of Directive) 134, 165
 - 7 (coastal State enforcement measures on ships in transit) 134-5, 165
 - relevant Finnish law (Law on environmental protection in maritime transport 1672/2009, Ch 3 para. 24) 135, 165-6
 - relevant international law
 - Intervention Convention (1969)
 - coastal State powers, UNCLOS 220 as addition to 144
 - conclusion (following *Torrey Canyon* disaster) 130-1, 144
 - parties to 158
 - UNCLOS 220/UNCLOS 221, influence on 144
 - Intervention Convention (1969) by article
 - I(1) (right to take measures necessary to prevent, mitigate or eliminate grave and imminent danger) 130-1, 158
 - II(4) (“related interests”) 130-1, 158-9
 - MARPOL 131, 159-60
 - 4(2) (prohibition of infringements/sanctions) 131
 - Annex I, Reg 1(11) (Baltic Sea as “special area”) 131, 159
 - Annex I, Reg 15(A) (prohibition on discharge of oil) 131, 159-60
 - Annex I, Reg 15(B) (prohibition on discharge of oil: special areas) 131, 159-60
 - UNCLOS, Part I (introduction)
 - 1(1) (“Area”) 132, 160
 - 1(4) (“pollution of the marine environment”) 132, 160
 - UNCLOS, Part V (EEZ)
 - 56(1)(a) (coastal State’s sovereign rights in EEZ) 132, 160
 - 56(1)(b)(iii) (coastal State’s EEZ jurisdiction: protection and preservation of the marine environment) 132, 160
 - 58 (rights and duties of non-coastal States in the EEZ) 132, 161
 - 61(1)-(4) 161
 - UNCLOS, Part XII (protection and preservation of the marine environment) 132
 - 192 (obligation to protect and preserve the marine environment) 132
 - 194(5) (measures to protect fragile ecosystems and the habitat of endangered species) 161
 - 211(1) (pollution from vessels: establishment of international rules and standards) 162
 - 211(7) (international rules and standards: prompt notification) 162
 - 217 (enforcement by flag States) 132-3
 - 220 (enforcement by coastal States) 133
 - 220(2)-(6) (grounds for coastal State jurisdiction) 133, 162-3
 - 221 (measures to avoid pollution arising from maritime casualties) 163
 - 237 (obligations under other conventions) 156, 163
 - VCLT
 - 31(1) (interpretation in good faith, ordinary meaning, context and object and purpose) 163-4
 - 32 (supplementary means including *travaux préparatoires*) 164
- Bosphorus Queen Shipping (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6)) (judgment of the Court (Third Chamber))*** 158-84
- costs 182

- Court's decision
 - "any resources" (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 183
 - "clear objective evidence" requirement (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 182
 - "coastline or related interests" (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 182
 - evidence to be taken into account in assessing the consequences of a violation under 2005/35/EC 183
 - Member States' right to take more stringent measures "in conformity with international law" (2005/35/EC 1(2)) 184
 - "threat of major damage" (UNCLOS 220(6)/2005/35/EC 7(2)), assessment of "significant pollution" (UNCLOS 220(5)), relevance 183
 - special area status, relevance in assessing 183
- Court's jurisdiction to interpret provisions of international law
 - agreements between some Member States and non-Member States (Intervention Convention) 170-1
 - as source of inspiration 170-1
 - agreements subscribed to by Member States (MARPOL) 170
 - instruments forming part of the EU legal order 170
 - interpretation of Directive 2005/35/EC in accordance with UNCLOS 220(6) 171
- Questions 1 and 3 ("coastline or related interests" (2005/35/EC 7(2))) 174-6
 - applicability of customary international law as reflected in VCLT 31 174
 - "coastline or related interests" (UNCLOS 220(6)), absence of definition 174
 - Court's conclusion 176
 - Intervention Convention, influence on UNCLOS 220/UNCLOS 221 175-6
 - "related interests" (IC II(4)) 174-6
- Question 4 ("any resources" (UNCLOS 220(6)/2005/35/EC 7(2))) 176-7
 - "any" as indication of broad interpretation 176-7
 - Court's conclusion 177
 - harvested species/species associated with (UNCLOS 61), inclusion of 176-7
- Questions 5-7 and last two sentences of Question 10: evidence to be taken into account in assessing the consequences of a violation under UNCLOS 220(6)/2005/35/EC 178-80
 - Court's conclusion 179-80
 - dependence on specific circumstances/concrete circumstantial assessment 178-9
- Question 6 (relevance of "significant pollution" (UNCLOS 220(5))) 177-8
 - Court's conclusion 178
 - "pollution" 177
 - "related interests" (Intervention Convention II(4)) and 177-8
- Question 8: Member States' right to take more stringent measures "in conformity with international law" (2005/35/EC 1(2)) 181-2
 - Court's conclusion 182
- Question 9: special area status, relevance in assessing "threat of major damage" (UNCLOS 220(6)/2005/35/EC 7(2)) 180-1
 - Court's conclusion 180-1
- Question 10, first sentence ("clear objective evidence") 171-4
 - Court's conclusion 174
 - graded approach to rights of intervention (UNCLOS 220(3)/UNCLOS 220(5)/UNCLOS 220(6)) 172
 - "threat of major damage" (UNCLOS 220(6)) and "threat of significant pollution" (UNCLOS 220(5)) distinguished 173
 - UNCLOS as balance between coastal States and other States 173-4

- Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6)) (Opinion (AG Wahl))**
 129-57
- AG's conclusion/recommendation to the Court 157
 - Court's jurisdiction to interpret provisions of international law 140-2
 - agreements between some Member States and non-Member States (Intervention Convention) 140-2
 - as source of inspiration 141-2, 146-8
 - agreements subscribed to by Member States (MARPOL) 140
 - instruments forming part of the EU legal order (UNCLOS) 140
 - UNCLOS, MARPOL and Intervention Convention distinguished 140-2
 - principles governing the enforcement jurisdiction of coastal States 142-6
 - as addition to flag States' jurisdiction 144-5
 - coastal State's limited jurisdiction in EEZ (UNCLOS 56)/other States' obligation to comply with coastal States' rules and regulations (UNCLOS 58) 143
 - enforcement by flag States as default (UNCLOS 217) 132-3, 142-3, 145-6
 - right to take over coastal State proceedings (UNCLOS 228(1)) 145
 - freedom of navigation as a fundamental principle 142-3
 - limitation of powers to "infringement of applicable international rules and standards" (UNCLOS 220(3)) 144-5
 - proximity principle 145
 - Questions 1-4: interests covered by UNCLOS 220(6) 146-9
 - conclusion 149
 - enforcement by coastal States with respect to ships in transit (2005/35/EC 7)
 - "in accordance with international law" (2005/35/EC 7(2)) (including UNCLOS 220(6)) 146
 - Member States' right to take more stringent measures "in conformity with international law" (2005/35/EC 1(2)) (including UNCLOS 220(6)) 146
 - standards stricter than UNCLOS, exclusion 146
 - enforcement by coastal States (UNCLOS 220(6))
 - "any" as indication of broad interpretation 148
 - "any resources of its territorial sea or EEZ" 148-9
 - "coastline or related interests" (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 146-9
 - ecosystem-based approach 148-9
 - effective protection of the environment as a whole as objective 149
 - "related interests" (IC II(4)) 146-8
 - Question 2: interpretation of Intervention Convention, exclusion for lack of jurisdiction 146
 - Questions 5-7 and 9-10: evidence required under UNCLOS 220(6) to justify coastal State enforcement measures 149-55
 - coastal State measures as exception in clearly defined circumstances 153-4
 - conclusion 155
 - evidential requirements for measures under UNCLOS 220(6) as matter of national law (MARPOL 4(2)) 152
 - graded approach to rights of intervention (UNCLOS 220(3)/UNCLOS 220(5)/UNCLOS 220(6)) 150-2
 - obligation to impose effective, proportionate and dissuasive penalties for discharges of polluting substances (2005/35/EC 8(1)) 152
 - "threat of major damage" (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 151-2, 155
 - special area status, relevance in assessing 154
 - "threat of major damage" (UNCLOS 220(6)) 152-5
 - "clear objective evidence" requirement (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 153
 - dependence on specific circumstances/concrete circumstantial assessment 153-5
 - right to instigate proceedings 152

- special area status, relevance in assessing 154
- “threat of significant pollution” (UNCLOS 220(5)) distinguished 152-3
- Question 8: discretion of Member States to take more stringent measures under Directive 2005/13/EC 7(2) 155-7
- conclusion 156-7

Botswana

- Constitution (1966) by section, 53 (President’s right to substitute prison sentence for death penalty) 260
- Criminal Code by section, 203 (death penalty for murder) 254
- death penalty 254: *see also* *Tsebe*

CERD (1965)

- dispute settlement provisions (CERD 22)
 - “dispute with respect to the interpretation or application of this Convention”
 - “not settled by negotiation”/as precondition 23-4, 27-9
 - “procedures expressly provided for [in CERD 11-16]” 29
 - “not settled by negotiation” 23-4, 27-9
- modalities
 - “discrimination” (CERD 1) 114-16
 - obligations (CERD 2 and CERD 5), respect for individual rights, the obligations of States parties under CERD and the right of States parties to seek compliance, interrelationship 38

choice of law/choice of law clause

- law of State party “supplemented by rules of international business activities generally accepted” 357-8
- “rules of international business activities”, definition/sources 358

CJEU competence (TEU 19(1)/TFEU 19(3))

- interpretation of provisions of international law
 - agreements between some Member States and non-Member States 140-2
 - as source of inspiration 141-2
 - agreements subscribed to by Member States (MARPOL) 140
 - instruments forming part of the EU legal order 140, 170
- jurisprudence
 - Bosphorus Queen Shipping* 140-2: *see also* *Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6))
 - Haegeman* 140
 - IAITO* 140
 - International Fruit Company* 141
 - Lesoochbranárské zoskupenie* 140
 - Manzi* 141
 - Opinion 2/15 (EU–Singapore Free Trade Agreement)* 141
 - Peralta* 141
 - Qurbani* 141
 - TNT Express Nederland* 140-1

combatant immunity, participants in NIAC, exclusion 445-6**contracts**

- applicable law, choice of law clause: *see* choice of law/choice of law clause
- interpretation, contracts governed by foreign law 356-7

customary international law (CIL)

- obligation not to defeat object and purpose of treaty prior to entry into force (VCLT 18) 326-9
- “as part of” municipal law (*Trendtex*) 590

death penalty, jurisprudence

- Makwanyane* 255-6
Mohamed 246-7, 249-51, 254-68, 270-6
Tsebe 243-76: *see also* *Tsebe* (extradition/risk of death penalty)

ECHR (1950)

- compliance obligation (ECHR 1) in case of conflicting international obligations/primacy of UNC 103
Al-Jedda 572, 585
MB 585
 existing rights safeguard/Member States' right to set higher standards (ECHR 53) 223-5, 227-32
 subsequent changes, need to reflect ("living-tree" principle) (VCLT 31(3)(c)), risk of conflict with parties' constitutional principles 226-7

ECtHR judgments, binding force and execution (ECHR 46 [ECHR 53/ECHR 54]) jurisprudence

- Anchugov* 231-2
Case B267/86 of 14 October 1987 230
Görgül 228-9
Hirst 230
Jahn 222-3
Maggio 229-30
Musayeva 222-3
Russian ECHR Ratification Law Case 219-40: *see also* *Russian ECHR Ratification Law Case Scordino* 222-3
Scozzari and Giunta 222-3
Umarov 222-3
 responsibility of State organs for compliance
 conflict with constitutional principles, effect 223-7
 judgments based on an interpretation contrary to VCLT 31 225-7

ECtHR jurisdiction/role and powers (including ECHR 19 and ECHR 32), primacy of municipal courts' role in determining compliance with Convention/subsidiarity principle 223, 236-7, 239**EEZ**

- UNCLOS 56 (rights, jurisdiction and duties of coastal State), marine environment protection: *see* marine environment, protection and preservation (UNCLOS Part XII)
 UNCLOS 58 (rights and duties of other States)
Bosphorus Queen Shipping: *see* *Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6))
 due regard to the rights and duties of the coastal State obligation (UNCLOS 58(3)) 143

effective remedy before national authority, need for (ECHR 13/ICCPR 2(3)), jurisprudence

- Kudla* 215
Kurić 215

Estonia (1991-)

- Administrative Court Procedure Code 1999 by section, 32(5) (participation of appellant in Court proceedings) 196-7
 Constitution 1992 by article, 123(2) (primacy of treaty) 197-8
 ICESCR, as international treaty ratified by Estonia/obligation to implement maximum measures to ensure compliance 197-8

- treaties and municipal law (including implementing legislation/incorporation)
 - conflict with legislation 197-8
 - direct effect in the absence of need for implementing measures 197-8
 - ICESCR as example of 197-8
 - treaty as aid to interpretation of law 197-8
- EU legal order, customary international law as part of** 174
- EU treaties with third parties (“international agreements” (TFEU 216-19))**
 - interpretation, applicable law (customary international law as reflected in the VCLT) 174
 - Intertanko* 170
 - as part of the EU legal order 140, 170
 - UNCLOS, status as 140, 170
- exhaustion of local remedies**
 - ECHR 35(1) (including effectiveness of remedy)
 - Mostacciolo* 223
 - Saknonskiy* 223
 - SADCTP (2000) 15(2) 295-6
- expropriation/nationalization**, taking of property of own nationals, legality 619, 623-4
- extradition:** *see* extradition in case of death penalty (assurances that death penalty will not be carried out); extradition to country where risk of human rights breaches (assurances/measures to guarantee protection); extradition to country where risk of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment (including ECHR 3/ICCPR 7/UNCAT 3)
- extradition in case of death penalty (assurances that death penalty will not be carried out):** *see also* death penalty
 - abolition of death penalty in extraditing State/non-applicability to extradited persons, relevance 187, 192
 - death penalty reservation in extradition agreement, relevance
 - Botswana–South Africa Extradition Treaty (1969) 253
 - ECE 11 (capital punishment) 192
 - SADC Extradition Protocol (2002) 253-4, 266
 - jurisprudence
 - Ananyev* 188
 - Khaydarov* 191-2
 - Othman* 191-3
 - Russian National Extradition Case* 186-93
 - Soering* 190-1
 - WK* 190
 - State practice
 - Austria 186-93
 - South Africa 243-76
- extradition to country where risk of human rights breaches (assurances/measures to guarantee protection)**
 - conditions imposed by requested State
 - non-observance of conditions leading to refusal of future extraditions, relevance 192
 - sufficiency 191
 - evaluation obligation, relevant factors
 - binding effect of government assurance [on local authorities] 191-3
 - effective verification/monitoring mechanisms 188
 - objective evidence of practices contrary to ECHR principles 191-2

extradition to country where risk of human rights breaches (assurances/asures to guarantee protection) (cont.)

fair trial/prompt hearing, right to (ECHR 5(4) and ECHR 6(1)), flagrant denial of justice test 190-1

extradition to country where risk of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment (including ECHR 3/ICCPR 7/UNCAT 3)

burden/standard of proof

“conclusively prove” 190

“considerable likelihood” 190

“real risk”/“substantial grounds for believing” test (including UNCAT 3(1)) 190, 257

State responsibility of extraditing State for exposure of an individual to proscribed ill-treatment, in absence of effective legal protection in requesting country 190

extraterritorial jurisdiction, anti-terrorism legislation 447-8

fair and public hearing, entitlement (ECHR 6(1)) “flagrant denial of justice” test, Soering 190-1

***Fick* (South African Constitutional Court): see also LSSA; SADC Treaty (1992); SADC Tribunal (SADCT)**

background (SADC legal instruments) 281-3

binding effect of Treaty/Protocol on South Africa and Zimbabwe 280, 283-4

background (SADC proceedings) (Tribunal’s decision), Zimbabwe’s non-compliance with Tribunal decision/Summit’s costs order 280-1, 284

background (South African court proceedings)

Constitutional Court 286

High Court (registration of costs order) 280-1, 284-5

dismissal of appeal 281, 285-6

waiver of State immunity, acceptance of SADC Treaty and SADCT Protocol as 285-6

Supreme Court (validity of SADCT Protocol) 285-6

Court’s conclusion

Court’s Order 303

dismissal of appeal 303

non-retroactive effect of decision 303

satisfaction of common law requirements for enforcement of Tribunal judgment 303

Zimbabwe’s obligation to cooperate with the Tribunal (SADC 6(6)) 303

issue 1 (rescission of registration order) 287-8

good cause/bona fide defence requirements, dismissal for want of explanation from Zimbabwe 287-8

prospects of success requirement/dependence on outcome of jurisdictional challenge 287-8

issue 2 (binding effect on South Africa of Amended SADC Treaty) 288-9

alleged failure to ratify SADCT Protocol 288-9

obligation to take measures necessary to ensure execution (SADCTP (2000) 32(2)) 288-90

issue 3 (State immunity) (acceptance of SADC Treaty and SADCT Protocol as waiver of immunity) 289-90

issue 4 (jurisdiction of SADC Tribunal) 292-6

binding effect of SADC Treaty and SADCT Protocol 295

correlation between grounds for objection raised before Tribunal and before

enforcing court, need for 294-5

Zimbabwe’s objections 292-3

arguments before the Tribunal distinguished 293-4

- Court's rejection of 294
 - as submission to the jurisdiction/waiver of immunity 295-6
- issue 5 (applicability of Enforcement of Foreign Civil Judgments Act (EA 2(1)))
 - "any country" as impediment to judgments of international tribunals 291
 - designated judgments, limitation to 291
 - Magistrates' Courts proceedings, limitation to 291
- issue 6 (common law requirements for enforcement)
 - consistency with public policy 291-2
 - domicile/residence "within the State in which the foreign court exercised jurisdiction"
 - (*Purser v. Sales*) 291-2, 296-7
 - final and binding judgment 291-2
 - jurisdiction of court pronouncing judgment 292-6
 - non-enforcement of a penal or revenue law of the foreign State 291-2
- issue 7 (development of common law in relation to enforcement of judgment of international tribunal: relevant factors) 297-302
 - absence of legislative provision/non-applicability of Enforcement of Foreign Civil Judgments Act 291, 300-1
 - comity/reciprocity principles 298-9
 - Court's decision 302
 - need to avoid evasion of lawful judgments 297-8
 - obligations
 - application/development of common law (Constitution 231) 300-2
 - consideration of international law when interpreting Bill of Rights (Constitution 39(1)(b)) 301-2
 - honouring international agreements (Constitution 8(3)) 298-301
 - measures necessary to ensure execution of SADC Tribunal decisions (SADCTP (2000) 32(2)) 298-302
 - preference for any reasonable interpretation consistent with international law 302
 - promotion of the spirit, purport and objects of the Bill of Rights (Constitution 39(2)) 301-2
 - rule of law/access to the courts (SADC 4(c)/Constitution 1(c)) 299-301
 - leave to appeal, grounds ("constitutional matter") 286, 303, 304
 - separate opinions
 - Jafta J (dissenting) (grounds for appeal: "constitutional matter"/interests of justice) 304-12
 - Zondo J (concurring) (Court's finding on Tribunal's jurisdiction as answer to ratification challenge) 303
- Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia) (Provisional Measures), background***
 - facts 15-16
 - procedural history
 - Application (Ukraine)
 - claims (CERD) 11-12
 - claims (FTC) 9-11
 - appointment of ad hoc judges 13-14
 - basis of jurisdiction (FTC 24(1)/CERD 22) 12
 - request for provisional measures 12
 - CERD-related 13, 15
 - FTC-related 12-15
 - Russia's response 15
 - separate opinions/declarations
 - Bhandari J (separate opinion) 88-113

***Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia) (Provisional Measures), background* (cont.)**

Caçado Trindade J (review of the concept and attributes of provisional measures) 57-88

Crawford J (declaration) 113-16

Owada J (separate opinion) 45-52

Pocar, Judge ad hoc (separate opinion) 116-20

Skotnikov, Judge ad hoc (separate opinion) 120-2

Tomka J (declaration) 52-7

***Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia) (Provisional Measures), prima facie jurisdiction (FTC 24(1)/CERD 22)* 16-29**

Court's conclusion/recommended measures 42-4

Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested (ROC 75(2)) 42-3

decision not to indicate provisional measures in respect of FTC-based claims 35

Bhandari J dissenting 88-113

Pocar, Judge ad hoc dissenting 116-20

obligation of both parties to avoid aggravation or extension of dispute, call to parties to work for implementation of the Package of Measures for the Implementation of the Minsk Agreements 43-4

obligations of Russia to comply with CERD in respect of

education in the Ukrainian language 43-4

limitations on Crimean Tatar community's ability to conserve its representative institutions including the *Mejlis* 43-4

obligations of Russia to comply with CERD in respect of the *Mejlis*, separate opinions

Crawford J (concurring) 114-16

Skotnikov, Judge ad hoc (dissenting) 120-2

Tomka J (dissenting) 52-7

Court's decision 44

existence of a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention (FTC 24(1)/CERD 22) 17-23

CERD

Court's conclusion 22-3

parties' arguments (Russia) 21-2

parties' arguments (Ukraine) 21

FTC

Court's conclusion 20-1

parties' arguments (Russia) 19-20

parties' arguments (Ukraine) 18-19

negotiations precondition (FTC 24(1)/CERD 22) 23-9

CERD (Court's analysis)

Application of CERD (Georgia v. Russia) 28-9

conclusion 29

"procedures expressly provided for [in CERD 11-16]" 29

CERD (parties' arguments) 27-8

Russia 28

FTC (Court's analysis)

conclusion 27

evidence of "negotiations" 26-7

submission of dispute to arbitration 26-7

FTC (parties' arguments)

Russia 25-6, 95

- Ukraine 24-5, 93-5
- overview 23-4
 - “negotiations” 23-4
 - submission of dispute to arbitration 24
 - separate opinion, Bhandari J (dissenting) 92-6
- plausibility of rights to be protected 29-39
- CERD 2/CERD 5 (Court’s analysis) 36-9
 - connection of requested measures with dispute before the Court 38-9
 - Court’s conclusions 38-9
 - respect for individual rights, the obligations of States parties under CERD and the right of States parties to seek compliance, interrelationship 38
- CERD 2/CERD 5 (parties’ arguments)
 - Russia 36
 - Ukraine 35-6
- FTC 18 (cooperation in the prevention of terrorism) (Court’s analysis) 32-5
 - Court’s conclusion 32-5
 - dependence on FTC 2 (definition of Convention offence including elements of intention/knowledge (FTC 2(1))) 34-5
 - overview 29-30
- FTC 18 (cooperation in the prevention of terrorism) (parties’ arguments)
 - Russia 31-2
 - Ukraine 30-1
- separate opinions
 - Bhandari J 97, 111-13
 - Cançado Trindade 57-73, 86-8
 - Owada J 47-51
 - Pocar, Judge ad hoc 116-19
- risk of irreparable prejudice/urgency 39-42
 - Court’s analysis (serious/imminent risk test) 41-2
 - Court’s conclusion 42
 - parties’ arguments (Russia) 40-1
 - parties’ arguments (Ukraine) 40
 - separate opinions
 - Bhandari J 107-11
 - Tomka J 56
 - vulnerability of population (Cançado Trindade) 57-73
- Finland:** *see* Bosphorus Queen Shipping (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6))
- flag State,** duties (UNCLOS 94) including enforcement of international rules and standards regarding ship-source pollution (UNCLOS 217) 142-3
- Ford (“accident”)**
 - background
 - facts 419-21
 - interpretation of MC, desirability of uniformity/international jurisprudence as aid 418
 - preliminary issue proceedings (Owen J) 415-16, 421-2
 - “unexpected or unusual event” requirement (*Saks*) 421-2
 - relevant jurisprudence: *see* “accident” (WC 17/MC 17.1), jurisprudence
 - relevant terms of Montreal Convention 416-18
 - MC 17 (death and injury of passengers: damage to baggage) 417
 - MC 17.1 (death and injury of passengers: “accident which caused the death or injury”) 417-18
 - MC 18 (destruction or loss of or damage to cargo) 417
 - MC 19 (delay of passengers, baggage or cargo) 417
 - MC 20 (exoneration) 417-18

Ford (“accident”) (*cont.*)

- MC 21 (compensation in case of death or injury of passengers) 417
- MC 21.2 (compensation: exclusion or limitation of liability) 417
- summary of the issue 415-16
- Court’s analysis (“accident”)
 - appellant’s “peculiar internal condition” as immediate cause of “bodily injury” 425
 - as reference to the cause of injury 424
 - “unexpected or unusual event” requirement (*Saks*)
 - distinguishability 424
 - “event causing injury occurred independently of anything done or omitted by the passenger” 427
 - “external to the passenger” requirement 425
 - “as some link in the chain” leading to the “bodily injury” 425
 - “unusual” 426-7
 - victim’s perception as test 424-5, 426-7
- Court’s decision 427
- jurisprudence
 - Abramson* 426-7
 - Barclay* 421, 425, 427
 - Deep Vein Thrombosis* 418, 421, 424-7
 - Morris* 421
 - Paterson* 427
 - Saks* 418, 421, 424, 425, 427
 - Thornton* 424
- parties’ arguments
 - appellant 422-3
 - respondent 423-4

foreign law

- interpretation/determination of
 - deference to foreign State, absolute rule, whether 692-700
 - jurisprudence
 - American Booksellers* 698
 - Amoco Cadiz Oil Spill* 692-700
 - Animal Science Products* 692-700: *see also* *Animal Science Products*
 - Arizonans for Official English* 698
 - Bodum* 697
 - McKesson* 696
 - McNab* 696-7
 - Pink* 698-9
 - Société Nationale Industrielle Aérospatiale* 697
 - United States – Section 129(c)(1) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act* 699
 - Wainwright v. Goode* 698
 - as question of fact vs law (US change of approach (FRCP 44.1 (1966))) 692-700
- treaties establishing mechanisms for obtaining official statements on 699-700
 - European Convention on Information on Foreign Law (1968) 699-700
 - Inter-American Convention on Proof of and Information on Foreign Law (1979) 699-700

foreign relations, responsibility for

- Rahmatullah* 590
- Trendtex* 590

freedom of navigation/commerce 142-6

- fundamental principle of international law 142-3
- protection of the environment and 142-6: *see also* *Bosphorus Queen Shipping*

FSIA 1976 (USA) by section

- 28 USC 1331 (federal jurisdiction) 624-5
- 28 USC 1605(a)(3) (taking of property exception)
 - jurisprudence (*Helmerich and Payne*) 616-27
 - “taken in violation of international law”, non-frivolous but unsuccessful argument, sufficiency to establish jurisdiction 616-27

FSIA 1976 (USA), jurisdiction

- merits distinguished 620-1
- as preliminary issue/desirability of determination at early stage 617, 621, 627

FSIA 1981 (South Africa) by section, 3(1) (waiver), acceptance of SADC/SADCT Protocol as 285-6, 289-90**General Comments (CESCR), 3 (States Parties’ obligations (ICESCR 2(1))) 197-8*****Gul* (terrorism during non-international armed conflict) (UK Supreme Court)**

background

- facts (finding of videos in appellant’s possession) 430

parties’ arguments

- appellant 431, 437-9, 443-4

- prosecution 431, 437

procedural history

- Central Criminal Court proceedings 431-2

- Court of Appeal proceedings 432

- Court of Appeal proceedings/issue certified as a point of general public importance 432, 437-8

relevant legislation

- Terrorism Act 2000 (as amended by Terrorism Act 2006 and Counter-Terrorism Act 2008) 432-5: *see also* Terrorism Act 2000 (UK)

- Terrorism Act 2006 435-6

Court’s decision 448

terrorism during NIAC under international law 443-6

- absence of agreed international definition of “terrorism” 443-6

- absence of consensus as barrier to conclusion of comprehensive UN Treaty on

- Terrorism (UNGA Resolution 51/210) 444-5

- “actions contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN” (RC 1F(c)), classification as 445

- non-availability of combat immunity to participants in NIAC 445-6

- State practice 446, 448-9

- TBC/FTC, applicability 446

- treaty provisions, State’s right to go further than (“gold-plating”) 446-7

terrorism legislation, reviews of

- Anderson Review (2012) 440, 448-9

- Anderson Review (2013) 440, 448-9

- Carlile Reviews (2006 and 2007) 440

- Inquiry into the Legislation against Terrorism* (Lord Lloyd of Berwick) (1996) 439

“terrorism” (TA (2000) 1)

- acts aimed at or affecting countries other than the UK (TA (2000) 1(4)) 438-40

- applicability to activity approved by UK Government 439

- applicability to acts of armed insurgency constituting lawful hostilities under international humanitarian law 438-9

- applicability to military attacks by a non-State armed group against State or inter-governmental organization armed forces in the context of NIAC 437-42

Gul (terrorism during non-international armed conflict) (UK Supreme Court) (*cont.*)
 issue certified by the Court of Appeal as a point of general public importance 432, 437-8

as a broad concept 438-42

consent to prosecution (TA (2000) 117), effect/risk to the rule of law 441-2, 448-9

“creates a serious risk to public safety or health” (TA (2000) 1(2)(d)) 438-40

extension to acts committed abroad, permissibility under international law 447-8

intrusive powers of police and immigration officers 449

“serious damage to property” (TA (2000) 1(2)(d)) 438-40

“serious violence against a person” (TA (2000) 1(2)(a)) 438-40

“use or threat . . . designed to influence the government . . . or to intimidate the public” (TA (2000) 1(1)(b)) 438-40

head of State (former), immunity from jurisdiction (official acts in exercise of functions), Pinochet (No 3) 463-71

Helmerich and Payne (FSIA 1605(a)(3) (expropriation of “rights in property taken in violation of international law”)/sufficiency of unsuccessful non-frivolous claim to establish jurisdiction)

background

parties’ positions

appellant 618

respondent 617-18, 624-7

procedural history

Court of Appeals proceedings 619

District Court proceedings 618-19

summary of the issue 616-17

Court’s conclusion (insufficiency of unsuccessful non-frivolous claim)/reasons 620, 627

“arising under” statute (federal jurisdiction) (28 USC 1331) 624-5

clarity, need for 624

consistency with FSIA text 621

consistency with international law 623-4

jurisdiction (kind of rights in issue) and merits (existence of rights) distinguished 620-1

jurisdiction as preliminary issue/desirability of determination at early stage 617, 621, 627

legislative history/object and purpose 621-3

precedent (*Permanent Mission*) 621

risk of reciprocal action 624

taking of property of own nationals as sovereign act 619, 623-4

scope for applicability of non-frivolous argument approach 625-7

human rights obligations

corporate liability under international law, possibility of 640-3: *see also Jesner*

FTC 5(1) (“measures to enable a legal entity . . . to be held liable”), relevance 642, 672-3

international criminal tribunals’ limitation to natural persons 641-3, 670-3

ICESCR (1966), CESCR General Comments as aid to interpretation 197-8

ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule

37(1) (right to choose ad hoc judge) 13-14

73(2) (request: required information) 13

74(3) (interim protection: date for oral hearing) 14

75(2) (provisional measures: Court's right to prescribe measures other than those requested) 42-3

ICJ status and functions (ICJ 36(1)), appeal court distinguished (*LaGrand*) 54
individual in international law (international tribunals): see *LSSA*; SADC Tribunal (SADCT)

inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), detention conditions as (*Kalashnikov*) 190

international law (including customary international law), breach, resolution of municipal law conflict by civil action 215

Intervention Convention (1969): see also *Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6))

CJEU jurisdiction in respect of 140-2, 146-7

as source of inspiration 141-2, 146-8

coastal State powers

“measures necessary to prevent, mitigate or eliminate grave and imminent danger” (IC 1(1)) 130-1

UNCLOS 220 as addition to 144

conclusion (following *Torrey Canyon* disaster) 130-1, 144

“related interests” (IC I(1)) 130-1, 146-8

“related interests” (IC II(4)) 130-1, 146-8, 177-8

UNCLOS 220/UNCLOS 221, influence on 144, 146-7, 175-6

***Jesner* (ATS, corporate liability under)**

background (ATS)

as jurisdictional statute 636

legislative history 635-7

review of the jurisprudence

Kiobel 634-5, 638

other than *Kiobel* 635-8

background (procedural)

issues

corporate liability under international law for human rights violations committed by employees 632

Court's authority in ATS suit to determine responsibility of corporation for terrorist act 632

petitioners' claim 632-4

CHIPS transactions 633-4

money-laundering 634

presumptions (infliction of death or injury by terrorism/facilitation of terrorist acts as violation of international law prohibition of terrorism) 632

background (TVPA), torture and extrajudicial killing in violation of international law, express cause of action for victims of 637-8

Court's analysis

corporate liability under ATS for human rights violations

FTC 5(1) (“measures to enable a legal entity . . . to be held liable”), relevance 642

international criminal tribunals' limitation to natural persons 641-3

specific, universal and obligatory test 640-3

as unresolved issue (*Kiobel*) 634-5

corporate liability under ATS for human rights violations, Court's authority in ATS suit to determine 638-9, 644-9

ATA provision for suit of corporate entities, relevance 646-7

***Jesner* (ATS, corporate liability under) (cont.)**

- non-essential nature of corporate liability for purposes of ATS goals/availability of alternative remedies 647-8
- risk of retaliation and diplomatic discord 648-51
- TVPA limitation of liability to natural persons, relevance 644-7
- recognition of ATS cause of action (*Sosa* test (specific, universal and obligatory norm requirement)) 638-40, 643-5, 649-50
- separate opinions
 - Alito J (part concurring, part dissenting) 652-6
 - ATS as jurisdictional statute 652
 - risk of retaliation and diplomatic discord 652-6
 - specific, universal and obligatory test 652-6
 - Gorsuch J (part concurring, part dissenting) 657-65
 - applicability of ATS to suits between foreign plaintiffs and foreign defendants 660-5
 - ATS, legislative history/object and purpose 660-5
 - creation of new causes of action/resolving foreign policy issues as matter for the political branch 657-60
 - Sotomayor J (Ginsburg, Breyer and Kagan JJ joining) (dissenting) 666-89
 - applicability of ATS to suits between foreign plaintiffs and foreign defendants 677-8
 - barring of ATS suits against foreign corporations as immunization from responsibility for even the most serious of human rights abuses 688-9
 - creation of new causes of action as responsibility of the political branches 681-2
 - FTC 5(1) (“measures to enable a legal entity . . . to be held liable”), relevance 672-3
 - international criminal tribunals’ limitation to natural persons, reasons for 670-3
 - non-essential nature of corporate liability for purposes of ATS goals/availability of alternative remedies, relevance 685-6
 - potential liability of corporations in tort, support for in the text, history and purpose of ATS 674-6
 - risk of retaliation and diplomatic discord/presumption against extraterritoriality as solution 678-81, 686-8
 - specific, universal and obligatory test, inappropriateness to current international law enforcement 666-70
 - State practice 673
 - TVPA, applicability of principles on liability to ATS 683-5
 - Thomas J (concurring) 652
 - applicability of ATS to suits between foreign plaintiffs and foreign defendants 652
 - corporate liability under ATS for human rights violations, Court’s authority in ATS suit to determine 652
- judicial review/justiciability (foreign relations decisions/prerogative power of forum State)**
 - acts considered for
 - training and assistance programmes for foreign armed forces 508-22
 - UNSCR resolution, decision based on decision of international body responsible for implementation of 599
 - jurisprudence
 - Abbasi* 599-600
 - Carlile* 517
 - CCSU* 599-600
 - Everett* 599-600
 - Nour* 508-22

- Sandiford* 599-600
- Shah* 517
- Youssef*: see *Youssef*
- scope/standard of review
 - assessment of political or reputational risk 517
 - common law judicial review as regulator of the separation of powers 585-6
 - common law standards as applicable law in prerogative cases 578, 585, 599-600
 - conformity with legal obligations including UNSCRs 578-81
 - “reasonable and credible basis” (UN Ombudsperson’s report (2011)) 570-2, 581, 605, 609-10
 - reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle) 517-22
 - fairness 578
 - “no reasonable person” test 520-1
 - procedural rationality requirement 334-7
 - “reasonable grounds for suspicion” vs balance of probabilities text 577-83
 - reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle), proportionality test (ECHR/EU law) compared/proposed move to
 - Bank Mellat* 612-13
 - Keyu* 611-12
 - Pham* 611-12
 - Youssef* 611-14
 - second-guessing, exclusion 517
- standing/private right of action, sufficient interest/directly affected requirement 509
- jus cogens*/peremptory norm (VCLT 53) including torture/inhuman treatment (State/head of State/diplomatic immunity considerations), jurisprudence**
 - A (No 2)* 590
 - Al-Rawi* 590-1
 - Furundžija* 467-8, 590
 - Jones* (Saudi Arabia cases) 467-8
 - Lama* 467-8
 - Pinochet (No 3)* 467-8
 - Youssef* 567-8, 587-91

Kurdistan (KRI): see also *Pearl*
 status 526

***Lama* (State immunity: acts of torture)**

- background (factual) in chronological order
 - complaint by Karam Hussein (2005-6) 456-7
 - complaint by Janak Bahadur Raut (Kapilvastu District Court) (29 November 2007) 452-6
 - judgment (torture as an offence/right to compensation) 454-6
 - commencement of UK criminal proceedings (3 January 2013) 457-8
 - charge (alleged breach of CJA 134 (torture)) (4 January 2013) 452, 457-8
 - appellant’s submissions 452
 - waiver of immunity by UN Secretary General (8 January 2013) 458
 - decision of the Central Criminal Court (29 October 2013) 451
- background (relevant law) (text)
 - CJA 134 (torture) 461-2
 - CJA 135 (AG’s consent for prosecutions) 461-2
 - UNCAT 1 (“torture”) 459

Lama (State immunity: acts of torture) (cont.)

- UNCAT 2 (obligation to take effective measures) 459
- UNCAT 4 (obligation to create offence) 459
- UNCAT 5 (obligation to take measures to establish jurisdiction) 459-60
- UNCAT 6 (taking into custody: measures consequent on) 460
- UNCAT 7 (*aut dedere aut punire/judicare*) 460
- UNCAT 9(1) (judicial assistance obligation in case of criminal proceedings) 461
- UNCAT 12 (prompt and impartial investigation) 461
- UNCAT 13 (individual's right to prompt and impartial examination of complaint by competent authority) 461
- UNCAT 14 (civil remedy obligation) 461

Court's conclusion 505

ground 1: immunity *ratione materiae* (functional immunity)

- alleged breach of UNCAT 6(4) procedural obligations, effect 474-83
- effect of breach on Nepal's waiver of immunity (appellant's arguments) 480-1

- existence of breach (appellant's arguments) 475-80

- existence of breach (Court's analysis and conclusion) 478-80

- Nepal's right to suspend UNCAT as between itself and the UK 483-4

- Court's conclusion 483-4

- customary international law rule, whether 468

- jus cogens* considerations 467-8

- justiciability of unincorporated treaty 472-4

- Pinochet (No 3)* 463-71

- UNCAT exclusion of 464-71

- limitation of exclusion to cases of systematic or widespread torture constituting international crimes 468-71

ground 2: UN immunity (UNPIC VI(2) (entitlement of expert to functional immunity)) 484-93

- Court's conclusion 493

- UN and ICJ (Immunities and Privileges) Order 1974

- express waiver, need for 492

- "including the time spent on journeys in connection with service on such missions" 486-8

- retroactive waiver 487-8, 491-3

- UN and ICJ (Immunities and Privileges) Order 1974 by section

- 17 (expert on a mission: entitlement to functional immunity) 485-6

- 17(b) (expert on a mission: immunity from arrest as accorded to a diplomatic agent) 485-6

- 32 (waiver of immunity) 486-8

ground 3: *autrefois convict* 493-5

- burden of proof 495-7

- Court's conclusion 505

- Court's evaluation of the evidence relating to the civil/criminal nature of Nepalese proceedings against the appellant

- Army Act 2006 504-5

- Torture Compensation Act 1996 proceedings 502-4

- Nepalese legal provisions on torture

- Army Act 2006 499-501

- Constitution 1990 14(4) 497

- legal system in general 496-7

- Torture Compensation Act 1996 498-9

LCIA, Rule 25 (interim and conservatory measures) 529-31, 540

legal certainty/legality principle*Affordable Medicines* 330*LSSA* 330-3*Youssef* 578-81, 583**legal dispute, need for**classification as/requirements (including ICJ 36) (*Mavrommatis* principle)*FTC/CERD Case* 17-23*Interpretation of Peace Treaties with Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania* 17-18*South West Africa* cases 17-18*Sovereign Rights and Maritime Spaces in the Caribbean Sea* 17-18obligation to indicate subject matter (*Immunities and Criminal Proceedings (Equatorial Guinea v. France)*) 17-18, 92**legality principle:** *see* legal certainty/legality principle; rule of law**legislation, interpretation (conformity with international obligations including customary international law)***Fick* 300-2*LSSA* 317**Lithuania (1990-) (Republic of):** *see Svenska***LSSA (SADCTP (2014)) (South African Constitutional Court)**

background

international law

importance to South Africa 317

obligation to consider international law when interpreting legislation 317

presidential powers and responsibilities 316-17

legal challenge to 317-18

legality principle 317-18

procedural history

Campbell (SADCT proceedings)/Zimbabwe's non-compliance 319

High Court proceedings (2018) (declaration of constitutional invalidity of President's conduct) 318

relevant law (treaty provisions)

SADC 6(1) (compliance obligation) 282, 319, 331-2

SADC 16(5) (final and binding effect of decisions) 319, 331-2

VCLT, applicability as customary international law 325-9

suspension of Tribunal/conclusion of SADCTP (2014) 319-20

Zimbabwe's responsibility for 329-30

Zimbabwe's changes to land and agrarian reform programme 318

costs 344

Court's Order 344-5

jurisdiction/admissibility 320-9

prematurity 321-9

absence of ratification by legislature (Constitution 231(2)) 325

completion of National Executive's role (Constitution 231(1)) 324

Doctors for Life 321-4

effect of signature 324-5

Geuking 324

obligation not to defeat the object and purpose prior to entry of treaty into force (VCLT 18/VCLT 26) 326-9, 332-3

treaties and legislation distinguished 323-4

merits (constitutionality of President's conduct) (Court's analysis)

applicable law for determining, Constitution as 329-30

diplomatic relations/comity, need to build on basis of respect for Bill of Rights and international law 343

LSSA (SADCTP (2014)) (South African Constitutional Court) (cont.)

- jurisdiction over disputes between natural or legal persons and States (SADCTP (2000) 15(1))
 - appeal from decisions of the highest national court on same issue between same parties, possibility of 333-4
 - signature of SADCTP (2014) removing provision as unlawful, irrational and unconstitutional 319-20
- legality principle 330-3
 - approval of treaty in breach of Bill of Rights and international law, exclusion 340
 - conclusion of SADCTP (2014) as breach of SADC Treaty 331-3
 - means of expressing consent to be bound by treaty (VCLT 11) 326
- obligation to act in conformity with Bill of Rights and international law 337-41
 - obligation not to defeat the object and purpose prior to entry of treaty into force (VCLT 18/VCLT 26) 340
- procedural rationality requirement/rational relationship between amendment and purpose for which power to amend was exercised 334-7
- public participation 342
- treaty obligations as obligations of State 329-30
- merits (constitutionality of President's conduct) (concurring opinion (Cameron and Froneman JJ (Mhlantla J and Petse AJ concurring)) (Constitution vs international law as basis)) 345-7
- remedy (direction to President to withdraw signature from SADCTP (2014)) 343-4

marine environment, protection and preservation (UNCLOS Part XII: Section 6: enforcement): *see also Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6))

- enforcement by coastal States (UNCLOS 220)
 - as addition to flag States' jurisdiction 144-5
 - "any resources of its territorial sea or EEZ" (UNCLOS 220(6)) 148-9
 - "any" as indication of broad interpretation 148, 176-7
 - ecosystem-based approach 148-9
 - effective protection of the environment as a whole as objective 149
 - evidential requirements for measures under UNCLOS 220(6) as matter of national law (MARPOL 4(2)) 152
 - harvested species/species associated with (UNCLOS 61), inclusion of 176-7
 - "clear objective evidence" (UNCLOS 220(6)) 171-4
 - "coastline or related interests" (UNCLOS 220(6)) 146-8
 - Intervention Convention as source 146-8, 175-6
 - "related interests" (IC II(4)) compared 177-8
 - graded approach to rights of intervention (UNCLOS 220(3)/UNCLOS 220(5)/UNCLOS 220(6)) 150-2, 172
 - "pollution" (UNCLOS 220(5)) 172
 - limitation of powers to "infringement of applicable international rules and standards" (UNCLOS 220(3)) 144-5
 - MARPOL as source of rules governing oil pollution 144-5, 153
 - proximity principle 145
 - "threat of major damage" (UNCLOS 220(6)) 152-5, 178-80
 - dependence on specific circumstances/concrete circumstantial assessment 153-5, 178-9
 - right to instigate proceedings 152
 - special area status, relevance in assessing 154, 180-1, 183

- “threat of significant pollution” (UNCLOS 220(5)) distinguished 152-3, 173, 177-8
 - enforcement by flag States (UNCLOS 217) 132-3, 142-3, 145-6
 - coastal State measures as exception in clearly defined circumstances 153-4
 - recognition of insufficiency on its own/UNCLOS 220 addition of coastal State powers 144
 - right to take over coastal State proceedings (UNCLOS 228(1)) 145
 - measures to avoid pollution arising from maritime casualties (UNCLOS 221) 144
 - marine pollution:** *see* MARPOL 73/78
 - MARPOL 73/78**
 - as “applicable international rules and standards regarding ship-source pollution” (UNCLOS 220(3)) 144-5
 - EU, whether binding on
 - Directive 2005/35/EC (MARPOL as source of international rules and standards) 153
 - good faith/sincere cooperation obligation (TEU 4(3)) to take into account 170
 - Martinson (direct applicability of treaties)** 196-8
 - Court’s analysis 196-8
 - ICESCR, Estonia’s implementation obligation 197-8
 - participation of appellant in Court proceedings 196-7
 - treaties and municipal law
 - applicability of treaty in absence of conflict 197-8
 - conflict with [implementing] legislation 197-8
 - direct effect, requirements 197-8
 - treaty as aid to interpretation of law 197-8
 - Court’s decision 196, 198
 - Montreal Convention (1999) (MC)**
 - interpretation
 - “accident” (MC 17.1) 415-27: *see also* “accident” (WC 17/MC 17.1); *Ford*
 - desirability of uniformity/international jurisprudence as aid 418
 - object and purpose (unification of laws of contracting parties) 416-17
 - as sole source of remedy for injury (MC 29) 415-16
 - summary of provisions 416-18
- negotiation as means of dispute settlement**
- Financing of Terrorism Case* 23-9, 92-6
 - genuine attempt to engage in discussions to resolve dispute requirement 23-4, 92-6
- Nepal:** *see also* *Lama*
- Army Act 2006 by section
 - 37 (offences) 499-501
 - 62 (corruption, theft, torture and disappearance) 499-501, 504-5
 - 68 (exclusion of s 62 offences from Courts Martial jurisdiction) 499-501
 - 70 (double jeopardy) 499-501
 - 101 (penalties) 499-501
 - 105(1) (departmental action) 499-501
 - autrefois convict* 493-7, 503
 - Constitution (Kingdom of Nepal) 2047 (1990) by article, 14(4) (torture) 497
 - Country Code (combined civil/criminal code), torture, provisions relating to 497
 - Torture Compensation Act 1996 by section
 - Preamble 498-9
 - 3 (prohibition of torture/examination of the detained) 498-9
 - 4 (compensation) 498-9
 - 7 (prosecution of the perpetrator) 498-9, 502-4

non-discrimination obligation (ECHR 14) (*Markin*) 231

Norway

Court of Justice Act by section, 6(2) (referral to a Grand Chamber) 204

Criminal Code 2005 by section

1(2) (limitations derived from treaty or general international law) 205, 207, 211, 214-16

167 (operating without required permit/authorization) 212-14

Marine Resources Act 2008 (updated 2013) by section

1 (purpose) 206

6 (applicability subject to international law) 207, 210-11, 214-16

16(c) (adoption of regulations: prohibition in certain areas of certain species/using certain types of gear) 202, 206

61 (contravention of provisions on harvesting operations) 207

Norwegian Snow Crab Regulations: *see Norwegian Snow Crab Case*

treaties and municipal law, primacy in case of inconsistency/conflict, resolution by civil action 215

UNCLOS, interpretation in accordance with VCLT as expression of customary international law 208

***Norwegian Snow Crab Case* 201-16**

background

Court's task (equal rights under the Spitzbergen Treaty) 201

facts 201-2, 205-6

description of the snow crab 208-9

parties' arguments

appellants 204-5

Public Prosecution Authority 204-5

procedural history

Eastern Finnmark District Court 202-3

Grand Chamber, referral to 204

Hålogaland Court of Appeal 203

Supreme Court's leave to appeal 204

Court's analysis 205-16

interpretation of UNCLOS (VCLT 31 and VCLT 32) 208

Norway's right to impose penalties 211-16

civil action as appropriate means for resolving dispute on international obligations 215

civil action as effective remedy (ECHR 13) 215

Criminal Code, s 167 (operating without required permit/authorization) 212-14

equal treatment obligations (Svalbard (Spitsbergen) Treaty provisions) 211-12, 214-16

regulatory system, Norway's right to enforce 212

status of snow crab as sedentary species (UNCLOS 77(4)) 204-5, 207-11

Court's decision 216

relevant Norwegian law

Coastguard Act by section, 36(1)(a) (penalty notice: disrespect of order to remove pots) 202

Criminal Code 2005

1(2) (limitations derived from treaty or general international law) 205, 207, 211, 214-16

167 (operating without required permit/authorization) 212-13

Marine Resources Act 2008/13 by section

1 (purpose) 206

- 6 (applicability subject to international law) 207, 210-11, 214-16
- 16(c) (adoption of regulations: prohibition in certain areas of certain species/using certain types of gear) 202, 206
- 61 (contravention of provisions on harvesting operations) 207
- Snow Crab Regulations by section
 - 1 (prohibition of snow crab fishing in marine territories, internal waters and on the Norwegian continental shelf) 206-7
 - 2(1) (exemptions) 201, 206-7, 211
 - 5 (limitation of licences to Norwegian citizens) 206-7
 - 5(1) (penalty notices) 202
- relevant treaties
 - Svalbard (Spitsbergen) Treaty (1920)
 - 1 (Norway's sovereignty over archipelago) 211-12
 - 2 (equal treatment obligation (fishing and hunting rights)) 201, 211-12
 - 3 (equal treatment obligation (access and entry)) 211-12
 - applicability beyond 12 nautical miles 203
 - UNCLOS 77 (coastal States' rights over the continental shelf) 203, 207
 - compatibility of the Snow Crab Regulations 203
- Nour (OSJA Guidance)**
 - background (factual)
 - DES programme 514-15
 - OSJA assessment process 509-12
 - OSJA assessment under review (third) 515-16
 - SAF human rights/IHL record 512-13
 - background (law on justiciability) 517
 - assessment of political or reputational risk, non-justiciability 517
 - reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle) 517-22
 - second-guessing, exclusion 517
 - background (procedural)
 - the issue (rationality of "amber" decision) 512
 - parties' arguments
 - claimant 508-9
 - defendant 509
 - sufficiency of applicant's interest 509
 - claim 1: failure of defendant to gather information about the effects of past training and assistance 518-19
 - Court's conclusion 519
 - claim 2: irrationality of third OSJA assessment 519-20
 - Court's conclusions 519-20
 - claim 3: irrationality of third OSJA assessment/"no reasonable person" test 520-1
 - Court's conclusion 521
 - claim 4: change from "military operations" to "lethal operations" as illegal change of policy 521-2
 - Court's conclusion 522
 - Court's conclusion 522
- Nuremberg Charter (1945)**, limitation of individual responsibility to natural persons 637-8, 641-3, 670-3
- pacta sunt servanda*/good faith observance of treaties (VCLT 26)**
 - customary international law (CIL) and 326-9, 332-3, 340
 - obligation not to frustrate object and purpose 326-9, 332-3, 340

***Pearl* (State immunity of a separate entity)**

- background (factual)
 - contract for the exploitation of KRI gas fields (Heads of Agreement (HoA))
 - conclusion (4 April 2007) 526
 - key provisions 527-8
 - Kurdistan's status as separate entity (SIA 14) 526
 - absence of SIA 14(5) order of immunity as if it were a State 526
- background (procedural history in chronological order)
 - mediation/arbitration proceedings (HoA 16) 528-9
 - cessation of payments by respondents 528-9
 - application for interim measures order (LCIA Rule 25)
 - claimants' contentions 529-31
 - claimants' reply 532-3
 - respondent's response 531-2
 - Arbitrators' Ruling on Interim Measures (10 July 2014) 533-4
 - claimants' application for peremptory order for payment 534
 - Arbitrators' peremptory order (17 October 2014) 534-7
 - Tribunal's permission to make application for enforcement of peremptory order (AA 42(2)(b)) 537
 - Partial Final Award (30 June 2015) 537
 - respondent's letter notifying claimants of the termination of arrangements for local sales 537-8
 - respondent's letter rejecting claimants' entitlement to payments for lifted petroleum products 537-8
 - respondent's letter to Arbitrators asking for determination of respondent's counterclaims prior to enforceable final payment award 538
 - application/counter-application 526
- Court's decision 563
- issue 1: Arbitrators' jurisdiction (AA 41/LCIA Rule 25) to make peremptory order (AA 42) 538-45
 - non-compliance with order made for the proper and expeditious conduct of the arbitral proceedings (AA 40(1)/AA 41(1)), whether limited to 540-4
 - AA 39 (power to make provisional award), applicability to interim measures 540
 - AA 39(2) (provisional award for payment of money) 541-2
 - arbitrators' power to make a peremptory order (AA 41(5)) 540
 - Court's conclusions 542-4
 - requirement for arbitrators to spell out the purpose of their award 543-4
 - respondent's arguments 540-2
 - opportunity for respondent to show sufficient cause for non-compliance (AA 41(5)) 544-5
- issue 2: immunity of respondent (SIA 14) 539, 545-59
 - relevant SIA sections
 - 1(1) (immunity from jurisdiction) 547
 - 2(1) (submission to the jurisdiction) 547
 - 9(1) (arbitrations: waiver of immunity) 546
 - 13(2) (specific performance; enforcement process) 546-7
 - 13(3) (waiver of enforcement measures) 546-7
 - 14 (States entitled to immunities and privileges) (text) 546
 - SIA 9(1) (agreement to arbitration as preclusion of immunity in proceedings "which relate to the arbitration") 554-5
 - SIA 13(2)(a) (relief by way of injunction, exclusion)
 - applicability in case of an AA 42 order for enforcement of peremptory orders 555-7

- “waives . . . any claim to immunity for itself and assets”, whether extending to SIA 13(2) forms of relief 557-9
- SIA 14(2)(a) (“anything done in the exercise of sovereign authority”)
 - long-term grant of oil rights as *acta imperii* 547-53
 - as reference to the authority of the sovereign State 553-4
- SIA 14(3) (submission to the jurisdiction by separate entity, whether exclusion of separate entity from SIA 13 protection) 555
- issue 3: due exercise of Court’s discretionary power 540
 - factors to be taken into account 559-63
- preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267)**
 - interpretation of mixed agreement (“integral part of EU legal order” requirement) 140, 170
 - Bosphorus Queen Shipping* 140
- provisional measures:** *see* provisional measures (ICJ 41); provisional measures (including ICJ 41), jurisprudence; provisional measures, purpose/requirements
- provisional measures (ICJ 41)**
 - as autonomous regime 83-6
 - binding force/compliance obligation 83-6
 - non-compliance, reparation in case of 85-6
 - prompt determination of breach/non-compliance, need for 84-5
 - State responsibility and 84
 - Court’s power to act “if it considers that circumstances so require” (ICJ 41(1)) (inherent power/discretionary nature), non-discretionary powers distinguished 47
 - Court’s right to indicate measures other than those requested (ROC 75(2)) 42-3
 - interlocutory injunction compared 46
 - measures requested/ordered
 - compliance with treaty 43-4
 - measures to ensure the availability of education in the Ukrainian language 43-4
 - refrain from action which might prejudice rights/aggravate or extend dispute 44
 - refrain from limitations on Crimean Tatar community’s ability to conserve its representative institutions 43-4, 88-113, 120-2
- provisional measures (including ICJ 41), jurisprudence:** *see also Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia)* (Provisional Measures)
 - Certain Activities Carried Out by Nicaragua in the Border Area* 50
 - Fisheries Jurisdiction* 54-5
 - Immunities and Criminal Proceedings (Equatorial Guinea v. France)* 29-30, 39, 42-3, 46, 107-8, 116
 - Maritime Boundary in the Atlantic Ocean (Ghana/Côte d’Ivoire)* 54-5
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 49-50
 - Passage through the Great Belt* 49-50, 54-5
 - Pulp Mills* 54-5
 - Temple of Preah Vihear* 43
 - Timor-Leste Case* 54-5
- provisional measures, purpose/requirements**
 - balance of parties’ rights/respect for 54-5
 - connection with dispute before Court 38-9, 111-13
 - plausibility of rights to be protected 29-38, 47-51, 57-73
 - prevention of aggravation or extension of dispute 43
 - prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice, serious/imminent risk test 41-2, 51-2, 107-11
 - respect for individual rights, the obligations of States parties and the right of States parties to seek compliance, interrelationship 38, 86-8

provisional measures, purpose/requirements (*cont.*)

- urgency
 - jurisprudence
 - Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case* 41-2, 56
 - Timor-Leste Case* 56
 - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice requirement 41-2, 51-2, 107-11
 - vulnerability of the population 57-73

recognition/enforcement of foreign arbitral award

- grounds for refusal (NYC 5), NYC 5(1)(e) (absence of final binding award/“has been set aside or suspended”) 389-90
- res judicata*/issue estoppel
 - interim judgment as basis for 392
 - Svenska* 355-6, 385-93

recognition/enforcement of foreign judgment or arbitral award against State (including State immunity considerations), jurisprudence

- Fick* 279-303: *see also Fick*
- Svenska* 355-6, 385-93: *see also Svenska*

recognition/enforcement of foreign judgment (including State immunity considerations)

- comity 298
- judgment of SADC Tribunal 279-303: *see also Fick*
- requirements
 - consistency with public policy 291-2
 - final and binding judgment 291-2
 - jurisdiction of court pronouncing judgment 292-6
 - non-enforcement of a penal or revenue law of the foreign State 291-2
 - correlation between grounds for objection raised before tribunal pronouncing judgment and before enforcing court 294-5
 - reciprocity 298
 - treaty obligation to give effect to judgment, SADCT Protocol 32 (enforcement and execution) 289-90

Refugee Convention (1951)

- non-application on grounds of “actions contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN” (RC 1F(c))
 - Al-Sirri* 445
 - terrorism as 445
- non-application on grounds of crime against peace, war crime or crime against humanity (RC 1F(a))
 - “as defined in the international instruments”, QD 12(2)(a) 406-8
 - jurisprudence
 - AA-R (Iran)* 406-8
 - JS (Sri Lanka)* 406, 408
- non-application to “persons who instigate or otherwise participate in the commission of the crimes or acts” (QD 12(3)) 408
- Qualification Directive (Council Directive 2004/83/EC) (QD), QD 12(2)(a) (RC 1F(a)) 406-8

rule of law (Entick principle) 578-81, 583, 602-3: *see also* legal certainty/legality principle
Russian ECHR Ratification Law Case (ECtHR judgments, compliance obligation (ECHR 46))

- admissibility 221

- Court's analysis
- Constitutional Court as authority for review of constitutionality of legislative provisions censored by the ECtHR 236-7
 - ECHR
 - complementarity to Russian courts 223
 - as integral part of Russia's legal system (Constitution 15(4)) 221-5
 - individual's right of appeal to international courts 221-2
 - judgments based on an interpretation contrary to VCLT 31 225-7
 - judicial protection, constitutional provisions providing for 222
 - jurisprudence (Austria), *Case B267/86 of 14 October 1987* 230
 - jurisprudence (ECtHR)
 - Görgül* 228-9
 - Hirst* 230
 - Maggio* 229-30
 - jurisprudence (Russia)
 - Judgment No 4-P of 26 February 2010* 222, 232-4
 - Judgment No 10-P of 7 June 2000* 223-5
 - Judgment No 27-P of 6 December 2013* 222, 232-5, 237-8
 - "manifest" (VCLT 46(2)) 226-7
 - Member States' right to set higher standards (ECHR 53) 223-5, 227-32
 - subsidiarity principle/exhaustion of local remedies requirement (ECHR 35) 223
 - subsidiarity principle/margin of appreciation, importance 236-7
 - treaties in violation of internal law, effect (VCLT 46(1)) 225-7
 - treaty obligations, primacy in case of conflict with constitutional principles 223-7
 - Court's decision
 - Constitutional Court as authority for review of constitutionality of legislative provisions censored by the ECtHR 239
 - non-execution of ECtHR judgment deemed by Constitutional Court to conflict with Russian constitutional principles 239-40
 - possibility of a new special mechanism to decide on implementation of ECtHR judgments 240
 - recognition of interrelationship between ECHR Ratification Law and other legislative provisions 238-9
 - right of President/Government to seek opinion of Constitutional Court in case of ambiguity 240
 - subsidiarity principle/exhaustion of local remedies 239
 - procedural history 219-20
 - relevant law
 - Administrative Procedure Code by article
 - 15(1) (applicable law in administrative cases) 219-21, 232-6
 - 15(4) (applicable law in administrative cases: primacy of treaty) 219-21, 232-6
 - Civil Procedure Code by article
 - 11(1) (applicable law in civil cases) 219-21, 232-6
 - 11(4) (applicable law in civil cases: primacy of treaty) 219-21, 232-6
 - 392(4)(4) (review of judicial decisions on grounds of new facts: ECtHR finding of breach of ECHR) 220-1, 232-6
 - Commercial Procedure Code 2002 by article
 - 311(3) (grounds for revision of judicial acts: criminal actions of party to case or judge) 219-21, 232-6
 - 311(4) (grounds for revision of judicial acts: repeal of judicial act) 219-21, 232-6
 - Constitution 1993 (including 1999 amendments) by article
 - 2 (protection of fundamental rights) 222-3

Russian ECHR Ratification Law Case (ECtHR judgments, compliance obligation (ECHR 46)) (cont.)

- 15(4) (generally recognized principles and norms of international law including treaties as part of the law of/primacy) 221-3, 225-7
- 46(3) (judicial protection: appeal to international courts) 221-3
- 79 (transfer of powers to intergovernmental organizations) 221-5
- Criminal Procedure Code 2001 (CCrP) by article, 413(4)(2) (reopening of proceedings because of new circumstances: breach of ECHR established by ECtHR) 219-20, 232-6
- ECHR Ratification Law by article
 - 1 (ECtHR jurisdiction) 220-1
 - 32(1) (responsibility for execution of treaties: President and Government) 219-21, 232-6
- ECtHR judgments, compliance obligation (ECHR 46) 222-3
 - jurisprudence (Russia) 222
- International Treaties of the Russian Federation Law (Law No 101-FZ of 15 July 1995) by article, 32(2) (responsibility for execution of treaties: federal executive bodies) 219-21, 232-6

Russian Federation (1991-)

- Administrative Procedure Code by article
 - 15(1) (applicable law in administrative cases) 219-21, 232-6
 - 15(4) (applicable law in administrative cases: primacy of treaty) 219-21, 232-6
- Civil Procedure Code (2002) by article
 - 11(1) (applicable law in civil cases) 219-21, 232-6
 - 11(4) (applicable law in civil cases: primacy of treaty) 219-21, 232-6
 - 392(4)(4) (review of judicial decisions on grounds of new facts: ECtHR finding of breach of ECHR) 220-1, 232-6
- Commercial Procedure Code 2002 by article
 - 311(3) (grounds for revision of judicial acts: criminal actions of party to case or judge) 219-21, 232-6
 - Note:* also known as the Arbitration Procedural Code.
 - 311(4) (grounds for revision of judicial acts: repeal of judicial act) 219-21, 232-6
- Constitution 1993 (including 1999 amendments) by article
 - Preamble 223-5
 - 2 (fundamental rights and freedoms: supreme importance/obligation to protect) 222-3, 227-32
 - 4(1) (sovereignty of Russian Federation) 223-5
 - 15(1) (Constitution: primacy) 223-7
 - 15(4) (generally recognized principles and norms of international law including treaties as part of the law of/primacy) 221-3, 225-7
 - 17(1) (protection of fundamental rights under international law and the Constitution) 223-5
 - 17(3) (fundamental rights: non-interference with rights and freedoms of others) 228
 - 46(1) (judicial protection) 223
 - 46(3) (judicial protection: appeal to international courts) 221-3
 - 79 (transfer of powers to intergovernmental organizations) 221-7
 - 120(1) (judicial independence) 223, 232-6
 - 125(2) (Constitutional Court: competence) 223
 - 125(5) (Constitutional Court: interpretation of the Constitution) 235-6
 - 125(6) (invalidity of unconstitutional acts) 225-7
 - 126 (Supreme Court) 223
- Criminal Code (CC) (1996 as amended 2012) by article
 - 59(1) (death penalty: exclusion other than for exceptionally grave offences) 187, 192
 - 59(2)(1) (death penalty: exclusion in case of extradited persons) 192

- Criminal Procedure Code 2001 (CCrP) by article, 413(4)(2) (reopening of proceeding because of new circumstances: breach of ECHR established by ECtHR) 219-21, 232-6
- ECHR Ratification Law, 1 (ECtHR jurisdiction) 220-1
- ECtHR judgments, binding force/compliance obligation (ECHR 46) 219-40
- treaties
- International Treaties of the Russian Federation Law (Law No 101-FZ of 15 July 1995), conflict of treaty obligations with constitutional principles 223-7
 - International Treaties of the Russian Federation Law (Law No 101-FZ of 15 July 1995) by article
 - 32(1) (responsibility for execution of treaties: President and Government) 219-21, 232-6
 - 32(2) (responsibility for execution of treaties: federal executive bodies) 219-21, 232-6
 - primacy 219-20
- Russian National Extradition Case (Austrian Supreme Court)** 186-93
- Court's analysis 189-93
 - abolition of death penalty in extraditing State/non-applicability to extradited persons, relevance 192
 - comprehensive examination of permissibility of the extradition in light of requirements for and obstacles to/criteria 189-93
 - binding effect of government assurance [on local authorities] 191-3
 - objective evidence of practices contrary to ECHR principles 191-2
 - conditions imposed by requested State
 - effective monitoring system, need for 188
 - non-observance of conditions leading to refusal of future extraditions, relevance 192
 - sufficiency 191
 - Court's decision 186-7
 - procedural history
 - Appeal Court (conditions/acceptance of assurances) 188
 - Higher Regional Court (conditions/acceptance of assurances) 187-8
 - Vienna Regional Criminal Court 187
- SADC Extradition Protocol (2002)**, extradition, assurances on death penalty (EP 5(c)) 253-4, 266
- SADC Treaty (1992)**
- Amending Agreement (2001) 282-3, 285-6, 296
 - amendment procedure (SADC 36) 282-3
 - rational relationship between amendment and purpose for which power to amend was exercised 334-7
 - compliance obligation (SADC 6(1)) 282, 319, 331-2
 - cooperation with SADC institutions obligation (SADC 6(5)) 282, 303
 - human rights, democracy and the rule of law (SADC 4(c)) 281-2, 331-2
 - access to the courts/judicial protection 299-301
 - incorporation with "the force of national law" obligation (SADC 6(5)) 282
 - object and purpose (achievement of regional developmental goals) 281-2
- SADC Tribunal (SADCT):** *see also Fick; LSSA*
- enforcement and execution of decisions (SADCTP (2000) 32)
 - binding effect on parties (SADCTP (2000) 32(3)) 295-6, 299

SADC Tribunal (SADCT) (*cont.*)

- final and binding effect of decisions (SADC 16(5)) 295-6, 319, 331-2
- “law and rules . . . in force in the . . . State in which the judgment is to be enforced” (SADCTP (2000) 32(1)) 298-9
- non-compliance, referral to Summit (SADCTP (2000) 32(5)) 284
- obligation to take measures necessary to ensure execution (SADCTP (2000) 32(2)) 288-90, 298-302
- State immunity considerations 289-90
- exhaustion of local and administrative remedies (SADCTP (2000) 15(2)) 295-6, 333-4
- jurisdiction (SADCTP (2000) 14-22)
 - dispute relating to human rights, democracy and the rule of law (SADC 4(c)) 281-2
 - dispute relating to interpretation and application of SADC Treaty (SADCTP (2000) 14(a)) 295-6
 - disputes between natural or legal persons and States (SADCTP (2000) 15(1)) 295-6:
 - see also* LSSA
 - appeal from decisions of the highest national court on same issue between same parties, possibility of 333-4
 - omission of provision (SADCTP (2014))/limitation of jurisdiction to disputes between Member States (SADCTP (2014) 33) 319-20
 - submission to
 - acceptance of Treaty/Protocol as 285-6, 296
 - objections to the jurisdiction as 295-6
- role (guardians of adherence to and proper interpretation of SADC Treaty/dispute settlement) (SADC 16(1)) 282, 331-2
- SADCTP (2000)
 - failure to pass ratification hurdle/amendment of SADC Treaty to resolve problem 282-3, 295
 - as integral part of SADC Treaty (SADC 16(2)) (SADC Amending Agreement (2001)) 282-3, 285-6, 296
- SADCTP (2014), conclusion as breach of SADC Treaty 319-20, 331-3
- suspension of Tribunal 319-20
 - Zimbabwe’s responsibility for 329-30
- sanctions (Al-Qaida/Taliban), UNSCRs relating to**
 - 1267 (1999) (asset freeze (para. 4(b))) 568
 - 1617 (2005)
 - text (para. 2) 568-9
 - “associated with” 568-9
 - 1822 (2008) (“narrative summary of reasons” requirement) 596
 - 1904 (2009) (Sanctions Committee: list/Ombudsperson) 570-2, 581, 592-4
 - 2083 (2012) 572, 581, 605
- Sanctions Committee (1267 Committee), listing, consequences of**, economic effect on the individual 567
- Sanctions Committee (1267 Committee), listing procedure**
 - designation (standard of proof)
 - “reasonable and credible” (Ombudsperson’s report (2011)) 570-2, 581, 605, 609-10
 - “reasonable grounds for suspicion” vs balance of probabilities 567-8, 577-83, 595-6, 603-10
 - “reasonable grounds”/“reasonable basis”
 - FATF Recommendations 582, 608-10
 - UNSCR 2083 (2012) 581, 605
 - “reasonable suspicion” (UNSCR 1617) (in light of object and purpose) 581-2
 - evidence possibly based on torture, obligation of individual Member States to inquire into 587-91

- “narrative summary of reasons” requirement (UNSCR 1822) 596
- unanimity requirement 567, 569, 589
- Security Council resolutions (UNSCRs)**
 - judicial review of decision based on decision of international body responsible for implementation 599, 613
 - judicial review/justiciability/compliance obligation, jurisprudence
 - Ahmed* 569, 572-3, 580, 584-5, 592, 604-10, 613
 - Youssef*: see *Youssef*
- Security Council resolutions (UNSCRs) by number and year**
 - 1822 (2008) (terrorist acts) 596
 - 1904 (2009) (Sanctions Committee: list/Ombudsperson) 570-2, 581
 - 2202 (2015) (Package of Measures for the Implementation of the Minsk Agreements) 43-4
- sedentary fisheries, rights to**
 - continental shelf (UNCLOS 77), *Norwegian Snow Crab Case* 201-16
 - “sedentary species” (UNCLOS 77(4)) 207-11
- separation of powers**, common law judicial review as regulator of 585-6
- ship-source pollution and the introduction of penalties for infringements, Directive 2005/35/EC**: see also *Bosphorus Queen Shipping* (interpretation of UNCLOS 220(6))
 - applicability (2005/35/EC 3(1)) 134
 - enforcement by coastal States with respect to ships in transit (2005/35/EC 7) 134-5
 - “in accordance with international law” (2005/35/EC 7(2)) (including UNCLOS 220(6)) 134, 146, 155-7, 171
 - “any resources” (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 176-7, 183
 - harvested species/species associated with (UNCLOS 61), inclusion of 176-7
 - “clear objective evidence” requirement (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 153, 157, 171-4, 182
 - “coastline or related interests” (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 149, 157, 174-6, 182
 - evidence to be taken into account in assessing the consequences of a violation under 2005/35/EC 178-80, 183
 - as reinforcement of flag States’ responsibility for 144
 - “threat of major damage” (2005/35/EC 7(2)) 151-2, 155
 - “significant pollution” (UNCLOS 220(5)), relevance 177-8, 183
 - special area status, relevance in assessing 154, 180-1, 183
 - MARPOL and
 - good faith/sincere cooperation obligation (TEU 4(3)) to take into account 170
 - harmonization of Member State implementation of as objective (2005/35/EC recitals 2 and 3) 133-5
 - penalties (2005/35/EC 8), “effective, proportionate and dissuasive” requirement (2005/35/EC 8(1)) 152
 - standards stricter than UNCLOS, exclusion, Member States’ right to take more stringent measures “in conformity with international law” (2005/35/EC 1(2)) (including UNCLOS 220(6)) 134, 146, 155-7, 181-2, 184
 - text (extracts) 133-5, 157
- South Africa**
 - comity, respect for Bill of Rights and international law as basis 343
 - Constitution Act 200 of 1993 (Interim Constitution) by section, Chapter 3 (fundamental rights)
 - 9 (right to life) 255
 - 10 (respect for dignity) 255
 - 39(1)(c) (interpretation of Bill of Rights: consideration of foreign law) 298
 - Constitution Act 200 of 1993 (Interim Constitution) by section, Chapter 4 (Parliament), 59 (public access to and involvement in National Assembly) 342

South Africa (*cont.*)

- Constitution Act 200 of 1993 (Interim Constitution) by section, Chapter 15 (general and transitional provisions) by section, 231(2) (international agreements: approval by legislature) 330-1
- Constitution Act 108 of 1996 (including amendments) by section, Chapter 1 (Founding Provisions)
- 1(a) (human dignity, equality and advancement of human rights as underlying values) 251-3
- 1(c) (supremacy of the Constitution and rule of law) 286, 299-301
- 2 (supremacy of the Constitution) 251-3
- Constitution Act 108 of 1996 (including amendments) by section, Chapter 2 (Bill of Rights)
- 7(1) (Bill of Rights as cornerstone of democracy) 251-3, 338-9
- 7(2) (obligation to respect, protect, promote and fulfil Bill of Rights) 249-53, 338-9, 345-7
- 7(2) (obligation to respect, protect, promote and fulfil Bill of Rights), jurisprudence, *Carmichele* 262, 307-8, 311
- 7(3) (limitations on rights in Bill of Rights) 251-3
- 8(1) (application to State organs) 251-3, 299-301, 338-9, 345-7
Glenister 300
SARFU 300
- 8(3) (development of the common law) 300-1
- 9(1) (equality before the law) 251-3
- 10 (human dignity) 251-3
- 11 (life) 251-3
- 12 (freedom and security of person) 251-3
- 34 (access to courts/fair trial) 286, 299-301
Fick 299-301
Mjeni 299-301
- 39(1)(b) (interpretation: “must consider international law”) 288-9, 298, 301-2, 317
- Constitution Act 108 of 1996 (including amendments) by section, Chapter 4 (Parliament)
- 59 (public access to and involvement in National Assembly) 342
- 59(1) (public access to and involvement in National Assembly), text 342
- 59(1) (public access to and involvement in National Assembly), jurisprudence, *LSSA* 342
- 72 (public access to and involvement in National Council) 342
- Constitution Act 108 of 1996 (including amendments) by section, Chapter 5 (President and National Executive)
- legal challenge to exercise of 317-18: *see also LSSA*
- legality principle 317-18, 330-3
- Constitution Act 108 of 1996 (including amendments) by section, Chapter 6 (Provinces), 18(1) (public access to and involvement in provincial legislatures) 342
- Constitution Act 108 of 1996 (including amendments) by section, Chapter 14 (general provisions)
- 231 (international agreements): *see also Fick*
Albutt 337-8
Fick 288-9, 337-40
Glenister 288-9, 299, 301-2, 338
LSSA 324, 337-41
- 231(1) (negotiation and signing of treaties as responsibility of national executive) 324
 obligation to act in conformity with Bill of Rights and international law 337-41

- 231(2) (international agreements: approval by legislature) 323, 325, 327-9
 - approval of treaty in breach of Bill of Rights and international law, exclusion 340
- 232 (customary international law as law in the Republic) 317, 327-8
- 233 (interpretation of law: consistency with international law) 302, 317, 338-9
- Constitution Act 108 of 1996 (including amendments) by section, Schedule 6
 - (transitional arrangements), 3(1)(b) (replacement of Senate by National Council of Provinces (NCOP)) 281
- Constitutional Court
 - jurisdiction/admissibility, jurisprudence
 - Doctors for Life* 321-4
 - Fick* 286, 303, 304
 - Geuking* 324
 - prematurity 321-9
- “constitutional matter”
 - Constitutional Court’s jurisdiction, limitation to 286, 303, 304
 - human rights/rule of law issues 286, 303, 304
- Extradition Act of 1962 by section
 - 11(b)(iii) (rejection of extradition request: grounds) 254-5
 - as long-standing provision 262-3
 - text 246-7
- extradition in case of death penalty
 - death penalty reservation in extradition agreement, relevance 253-4
 - jurisprudence
 - Makwanyane* 255-6
 - Mohamed* 246-7, 249-51, 254-68, 270-6
 - Tsebe* 243-76: *see also* *Tsebe*
 - overriding importance of Constitution 7(2) obligations 266-8
- extraterritorial jurisdiction including extraterritorial enforcement of legislation by legislating State
 - applicability/examples of
 - Implementation of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court Act 2002 264
 - Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act 2004 264
- Foreign States Immunities Act 1981: *see* FSIA 1981 (South Africa)
- Immigration Act 13 of 2002 by section
 - 32(2) (deportation of illegal foreigner) 247
 - “deport” 263-4
 - need to read consistently with the Constitution 262-3
 - 49(1)(b) (failure of illegal foreigner to depart when ordered to do so) 263-4
- inhuman or degrading treatment (Constitution Act 3) 255
- judicial review/justiciability, standard of review/grounds (procedural rationality requirement) 334-7
 - Affordable Medicines* 334-5
 - Albutt* 334-5
 - Democratic Alliance* 334-5
 - LSSA* 334-7
 - Masetlha* 335-6
 - Simelane* 335-6
 - Traub* 335-6
 - Zenzile* 335-6
- legislation, interpretation
 - conformity with the Constitution 262-4

South Africa (*cont.*)

- consistency with international law (Constitution 233) 302, 317, 338-9
- recognition/enforcement of foreign judgment [against State]
 - common law as applicable law 298-9
 - need for development in relation to judgment of international tribunal 297-302
 - Enforcement of Foreign Civil Judgments Act (EA), applicability (EA 2(1))
 - “any country” as impediment to judgments of international tribunals 291
 - designated judgments, limitation to 291
 - Magistrates’ Courts proceedings, limitation to 291
 - international tribunal judgments, applicability to 286
 - non-applicability 298-9
- recognition/enforcement of foreign judgment [against State], requirements
 - consistency with public policy 291-2
 - domicile/residence “within the State in which the foreign court exercised jurisdiction”
 - (*Purser v. Sales*) 291-2, 296-7
 - final and binding judgment 291-2
 - jurisdiction of tribunal pronouncing judgment 292-6
 - correlation between grounds of objection before tribunal pronouncing judgment and before enforcing court 294-5
 - non-enforcement of a penal or revenue law of the foreign State 291-2
- SADC Treaty (1992), non-ratification of: *see Fick; LSSA*
- State immunity, waiver
 - acceptance of SADC Treaty/SADCT Protocol as 285-6, 289-90, 296
 - implied/submission to the jurisdiction, challenge to jurisdiction/assertion of immunity 295-6
- treaties
 - amendment (VCLT 39-41) (rational relationship between amendment and purpose for which power to amend was exercised) 334-7
 - conclusion (VCLT 6-18)
 - means of expressing consent to be bound (VCLT 11) 326
 - obligation not to defeat the object and purpose prior to entry into force (VCLT 18) 326-9, 332-3, 340
- VCLT (1969)
 - applicability as customary international law 325-9
 - Fick* 325-6
 - Glenister* 325-6
 - Goodwin* 325-6
 - Harksen* 325-6
 - LSSA* 325-9
 - Makwanyane* 325-6
 - Quagliani* 325-6
 - Van Rooyen* 325-6
- speciality (extradition)**, assurances by requesting State 188-9
- Spitsbergen:** *see* Svalbard (Spitsbergen) Treaty (1920)
- State immunity**
 - classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis* 547-53
 - entitlement of individual/official acting in official capacity, *Pinochet (No 3)* 463-71
 - entitlement of State agency/State-owned corporation/State entity, act in exercise of sovereign authority, of the sovereign State 553-4
 - as preliminary issue/desirability of determination at early stage 617, 621, 627
 - theory/doctrine (*Pinochet (No 3)*) 463-71
 - waiver
 - acceptance of ICC Rules of Arbitration as 393-402

- acceptance of SADC/SADCT Protocol as 285-6, 289-90
- express/clear and unequivocal waiver, need for 557-9
- implied/submission to the jurisdiction: *see* State Immunity Act 1978 (UK) (SIA) by section (Part I (proceedings in the UK by or against other States)), 2(1) (submission to the jurisdiction)
- implied/submission to the jurisdiction, challenge to jurisdiction/assertion of immunity 295-6
- Svenska* 393-402
- waiver of immunity from execution and from jurisdiction, relationship 557-9
- State Immunity Act 1978 (UK) (SIA) by section (Part I (proceedings in the UK by or against other States))**
 - 2(1) (submission to the jurisdiction) 547
 - submission to ICC arbitration as 397-9
 - 2(2) (submission after dispute has arisen or by prior written agreement) 547
 - 3(1) (exceptions to immunity in proceedings relating to), “relating to” 401-2
 - 3(1)(a) (commercial transaction exception) 399-402
 - 3(3) (“commercial transaction”) 399-401
 - 9(1) (waiver: arbitration agreement) 393-7, 546
 - “proceedings . . . which relate to the arbitration” 554-5
 - enforcement proceedings, whether 395-7, 554-5
 - 13(2) (specific performance; enforcement process) 546-7
 - application for injunction (Arbitration Act 44(2)(e)) distinguished 555-7
 - 13(3) (waiver of enforcement measures) 546-7
 - “provision merely submitting to the jurisdiction of the courts is not to be regarded as a consent [to relief]” 557-9
 - 14 (States entitled to immunities and privileges) 546
 - 14(2)(a) (separate entity in exercise of sovereign authority)
 - “sovereign authority” 547-53
 - of the sovereign State 553-4
 - 14(3) (submission to the jurisdiction by separate entity other than central bank or other monetary authority), whether exclusion of separate entity from SIA 13
 - protection 555
- subsidiarity principle (ECtHR/municipal courts)** 223, 236-7, 239
- Sudan**, human rights/IHL record: *see Nour*
- Svalbard (Spitsbergen) Treaty (1920)**
 - equal treatment obligation (Svalbard 2 and Svalbard 3), *Norwegian Snow Crab Case* 201-16
 - territorial applicability 203
- Svenska***: *see Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (background); *Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (finality of first award/issue estoppel); *Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (interpretation of the Agreement) (applicable law (Agreement 35.2)); *Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (interpretation of the Agreement) (common intention to submit disputes between Svenska and the Government to arbitration) (Court’s analysis); *Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (interpretation of the Agreement) (common intention to submit disputes between Svenska and the Government to arbitration) (Court’s conclusions based on the available evidence); *Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (State immunity)
- Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (background)**
 - Agreement by article (text)
 - 9 (settlement of disputes) 352-3
 - 35 (governing law and sovereign immunity) 353
 - Agreement (Government’s signature/rubric) (text) 352

***Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (background) (cont.)**

- arbitration proceedings
 - jurisdiction (“first award”) 353
 - merits (“second award”) 354
- enforcement proceedings (in date order)
 - order of 7 April 2004 (Morrison J) giving Svenska permission to enforce the award 354
 - Lithuania’s application of 31 August 2004 to have Morrison J’s order set aside 354
 - Svenska’s application of 24 November 2004 to Lithuania’s action struck out 354
 - Deputy Judge Teare’s dismissal (11 January 2005) of Svenska application 354
 - hearing before Gloster J (4-9 July 2005)/issues considered 354-5
 - judgment of Gloster J (4 November 2005) 355-6
- summary of appeal issues 356
- summary of dispute 351-2

***Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (finality of first award/issue estoppel) 385-93**

- admission of expert evidence on Danish law, propriety 387-9
- Court’s finding that the Government had agreed to submit to arbitration as resolution of issue 385-6
 - right to challenge first award under Danish law (as applicable law) 386-7
- finality of first award, reasons 389
- interim judgment as basis for issue estoppel 392
- proceedings before the Deputy Judge, effect 390-3

***Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (interpretation of the Agreement) (applicable law (Agreement 35.2)) 356-85**

- applicability of the laws of Lithuania
 - Gloster J’s summary of the principles of the applicable Lithuanian law/parties’ subjective intention 358-9
 - “parties’ subjective intention”, parties’ problems with 358-9
- applicability of “rules of international business activities generally accepted” 357-8
 - Court’s decision 358
 - Gloster J’s view 357
 - parties’ positions 357
 - “rules of international business activities”, definition/sources 358
- interpretation of contract governed by foreign law, approach to 356-7

***Svenska* (UK Court of Appeal) (interpretation of the Agreement) (common intention to submit disputes between Svenska and the Government to arbitration) (Court’s analysis)**

- appellants’ position (signature as administrative matter not incurring obligations) 360-1
- development of the text during the negotiations (in chronological order)
 - Letter of Intent and Addendum 363-6
 - Court’s conclusion 365-6
 - Gloster J’s conclusion 364-5
 - first draft agreement 366-9
 - Court’s conclusion 368-9
 - Gloster J’s conclusion 368
 - second draft agreement, Gloster J’s conclusion 369
 - third draft agreement 369-70
 - Art. XXIII (consultation, arbitration and governing law) (text) 370-1
 - fourth draft agreement 369-72
 - choice of ICC over ICSID arbitration rules 372
 - Lithuania’s refusal to accept primacy of the rules of international business activities generally accepted 372
 - major changes introduced by 369-70

- replacement of Art. XXIII of third draft by Art. 35 (Governing Law and Sovereign Immunity) and Art. 9 (Settlement of Disputes) 371
 - fifth draft agreement 372
 - sixth draft agreement 372-4
 - absence of explanation for omission of arbitration from Art. 35 373
 - addition of arbitration to Art. 9 372-3
 - omission of reference to arbitration from Art. 35 372-3
 - final version of Agreement Arts. 9 and 35, interpretation of Agreement Art. 9 to include the Government, exclusion 374
 - “Disputes between the Founders” (Agreement Art. 9.1), applicability to Government evidence derived from the negotiations 362-3
 - interpretation of “Founders” by reference to Agreement 362
 - “legally and contractually bound as if it were a signatory to the Agreement” (rubric to signature)
 - constitutional and economic changes in Lithuania during negotiations, relevance 361
 - as evidence of intention to undertake obligations 361
 - Lithuanian decisions on arbitrability, relevance 382-5
 - “signed”/“became party to”, relevance of any distinction 360-1
 - intention as determining factor 360-1
- Svenska (UK Court of Appeal) (interpretation of the Agreement) (common intention to submit disputes between Svenska and the Government to arbitration) (Court’s conclusions based on the available evidence) 374***
 - conclusion of Lithuania–Sweden BIT (1992), relevance 376-7
 - draft no 1: provision for ICSID arbitration 375
 - draft no 2: retention of provision for ICSID arbitration/addition of waiver of State immunity 375
 - draft no 4: retention of provision for ICSID arbitration and waiver of State immunity 375-6
 - draft no 6: omission of Art. 35 reference to arbitration, absence of reasons for 376-7
 - rubric, conclusiveness 374-5
 - absence of objective evidence prior to addition of rubric 374-5
 - waiver of sovereign immunity (Agreement Art. 35), implications 377-8
- Svenska (UK Court of Appeal) (State immunity)***
 - SIA 2(1) (submission to the jurisdiction), submission to ICC arbitration as 397-9
 - SIA 3(1) (exceptions to immunity in proceedings “relating to”) 401-2
 - SIA 3(1)(a) (commercial transaction exception) 399-402
 - “commercial transaction” 399-401
 - SIA 9(1) (agreement to arbitration as preclusion of immunity in proceedings “which relate to the arbitration”) 393-7
 - Court’s conclusion 397
 - enforcement proceedings, applicability to 395-7
- Terrorism Act 2000 (UK) (as amended by Terrorism Act 2006 and Counter-Terrorism Act 2008): see also *Gul*; Terrorism Act 2006**
 - extension to acts committed abroad, permissibility under international law 447-8
 - intrusive powers of police and immigration officers 449
- Terrorism Act 2000 (UK) (as amended by Terrorism Act 2006 and Counter-Terrorism Act 2008) by section**
 - Part I (introductory)
 - 1 (“terrorism”) 432-3
 - applicability to activity approved by UK Government 439
 - as a broad concept 438-42

Terrorism Act 2000 (UK) (as amended by Terrorism Act 2006 and Counter-Terrorism Act 2008) by section (*cont.*)

- 1(1) (“terrorism”)
 - applicability to acts of armed insurgency constituting lawful hostilities under international humanitarian law 438-9
 - Gul* 430-49
 - R v. F* 438
- 1(1)(b) (“use or threat . . . designed to influence the government . . . or to intimidate the public”) 438-40
- 1(2)(a) (“serious violence against a person”) 438-40
- 1(2)(b) (“serious damage to property”) 438-40
- 1(2)(d) (“creates a serious risk to public safety or health”) 438-40
- 1(4) (acts aimed at or affecting countries other than the UK) 438-40
- Part II (proscribed organizations) 433
- Part III (terrorist property)
 - 15 (fundraising) 433
 - 16 (use and possession) 433
 - 17 (funding arrangements) 433
 - 18 (money laundering) 433
- Part IV (terrorist investigations) 433
- Part V (counter-terrorist powers)
 - 42-3 (search of individuals and premises) 433
 - 44-7 (stop and search powers) 433
 - 53 and Schedule 7 (port and border controls) 433
- Part VI (miscellaneous)
 - 54 (weapons training) 433
 - 56 (directing terrorist organizations) 433
 - 57 (possession for terrorist purposes) 433
 - 58 (collecting information for terrorist purposes) 433
 - 59 (inciting terrorism abroad) 433
 - 62(1) (terrorist bombing: jurisdiction: actions outside the UK) 434
 - 62(2) (terrorist bombing: jurisdiction: actions outside the UK: offences under s 62(1)(b)) 434
 - 63 (terrorist finance: jurisdiction) 434
 - 63A-63E (other terrorist offences under the Act: jurisdiction) 434
 - 64 (extradition) 434
- Part VII (Northern Ireland) 434
- Part VIII (general)
 - 114-16 (police powers) 434
 - 116 (powers to stop and search) 434
 - 117 (consent to prosecution) 434-5, 441-2
 - as risk to the rule of law 441-2, 448-9

Terrorism Act 2006 (UK) by section

- Part 1 (offences)
 - 1 (encouragement of terrorism) 435
 - 1(1)(b) (addition of “or an international governmental organisation”) 435
 - 2 (dissemination of terrorist publications) 435-6
- Part 2 (miscellaneous provisions) 436
- Part 3 (supplemental provisions), 36 (review of terrorism legislation) 436

terrorism, definition/elements

- as act contrary to purposes and principles of UN (RC 1F(c)): *see* Refugee Convention (1951), non-application on grounds of “actions contrary to the purposes and principles of the UN” (RC 1F(c))

- acts by non-State armed force/insurgents against armed forces of a State (non-international armed conflict): *see also Gul*
- absence of consensus as barrier to conclusion of comprehensive UN Treaty on Terrorism (UNGA Resolution 51/210) 444-5
- State practice 446
 - Australia 448-9
 - Canada 448-9
 - South Africa 448-9
- difficulty of 438-40
 - absence of agreed international definition 443-6
- Financing of Terrorism Convention (1999) (FTC 2(1)) 34-5, 97-106
- jurisprudence
 - Al-Sirri* 443, 445
 - Gul* 430-49
 - R v. F* 438
- reviews of legislation
 - Anderson Review (UK) (2012) 440, 448-9
 - Anderson Review (UK) (2013) 440, 448-9
 - Carlile Reviews (UK) (2006 and 2007) 440
 - Inquiry into the Legislation against Terrorism* (Lord Lloyd of Berwick) (UK) (1996) 439
 - INSLM Report (2012) (Australia) 448-9
- terrorism, jurisdiction**, extension of anti-terrorist legislation to acts committed abroad 447-8
- terrorism, treaties and other international instruments relating to**
 - Financing of Terrorism Convention (1999) (FTC)
 - applicability during NIAC 446
 - cooperation obligation (FTC 18) 32-5
 - definition/elements of terrorism (FTC 2(1)) 34-5, 97-106
 - dispute settlement/submission to arbitration (FTC 24(1)) 23-7, 92-6
 - implementing legislation, State's right to go further than provisions of the Treaty ("gold-plating") 446-7
 - list of UN anti-terrorism Conventions as of October 2013 444-5
 - Terrorist Bombings Convention (1997) (TBC), activities of armed forces during NIAC, applicability to 446
- torture**
 - criminal jurisdiction (including UNCAT obligations), obligation to notify States concerned that a person has been taken into custody/prompt report on preliminary enquiry findings (UNCAT 6(4)) 474-83
 - customary international law (CIL), State immunity from jurisdiction 468
 - evidence obtained by: *see* admissibility of evidence obtained by torture/confession as function of head of State, *Pinochet (No 3)* 463-71
 - State immunity and
 - customary international law (CIL) 468
 - jus cogens*/peremptory norm considerations (VCLT 53) 467-8
 - officials, entitlement to immunity *ratione materiae*/functional immunity
 - customary international law rule, whether 468
 - Lama* 463-71
 - UNCAT 1, effect/exclusion of 430-49
- Torture Convention (1984) (UNCAT)**, effective measures to prevent torture, obligation (UNCAT 2), *Rahmatullah* 601-2
- Torture Victim Protection Act 1991 (TVPA)**
 - interpretation, "individual", limitation to natural persons 645-6

Torture Victim Protection Act 1991 (TVPA) (*cont.*)

jurisprudence

Jesner 645-6*Mohamad* 645-6, 683-4

torture and extrajudicial killing in violation of international law, express cause of action for victims of 637-8

treaties

amendment (VCLT 39-41) (rational relationship between amendment and purpose for which power to amend was exercised) 334-7

conclusion (VCLT 6-18)

means of expressing consent to be bound (VCLT 11) 326

obligation not to defeat the object and purpose prior to entry into force (VCLT 18) 326-9, 340

definition/form/classification as (VCLT 2(1)(a)) (“concluded between States”) 345-6

direct effect/self-executing

absence of need for implementing measures requirement 197-8

ICESCR (1966), whether 196-8

Martinson 196-8

invalidity (VCLT 46-53)

violation of internal law, effect (VCLT 46(1)) 225-7

“manifest” (VCLT 46(2)) 226-7

municipal law and

constitutionalality of treaty, conflict with constitutional principles 223-7

jurisprudence

A (FC) 473-4*Dallal v. Bank Mellat* 473-4*European Roma Rights Centre* 473-4*Glenister* 299*McKerr* 473-4*Nissan* 473-4*Pinobchet (No 3)* 474*Rayner (Tin Council)* 473-4*Zoersch v. Waldock* 473-4

legislative approval/domestic law “ratification”, need for, as constitutional requirement (South Africa) 323, 325, 327-9

primacy in case of inconsistency/conflict, conflict with [implementing] legislation 197-8

applicability of treaty in absence of conflict 197-8

Constitution/domestic legislative provisions as first option 198

Tsebe (extradition/risk of death penalty)

background

facts 245-9, 272

Botswana’s refusal to give assurance 244, 246, 248-51

issues for determination

correctness of High Court’s conclusion on Government’s power to extradite in

absence of assurance on death penalty 250-1

distinguishability of *Mohamed* 250-1

procedural history 244-5

High Court decision 249, 270-1

High Court orders 245, 271-2

Court’s analysis (applicability of *Mohamed* principle)

constitutional human rights provisions, central importance of 258-9

Court’s decision/application of principle to the facts 268-70

- distinguishability of *Mohamed* 259-68
 - Carmichele*, relevance 262
 - parties' positions (Home Affairs Minister) 259, 261-2
 - parties' positions (Justice Minister) 259-62
- extraterritorial jurisdiction option 264-6
 - practical difficulties 265
 - safe haven risk 265-6
- jurisprudence considered in *Mohamed* 257-8
- legislation addressed by the parties
 - EA 11(b)(iii) (rejection of extradition request) as long-standing option 262-3
 - IA 32(2) (deportation of illegal foreigner), need to read consistently with the Constitution 262-3
- Mohamed* principle defined 256-8
 - Makwanyane* finding of unconstitutionality of death penalty, effect on *Mohamed* 255-6
- overriding importance of Constitution 7(2) obligations 266-8
- real risk test 257
- request of extradition request, compatibility with treaty obligations 266
 - in case of refusal of request for assurance (SADC EP 5(c)) 266
- Court's jurisdiction 249-50
- leave to appeal
 - Court's decision 270
 - dissenting opinion (Yacoob ADCJ) 270-6
 - justification for 250
- relevant law (Botswana), Criminal Code, s 203 (death penalty for murder) 254
- relevant law (international)
 - Botswana–South Africa Extradition Treaty (1969), Art. 6 (capital punishment) 253
 - SADC Extradition Protocol (2002), EP 5(c) (assurances on death penalty) 253-4
- relevant law (South Africa)
 - Constitution by section
 - 1(a) (human dignity, equality and advancement of human rights as underlying values) 251-3
 - 2 (supremacy of the Constitution) 251-3
 - 7(1) (Bill of Rights as cornerstone of democracy) 251-3
 - 7(2) (obligation to respect Bill of Rights) 249-53
 - 7(3) (limitations on rights in Bill of Rights) 251-3
 - 8(1) (application to State organs) 251-3
 - 9(1) (equality before the law) 251-3
 - 10 (human dignity) 251-3
 - 11 (life) 251-3
 - 12 (freedom and security of person) 251-3
 - Extradition Act 1962 (EA), s 11(b)(iii) (rejection of extradition request: grounds) 254-5, 262-3
 - text 246-7
 - Immigration Act 13 of 2002 (IA) by section
 - 32(2) (deportation of illegal foreigner) 247, 262-3
 - 49(1)(b) (failure of illegal foreigner to depart when ordered to do so) 263-4

Ukraine: see *Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia)* (Provisional Measures)

UN Privileges and Immunities Convention (1946) (UNPIC), assertion of entitlement to immunity as responsibility of Secretary General 488-9

UN privileges and immunities (experts on mission (UNPIC VI))

- entitlement to functional immunity (UNPIC VI (22)) 484-93
 - applicability “during time spent on journeys in connection with their missions” 486, 488-91
 - waiver (UNPIC VI (23)) 485-8
 - express waiver, need for 492
 - retroactive waiver 487-8, 491-3
- jurisprudence
 - Cumaraswamy* 488-9
 - Lama* 484-93
 - Mazilu* 489-90

UNCLOS (1982)

- as balance between
 - coastal States and freedom of navigation 142
 - coastal States and other States 173-4
- jurisprudence, *AITO* 142
- freedom of navigation and protection of the environment 142-6
- interpretation, Intervention Convention (1969) as aid 146-8, 175-6
- as legal framework for law of the sea activity 144-5
- other agreements, relationship with (UNCLOS 311), other agreements on the marine environment, effect on obligation under (UNCLOS 237) 156, 170-1

United Kingdom

- admissibility of evidence obtained by torture/confession, Sanctions Committee Consolidated List, obligation to inquire into allegations of the use of evidence obtained through torture 587-91

Arbitration Act 1996 by section

- 39 (provisional awards), applicability to order for interim measures 540
 - 39(2) (provisional award for payment of money) 541-2
 - 40(1) (general duty of parties to do all things necessary for the proper and expeditious conduct of the arbitral proceedings) 540-1
 - 41(2) (“unless otherwise agreed by the parties”) 541
 - 41(5) (powers of tribunal in case of party’s default) (peremptory order) 540-1
 - “without showing sufficient cause” 544-5
 - 42 (enforcement of peremptory orders of tribunal) 540-5
 - 42(2)(b) (enforcement of tribunal’s peremptory orders: application with permission of the tribunal) 537
 - 103(2)(f) (recognition/enforcement: refusal: absence of final and binding award) 389-90
- autrefois convict*, burden of proof 495-6
- Carriage by Air Acts (Implementation of the Montreal Convention 1999) Order 2002 (SI 2002/263) 416
- EU law, direct effect of regulations, obligations conflicting with fundamental rights of the individual 579-81
- European Communities Act 1972 (including amendments) (ECA)
 - as authority/conduit for the direct effect of EU law 579-81
 - Youssef* 579-81
- head of State (former), immunity from jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (*Pinochet (No 3)*) 463-71
- International Organizations Act 1968 by section, 1(3)(c) (privileges and immunities of experts on a UN mission) 485
- judicial review/justiciability (foreign relations decisions/prerogative power of forum State)
 - assessment of political or reputational risk, non-justiciability 517

- scope/standard of review
 - “no reasonable person” test 520-1
 - reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle) 517-22
 - second-guessing, exclusion 517
 - standing/private right of action, sufficient interest/directly affected requirement 509
 - training and assistance programmes for foreign armed forces 508-22
- Justice and Security Act 2013 (JSA) by section, 6(1) (declaration of proceedings as proceedings in which a CMP may be made to the court) 586-7
- Montreal Convention (1999) (MC)
 - “accident” (WC 17/MC 17.1) 415-27: *see also* “accident” (WC 17/MC 17.1); *Ford*
 - incorporation into UK law 416
 - interpretation, desirability of uniformity/international jurisprudence as aid 418
 - as sole source of remedy for injury (MC 29) 415-16
- OSJA assessment process 508-22: *see also Nour*
- Refugee Convention (1951), non-application (QD 12(2)(a) (serious non-political crime)) 406-8
- State Immunity Act 1978: *see* State Immunity Act 1978 (UK)
- terrorism
 - acts by non-State armed force/insurgents against armed forces of a State (NIAC) 430-49
 - absence of consensus as barrier to conclusion of comprehensive UN Treaty on Terrorism (UNGA Resolution 51/210) 444-5
 - definition/elements, absence of agreed international definition 443-6
 - reviews of the legislation
 - Anderson Review (2012) 440, 448-9
 - Anderson Review (UK) (2013) 440, 448-9
 - Carlile Reviews (2006 and 2007) 440
 - Inquiry into the Legislation against Terrorism* (Lord Lloyd of Berwick) (1996) 439
 - treaty provisions, State’s right to go further than (“gold-plating”) 446-7
- Terrorism Acts: *see* Terrorism Act 2000 (UK) (as amended by Terrorism Act 2006 and Counter-Terrorism Act 2008); Terrorism Act 2006 (UK) by section
- torture, State immunity and
 - jus cogens*/peremptory norm considerations 467-8
 - officials, entitlement to immunity *ratione materiae*/functional immunity 452-505: *see also Lama* (State immunity: acts of torture)
 - customary international law rule, whether 468
 - jus cogens* considerations 467-8
 - UNCAT 1, effect/exclusion of 464-71
- UN and ICJ (Immunities and Privileges Order 1974/1261) by section
 - 17 (expert on a mission: entitlement to functional immunity) 485
 - 17(b) (expert on a mission: immunity from arrest as accorded to a diplomatic agent) 485-6
 - “including the time spent on journeys in connection with service on such missions” 486-8
 - 32 (waiver of immunity) 486-8
 - retroactive waiver 486-8, 491-3
- United States of America (USA)**
 - Alien Tort Statute 1789 (28 USC 1350) (ATS): *see* Alien Tort Statute 1789 (28 USC 1350) (ATS) (federal jurisdiction in cases of suit by alien for tort in violation of international law or treaty); *Jesner* (ATS, corporate liability under)
 - Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP), 44.1 (determination of foreign law as question of fact) 692-700: *see also Animal Science Products* (foreign law)

United States of America (USA) (cont.)

foreign law

deference to foreign State, absolute rule, whether 692-700: *see also Animal Science Products* (foreign law)

as question of law (FRCP 44.1) 692-700

FRCP 44.1 (1966) as change of approach 696-7

FSIA: *see* FSIA 1976 (USA)

terrorism, legislation relating to, Anti-Terrorism Act (18 USC 2331-9) (ATA), corporate entities, suit against 646-7

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969) (VCLT) (procedural aspects)

customary international law (CIL) and 174, 208

Fick 325-6

Fisheries jurisdiction 326-7

Gabčíkovo–Nagymaros 326-7

Glenister 325-6

Goodwin 325-6

Harksen 325-6

Kasikili/Sedudu Island 326-7

LSSA 325-9

Makwanyane 325-6

Namibia 326-7

Quagliani 325-6

Van Rooyen 325-6

Warsaw Convention (1929) (WC)

object and purpose, unification of laws of contracting parties 416-17

as sole source of remedy for injury 415-16

Youssef (Sanctions Committee Consolidated List) (background)

facts (general)

appellant's immigration status 594

effect of listing on appellant 567

facts (in chronological order)

arrival of appellant in UK (5 May 1994)/asylum claim 574

detention under the Prevention of Terrorism (Temporary Provisions) Act 1989 (23 September 1998) 574

release and re-arrest under Special Immigration Appeals Act 1997, s 3(2)(a) (26 September 1998) 574

refusal of bail (3 December 1998) 574

rejection of asylum claim on RC 1F(c) grounds of terrorist activity (23 December 1998) 574, 594

sentence by Egyptian Military Court *in absentia* to life imprisonment/evidence obtained by torture (18 April 1999) 574-5, 596

release from custody in absence of satisfactory assurances permitting removal to Egypt (19 July 1999) 575

exceptional leave to enter the UK for one year (29 November 1999) 575

Egypt's request to add appellant to the Consolidated List/SoS's hold on designation (29 March 2005) 575-6, 596

- SoS's decision to release hold on designation/addition of appellant to the List on basis of Security Services' assessment (14 September 2005) 575-6, 596
- High Court claim for damages for false imprisonment (30 July 2007) 573-5
- UNSCR 1822 narrative summary of reasons for listing requirement (June 2008) 596
- UK decision to seek delisting (13 May 2009) on basis of Security Services' assessment of May 2000 576-7, 596
- appellant's solicitors' request to European Commission/FCO for removal of name from Reg. 881/2002, Annex I list (18 March 2010) 577, 594
- appellant's witness statement denying involvement in terrorism (3 December 2010) 593-4
- Divisional Court's dismissal of claim for judicial review of listing (23 July 2012) 572
- appellant's request to Ombudsperson for delisting (April 2013) 592-3
- Ombudsperson's report to 1267 Committee recommending appellant's retention on the list (February 2014) 592-3
- CJEU dismissal of claim that retention on the List was irrational (21 March 2014) 594
- Ombudsperson's letter to appellant informing him of her recommendation and reasons (30 July 2014) 592-3
- SoS's report to appellant of his agreement with the Ombudsperson and termination of support for delisting (10 September 2014) 593
- 1267 Committee's updated summary of reasons for appellant's retention on the List (30 September 2014) 593
- appellant's denial of Commission's allegations/application for legal aid 594
- SoS's decision not to extend request for delisting to include torture-tainted evidence issue (14 October 2014) 595
- claim for judicial review (December 2014) 595
- European Commission's notification to appellant of updated reasons for retention on the List (17 December 2014) 594
- UN sanctions regime
 - "associated with" 567-9
 - Consolidated List 568
 - listing procedure 567, 569
 - unanimity requirement 567, 569, 589
 - Member States' obligation to "accept and carry out the decisions of the Security Council" (UNC 25) 568, 572, 585
 - UNSCR 1267
 - freezing obligation (para. 4(b)) 568
 - Sanctions Committee 568
 - UNSCR 1617
 - acts or activities indicating "associat[ion] with" (para. 2) 568-9
 - obligation to take UNSCR 1267 para. 4(b) measures (para. 1) 568-9
 - UNSCR 1904 (2009) (Ombudsperson)
 - report to Security Council (21 January 2011) 570-2
 - role 570
 - UNSCR 2083 (2012) 572
- UN sanctions regime, implementation (EC)
 - EC Regulation 881/2002 569-70
 - freezing of assets of persons or groups listed in Annex I (Art. 2.1) 570
 - Annex list, designation by UNSC or the Sanctions Committee as being associated with Al-Qaida 570
 - right to request reasons for Annex I listing (Art. 7(c)) 570
- UN sanctions regime, implementation (UK)

Youssef (Sanctions Committee Consolidated List) (background) (cont.)

- Al-Qaida and Taliban (Asset-Freezing) Regulations 2010 569
- Al-Qaida and Taliban (United Nations Measures) Order 2002 569
- Al-Qaida and Taliban (United Nations Measures) Order 2006, *Ahmed (ultra vires)* nature of 2006 order) 569, 592

UN sanctions regime, jurisprudence

- Ahmed* 572-3
- Al-Jeddah* 572, 585
- Kadi I* 594
- Kadi II* 594

Youssef (Sanctions Committee Consolidated List) (Court of Appeal)

Court's conclusion 591

ground 1: standard of review (review on the merits vs *Wednesbury* rationality test) 567-8, 583-7

- Ahmed* distinguished 584-5
- CMP (JSA 6(1)), relevance 586-7

ground 2: alleged use of evidence obtained by torture as basis for designation/obligation to inquire into 567-8, 587-91

- Divisional Court findings 588-9
- jus cogens* prohibition of torture, relevance 589-91

ground 3: standard of proof ("reasonable grounds for suspicion" vs balance of probabilities test) 567-8, 577-83

- Ahmed* 572-3, 580, 584-5
- common law judicial review as regulator of the separation of powers 585-6
- common law standards as applicable law 578, 585
- conformity with Consolidated List regime 578-81
- Entick* principle 578-81, 583
- ECA/EC 881/2002 as legal authority 579-81

legality principle 578-81

lifting of hold as exercise of prerogative power 578

"reasonable and credible" (Ombudsperson's report (2011)) 570-2

Youssef (Sanctions Committee Consolidated List) (Supreme Court)

ground 1: torture-tainted evidence 595-6

background 596

Court's analysis

1267 Committee's decision, challengeability under international law, limitation to 599

common law standards as applicable law in prerogative cases 599-600

judicial review of foreign policy decisions under prerogative powers, cautious approach to 599-600

legal principles

- A (No 2)* 597
- obligation to inquire into (Court of Appeal) 597-8

parties' arguments

- appellant 598
- respondent 598-9

ground 2: absence of power (*Entick* principle) 595-6, 602-3

Court of Appeal's findings 602

ECA/EC 881/2002 as legal authority 603

parties' arguments

- appellant 602-3
- respondent 602

- ground 3: standard of proof (“reasonable grounds for suspicion” vs balance of probabilities test) 595-6, 603-10
 - Court of Appeal’s findings 604-8
 - Court’s analysis
 - Ahmed* 605-10
 - FATF Recommendations 608-10
 - “reasonable and credible” (Ombudsperson’s report (2011)) 609-10
 - Court’s findings 609-10
 - parties’ arguments
 - appellant 604-5
 - respondent 603-4
- ground 4: standard of review (review on the merits vs *Wednesbury* rationality test) 595-6, 610-14
 - Court of Appeal’s findings 610
 - Court’s analysis (proportionality test (ECHR/EU law) compared/proposed move to) 611-14
 - applying the proportionality test, effect 613-14
 - Bank Mellat* 612-13
 - Keyu* 611-12
 - Pham* 611-12
 - Divisional Court’s findings 610
 - parties’ arguments
 - appellant 610-11
 - defendant 611

Zimbabwe: *see also Fick*

- Constitution 1980 (with amendments prior to 2013)
 - Amendment (No 11) (1990) 318
 - Amendment (No 12) (1993) 318
 - Amendment (No 14) (1994) 318
- Constitution 1980 (with amendments prior to 2013) by section (Chapter XII: Miscellaneous Provisions), 111B(1) (international conventions: incorporation) 292-6
- Land Acquisition Act 1992, Chapter 20:10, ss 1612-15, 17-19 and 23 (compensation for appropriation) (repeal) 318
- SADC Treaty (1992): *see Fick*