

## INDEX

- Aarhus Compliance Committee, 50, 73–74, 79–80, 92, 98–100, 101, 116–117
  - on Aarhus Regulation, 92–93, 106–107, 113
  - CJEU and, 99–100
  - conclusions of, 108–109
  - on Contracting Parties, 81–82
  - findings of, 93
  - on judicial review, 98–99
  - recommendations of, 81–82, 109
  - special legal order and, 116–117
- Aarhus Convention, 43–44, 54, 90, 250–251, 253–254, 395–396
  - Aarhus Regulation and, 69, 105–108
  - adoption of, 75–76
  - citizen suit approach of, 80
  - CJEU on, 91, 98, 102–103, 112–113
  - direct effect of, 58, 60–61
  - Directive 85/337 and, 63–64
  - ECJ and, 35, 61–62
  - EU and, 52–53
  - General Court on, 102–103
  - on internal review, 108–109
  - judicial review after, 77–80
  - multi-level-governance and, 53–56
  - NGOs and, 105, 106–107
  - on public authorities, 105–106
  - ratione personae* in, 81
  - Regulation 1367/2006, 50–51
  - vagueness of, 80–82
- Aarhus Regulation
  - Aarhus Compliance Committee on, 92–93, 106–107, 113
  - Aarhus Convention and, 69, 105–108
  - added value of, 85–92
  - challenges to, 78
  - CJEU and, 111–112
  - on environmental law, 105–108
  - General Court and, 87–89
  - hurdles from, 85–92
  - Plaumann doctrine and, 78
  - Recital 18, 95
  - reinterpretation of, 108–109
  - revision of, 115–119
  - shortcomings of, 105–108
- accountability
  - Environmental Ombudsman and, 416–417
  - in Japan, 402–405
  - jurisdictions and, 397–400
  - mass extinction and, 74–77
  - mechanisms, 396, 397–400
  - Nakajima* exception and, 94–96
  - Paris Agreement and, 207–210
  - pursuing, 207–210
- actio popularis*, 81–82
- Ad Hoc Working Group on Paris Agreement (APA), 208
- Adani Carmichael Mine* case, 327–328
- ADBHU* case, 248–249
- added value, 231–234
- adjudication
  - challenges in, 307–310
  - non-compliance procedures and, 11–12
  - as regulatory tool, 322–325
  - for scientific and technical issues, 307–310
- administrative review, 113–115
- advocacy campaigns, 450–452
- AEP v. Connecticut*, 314–316, 319–320
- Aerial Herbicide Spraying* case, 297–298, 309
- affected populations, 161
- African Charter of Human and Peoples' Rights, 8–9
- Afton case, 231

- Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, 265, 269  
 air pollution, 40  
 Air Quality Framework Directive, 87  
*Air Transport Association of America*  
   direct effect and, 60  
   judgment on, 60  
 ambiguity of language, 370–371  
 ambit, 77–78  
 American Convention on Human Rights, Additional Protocol to, 8–9  
 American Treaty on Pacific Settlement, Article XXXI, 297–298  
*Annibaldi* case, 253–254  
 annulments ordinary, 108  
 Antarctica, 74–75  
   whaling in, 129–133  
 Anthropocene, 74–75  
 AOSIS, 188–189  
 APA. *See* Ad Hoc Working Group on Paris Agreement  
 appropriate assessment, scientific uncertainty and, 154–155  
 arbitrary discrimination, 272–273  
*Arcelor* case, 230, 255–257, 258–259  
 Arctic Ocean, 74–75  
 Argentina, 295–296  
 asbestos, 276–277  
 associate status, 438–439  
 Atlantic Charter, 267  
 Australia, 209, 312–313, 317–318, 327–328  
   climate change litigation in, 333  
 Australian Capital Territory (ACT), 397–398, 413  
*Australian Conservation Foundation v. Latrobe City Council*, 317–318  
 Australian Federal Court, 327–328  
 Austrian Criminal Law Act, 158–159  
  
*Bee Life* case, 102  
 best practices, 436–437  
*Bettati* case, 223–224  
 Bill of Rights, US, 432  
 biodiversity, 36, 74–75  
   in EU, 75  
   ignorance and, 146–148  
   mass extinction and, 75  
   scientific uncertainty and, 146–148  
 Biodiversity Convention, 69–70  
*Biotechnology* judgment, ECJ, 59–60  
 Birds Directive, 146–147, 148  
 Black Carbon petition, 430–431  
*bona fide* principle, 168–169  
 Bonn Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, 43–44  
 Bot (Advocate General), 218  
 boundaries  
   changing, 154  
   uncertainty and, 154  
*Boxus* case, CJEU on, 110–111  
 Bretton Woods Agreement, 266, 267  
 Brexit-Referendum, 44–45  
*Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland* case, 103–105  
 CJEU on, 110–111  
  
 CALS. *See* Centre for Applied Legal Studies  
*Canadian Oil* case, 228  
*Case Concerning Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia*, 180  
 case law  
   CJEU, 240, 250–251  
   of ECtHR, 246–247  
*Case of the Free Zones of Upper Savoy and the District of Gex*, 180  
 casual chains, 329–330  
 CEITs. *See* countries with economies in transition  
 Centre for Applied Legal Studies (CALS), 448, 454–455  
*Certain Activities Carried Out by Nicaragua in the Border Area*, 3–4, 133–134  
   jurisprudence in, 301  
*Certain Activities Carried Out by Nicaragua in the Border Area* case, 123–124  
 CETA. *See* Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement  
 CFI. *See* Court of First Instance  
 Chernobyl, 402

- Children's Investment Fund  
 Foundation (CIFF), 325–326  
 CIFF. *See* Children's Investment Fund  
 Foundation  
 CITES compliance system, 352–353  
 citizen rights, 47  
 ECJ on, 47  
 citizen suit approach, of Aarhus  
 Convention, 80  
 citizenship, ECJ and, 49  
 CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the EU  
 Clean Air Act, 314, 319–320, 323–324  
 Clean Power Plan, 314–316  
 clean-up plans, European Commission  
 on, 39–40  
*ClientEarth*, 67–69, 72–73, 78–79,  
 106–107, 325–326  
 climate change  
 goals, 210  
 litigation, 313–322, 325–333  
 Climate Change Petitions, 429–430  
 climate justice, 312–313  
 CoAL. *See* Coal of Africa Limited  
 coal mines, 446–447  
 Coal of Africa Limited (CoAL),  
 449–450, 451  
*Coalition for Responsible Regulation*  
*v. EPA*, 314–316  
*Codorniu* case, 31  
*Commission v. Finland*, 153  
*Commission v. Greece*, 153  
 Commissioner for Sustainability and  
 the Environment (CSE), 410–411  
 Committee for the Adaptation to  
 Technical and Scientific  
 Progress, 161  
 common concern, 48  
 Common Fisheries Policy, 86  
 common heritage, 48  
 common law, 329–330,  
 392–393  
 community law, 233–234  
 comparative advantage, 267–270  
 compensation  
 Paris Agreement and, 191–194  
 shields against, 191–194  
 competition, 48  
 WTO and, 283–288  
 compliance. *See also* Montreal  
 compliance system; non-  
 compliance procedures  
 conceptual basis, 365–372  
 measurement of, 199  
 mechanisms, 365–372  
 monitoring, 454–455  
 Montreal compliance system, 11–12  
 NGOs and, 12–13  
 theoretical basis, 365–372  
 Compliance Committees, NCPs and,  
 377–380  
 Comprehensive Economic and Trade  
 Agreement (CETA), 233  
 Conference of the Parties (COP)  
 decision-making and, 373  
 MOP and, 374, 376, 378  
 NCPs and, 376  
 confidentiality, 381–382  
 consensus, in decision-making,  
 372–373  
 conservation status, ignorance on,  
 150–152  
*Construction of a Road in Costa Rica*  
*along the San Juan River* case,  
 123–124, 133–134, 301  
 constructive ambiguity, 142  
 constructivism, 369  
 consultative status, 438–439  
 Convention on Biological Diversity,  
 290–291  
 Convention on the Law of Non-  
 navigational Uses of International  
 Watercourses, 290–291  
 Convention on the Protection and Use  
 of Transboundary Watercourses  
 and International Lakes, 290–291  
 cooperation  
 in *Gabcikovo-Nagymaros* case,  
 169–170  
 ICJ and, 169–178  
 with IWC, 173–174  
 legitimacy and, 173–174  
 meaningful, 181–182, 187  
*Whaling* case and, 177–178  
 COP. *See* Conference of the Parties  
 countries with economies in transition  
 (CEITs), 345–346

- Court of First Instance (CFI), 83
  - Plaumann doctrine and, 84–85
  - in *Região autónoma dos Açores* case, 83–84
- Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU), 148, 149, 212–213, 240
  - Aarhus Compliance Committee and, 99–100
  - on Aarhus Convention, 91, 98, 102–103, 112–113
  - Aarhus Regulation and, 111–112
  - on *Boxus* case, 110–111
  - on *Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland* case, 110–111
  - C-240/09 and, 67
  - case law of, 240, 250–251
  - criticism of, 96
  - delegation limits, 225–226
  - on direct effect, 96–98
  - ECHR and, 241–243
  - ECtHR and, 241–243
  - environmental law and, 251
  - on environmental protection, 249–250, 254–255
  - EU and, 73–74
  - on *Fediol* exception, 66–67, 90–91, 94–95
  - formal rules of, 162
  - on fundamental rights, 261
  - general interest criterion of, 240–241, 247–251
  - Grand Chamber, 89–90
  - on ignorance, 151–152, 163
  - in Inuit case, 251–253
  - jurisprudence of, 260
  - legal solipsism of, 240, 241–247
  - on *Lesoochránárske zoskupenie I* case, 103–105, 110
  - on *Nakajima* exception, 66–67, 90–91, 94–95
  - on Natura 2000, 162–163
  - on ORNIS Committee, 161
  - Plaumann doctrine and, 111
  - on precautionary principle, 162
  - proportionality test and, 217–218
  - as quasi-legislator, 251–255
  - roles of, 215
  - on *Schutznormtheorie*, 110–111
  - in *Siragusa* case, 251–253
  - on *Solvay* case, 110–111
  - special alchemy in, 216
  - on species disturbance, 160–161
  - structural bias of, 243
  - systemic approach and, 221–225
  - teleological approach and, 217–218
  - on uncertainty, 163
  - on UNDRIP, 243
- creative destruction, 283–284
- creativity
  - environmental justice and, 12–13
  - legitimacy and, 12–13
- Crutzen* case, 74–75
- CSE. *See* Commissioner for Sustainability and the Environment
- customary international law, 433
  - EIA in, 292–295
  - NDC obligation and, 202–203
  - Paris Agreement, 199–205
  - in *Pulp Mill* case, 292
- Danube, 124–125, 169–170, 298
- de lege ferenda*, 202–203
- de lege lata*, 202–203
- decision-making, 379–380
  - consensus in, 372–373
  - COP and, 373
  - in Kyoto Protocol, 386–387
  - legal basis of, 372–375
  - in Montreal Protocol, 386–387
  - NCPs and, 372–375
- Declaration on the Right to Development, 268
- delegation limits
  - CJEU and, 225–226
  - judicial review and, 225–226
  - targets and, 228–229
- deliberativeness, uncertainties on, 158–159
- direct annulment, 100–103
- direct applicability, 57–58
- direct concern, 32
  - natural persons and, 27–28
  - in standing, 27–28

- direct effect, 57–58  
   of Aarhus Convention, 58,  
     60–61  
*Air Transport Association of America*  
   and, 60  
   CJEU on, 96–98  
   legality review and, 96–98  
 Directive 85/337, Aarhus Convention  
   and, 63–64  
*Dispute Concerning Delimitation of the*  
*Maritime Boundary between*  
*Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire in the*  
*Atlantic Ocean*, 14  
 dispute settlement procedure (DSP),  
   365–366  
 Doha Declaration, 270, 287  
 Doha Mandate, 287  
 domestic law, ICs and, 9  
 DSP. *See* dispute settlement procedure  
 due diligence, 182, 198, 294–295,  
   304  
   of ICJ, 307  
   of ITLOS, 307  
   manifestation of, 198  
 due regard, 182
- EarthJustice, 430–431  
 EC. *See* Treaty Establishing the  
   European Community  
 ECHR. *See* European Convention on  
   Human Rights  
 ECJ. *See* EU Court of Justice  
 eco-labelling system, 279–280  
 ecological necessity, state of, 125–126  
 ecological problems, law and, 138–141  
 ecologically sustainable development  
   (ESD), 317–318  
 Economic Commission for Europe  
   (UNECE), 75–76  
 ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human  
   Rights  
 EEA, 40, 41–42  
 EEC Treaty, 30–31  
*effet utile* doctrine, 213  
 efficiency, 370–371  
 EIA. *See* environmental impact  
   assessment  
 EIA-Directive 2003/35/EC, 62–63
- EMC. *See* Environmental Management  
   Committee  
 Emission Trading System (ETS),  
   255–257, 325  
 energy, 48  
 enforcement  
   in regulation, 422  
   under World Heritage Convention,  
     427–429  
 enrolment, 419–421  
 entrepreneurial activity, 285–286  
 environmental accountability, mass  
   extinction and, 74–77  
 environmental adjudication, 6–7  
   scientific uncertainties and, 144  
 environmental cases, Plaumann  
   doctrine and, 82–85  
 environmental claims, 3  
 environmental harm, quantifying, 3–4  
 environmental impact assessment  
   (EIA), 10, 288–289, 307  
   content, 303–307  
   in customary international law,  
     292–295  
   disputes, 289  
   evidentiary challenges, 298–299  
   implementation, 303–307  
   inter-state cases, 289  
   jurisdiction and, 295–298  
   obligations, 288–289  
   requirement of, 299–303  
   scope, 303–307  
   in treaties, 289–292  
   tribunals, 295–298  
 environmental justice  
   access to, 102–103  
   analysis of, 13  
   creativity and, 12–13  
   in EU, 76–77  
   European Commission on, 38–40  
   legitimacy and, 12–13  
   limits of, 12–13  
   member states on, 76–77  
 environmental law  
   CJEU and, 251  
   international, 92–94  
 Environmental Management  
   Committee (EMC), 454–455

- (EMC) (cont.)
  - teeth of, 456–458
  - transparency in, 456
  - trust in, 456
- environmental noise, 41–42
- Environmental Ombudsman, 391–394
  - abilities of, 410–413
  - accountability and, 416–417
  - general ombudsman and, 413–416
  - in Japan, 400
  - review, 394–397
  - role of, 409–410
- environmental protection, CJEU on, 249–250, 254–255
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 314–316
- environmental turn, 13–18
- EPA. *See* Environmental Protection Agency
- ERTs. *See* expert review teams
- ESD. *See* ecologically sustainable development
- Espoo Convention, 290
- ETS. *See* Emission Trading System
- EU. *See* European Union
- EU acquis, international law and, 56–58
- EU Charter of Fundamental Rights (EUCFR), 32–33, 218, 240
- EU Court of Justice (ECJ). *See also* Court of Justice of the EU
  - Aarhus Convention and, 35, 61–62
  - Biotechnology* judgment, 59–60
  - on Case-115/09, 62–64
  - on citizen rights, 47
  - citizenship and, 49
  - Directive on Public Participation, 64
  - European Commission and, 38, 39–40
  - General Court and, 66, 99–100
  - in *Greenpeace* case, 83
  - HLP and, 220
  - inconsistent judgments by, 30–35
  - on international law, 58
  - on international treaties, 58–60
  - joined cases, 64–67
  - jurisdiction of, 58–60
  - jurisprudence, 56–57
  - national courts and, 34–35
  - on national measures, 226–227
  - on Plaumann doctrine, 78
  - on *Slovak Brown Bear*, 60–62
  - on standing, 25–38
  - substantive law and, 46–47
  - on TFEU, 30–31, 32–33
  - treatment of, 34–35
  - on *Trianel*, 62–64
  - two-tier assessment, 56–57
- EUCFR. *See* EU Charter of Fundamental Rights
- European Commission, 215, 287–288
  - action of, 50–51
  - on clean-up plans, 39–40
  - ECJ and, 38, 39–40
  - on environmental justice, 38–40
  - general evaluation and, 49–52
  - general policy, 38–40
  - Greece and, 159
  - in infringement procedures, 149–150
  - international agreements and, 42–43
  - Ireland and, 150
  - limits of, 43–45
  - member states and, 40–42
  - on nitrogen dioxide, 65
  - policies of, 39
  - priorities of, 40
  - quasi-monopolistic position of, 43
  - on species protection, 163
  - substantive law and, 45
  - Volkswagen and, 44
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 8–9
  - CJEU and, 241–243
  - Protocol 1, 243, 245–246
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), 241–243
  - case law of, 246–247
  - CJEU and, 241–243
- European Environmental Bureau* case, 83–84
- European Union (EU), 19–20
  - Aarhus Convention and, 52–53
  - aims of, 52–53
  - biodiversity in, 75
  - CJEU and, 73–74
  - environmental justice in, 76–77
  - globalization and, 51

- interpretation of law in, 48
- legal order in, 92–94
- national courts and, 103–105
- Plaumann doctrine in, 54–55
- primary law, 56–57
- secondary law, 56–57
- even-handedness, 272
- evidence, scientific uncertainties and, 123–124
- expert review teams (ERTs), 380
- experts, use of, 6–7
  
- fair balance, 230
- fairness, 167–168
- Fauna-Flora-Habitat-Directive, 161
- Fedesa* case, 230
- Fediol* exception, 58–59
  - CJEU on, 66–67, 90–91, 94–95
  - denial of, 73–74
  - General Court on, 87–88
  - rejection of, 90–91
- First World War, 432–433
- formalism, 255–257
- Foro Ecologico del Peru*, 429–430
- forum shopping, 5
- fragmentation, 354
- freedoms, 230
  - fundamental, 259
- free-rider problem, 369–370
- free-trade, 267
- Fukushima, 400–402
- fundamental rights, CJEU on, 261
  
- Gabcikovo-Nagymaros* case, 123–128, 132, 144–145, 298
  - cooperation in, 169–170
  - good faith in, 179
  - ICJ, 139–140
  - VCLT and, 183–184
- GAL. *See* global administrative law
- GATT. *See* General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), 95, 263, 266–270, 273
  - complexity of, 268
- General Court, EU, 28, 52–53
  - on Aarhus Convention, 102–103
  - Aarhus Regulation and, 87–89
    - on administrative acts, 87–88
    - ECJ and, 66, 99–100
    - on *Fediol* exception, 87–88
    - on internal review, 108
    - in *Microban* case, 101–102
    - on *Nakajima* exception, 87–88
    - on Plaumann case, 29
    - on T-18/10, 28
    - on T-127/05, 28
    - on WTO case law, 66
- general evaluation, European Commission and, 49–52
- general interest
  - CJEU on, 240–241, 247–251
  - defining, 248–249
- general ombudsman, Environmental Ombudsman and, 413–416
- German Code of Administrative Court Procedure, 62–63
- German Environmental Appeals Act, 62–63
- GHG. *See* greenhouse gas
- global administrative law (GAL), 340–341, 342, 347–354
  - affected states' interests and, 360–362
  - mechanisms, 354–360
  - Montreal compliance system and, 346–348
  - predictability, 354–360
- Global Environment Facility, 345–346, 359–360
- global order, ICs in, 2
- global power shifts, ICs and, 19–20
- Global South, 19–20
- Globalization, EU and, 51
- good faith, 167
  - cooperation and, 169–178
  - current status of, 178–183
  - defining, 167–168
  - in *Gabcikovo-Nagymaros* case, 179
  - ICJ and, 169–178
  - international law and, 167–168, 185–187
  - pacta sunt servanda* and, 183–187
  - in *Pulp Mill* case, 170
  - UN Charter and, 184–185

- good faith (cont.)  
   in Vienna Convention on the Law of  
   Treaties, 178–179  
   in *Whaling* case, 171–172, 178  
*Gray v. Minister for Planning*, 317–318  
 Great Barrier Reef, 327–328, 428–430  
 great transformation, 268  
 Green Economy report, 284  
 green technology, 271–272, 284–285  
 greenhouse gas (GHG), 311–312  
 Greenpeace, 29  
*Greenpeace* case  
   ECJ in, 83  
   outcomes of, 83–84  
 Grotian Moment, 200–201  
 Grotius, 197
- habitat conservation  
   ignorance and, 148–150, 162  
   uncertainties and, 148–150  
 Habitats Directive, 61–62, 146–147, 149  
   Appropriate Assessment, 162–163  
 Hague District Court, 320–321  
 happiness, 263  
 harmonization  
   TEU on, 222  
   TFEU on, 222  
*Hauer* case, 245–248  
 high level of protection (HLP), 218–219  
   defining, 215–216  
   ECJ and, 220  
   national measures and, 227–228  
   prospects, 234–237  
   requirements, 227–228  
*Hingitaa* case, 243  
 HLP. *See* high level of protection  
 honesty, 167–168  
 human rights, 239–240, 420,  
   433  
   *corpus*, 241  
 Human Rights Courts, 5  
 human rights law, 8–9, 16
- IACtHR. *See* Inter-American Court on  
   Human Rights  
 IBA. *See* Important Bird Areas  
 ICCPR. *See* International Covenant on  
   Civil and Political Rights
- ICCROM. *See* International Centre for  
   the Preservation and Restoration  
   of Cultural Property  
 ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice  
 ICOMOS. *See* International Council on  
   Monuments and Sites  
 ICRW. *See* International Convention  
   for the Regulation of Whaling  
 ICs. *See* international courts  
 IEL, 141  
 ignorance  
   biodiversity and, 146–148  
   CJEU and, 151–152,  
   163  
   on conservation status, 150–152  
   defining, 147  
   habitat conservation and,  
   148–150, 162  
   of precautionary principle, 162  
   scientific uncertainty and, 146–148  
 ILA. *See* International Law Association  
 ILC. *See* International Law  
   Commission  
 Implementation Committee, 343,  
   344, 350  
   NCPs and, 377–380  
 implied powers doctrine,  
   374–375  
 Important Bird Areas (IBA), 152–153  
 incrementalist approaches, 15  
 INDCs. *See* intended nationally  
   determined contributions  
 independence, of ICs, 1–2  
 Indicative List of Measures, 358  
 individual concern  
   defining, 84–85  
   natural persons and, 28–30  
*Indus Waters Kishenganga* case,  
   296, 299  
 influence, 434–435  
 information asymmetry, 287,  
   452  
 infringement procedures, European  
   Commission in, 149–150  
 Integrated Pollution Prevention and  
   Control (IPCC), 219–220  
 intended nationally determined  
   contributions (INDCs), 203

- Inter-American Court on Human Rights (IACtHR), 190–191
  - Advisory Opinion on Environment and Human Rights, 16–18
- internal review
  - Aarhus Convention on, 108–109
  - General Court on, 108
  - NGOs and, 114
- international agreements
  - enforcement of, 42–43
  - European Commission and, 42–43
- International Association of Independent Tanker Owners, 59–60
- International Centre for the Preservation and Restoration of Cultural Property (ICCPROM), 423, 425
- International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (ICRW), 129–130, 174
  - Schedule to, 181–182
- International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, 433
- International Council on Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS), 423, 425, 437–438, 439–440
- International Court of Justice (ICJ), 2, 13–14, 15, 123–124, 296, 428
  - Advisory Opinion from, 190
  - cooperation and, 169–178
  - due diligence of, 307
  - on *Gabcikovo-Nagymaros* case, 139–140
  - good faith and, 169–178
  - Japan and, 209
  - on JARPA II, 176–178
  - jurisdiction and, 189
  - Paris Agreement and, 189–190
  - in *Pulp Mill* case, 134–135, 294, 304
  - Pulp Mill* case and, 134–135
  - Statute of, 189
  - in *Whaling* case, 173–174, 209
- international courts (ICs). *See also specific courts*
  - domestic law and, 9
  - environmental claims in, 3
  - expectations and outlook of, 20–23
  - in global order, 2
  - global power shifts and, 19–20
  - independence of, 1–2
  - legal development and, 17–18
  - legitimacy of, 1
  - litigation and, 17–18
  - over-active, 2
  - political influence of, 1
  - practice of, 9
  - quasi-legislative function of, 1–2
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 243, 440–441
- international environmental law,
  - effectives of, 92–94
- International Finance Corporation, 309
- international law, 262. *See also*
  - customary international law
  - ECJ on, 58
  - EU *acquis* and, 56–58
  - good faith and, 185–187
  - municipal legal systems and, 168–169
  - principles of, 48
  - Whaling* case and, 176–177
- International Law Association (ILA), 197, 243
- International Law Commission (ILC), 197–198
  - Articles on Prevention of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities, 293
  - Commentary, 300
- International Organizations (IOs), 366–368
- international politics, judicialization of, 18–19
- international treaties, ECJ on, 58–60
- International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS), 13–14, 139–140, 182–183, 190–191, 292–293
  - due diligence of, 307
  - Joint Declaration of, 307–308
  - Seabed Disputes Chamber, 304
- International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), 423, 425, 430, 437–438, 439–440

- International Whaling Commission (IWC), 129–130, 171–172, 173  
 cooperation in, 173–174  
 Scientific Committee and, 174–175, 178  
 in *Whaling* case, 175  
*Intertanko* case, 59–60  
*Inuit* case, 101, 241–243, 246–247  
 CJEU in, 251–253  
 IOs. *See* International Organizations  
 IPCC. *See* Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control  
*Iron Rhine* case, 298, 306–307, 308–309  
 Italian Code of Cultural Heritage and Landscape, 253  
 ITLOS. *See* International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea  
 IUCN. *See* International Union for the Conservation of Nature  
 IWC. *See* International Whaling Commission
- Japan, 130, 172–173, 174–175, 178, 180–181, 209, 266–267  
 accountability in, 402–405  
 Environmental Ombudsman in, 400  
 ICJ and, 209  
 judicial review in, 399–400, 403  
 JARPA II, 129–130, 131, 171–172  
 ICJ on, 176–178  
 implementation of, 172  
*Jégo-Quéré* case, 84–85, 100–101  
 Johannesburg Stock Exchange, 451  
 joined cases, 68–69  
 ECJ, 64–67  
 judicial environmental practice, procedural legitimacy of, 6  
 judicial influence, 9–11  
 judicial review  
 Aarhus Compliance Committee on, 98–99  
 after Aarhus Convention, 77–80  
 delegation limits and, 225–226  
 fitness for, 215–216  
 interpretation guide, 218–221  
 in Japan, 399–400, 403  
 marginal control in, 217  
 proportionality test and, 217–218  
 special alchemy in, 216  
 systemic approach, 221–225  
 teleological approach, 217–218  
*Juliana v. United States*, 319  
 jurisdiction  
 accountability and, 397–400  
 of ECJ, 58–60  
 EIA and, 295–298  
 ICJ and, 189  
 WTO, 264  
 jurisprudence  
 in *Certain Activities Carried Out by Nicaragua in the Border Area*, 301  
 of CJEU, 260  
 Study Group on, 197  
*jus aequum*, 168–169
- Kain & Ors v. Department of Environmental Protection*, 316–317  
 Kigali amendment, 343–344  
*Križan* case, 244–245, 246–247, 250–251  
 Kyoto Protocol, 364–365, 375–376, 380, 382  
 decision-making in, 386–387  
 legitimacy and, 384–385
- Land Reclamation* case, 296–297, 308  
 last resorts, 9  
 Law for Establishment of the Nuclear Regulation Authority, 405–406  
 law of treaties, Paris Agreement and, 195–199  
 League of Nations, 432–433  
 legal conflict resolution, 255–259  
 legal order  
 in EU, 92–94  
 special, 116–117  
 legal solipsism, 259–260  
 of CJEU, 240, 241–247  
 defining, 240–241  
 history of, 241–247  
 legality review, direct effect and, 96–98  
 legitimacy, 5–6, 364–365, 381  
 assessment of, 362–363  
 cooperation and, 173–174

- creativity and, 12–13
- defining, 388
- environmental justice and, 12–13
- Franck on, 368–369, 371–372
- of ICs, 1
- Kyoto Protocol and, 384–385
- of NGOs, 439
- of non-compliance procedures, 11–12, 372–387
- of outcomes, 9–11
- procedural, of judicial
  - environmental practice, 6
- scientific certainty and, 6–7
- substantive, 7–8
- theory, 369
- legitimizing assets, 439–440
- Lesoochránárske zoskupenie I* case, 87–88, 90, 93–94
  - CJEU on, 103–105, 110
- liability
  - Paris Agreement and, 191–194
  - shields against, 191–194
- liberalism, Ricardian, 266–270
- likeness, 282
- linguistic conventions, 142
- Lisbon Treaty, 218
- litigation
  - ICs and, 17–18
  - public interest, 445–449
  - risk, 334
  - strategic, 322–323
- litigation, climate change, 313–322
  - in Australia, 333
  - programme, 326–331
  - as regulatory tool, 331–333
  - strategic, 325–326
- living instrument, 176–177
- locus standi*, 62–63, 82–83, 85–86
- loop-holes, 4
- margin of discretion, 255–257
- marginal control, in judicial review, 217
- Marrakesh, 269–270
- mass extinction
  - biodiversity and, 75
  - environmental accountability and, 74–77
- Massachusetts v. EPA*, 314, 323–324, 330–332
- MEA. *See* multilateral environmental agreements
- measures
  - legal character of, 384–387
  - national, 226–228
- Measures Affecting Asbestos Products*, 278
- Medoc, 43–44
- Meeting of the Parties (MOP), 116–117, 343
  - Article 18, 375–376
  - COP and, 374, 376, 378
  - NCPs and, 376
- member states, 247–248
  - actions against, 40–42
  - on environmental justice, 76–77
  - European Commission and, 40–42
  - sovereignty of, 146–147
- Microban* case, General Court in, 101–102
- MMT, 231–232
- monitoring
  - compliance, 454–455
  - reactive, 427–428
  - regulation, 421–422
  - under World Heritage Convention, 427–429
- Montenegro, 116–117
- Montreal compliance system, 11–12, 341–342, 348–354
  - global administrative law and, 346–348
  - Implementation Committee, 355–357
  - overview of, 343–346
  - Primer for Members*, 356
- Montreal Protocol, 339–340, 341–342, 353–354, 364–365, 382
  - Article 2(9), 372–373
  - decision-making in, 386–387
  - NCPs of, 375–377
  - prescriptiveness under, 384–385
  - triggering, 344–345
- MOP. *See* Meeting of the Parties
- MOX Plant*, 296–297, 299, 307–308

- multilateral environmental agreements (MEA), 54, 339–340, 372–373, 374, 380–381, 417–418
- bodies in, 381–382
- language in, 381–382
- Multilateral Fund and Global Environment Facility, 345–346, 355–357, 360–361
- assistance from, 361–362
- Multilateral Trade Negotiations, 269
- multi-level-governance, Aarhus Convention and, 53–56
- municipal legal systems
  - international law and, 168–169
  - sovereignty and, 173–174
- NAFTA. *See* North American Free Trade Agreement
- Nagoya Protocol, 440–441
- NAIIC. *See* Nuclear Accident Independent Investigation Commission
- Nakajima* exception, 58–59, 65–66
  - accountability and, 94–96
  - CJEU on, 66–67, 90–91, 94–95
  - denial of, 73–74
  - General Court on, 87–88
  - rejection of, 90–91
- national courts
  - EU Courts and, 34–35, 103–105
  - as ordinary courts, 103–105
  - treatment of, 34–35
- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 316–317
- national measures
  - ECJ on, 226–227
  - HLP and, 227–228
  - judicial review of, 226–228
- national treatment (NT), 277
- nationally determined contribution (NDC) obligation, 193–194, 195–196, 201, 202–203
  - adequacy of, 198–199
  - anchoring of, 197
  - customary international law and, 202–203
  - framing, 196
  - Paris Agreement and, 197
  - sovereignty and, 196–197
  - tribunals and, 208
- Natura 2000, 146–147
  - CJEU on, 162–163
- natural persons
  - direct concern and, 27–28
  - individual concern and, 28–30
  - standing of, 26–27
- natural resources, 456
- NCPs. *See* non-compliance procedures
- NDC. *See* nationally determined contribution obligation
- NEPA. *See* National Environmental Policy Act
- Netherlands, 51, 65, 152–153, 189–190, 202
- New South Wales Land and Environment Court (NSWLEC), 317–318
- New Zealand, 130, 392–393, 413–414
  - Environment Court, 398
- NGOs. *See* non-governmental organizations
- Nicaragua, 14–15
- nitrates, 44
- nitrogen dioxide, 40
  - European Commission on, 65
- no-harm principle, 207–208
- non-compliance procedures (NCPs), 359, 364
  - adjudication and, 11–12
  - Compliance Committees and, 377–380
  - COP and, 376
  - decision-making and, 372–375
  - Implementation Committees and, 377–380
  - legal basis of, 375–377
  - legitimacy of, 11–12, 372–387
  - of Montreal Protocol, 375–377
  - MOP and, 376
  - procedural safeguards and, 380–384
- non-governmental organizations (NGOs), 34, 37–38, 76–77, 152–153, 366–368, 441, 452–453
  - Aarhus Convention and, 105, 106–107
  - barriers, 111–112

- compliance and, 12–13
- engagement of, 436–441
- internal review and, 114
- legitimacy of, 439
- as regulators, 420–422
- in regulatory regimes, 437
- role of, 418–419, 420–422
- World Heritage system and, 419–420
- non-physical aspects (NPAs), 275
- PPMs and, 275
- normative influence, sphere of, 10
- normative theories, 368
- norms, 370–371
  - vague, 121, 141–144, 145–146
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), 231, 232, 275–276
- North Sea Continental Shelf* cases, 202–203
- NPAs. *See* non-physical aspects
- NRA. *See* Nuclear Regulation Authority
- NSWLEC. *See* New South Wales Land and Environment Court
- NT. *See* national treatment
- Nuclear Accident Independent Investigation Commission (NAIIC), 400–402, 405–408
- Nuclear Regulation Authority (NRA), 406–407
- opinio juris*, 200, 201–202, 203, 294
- ordinary annulments, 108
- ordinary courts, national courts as, 103–105
- ORNIS Committee, 161, 163
  - CJEU on, 161
  - definitions of, 161
- outcomes
  - legitimacy of, 9–11
  - performance of, 9–11
  - side-effects of, 9–11
- Outstanding Universal Value (OUV), 423–424
- Ozone Convention, 339–340, 343
- Pact of Bogota, 297–298
- pacta sunt servanda*, 169–170, 173–174
  - good faith and, 183–187
  - VCLT and, 183
- Panel on Animal Health and Welfare, 257–258
- Paris Agreement, 191, 311–312, 334
  - accountability and, 207–210
  - Ad Hoc Working Group on, 208
  - adopting, 188–189, 202
  - compensation and, 191–194
  - customary international law, 199–205
  - dispute settlement in, 189–190
  - evidentiary avenues, 207–210
  - goals, 210
  - ICJ and, 189–190
  - international standards in, 197–198
  - law of treaties and, 195–199
  - legal obligations in, 205, 207
  - liability and, 191–194
  - NDC obligation and, 197
  - obligations under, 333–334
  - preamble of, 201
  - provisions of, 191
  - state practice prior to, 202
  - transboundary claims and, 195–199
  - UNFCCC and, 197
  - US withdrawal from, 200, 205–207
- Parliamentary Commissioner for Future Generations (PCFG), 398–399, 411–412, 414–415
- Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment (PCE), 410–412
- Parliamentary Ombudsman, 396–397
- pax mercatoria*, 266
- pax perpetua*, 266
- PCE. *See* Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
- PCFG. *See* Parliamentary Commissioner for Future Generations
- Permanent Court of Arbitration, 2–3
  - permission, uncertainty in granting, 155–156
- Pesticide Action Network Europe* case, 64–65, 102
- petitioning
  - impact of, 434–436
  - legality of, 432–434
- Pirker* case, 95

- Plaumann* case, 28, 32–33  
   General Court on, 29  
*Plaumann* doctrine, 49, 54–55, 111  
   Aarhus Regulation and, 78  
   application of, 30  
   CFI and, 84–85  
   CJEU and, 111  
   defining, 82–83  
   ECJ on, 78  
   environmental cases and, 82–85  
   European Courts on, 54–55  
   reinforcement of, 82–85  
   rigidity of, 82–85  
   standing and, 30  
   status of, 115–116  
 polluter-pays principle, 213  
 PPMs. *See* process related measures  
 precautionary principle, 143, 144  
   CJEU on, 162  
   ignorance of, 162  
 primary law, EU, 56–57  
 Principle 4, Article 56, 183–184  
 principle of utility, 262  
 procedural regard, 360  
 procedural rights, 75–76  
 procedural safeguards, NCPs and,  
   380–384  
 procedural solutions, in scientific  
   uncertainties, 133–137  
 process related measures (PPMs), 275,  
   278–279, 283  
   non-product-related, 277–278  
   NPAs and, 275  
   product-related, 277–278  
 production negative externalities, 287  
 property rights, 230  
 proportionality test  
   CJEU and, 217–218  
   judicial review and, 217–218  
   targets in, 217–218, 229  
 public authorities, Aarhus Convention  
   on, 105–106  
 public interest litigation, 445–449  
 public trust doctrine, 318  
 publicness, 350–351  
*Pulp Mill* case, 123–124, 144–145,  
   292–293, 295–296, 299, 308, 309  
   customary international law in, 292  
   evidence in, 132  
   good faith in, 170  
   history of, 128–129  
   ICJ and, 134–135, 294, 304  
   insight from, 134  
   tribunal in, 309  
 QoI. *See* question of implementation  
 quasi-courts, 11–12  
 quasi-legislators, 248–249  
   CJEU as, 251–255  
 question of implementation (QoI), 382  
*ratione personae*, in Aarhus  
   Convention, 81  
 REACH Regulation, 113, 228,  
   229–230  
 realism, 366–368  
 reasonableness, 167–168  
*Região autónoma dos Açores* case, CFI  
   in, 83–84  
 Regional Development Fund, 29  
 regulation  
   defining, 421  
   enforcement in, 421, 422  
   monitoring in, 421–422  
   NGOs and, 437  
   standards in, 421  
 regulatory impact, 323  
 regulatory tools, adjudication as,  
   322–325  
*Relative Normativity in International  
 Law* (Fastenrath), 142  
 Ricardian liberalism, 266–270  
 Rio Declaration on Environment and  
   Development, 75–76, 138  
 risk, defining, 147  
 risk-aversity, 148  
*Romonta* case, 258–259  
 rule of law, 45, 348–354  
   environmental, 2  
   international, 349–350  
 Russian Federation, 358, 359  
*Safety Hi-Tech* case, 223–224  
*San Juan River* case, 299–300, 304  
   tribunal in, 309–310  
 Save Mapungubwe Coalition, 450

- Schutznormtheorie*, 103–105  
 CJEU on, 110–111  
 science, limits of, 6–7  
 scientific certainty, legitimacy and, 6–7  
 Scientific Committee, 179–180,  
 181  
 IWC and, 174–175,  
 178  
 scientific expertise, 122–123  
 scientific uncertainties, 119–122  
   appropriate assessment and,  
   154–155  
   contemporary approaches to, 137  
   environmental adjudication and,  
   144  
   evidence and, 123–124  
   law and, 137–138  
   procedural solutions in, 133–137  
   scientific expertise and, 122–123  
   vague norms and, 141–144  
 Seabed Disputes Chamber of the  
   International Tribunal for the Law  
   of the Sea, 2  
 sea-level rise, 190–191  
 secondary law, EU, 56–57  
 self-executing-effects, 60–61  
 self-triggers, 380  
 share prices, 450–452  
*Siragusa* case, 245, 253–254  
 CJEU in, 251–253  
 site selection, uncertainties in,  
 152–154  
*Slovak Brown Bear*, 69–70, 71  
 ECJ on, 60–62  
   essential statement of, 62  
 Slovak Environment Inspection,  
 244–245  
 social affairs, 48  
 Soft Law, 69–70  
 Solomon Islands, 189–190  
*Solvay* case, CJEU on, 110–111  
*South China Sea* arbitration, 292–293,  
 297, 302, 305  
*Southern Bluefin Tuna* case, 139–140  
 sovereignty  
   of member states, 146–147  
   NDC obligation and, 196–197  
 SPAs. *See* Special Protection Areas  
 special legal order, 117  
   Aarhus Compliance Committee and,  
   116–117  
 Special Protection Areas (SPAs),  
 148–149, 150, 151–152, 153  
 species disturbance  
   CJEU on, 160–161  
   uncertainties and, 158–159  
 species killing/catching, uncertainties  
   on, 158–159  
 species protection system  
   derogations from, 161  
   European Commission on, 163  
   uncertainty about, 157, 161  
 standards, regulation, 421  
 standing, 37–38  
   direct concern in, 27–28  
   ECJ on, 30–35  
   EU Courts on, 25–38  
   general questions on, 25–26  
   human rights and, 35–37  
   individual concern in, 28–30  
   of natural persons, 26–27  
   Plaumann doctrine and, 30  
 state of ecological necessity, 125–126  
 Statute of the Court, Article 36(2),  
 297–298  
*Stichting Natuur en Milieu*, 65, 78–79,  
 92, 99  
   legal challenges from, 87  
   outcome of, 97–98  
 Stockholm Convention on Persistent  
   Organic Pollutants, 43–44  
 Stockholm Declaration on the Human  
   Environment, 248–249  
 Strategic Environmental Assessment  
   Directive, 219–220, 431  
 subsequent agreements, 196–197  
 substantive law  
   ECJ and, 46–47  
   European Commission and, 45  
 substantive legitimacy, 7–8  
 surrogate regulation, 419–420  
 sustainable development, 48,  
 266–270  
 systemic approach  
   CJEU and, 221–225  
   judicial review, 221–225

- targets
  - concurrent, 229–230
  - delegation limits and, 228–229
  - importance of, 228–231
  - in proportionality test, 217–218, 229
- TBT Agreement. *See* Technical Barriers to Trade Agreement
- Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Agreement, 263–264, 270–271, 272
- technology diffusion, 285
- teleological approach
  - CJEU and, 217–218
  - judicial review, 217–218
- telos*, 351–352
- TEPCO nuclear disaster, 400–402
  - lessons learned from, 408–409
  - reform after, 405–408
- TestBioTech* case, 107
- TEU. *See* Treaty on the European Union
- TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
- topoi*, 240
  - defining, 240
- trade, 48
- Trade Related Environmental Agreements (TREA), 263
- trade related environmental measures (TREM), 276
- trade-off, 148
- Trail Smelter* case, 198
- transboundary claims, 194–195
  - Paris Agreement and, 195–199
- transboundary harm, 7–8, 192–193, 205, 209–210, 300, 303–304
- transnational legal process theory, 368
- transparency, 382–383
  - in EMC, 456
- transportation, 48
- TREA. *See* Trade Related Environmental Agreements
- Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe, 100–101
- Treaty Establishing the European Community (EC), Article 95, 251–253
- treaty interpretation, 168–169
  - dynamic, 2, 20–21, 204–205
  - evolutionary, 2, 13
  - rules of, 174, 195–196, 265
- Treaty of Lisbon, 100–101
- Treaty of Rome, 212–213
- Treaty on the European Community (TEC), Article 230(4), 83–84
- Treaty on the European Union (TEU), 224
  - on harmonization, 222
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 220
  - drafting, 100
  - ECJ on, 30–31, 32–33
  - on harmonization, 222
- TREM. *See* trade related environmental measures
- Trianel*, 71
  - ECJ on, 62–64
- tripartism, 419–420
- triple win outcomes, 287–288
- Trusteeship Council, 432–433
- UN Charter
  - Chapter IX, 183–184
  - good faith and, 184–185
- UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP)
  - CJEU on, 243
- UN General Assembly, 189–190
- UN Office of Legal Affairs (UNOLA I), 374
- UN Synthesis Report, 203
- uncertainties, 4. *See also* scientific uncertainties
  - biodiversity and, 146–148
  - boundaries and, 154
  - CJEU on, 163
  - defining, 147
  - on deliberativeness, 158–159
  - in granting permission, 155–156
  - habitat conservation and, 148–150
  - ignorance and, 146–148
  - in site selection, 152–154
  - species disturbance and, 158–159
  - on species killing/catching, 158–159
  - about species protection system, 157, 161

- UNCLOS. *See* United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
- UNDRIP. *See* UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples
- UNECE. *See* Economic Commission for Europe
- UNEP. *See* United Nations Environment Program
- UNESCO, 425, 438–439
- Unión de Pequeños Agricultores* case, 84–85
- United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), 59–60, 182, 291–292, 305
- Part XV, 296
- United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), 284
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), 189–190, 191–192, 197
- Paris Agreement and, 197
- United States (US)
- China and, 202–203
- hegemonic power of, 19–20
- Paris Agreement and, 200, 205–207
- UNOLA I. *See* UN Office of Legal Affairs
- Urgenda v. Netherlands*, 312–313, 320–321, 325, 330–332
- Uruguay Round, 268, 269
- US. *See* United States
- US-Section 301 Trade Act*, 286
- US-Tuna I* case, 276
- US-Tuna II* case, 263, 278–279
- initial considerations about, 270–274
- legal design and, 274–283
- markets and, 274–283
- WTO in, 286–287
- utilitarianism, 369
- Utility Air Regulatory Group v. EPA*, 314–316
- vague norms, 121, 141, 145–146
- scientific uncertainties and, 141–144
- VCAT. *See* Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal
- VCLT. *See* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
- Vereniging Milieudefensie*, 64–65, 78–79, 92, 96–97
- legal challenges from, 87
- outcome of, 97–98
- Les Verts* case, 83–84
- Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT), 317–318
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), 56–57, 116–117, 373–374, 386–387
- Gabcikovo-Nagymaros* case and, 183–184
- good faith in, 178–179
- pacta sunt servanda*, 183
- Volkswagen, European Commission and, 44
- Voting Procedure* case, 175–176, 185
- vulnerable populations, 210
- Walker v. Minister for Planning*, 317–318
- Warsaw International Mechanism on Loss and Damage, 191–192, 194
- Waste Framework Directive, 219–220
- Waste Water Directive, 220
- Water Tribunal, 450–451
- welfare, 263
- well-being, 239–240
- Whaling* case, 123–124, 129–133, 179, 187
- cooperation and, 177–178
- good faith in, 171–172, 178
- ICJ in, 173–174, 209
- international law and, 176–177
- IWC in, 175
- treaty organs in, 174–175
- Wildlife Whitsunday* case, 327–328
- World Heritage Committee, 435
- Advisory Bodies, 423, 424–425
- In Danger List, 428–429
- Reactive Monitoring Missions, 425
- Rules of Procedure, 424–425, 440–441
- World Heritage Convention, 12–13, 418–419, 435
- background of, 422–424

World Heritage Convention (cont.)	Appellate Body, 263, 271, 272–273, 281
enforcement under, 427–429	case law, 66
monitoring under, 427–429	competition and, 283–288
NGOs and, 419–420	Dispute Settlement Body, 5, 13–14, 264, 265
Operational Guidelines, 425, 433, 439	General Court on, 66
state party obligations, 425	jurisdiction, 264
World Heritage List, 424–425	law, 58–59
World Trade Organization (WTO),	technological change and, 283–288
190–191, 263, 266–270	in <i>US-Tuna II</i> case, 286–287
agreement, 95	<i>World Wildlife Fund UK</i> case, 83–84
Agreement Establishing, 265, 269	WTO. <i>See</i> World Trade Organization
ambivalence of, 264–265	