'This insightful book explores the judicial turn in international environmental law through the lens of legitimacy, with an impressive group of scholars examining how international litigation is contributing, in a mostly positive way, to the norms and processes of global environmental governance. For scholars, practitioners, and judges, the book provides an indispensable and up-to-date account of environmental litigation in contemporary international law.'

Professor Tim Stephens, University of Sydney Law School

'The surge in international environmental adjudication that some foresaw a quarter of a century ago, on the eve of the Rio Conference on Environment and Development, has now become a widespread phenomenon, and one that is particularly challenging to keep abreast with, even for specialists. This volume presents the state of the art in international environmental adjudication, providing detailed treatment of the main developments from the analytical prism of “legitimacy”, with its many faces. It is a significant contribution to knowledge and a necessary addition to the library of both international and environmental lawyers.'

Jorge E. Viñuales, Harold Samuel Professor of Law and Environmental Policy, University of Cambridge
More and more environmental cases are being heard and decided by international courts and tribunals that lack special environmental jurisdiction. This situation raises fundamental questions about the legitimacy of the environmental practice of international courts. This book addresses, inter alia, questions of who has legal standing to bring an environmental claim before an international court, on which legal norms the case is decided and whether judges have the necessary expertise to adjudicate environmental cases that are often of a complex nature. It analyses the challenges that international courts face, the possibilities that they have, and the advances that international judicial practice has been able to make in protecting the environment. Through the prism of legitimacy, important insights emerge as to whether international courts and tribunals are fit for addressing some of the most pressing global challenges of our time.
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