

## INDEX

### Abbreviations used in the index

- AR (ICSID Arbitration Rules)
- AST (Austrian State Treaty (1955))
- BDG* (Civil Service Employment Act (Austria))
- B-VG* (Austrian Federal Constitution)
- CAHDI (Committee of Legal Advisers on Public International Law)
- CCrP (Criminal Procedure Code 2001 (Russia))
- CCSBT (Convention for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna (1993))
- CCSU (Council of Civil Service Unions)
- CIL (customary international law)
- DPA (Diplomatic Privileges Act)
- DPP (Director of Public Prosecutions)
- EC (European Commission)
- ECSI (European Convention on State Immunity (1972))
- ECT (Energy Charter Treaty (1997))
- ECtHR (European Court of Human Rights/ECtHR Rules of Procedure)
- EG/N* (Introductory Law to the Jurisdictional Law (Austria))
- EGVG* (Introductory Law to the Administrative Procedure Laws (2008) (Austria))
- EU Treaties (constitutive treaties of the EU and predecessor organizations)
- Fish Stocks Agreement (Straddling Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks Agreement (1995))
- fABGB* (Civil Code (Liechtenstein))
- GC (1929) (Geneva Convention on Prisoners of War (1929))
- HC IV (Hague Convention IV respecting the Laws and Customs of War on Land (1907))
- HQA (Headquarters Agreement)
- HR (Hague Regulations (1907))
- IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency)
- ICJ (International Court of Justice)/(ICJ Statute)
- ICSID (International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes)
- ILC(CIL) (ILC Draft Conclusions on Identification of Customary International Law (2016))
- ILC(DP) (ILC Draft Articles of Diplomatic Protection (2006/2009))
- ILC(SI) (International Law Commission Draft Articles on State Immunity)
- ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Articles on State Responsibility)
- JR/Judgments Regulation (Judgments Regulation (Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001))
- MCA Convention (SRFC Convention on Minimum Conditions for Access and Exploitation of Marine Resources (2012))
- NC (Nuremberg Charter (1945))
- NPAFC (Convention for the Conservation of Anadromous Stocks in the North Pacific Ocean (1992))
- SOLAS (International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (1929))
- SRFC (Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission)
- SUA Convention (Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Maritime Navigation (1988))

TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))  
 TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))  
 UNGA Resolution 60/147 (UNGA Resolution 60/147 on Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law (16 December 2005))  
*VerbotsG* (National Socialism Prohibition Act (Austria))  
 WCPFC (Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Convention (2000))

**abuse of rights/destruction of Convention rights and freedoms (ECHR 17), jurisprudence**

*Garaudy* 518-19  
*Holocaust Freedom of Expression* 518-19  
*Lehideux* 518-19  
*Soulas* 518-19

**access to the courts/effective remedy in case of State immunity/international organization immunity (including ECHR 6(1), ICCPR 14 and UDHR 8), jurisprudence**

*IAEA Immunity Case* 525-8  
*Perez* 527  
*Prince of Liechtenstein* 503-4  
*Waite and Kennedy* 527

**admissibility (ECtHR) (*ratione temporis* (temporal jurisdiction)/applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28)): *see also* admissibility (ECtHR) (six-month rule (ECHR 35(1))) *Note:* much of the material included here relates to discussion of the treatment of acts prior to critical date having causal connection with basis of complaint as an exception.**

critical date

domestic courts' responsibility in absence of clear guidance from the ECtHR 628-33  
 entry into force of Convention for party concerned 111-12  
 institution of proceedings, relevance 111-12  
 events prior to conclusion of Convention, exclusion 118-19  
 signature of Convention vs grant of right of individual petition 580-4, 622-33, 647, 651

situation ceasing to exist before entry into force of ECHR or relevant Protocol 111-12

date of alleged breach, determination for jurisdictional purposes

procedural acts and omissions occurring after the critical date, limitation to 111-12, 115-16, 120-1

"omissions" 116

"procedural acts" 116, 157-9

ECtHR and domestic court practice, possibility of divergence 635-7

"a genuine connection" requirement/relevant factors 117-18

investigations intended to establish the truth as an exception (*Janowiec*) 116, 157-9, 590-1, 651

real and effective protection of fundamental Convention values exception

("humanitarian clause") 115, 118-19, 121, 167-9, 580, 585, 632-3, 637-8, 651-2

"reasonably short period of time" between trigger and critical date 101, 646-8

ten-year rule 117-18, 581-2, 584-5, 616-17, 631-2, 636-7

relevant acts or omissions after the critical date 111-13, 115, 580-1, 622, 648-51

emergence of new material as trigger for new obligation 116, 161-2

statutory limitation, absence in case of war crimes/crimes against humanity 162-7

- jurisdiction *ratione temporis* and temporal scope of the treaty distinguished 148-9
- jurisprudence
  - Blečić* 111-12, 579, 583, 624
  - Brecknell* 101-2, 116
  - Çakır* 116, 583-4, 627-8, 648
  - Dorado* 116, 584, 626-7, 648
  - Janowiec* 580-3, 585, 616-17, 619-20, 627, 648, 650-1: *see also Janowiec* (Grand Chamber)
  - Jelić* 584
  - Keyu* 578-85, 588-91, 616-17, 621-38
  - McCaughey* 586-7, 624, 634, 649
  - McKerr* 586-7, 634-5
  - Şilih* 101, 111-13, 115-19, 150-3, 155-69, 579-80, 582-3, 622, 624-5, 627
  - Varnava* 111-13, 115-17, 119, 583, 625-6
- life, right to (ECHR 2) and 579-85, 616-17, 619-20
- non-retroactivity of treaties as fundamental principle of international law 149-53
- admissibility (ECtHR) (six-month rule (ECHR 35(1)))**: *see also* admissibility (ECtHR) (*ratione temporis* (temporal jurisdiction)/applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28))
- critical date 588-90
- investigations intended to establish truth as exception (*Janowiec*) 590-1, 651
- jurisprudence
  - Brecknell* 589, 629, 639, 648
  - Hackett* 588-9
  - McKerr* 588-9
- new evidence 588-90, 638-40, 648-51
- admissibility (ITLOS)**: *see* ITLOS, admissibility, timing of objections (ITLOS Rule 97(1))
- Al Saud***: *see Al Saud v. Apex Global Management* (head of State immunity) (Court of Appeal); *Al Saud v. Apex Global Management* (head of State immunity) (High Court)
- Al Saud v. Apex Global Management* (head of State immunity) (Court of Appeal)**
  - commercial exception (diplomatic immunity from jurisdiction in action relating to professional or commercial activity outside official function) (VCDR 31(1)(c)), “exercised . . . in the receiving State” (VCLT 31(1)(c)), disapplication in respect of a head of State, whether “necessary” (SIA 20(1)) 546-50
  - Court’s conclusion (dismissal of appeal) 554
  - factual issues (conclusiveness of ambassadorial certificate in absence of executive certificate from receiving State) 550-4
    - requirements as diplomatic document 553-4
  - family members “forming part of his household” (VCDR 37(1))/classification as head of State and diplomatic immunity, distinguishability 539-46
  - ILC (SI)’s adoption of phrase 538
  - relationship of dependence vs functional responsibilities 538-46
  - SIA 20(1)(b)’s adoption of 539-46
  - State practice 538-9
  - travaux préparatoires* 538-9
  - UK Immigration Directorate’s Border Agency Instructions 540-1
- head of State immunity (principles): *see also* relevant law *below*
- duration of privileges and immunities (VCDR 39) 536-7
- entitlement *ratione materiae*/functional immunity 537

***Al Saud v. Apex Global Management* (head of State immunity) (Court of Appeal)**  
 (*cont.*)

- entitlement *ratione personae*/private acts 536-7
- extension of immunity to family members as means of protecting head of State 537
- parties' positions 532-3
- relevant law (including extracts)
  - DPA by article
    - 4 (evidence of entitlement to immunity: executive certificate) 534
    - Schedule 1 (VCLT articles having the force of law in the United Kingdom) 534-5
  - SIA by article
    - 3(1)(a) (commercial transaction exception) 533
    - 3(3)(c) (transaction or activity entered into other than in exercise of sovereign authority) 533
    - 8 (membership of bodies corporate) 533
    - 14 (States entitled to immunities and privileges) 533
    - 20(1) (applicability of DPA 1964 to head of State) "subject to ... any necessary modifications" 535-6
    - 20(1)(b) (applicability of DPA to members of the family of the head of State "forming part of his household") 531-2, 539-46
    - 20(5) (applicability to sovereign or head of State granted privileges and immunities in Part I of Act) 533-4
    - 21 (certificate of Secretary of State: conclusiveness) 534

***Al Saud v. Apex Global Management* (head of State immunity) (High Court) 531-3**

- certificate of status (DPA 4), FCO refusal 531-2
- Court's decision 532
- parties' positions (appellants) (status as members of the family of the head of State of Saudi Arabia (SIA 20(1)(b))) 531-2
- parties' positions (respondent) (applicability to head of State of VCDR 31 [DPA] "subject to ... any necessary modifications" (SIA 20(1)(a))) 532

**ambassador/head of mission**

- executive certificate/statement: *see also* executive certificate/statement, conclusiveness in relation to foreign relations matters
- conclusiveness in absence of executive certificate from receiving State 550-4
- requirements as diplomatic document 553-4

**Austria (1955- (Second Republic))**

- Administrative Court Law 1965 (*VwGG*) by section, 42 (procedural defect), 523
- Administrative Procedure Code (*AVG*) 1950 by section, 7(4) (impartiality requirement: previous participation in case), 521-3
- Administrative Procedure Laws, Introductory Law (*EGVG*) 2008 by section, III(4) (dissemination of National Socialist ideology: penalties), text 520
- Basic Law on the General Rights of Nationals (*StGG*) by article, 17 (freedom of knowledge and teaching), 515
- Civil Procedure Code (*ZPO*) (Amended) 1983 by section, 14 (joinder of parties), 499-500, 504-5
- Civil Servants (Employment) Act 1979 as amended (*BDG*) by section
  - 43(1) (obligation to perform duties in accordance with the law, remaining faithful, conscientious, committed and impartial) 518
  - 43(2) (obligation to retain the public's trust in performance of his duties) 512-18
  - 91 (liability for culpable violation of official duties) 512-14
  - 92(1)(3) (penalties for misconduct: monetary penalty of one to five months' salary) 514
  - 165 (special duties of university teachers) 517-18

- Constitution 1920 (*B-VG*) (including amendments) by article
  - 18(1) (rule of law) 525-7
  - 94 (separation of powers) 502
- family/private life, respect for, justified restrictions (ECHR 8(2)), procedure to establish paternity, whether 503-4
- freedom of expression (ECHR 10), justified restrictions (ECHR 10(2)), “necessary . . . for the prevention of disorder or crime” 514-15, 517-21
- head of State immunity from jurisdiction
  - absolute immunity 501-2
  - customary international law (CIL) 501-2
  - effective remedy/“alternative means of redress” (ECHR 6(1)) 503-4
  - family members (VCDR 37(1)) 501-2
  - ratione materiae* 501-2
  - ratione personae* 501-2
  - right to a remedy and 504
- international organizations, immunity from jurisdiction
  - absolute theory, functional character of organization as basis 526
  - effective remedy/“alternative means of redress” (ECHR 6(1)) and 527
  - immunity as procedural bar and liability distinguished 526
  - State immunity distinguished 526
- Jurisdictional Law, Introductory Law (*EG/N*), jurisdiction in respect of extraterritorial persons: decision of Minister (s IX(3)) 499, 502
- Jurisdictional Law (*J/N*) by section
  - 9(3) 499
  - 27a(2) (jurisdiction over non-national in absence of alternative international law provision) 500-1
  - 41(2) (proof of standing: information supplied by claimant) 499, 500-1
  - 42(1) (nullity of proceedings for lack of standing) 499-501
  - 42(2) (finality of judgment) 508
  - 42(3) 500-1
  - 76c(3) (jurisdiction *ratione loci*) 500-1
  - 99(3) (claims concerning property rights against persons not domiciled in Austria: entities covered) 508
  - 104(3) (objection to the jurisdiction) 508
- National Socialism Prohibition Act (*VerbotsG*) 514-15, 516
  - as constitutional law 520
  - extracts (ss 3g and 3h) 520
  - importance to Austria of rejection and prohibition of National Socialism 520
  - offence by denial, requirements 516
- separation of powers, State immunity and 502
- State immunity: *see also* *Greek Government Bonds Immunity* (Austrian Supreme Court)
  - as absolute bar to jurisdiction 508
  - effective remedy and, difficulty of proceedings in defendant’s home State 503-4
  - as exception to jurisdiction of domestic courts 500-2
  - executive/ministerial authorization, relevance 502
  - timing of assertion 500-1, 508
- State immunity, classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*
  - applicable law, customary international law/ECSI 508-9
  - issue of government bonds (contractual nature) 509
  - legislative act 509
- Austrian State Treaty (1955) (AST)**, dissolution of Nazi organizations (AST 9) 519-20

**“Crown in right of”**

claim under UK Human Rights Act (HRA) and 613-15

jurisprudence

*Bancoult* 2 614-16

*Keyu* 613-15

*Quark* 604, 613-15

Malaya 613-15

**customary international law (CIL), formation/requirements**

constant and uniform practice 665-6, 683-4

States specially affected 683-4

substantial uniformity, sufficiency 665

wide representation 683-4

ILC(CIL) Conclusions (2016) 665-6

jurisprudence

*Benkharbouche* 665

*Freedom and Justice Party* 664-7, 683-92

*Jones v. Saudi Arabia* 666

*Jurisdictional Immunities* 664-5

*Military and Paramilitary Activities (Nicaragua v. USA)* 665

**customary international law (CIL) “as part of” municipal law: see also United Kingdom,**

customary international law (CIL) “as part of” municipal law

compatibility with domestic law

conflicting statute and 592-4, 601-4

constitutional principles 601-4

developments in customary international law and, *stare decisis* doctrine

602-3

incorporation/implementing legislation, need for 602-3

jurisprudence

*I Congreso del Partido* 602-3

*Chung Chi Cheung* 602

*Jones (Margaret)* 601-3, 692-7

*Keyn* 602

*Keyu* 592-4, 692-3, 697

*McKerr* 592-4

*Nulyarimma* 602-3, 694-7

*Thai-Europe* 602-3

*Trendtex* 601-3, 692-3

**customary international law (CIL), treaties and other international instruments reflecting**

co-existence of treaty and customary law 666-7, 684-5

CSM (1969) 666-7, 684-5

jurisprudence

*Benkharbouche* 666-7

*Fisheries Jurisdiction (UK v. Ireland)* 666-7

*Freedom and Justice Party* 666-7

**diplomatic immunity from jurisdiction in action relating to professional or commercial activity outside official function (VCDR 31(1)(c))**

*Al Saud* 532, 545-50

“exercised ... in the receiving State”, applicability to head of State 546-50

**Diplomatic Officers, Havana Convention (1928)**, special missions 685

**diplomatic privileges and immunities, entitlement**

family members “forming part of his household” (VCDR 37(1)): *see also* head of State  
 immunity from jurisdiction, entitlement/applicability, family members  
 “forming part of his household” (VCDR 37(1))

ILC(SI)’s adoption of phrase 538

jurisprudence

*Al Saud* 538-46

*Kilroy v. Windsor* (Prince of Wales) 542-3

State practice 538-9

*travaux préparatoires* 538-9

following termination of mission (VCDR 39(2))

acts in respect of domestic staff as “acts performed . . . in the exercise of his functions  
 as a member of the mission” 536-7

*Al Saud* 536-7

*Azia* 536-7

**diplomatic protection**

customary international law (CIL) 306

jurisprudence

*ARA Libertad* 309

*Arrest Warrant* 310, 470-1

*Avena* 310

*Diallo* 308-9, 470-1

*Electricity Company of Sofia and Bulgaria* 395-6

*ELSI* 309, 347, 349, 396

*Interhandel* 306, 309, 310, 396, 470-1

*Mavrommatis* 395

*SAIGA (No 2)* 397-8

*US Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran* 308-10

*Virginia G* 210-22, 394-408, 463-6

right to/duty of State to provide, direct injury to State distinguished 221-2

**Diplomatic Protection, ILC Articles on (2000) (ILC(DP)) by article**

10 (continuous nationality of a corporation) 396

14(3) (claim brought preponderantly on the basis of an injury to a national)  
 306-7, 467-8

15 (exhaustion of local remedies requirement) 396

**Diplomatic Protection, ILC Articles on (2006) (ILC(DP)) by article**

14 (exhaustion of local remedies) 306, 310-11, 348-9

14(1) (exhaustion of local remedies: claim in respect of injury to a national) 221

14(3) (claim brought preponderantly on the basis of an injury to a national) 306-7, 467-8

18 (right of State to exercise diplomatic protection on behalf of ship’s crews regardless of  
 nationality) 214-16, 463-4

**disappearances**, obligation to investigate and obligation to investigate death distinguished  
 112-13

**ECHR (1950)**

applicability “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)

extraterritorial acts, extension to, dividing and tailoring of obligations vs “whole  
 package” solution 620

jurisprudence

*Keyu* 578, 604, 612-20

*Quark* 613-15

**ECHR (1950)** (*cont.*)

- compliance obligation (ECHR 1)
  - domestic law provisions as justification for non-compliance 139-42
  - pacta sunt servanda* (VCLT 26) 139-40
- interpretation
  - “any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties” [including those relating to State immunity] (VCLT 31(3)(c))
  - Janowiec* (dissenting opinion) 162-3
  - Loizidou* 162-3
  - Nada* 162-3
- general principles of international law, applicability, obligation to comply with 162-3
- human rights treaty status and 147
- object and purpose/spirit and purpose (teleological approach) (VCLT 31(1)) 147-8
- subsequent changes, need to reflect (“living-tree” principle) (VCLT 31(3)(c)), conformity with rules governing interpretation, need for 147-8

**ECtHR**

- admissibility: *see* admissibility (ECtHR) (*ratione temporis* (temporal jurisdiction)/applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28)); admissibility (ECtHR) (six-month rule (ECHR 35(1)))
- individual applications (“victim” (ECHR 34 [25(1)]))
  - facilitation of proceedings/production of evidence obligation (ECHR 78), relevance 138-9
- family members
  - ECHR 2 and ECHR 3 distinguished 155-6
  - next of kin [following death of applicant during proceedings] 99-100
- family members (ECHR 3 (disappeared persons))
  - special distinguishing factors, need for 127-9
- family members (ECHR 3 (disappeared persons)), jurisprudence
  - Açış* 128
  - Akkum* 129
  - Bazorkina* 128
  - Bitiyeva* 128
  - Çakıcı* 128
  - Damayev* 128-9
  - Esmukhambetov* 129
  - Gongadze* 128
  - Inderbiyeva* 128-9
  - Khadzhibaliyev* 129
  - Khashbiyeva* 128-9
  - Luluyev* 128
  - Musayev* 129
  - Orhan* 128
  - Osmanoğlu* 128
  - Salakhov* 129
  - Sambiyev* 128
  - Taniş* 128
  - Tanlı* 128
  - Udayeva* 128-9
  - Varnava* 128
  - Velkhiyev* 128
  - Yasin Ateş* 128-9
- role and powers (including ECHR 19 and ECHR 32), interpretation and application of ECHR (ECHR 32) 155-6

- Rules of Court (1998 *et seq.*)
  - 24 (composition of Chamber) 77
  - 41 (order of dealing with cases) 77
  - 42(1) (joinder of applications) 77
  - 52(1) (allocation of cases) 77
  - 54(4)/ECHR 29(3) (joinder of merits and admissibility) 77
  - 59(3) (individual applications: public hearing) 78
  - 73 (request for transferral to Grand Chamber) 77
- EEZ (UNCLOS 55-75 (general))**, dispute resolution in absence of relevant Convention provision (UNCLOS 59) 282-3
- EEZ (UNCLOS 56) (rights, jurisdiction and duties of coastal State)**
  - “conserving and managing” (UNCLOS 56(1)(a)) 232
  - “due regard” to rights and duties of other States/conformity with the Convention (UNCLOS 56(2))
    - “with all possible consideration” (UNCLOS 110(2)) distinguished 257-8
  - excessive use of force, exclusion 260
  - jurisprudence
    - Saiga* (No 2) 260, 280-2
    - Virginia G* 231-4, 257-8, 260, 280-3: *see also* *Virginia G* (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (merits)
  - regulation of the refuelling of fishing vessels (bunkering)
    - as activity ancillary to that of refuelled vessel 296-8, 435-8
  - authorization, legislation providing for
    - conformity with the Convention 318-25
    - procedures including fees, acceptability 236-7
  - protection and preservation of the marine environment (UNCLOS 56(1)(b)(iii)) 289-90
  - regulation for conservation and management of living resources (UNCLOS 56(1)/UNCLOS 62(4)) 233-4
  - State practice 233-4, 422-39
    - CSBT Convention (1993) 233-4, 424
    - enforcement measures 431
    - Honiara Agreement (1994) 424
    - legislation, examples 424-31
    - MCA Convention (2012) 233-4, 360-1, 424
    - NPAFC (1992) 233-4, 424
    - Port State Measures Agreement (2009) (PSMA) 233-4
    - release of the vessel 431-2
    - SIOFA (2006) 233-4
    - WCPF Convention (2000) 233-4, 432-5
  - treaty practice 408-19
  - uncertainty in relation to legal regime 280-5, 296-8, 419-22
  - “sovereign rights” (UNCLOS 56(1)(a)) 232, 284-5, 293-4, 297, 329-30, 341-2, 356-7, 405-23, 436, 445-8, 469-70, 476-85
- EEZ (UNCLOS 58) (rights and duties of other States)**
  - applicability of UNCLOS 88-112 (UNCLOS 58(2)) 256-7
  - freedom of navigation (UNCLOS 58), refuelling/bunkering of fishing vessel and 234, 408-19
    - high seas freedoms (HSC 2/UNCLOS 87), extension to (UNCLOS 58(1)) 436
- EEZ (UNCLOS 62) (utilization of living resources)**
  - adoption of laws and regulations for access by foreign fishing vessels to coastal State’s EEZ (UNCLOS 56(1)/UNCLOS 62(4)) 232-4

- EEZ (UNCLOS 62) (utilization of living resources)** (*cont.*)  
 consistency with UNCLOS (UNCLOS 62(4)) 232, 235-6  
 direct connection to fishing requirement 232, 419-22, 424-31  
 jurisprudence  
   *Filleting within the Gulf of St Lawrence* 232, 419-21  
   *SAIGA* cases 421-2  
   *Virginia G* 232, 420-1
- EEZ (UNCLOS 73(1)) (coastal State's laws and regulations for the protection of living resources, measures to ensure compliance)** 239: *see also* prompt release of vessels and crews on posting of reasonable bond or financial security (UNCLOS 73(2))  
 applicability of SUAC 2 (applicability to warships) 263  
 applicability of UNCLOS 225 to enforcement powers generally including UNCLOS 73(1) powers 262  
 boarding and inspecting 242  
 coastal State's margin of appreciation/right to choose method of exercising enforcement measures 257  
   judicial review, possibility of 356-8, 476-85  
 confiscation of fishing vessel 241  
   bunkering vessel and gas oil on board 240, 241-4, 404-8, 471-85  
 excluded penalties (imprisonment/corporal punishment) (UNCLOS 73(3))  
   confiscation of vessel and 292-3, 325-8, 439  
   temporary withhold of passport, whether 250  
 interpretation in context of UNCLOS 73 as a whole 446-8  
 jurisprudence  
   *Hoshinmaru* 243  
   *Tomimaru* 240  
   *Virginia G*: *see Virginia G* (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (merits)  
 mitigating factors (including misunderstanding of requirements) 242, 287-9, 354-5, 407, 474-6  
 requirements  
   "conformity with this Convention" 330-2  
   flexibility of sanctions regime, relevance 471-3  
   "as may be necessary" 241-2, 285-7, 297-8, 332-43, 355-9  
   "reasonable in the circumstances" test 243  
 right of visit on the high seas (UNCLOS 110), applicability 255-6  
   exercise of powers of enforcement in relation to protection of the marine environment (UNCLOS 224), applicability 256
- EEZ (UNCLOS 73(4)) (prompt notification to flag State of the action taken and penalties subsequently imposed)** 251, 439
- Energy Charter Treaty (1994) (ECT), dispute settlement (investor/contracting party disputes (ECT 26))**  
 applicability to intra-EU disputes 48-57  
 as applicable law for determination of jurisdiction 38-9, 47-58  
 interpretation  
   absence of "disconnecting" clause ensuring non-applicability to EU Member States 56-7  
 context  
   ECT 1(2), ECT 1(3) and ECT 1(10) 49-51  
   ECT 16 (relationship with other agreements) 54-5  
   EU Statement on ECT 26(3)(b)(ii) (as instrument made between one or more of the parties in connection with the conclusion of the treaty (VCLT 31(2)(b))) 51-4

- object and purpose (ECT 2/European Energy Charter II(4)) 55-6
- ordinary meaning 48-9
  - in context 446-8
- jurisprudence
  - Charanne* 51
  - Eiser* 51
  - Novenergia* 51
  - Vattenfall*: see *Vattenfall/FRG Arbitration (Achmea issue)*
- priority in case of conflict between TFEU 267/TFEU 344 and ECT 26 58-9
  - modification provided “modification in question is not prohibited by the treaty”
    - requirement (VCLT 40(1)(b)) 60-1
    - ECT 16 (relation to other treaties) as prohibition 61
    - lex specialis* rule (ECT 16 vs TFEU 351) 61-3
  - primacy of later treaty (VCLT 30(4)(a)) (*lex posterior*) 60
  - uncertainty as to which is the “later” treaty 60
- EU legal order**
  - international law, EU law as
    - autonomy/independence, relevance 42-3
    - ECJ judgment interpretation of EU Treaties as 43
  - jurisprudence
    - Electrabel* 43
    - Vattenfall* 41-4
  - as “relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties”
    - (VCLT 31(3)(c)) 44-7
    - fragmentation risk 44-5
    - treaty basis as justification (ICJ 38(1)(a)) 41-4
- EU treaties with third parties (“international agreements”) (TFEU 216-19))**
  - ECT (“mixed agreement”) as 46
  - interpretation (applicable law): see also *Vattenfall/FRG Arbitration (Achmea issue)*
    - “any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties”
      - (VCLT 31(3)(c)) 44-5
  - EU law/ECJ judgment, role 39-47
  - jurisprudence
    - Achmea* (Opinion of AG Wathelet) 46
    - Masdar* (Opinion of AG Wathelet) 46
    - Vattenfall*: see *Vattenfall/FRG Arbitration (Achmea issue)* (applicability of ECJ judgment to Tribunal’s ECT-based jurisdiction)
  - mixed agreements, applicability as between Member States 48-57
    - “disconnection clause” 56-7
  - priority in case of conflict with EU Treaties, jurisprudence
    - Charanne* 59
    - Eiser* 59
    - Electrabel* 59
    - Novenergia* 59
    - RREEF* 59
    - Vattenfall* 58-9
- evidence (ECtHR)**
  - obligation to facilitate procedures (ECHR 38)
    - confidentiality/security considerations 137, 139-42
      - domestic law provisions as justification for non-compliance (VCLT 27) 139-42
      - solid and reasonable grounds requirement 137
    - determination of State party’s obligation as matter for ECtHR 138
    - non-compliance without satisfactory explanation, consequences 136

**evidence (ECtHR) (cont.)**

prompt compliance requirement 136

relationship with other ECHR obligations/rarity of finding of breach of ECHR 38 in isolation 161

submission of evidence in entirety, need for 136

obligation to facilitate procedures (ECHR 38), jurisprudence

*Bekirski* 136-7

*Damir Sibgatullin* 136

*Davydov* 136-8

*Dedovskiy* 138

*Enukidze* 136

*Ireland v. UK* 138

*Janowiec* 131-7, 161: *see also Janowiec* (Grand Chamber), alleged breach of ECHR 38 (obligation to furnish facilities [evidence])

*McShane* 138-9

*Maslova* 136-7

*Musikhanova* 137

*Nevmerzhiysky* 138

*Nolan and K* 137, 139-40

*Poleshchuk* 138-9

*Sasita Israilova* 137

*Shakhgiriyeve* 138-40

*Tahsin Acar* 136

*Tangiyeva* 138-9

*Tanrikulu* 136

*Tigran Ayrapetyan* 136-7

*Timurtaş* 136

*Trubnikov* 136-7

*Utsayeva* 138-9

*Zubayrayev* 138-9

**evidence (ITLOS)**

burden/standard of proof, Tribunal's discretion 325

evaluation 313-18

**executive certificate/statement, conclusiveness in relation to foreign relations matters:**

*see also* ambassador/head of mission

certificate of ambassador/head of mission, conclusiveness in absence of executive

certificate from receiving State 550-4

jurisprudence

*Ahmad and Aswat* 551-2

*Al Saud* 550-4

*Aziz v. Yemen* 553

*Khurts Bat* 552

*Sultan of Pahang* 552

*Trendtex* 553

**exhaustion of local remedies (diplomatic protection) (including ILC(SR) 44)**

direct injury to State/inter-State disputes distinguished 384-5, 463-6

UNCLOS breaches 221-2, 306-11

**exhaustion of local remedies (UNCLOS 295)**

direct injury to State/inter-State disputes distinguished 221-2, 306-11, 394-408, 466-71

jurisprudence

*Saiga (No 2)* 306-7, 348

*Virginia G* 216-22, 306-11, 347-8

preclusion of claims (special agreement) 220-1, 290-2

**family/private life, respect for (ECHR 8(1)), justified restrictions (ECHR 8(2))**

jurisprudence

*LeMot* 503-4

*Prince of Liechtenstein* 503-4

procedure to establish paternity, whether 503-4

**flag State**

duties (UNCLOS 94)

effective exercise of jurisdiction and control/incorporation of COLREGS

213-14

jurisprudence

*Saiga (No 2)* 213

*Virginia G* 213-14

**freedom of expression (ECHR 10), justified restrictions/requirements (ECHR 10(2))**

*Holocaust Freedom of Expression Case* 514-15

“necessary . . . for the prevention of disorder or crime”, holocaust denial, risks of 514-15, 517-21

**Freedom and Justice Party case (immunity of member of a special mission)**

(**Court of Appeal**): *see also* special mission/envoy (with particular reference to the UN Convention on Special Missions (1969) (CSM))

background

Court’s overall conclusion 700

CSM, status

as measure of codification and progressive development of international law 662, 684-5

States parties to 662-3, 684-5

UK attitude to/reasons for non-ratification 662

events giving rise to proceedings 664

issues for consideration (immunities under customary international law and common law) 661-2

Court of Appeal’s conclusion (summary) 663

High Court’s views 663

parties 663-4

“special mission” (CSM 1(a)), definition and use of 661-2, 683

Court’s analysis (customary international law (CIL)) 664-700

CIL rule on immunity of special missions as narrow and simple 698

compatibility with domestic constitutional principles, statutory law and common law rules as test 692-4, 698-700

conclusions of the Court of Appeal 683-92

conclusions of the High Court (summary) 673-4

constant and widespread practice 665-6

Court’s conclusion 683-4

States specially affected 683-4

substantial uniformity, sufficiency 665

wide representation 683-4

creation of criminal offence and reception of CIL rule on immunity from criminal jurisdiction distinguished 694-8

customary international law “as part of” common law 700

decisions of international courts and tribunals 670

parties’ submissions (appellants) 679

exceptions to immunity, possibility of

limitation of immunity to official acts 692

serious international crimes including torture 691-2

***Freedom and Justice Party* case (immunity of member of a special mission)  
 (Court of Appeal) (cont.)**

- formation/requirements 664-7
  - parties' submissions (appellants) 674-5
  - parties' submissions (first respondent) 675-6
- ILC work on immunity of State officials from foreign jurisdiction 669
  - Court's conclusion 685
  - parties' submissions (appellants) 677
  - parties' submissions (first respondent) 677
- ILC work on special missions (1960-7) 667-9
  - co-existence of treaty and CIL 684-5
  - Court's conclusions 684-5
  - parties' submissions (appellants) 676-7
- national courts' role 666
- opinio juris* 664-5, 685
- review of the jurisprudence
  - Jones (Margaret)* 692-7
  - Keyu* 692-3, 697
  - Nulyarimma* 694-7
  - Trendtex* 692-3
  - Triquet v. Bath* 692-3
- State practice (CAHDI survey) 672-3
  - Court's conclusion 688-90
  - parties' arguments (appellants) 682
  - parties' arguments (first respondent) 682
- State practice (States other than the UK/US/Mongolia) 671-2
  - Court's conclusion 687-8
  - parties' arguments (appellants/first respondent) 680-2
- State practice (UK/Mongolia) 670-1
  - Court's conclusion 685-6
  - parties' submissions (appellants) 679
  - parties' submissions (first respondent) 679-80
- State practice (USA) 671
  - Court's conclusion 686-7
  - parties' submissions (appellants) 680
  - parties' submissions (first respondent) 680
- teachings of publicists 673
  - Court's conclusion 691
  - parties' submissions (appellants) 677-9
- treaties as evidence of State practice 666-7, 669-70
  - Court's conclusions 685
  - Havana Convention on Diplomatic Officers (1928) 685
  - parties' submissions (appellants) 677
  - parties' submissions (first respondent) 679
- freedom of navigation/commerce**, refuelling/bunkering of fishing vessel in the EEZ  
 (UNCLOS 58 and 87) 234, 408-19

**General Assembly resolutions in number/date order**

- 177 (II) (Nuremberg Principles) 94
- 60/147 (remedies for victims of violations of human rights and humanitarian law), duty  
 to investigate human rights obligations (Art. 3(b)) 591-2

**good faith and abuse of rights/*pacta sunt servanda* (UNCLOS 300)**

ancillary nature, obligation to identify impugned rights and obligations 265-6  
 jurisprudence

*Louisa* 265

*Virginia G* 263-6, 328-9

**Greek Government Bonds Immunity (Austrian Supreme Court)**

background (procedural)

claim 508

Court of First Instance's decision (lack of jurisdiction: *jure imperii* nature of challenged acts) 507-8

Court of Appeal's confirmation of decision/agreement on appeal to Supreme Court 508

judgment

admissibility of claim/part justification 508

assertion of immunity, timing 508

classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*

customary international law as applicable law 508-9

issue of government bonds (contractual nature) 509

legislative debt restructuring 509

immunity as absolute bar to legal proceedings 508

recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council

Regulation (EC) No 44/2001) (Judgments Regulation)

claim as "civil matter" (JR 1(1)) 509-10

determination of jurisdiction, dependence on an objection or default 509-10

**Guinea-Bissau**

Fisheries Resources and Fishing Rights in Guinea-Bissau Maritime Waters (Decree 6-A/2000 of 22 August 2000)

compatibility with UNCLOS 56/UNCLOS 62 235-6

flexibility of sanctions regime 471-3

local remedies 400-4

Fisheries Resources and Fishing Rights in Guinea-Bissau Maritime Waters (Decree 6-A/2000 of 22 August 2000) by article

3 (definition of fishing) 472-3

text 227-8

23 (fishing-related operations), text 227-8

40 (responsibility for verification of infringements) 257

41 (facilitation of visit) 257

42 (preventive seizure) 257

42(4) (preventive measures: detention of crew until completion of procedures) 250

46(1) (notification of infringement to Fisheries Minister) 253, 472-3

46(2) (notification of infringement to Foreign Minister and the flag State

Government) 253

52(1) (penalties: *ex officio* confiscation of vessels with their gear, equipment and fishery products) (2005 amendment)

compatibility with UNCLOS 73(1) 239, 285-7, 292-3, 404-8, 471-85

text 239, 400

52(2) (conversion of confiscation to fine) 472-3

54(2) (fines: level) 472-3

54(2) (fines: relevant factors) 472-3

62(2) (settlement by Fisheries Minister) 472-3

62(5) (minimum fine) 472-3

65 (release on payment of bond), compatibility with UNCLOS 73(2) 245

**Guinea-Bissau** (*cont.*)

- 65(1) and (2) (release on payment of bond) 246
- 65(3) and (4) (amount of bond) 246
- 66 (restitution of bond) 247

**head of State (former), immunity from jurisdiction**

- “acts performed . . . in the exercise of his functions as a member of the mission”, limitation to 536-7

private acts 502-3

- acts of deceased head of State: *see Prince of Liechtenstein* (immunity of deceased head of State) (Austrian Supreme Court)

**head of State immunity from jurisdiction, entitlement/applicability**

absolute theory 501-2

effective remedy/“alternative means of redress” (ECHR 6(1))

absolute immunity as superior rule 504

*Prince of Liechtenstein* 503-4

family members “forming part of his household” (VCDR 37(1)), entitlement 501-2, 538-46:

*see also* diplomatic privileges and immunities, entitlement, family members

“forming part of his household” (VCDR 37(1)); State Immunity Act 1978 (UK)

(SIA) by section (Part III (miscellaneous and supplementary)), 20(1)(b) (applicability of DPA [VCDR 37(1)] to family members forming part of his household)

head of State and diplomatic immunity, distinguishability 539-46

Prince of Wales (*Kilroy v. Windsor*) 542-3

rationale 537

relationship of dependence vs functional responsibilities 539, 541-6

UK Immigration Directorate’s Border Agency Instructions 540-1

private acts 502-3

while in office 536-7

*ratione materiae*/functional immunity 501-2

jurisprudence

*Al Saud* 537

*Aziz* 537

whether limited to 537

*ratione personae*/private acts, jurisprudence

*Al Saud* 536-7

*Aziz* 536-7

*Mighell v. Sultan of Johore* 536-7

**high seas, right of visit (UNCLOS 110)**

EEZ, applicability of principles derived from 255-6

jurisprudence, *Virginia G* 260

**Holocaust Freedom of Expression Case**

issues

abuse of rights/destruction of ECHR rights and freedoms (ECHR 17) 518-19

breach of Civil Servants (Employment) Act 1979 as amended (*BDG*)

s 43(1) (obligation to perform duties in accordance with the law, remaining faithful, conscientious, committed and impartial) 518

s 43(2) (obligation to retain the public’s trust in performance of his duties) 512-18

s 91 (liability for culpable violation of official duties) 512-14

s 165 (special duties of university teachers) 517-18

damage to reputation of University, need to prove 521

disciplinary sanctions as justified restriction on freedom of expression (ECHR 10(2)) 514-15, 518-21

- independent and impartial tribunal (ECHR 6(1)) 521-3
  - previous participation in the case/appearance of bias test 521-3
- National Socialism Prohibition Act (*VerbotsG*)
  - as constitutional law 520
  - dissemination of National Socialist ideology, penalties (*EGVG* III(4)) 520
  - extracts (ss 3g and 3h) 520
  - importance to Austria of rejection and prohibition of National Socialism 520
  - offence by denial, requirements 516
  - professional obligation to avoid public statements questioning 514-15, 516
- proceedings (authority of first instance) (disciplinary commission), finding of guilt 512-15
  - justification for decision 514
- proceedings (authority of second instance) (confirmation of decision of disciplinary commission) 515-18
  - appellant's "knowledge management" defence 517
  - Disciplinary Commission's handling of evidence 515-16
  - grounds of appeal 515
- proceedings (Supreme Administrative Court) 518-23
  - Court's conclusion 523
- Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA)**
  - ECHR rights and HRA rights created by reference to the Convention
    - HRA as source of right 628-9, 635
    - as mirror image 628-30
  - ECtHR compliance obligation (ECHR 46 [53 and 54])/"must take into account" (HRA 2(1)(a))
    - domestic courts' responsibility to take decision in absence of clear guidance from ECtHR 628-33
  - jurisprudence
    - Al-Skeini* 630, 647-8
    - Ambrose* 630
    - Chester* 647-8
    - In re G (Adoption: Unmarried Couple)* 628-9
    - Keyu* 628-33
    - Manchester City Council* 647-8
    - Nicklinson* 647-8
    - Rabone* 647-8
    - Reynolds* 647-8
    - Smith v. Oxfordshire Assistant Deputy Coroner* 630
    - Ullah* 629, 647-8
  - interpretation/determination of Parliament's intention, UK rules of statutory
    - interpretation as applicable law 628-9, 635
  - retroactivity (section 22 principle)/applicability to acts occurring before entry into force
    - ECtHR and domestic courts' practice, possibility of divergence 635-7
  - jurisprudence
    - Keyu* 586-7, 633-8
    - McCaughy* 586-7, 634
    - McKerr* 586-7, 634-5
  - temporal jurisdiction principle (*Šilih*) 586-7
    - relevance to HRA-based claims 633
- Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) by section**
  - 6(1) (actions of public authority incompatible with Convention: unlawfulness),
    - interrelationship with ECHR 2/as mirror image 628-30
  - 7(5) (12-month time limit for bringing of claim) 588-91

**Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) by section** (*cont.*)

- 22(4) (acts prior to entry into force) (transitional provisions) 586-7: *see also* Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA), retroactivity (section 22 principle)/applicability to acts occurring before entry into force

**IAEA Immunity Case (Austria) (immunity as alleged breach of right of access to a court/effective remedy (ECHR 6))**

- Court's decision 526, 528  
 international organizations, immunity from jurisdiction  
   absolute theory, functional character of organization as basis 526  
   effective remedy/"alternative means of redress" (ECHR 6(1)) and 527-8  
   immunity of international organizations and foreign State immunity distinguished 526  
   immunity as procedural bar and liability distinguished 526  
 procedural background  
   claimant's position 525  
   dismissal of ECHR claim by lower courts 525  
   dismissal of petition for unconstitutionality of HQA VIII(1) (Constitutional Court) 525-7

**IAEA immunity from jurisdiction (HQA VIII(19))** 525

**ICSID arbitral tribunal**

- applicable law (ICSID 42(1)), "decide a dispute" as limitation to consideration of the merits 36-7  
 intervention as a non-disputing party (AR 37(2)) 8-10  
 costs associated with 10-11

**ICSID arbitral tribunal, jurisdiction (ICSID 25)**

- applicable law  
   instrument containing consent to jurisdiction 39  
   law applicable to jurisdiction and to merits distinguished 36-7  
   *Vattenfall* 47  
 competence/duty of tribunal to determine (*compétence de la compétence*)  
   *ex proprio motu/ex officio* (ICSID Rule 41(2)) 7-8, 10, 35  
   jurisprudence, *Vattenfall* 7-8, 10, 35  
 consent to jurisdiction, ECT 26(4)(a) 38-9  
 "jurisdiction of the Centre" and "its own competence" distinguished (ICSID Rule 41(1)) 33  
 preliminary objections, timeliness/new fact (ICSID Rule 41(1)) 33-5

**ICSID Rules (Arbitration) (2006) by rule**

- 37(2) (leave to intervene as a non-disputing party) 9  
 41(1) (preliminary objections: time-limits)  
   "as early as possible" 34-5  
   "jurisdiction of the Centre" and "its own competence" distinguished 33  
   new facts 33-5  
 41(2) (preliminary objections: Tribunal's right to consider jurisdiction at any stage),  
   *ex proprio motu/ex officio* 7-8, 35

**ILC (International Law Commission) including Draft Articles/reports on**

- immunity of State officials from criminal jurisdiction 669  
 special missions 662, 667-9

**illegitimate children, status** 503-4

**independent and impartial tribunal (including ACHR 8(1), ECHR 6(1) and ICCPR 14(1))**

- Holocaust Freedom of Expression* 521-3  
 judicial impartiality, appearance of bias test 521-3

**inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7)**

family members as victims: *see* ECtHR, individual applications (“victim” (ECHR 34 [25(1)])), family members (ECHR 3 (disappeared persons))

procedural obligation to investigate, jurisprudence

*Janowiec* 121-31

*Otašević* 115

*PM* 115

*Stanimirović* 115

*Yatsenko* 115

**interest**

*Saiga (No 2)* 274-5

*Virginia G* 274-5

**international officials, immunity from jurisdiction**, effective remedy/“alternative means of redress” (ECHR 6(1)) and 527-8**international organizations, immunity from jurisdiction**

absolute theory, functional character of organization as basis 526

effective remedy/access to courts/due process, right to and 525-8

immunity as procedural bar and liability distinguished 526

State immunity distinguished 526

**ITLOS**

admissibility, timing of objections (ITLOS Rule 97(1))

*Saiga (No 2)* 209-10

*Virginia G* 209-21

Guidelines concerning the Preparation and Presentation of Cases before the Tribunal, para. 14 188

judicial review of national authorities’ exercise of discretionary powers 357

Notification and Statement of Claim (ITLOS 24/Rules 54(1)), obligation to indicate the parties and subject of dispute: *see* “subject of the dispute”, obligation to indicate in application (ITLOS 24(1) and ITLOS Rule 54)

Seabed Disputes Chamber, role, limitation of jurisdiction in respect of the Authority (UNCLOS 189) 357

**ITLOS Rules**

8 (judges ad hoc) 186

9 (judges ad hoc: declaration under ITLOS 11) 186

45 (consultation with parties on procedure) 185

54(1) (indication of parties and subject of dispute) 398

59 (written proceedings: fixing of time limits) 185-6

61 (number and order of proceedings) 185-6

67(2) (public availability of pleadings and documents) 192

68 (initial deliberations) 188

71 (production of documents) 189

72 (notification of intended evidence) 188

75(2) (final submissions) 197

76(1) (issues to be addressed by parties) 188-9, 192-3

76(3) (judges’ right to ask questions) 191

85 (interpretation) 191

86(1) (preparation of minutes) 192

97(1) (preliminary objections: timing) 208-10

single-phase proceedings 209-10, 376-8

98(1) (admissibility: direct connection with main claim/within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal) 187

125(2) (separate opinions) 279-80

***Janowiec* (Grand Chamber)**

- alleged breach of ECHR 2 procedural obligation (admissibility: *ratione temporis*)/
  - applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28) (background)
- Chamber findings 101-2
- submissions (intervening government (Poland)) 108-9
- submissions (parties)
  - applicants 105-8
  - government (Russia) 102-5
- submissions (third parties)
  - Amnesty International 110-11
  - Memorial (Moscow), the European Human Rights Advocacy Centre (London) and Transitional Justice Network (Essex) 111
  - Open Society Justice Initiative 109-10
- alleged breach of ECHR 2 procedural obligation (admissibility: *ratione temporis*)/
  - applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28) (Court's assessment) 111-21
- Court's conclusions 119-21
  - procedural acts and omissions, Russian investigations (1999-2004) as 120-1
  - real and effective protection of fundamental Convention values exception ("humanitarian clause") 121
  - "reasonably short period of time" between trigger event and critical date, whether 120-1
- critical date
  - emergence of new material after as trigger for new obligation 116
  - entry into force of Convention for party 111-12
  - events prior to conclusion of Convention, exclusion 118-19
  - institution of proceedings, relevance 111-12
- "a genuine connection" requirement/relevant factors 117-18
  - investigations intended to establish the truth as an exception 116
  - real and effective protection of fundamental Convention values exception ("humanitarian clause") 118-19
  - "reasonably short period of time" between trigger event and critical date 115, 120-1
- ten-year rule 117-18
- jurisprudence
  - Blečić* 111-12
  - Šilih* 101, 111-13, 115-19, 150-3, 155-69
- obligation to investigate death as separate and autonomous obligation 112-16
- obligation to investigate disappearance and investigate death distinguished 112-13
- procedural acts and omissions occurring after the critical date, limitation to 111-13, 115-16
  - "omissions" 116
  - "procedural acts" 116
- Šilih* criteria 115
- alleged breach of ECHR 2 procedural obligation (admissibility: *ratione temporis*)/
  - applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28) (dissenting opinion (Judges Ziemele, De Gaetano, Laffranque and Keller)) 155-69
- Court's finding of a breach of ECHR 38 in isolation, exceptional nature 161
- emergence of new material after critical date as trigger for new obligation 161-2
- interpretation of ECHR in compliance with general principles of international law 162-3

- investigations intended to establish the truth as an exception 157-9
- “procedural acts” 157-9
- procedural shortcomings in Russian handling of case 159-61
- real and effective protection of fundamental Convention values exception (“humanitarian clause”) 167-9
- Russian Federation as successor to Tsarist Russia/USSR’s human rights obligations 165-6
- Šilih* principles 156-69
- statutory limitation, absence in case of war crimes/crimes against humanity, effect 162-7
- “victim”, ECHR 2 and ECHR 3 distinguished 155-6
- alleged breach of ECHR 3 (inhuman or degrading treatment) (background) 121-31
  - Chamber findings 121-3
  - submissions (intervening government (Poland)) 126-7
  - submissions (parties)
    - applicants 125-6
    - government (Russia) 123-5
    - submissions (third parties) 127
  - alleged breach of ECHR 3 (inhuman or degrading treatment) (Court’s assessment) 127-31
  - Court’s conclusion 131
  - general principles/review of the jurisprudence 127-9
  - applicability 129-31
  - relevant factors 127
- alleged breach of ECHR 38 (obligation to furnish facilities [evidence]) 131-42: *see also* evidence (ECtHR), obligation to facilitate procedures (ECHR 38)
  - Chamber findings 131-2
  - submissions (intervening government (Poland)) 135
  - submissions (parties)
    - applicants 133-5
    - government (Russia) 132-3
- alleged breach of ECHR 38 (obligation to furnish facilities [evidence]) (Court’s assessment)
  - confidentiality/security considerations 137, 139-42
    - adversarial proceedings to test State’s claims, need for 140-1
    - solid and reasonable grounds requirement 137
  - determination of State party’s obligation as matter for ECtHR 138
  - non-compliance without satisfactory explanation, consequences 136
  - obligation not to hinder individual applications (ECHR 34) 138-9
  - pacta sunt servanda* (VCLT 26) 139-40
  - prompt compliance requirement 136
  - State responsibility for non-compliance 139-40
  - submission of evidence in entirety, need for 136
- background (factual)
  - applicants and relationship to the victims 82-4
  - investigations in criminal case 159 84-6
  - Katyn massacre 78-82
    - evidence of applicants’ family members as victims of 119-20
    - Russian Duma’s statement on (26 November 2010) 91-2
  - proceedings
    - application 29520/09 87-8
    - application 55508/07 86-7
    - declassification of the 21 September 2004 decision 88-90
    - “rehabilitation” of the applicants’ relatives 90-1
- background (procedural history) 76-8

***Janowiec (Grand Chamber)* (cont.)**

- Chamber of the Fifth Section judgment 77
- composition of Grand Chamber 77
- joinder of admissibility and merits 77
- joinder of applications 77
- oral hearings 78
- parties 76-7
- third-party intervention (ECHR 36(2)), agreement to 77
- transfer to the Grand Chamber 77
- Court's decision 143-4
- just satisfaction (ECHR 41) and costs 142-3
- relevant law (domestic) (Russia)
  - classification and declassification of State secrets in Russia 98-9
  - State secret information (Regulation No 973 (1997) on transfer to foreign States and international organizations) 99
  - State Secrets Act 1993 (Law No 5485-I) 98-9
- Criminal Code (CC) by article
  - 78(5) (statutory limitation period: suspension in case of crimes under CC 353, CC 356, CC 357 and CC 358) 99
  - 353 (aggressive war) 99
  - 356 (prohibited means of warfare: direct effect of treaty) 99
  - 357 (genocide) 99
  - 358 (ecocide) 99
- Criminal Procedure Code (CCrP) by article
  - 24(1) (discontinuance of criminal proceedings) 97
  - 42 (status of victim) 97
- Rehabilitation Act 1991 (Law No 1761-1) 98: *see also* Russian Federation (1991-), Rehabilitation Act 1991 (Law No 1761-1) by section
- relevant law and practice (international)
  - GC (1929) 93
  - HR IV and Regulations 92
  - ICCPR 7 95-7
  - Nuremberg Charter (NC) 93-4
  - Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, UN Convention on the Non-Applicability of (1968) 94
  - UNGA Resolution 177 (II) (Nuremberg Principles) 94
  - VCLT 26, VCLT 27 and VCLT 28 95
- separate opinions
  - Dedov J (concurring) (alleged breach of ECHR 2 procedural obligation (admissibility: *ratione temporis*)) 145-6
  - Gyulumyan J (concurring) (alleged breach of ECHR 2 procedural obligation (admissibility: *ratione temporis*)) 144-55
  - interpretation of ECHR 147-8
  - Wojtyczek J (partly dissenting)
    - jurisdiction *ratione temporis* and temporal scope of the treaty distinguished 148-9
    - retroactivity of treaties (VCLT 28) 149-53
  - Ziemele, De Gaetano, Laffranque and Keller JJ (dissenting): *see* alleged breach of ECHR 2 procedural obligation (admissibility: *ratione temporis*)/applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28) (dissenting opinion (Judges Ziemele, De Gaetano, Laffranque and Keller)) above
- standing of next of kin following death of applicant during proceedings 99-100

**judicial review/justiciability (foreign relations decisions/prerogative power of forum State), scope/standard of review/grounds/relevant considerations**

- proportionality 597-600
- reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle) 596-7
- continuing value of *Wednesbury* test 653-7
- proportionality test (ECHR/EU law) compared/proposed move to, jurisprudence
  - Aguilar Quila* 644
  - Alconbury* 597
  - Bank Mellat* 597-8, 644
  - CCSU* 597
  - Daly* 598
  - Kennedy* 598, 601, 642, 644
  - Keyu* 597-600, 641-5
  - Nicklinson* 644
  - Pham* 598, 601, 643-4, 652-3

**judicial review/justiciability (foreign relations decisions/prerogative power including acts of State), considerations of possible relevance to justiciability,**  
 constitutional law of forum State, compliance, delay in request for/lapse of time  
 600

***jus cogens*/peremptory norm (VCLT 53) including torture/inhumane treatment (immunity considerations), jurisprudence**

- Benkharbouche* 691-2
- Freedom and Justice Party* 691-2
- Jurisdictional Immunities* 691-2

***Keyu* (right to public inquiry into 1948 Batang Kali Killings)**

- background (factual) in date order
  - Malayan Emergency/despatch of British troops 564-5
  - decision to despatch British Army Brigade to Malaya (13 August 1948) 610-11
  - the Killings (11/12 December 1948) 565-6
  - High Commissioner's telegram of 13 December 1948 566-7
  - The Straits Times* report of 13 December 1948 566-7
  - Far-Eastern Land Forces British Army Report of 17 December 1948 567
  - Official War Office Report of 22 December 1948 567
  - The Straits Times* call for inquiry (23 December 1948) 567
  - investigations (December/January) 567-8
  - High Commissioner's telegram of 1 January 1949 568
  - High Commissioner's supplementary statement of 3 January 1949 568-9
  - Chief Secretary of the Federation of Malaya of 5 January 1949 569
  - Colonial Secretary's written answer to Parliamentary Question of January 1949 569-70
  - independence of Malaysia (1957) 611-12
  - participating soldiers' public claims that the Killings had been an illegal massacre (late December 1969) 570-1
  - DPP's initiation of investigation/concern that investigation would not yield enough evidence for criminal proceedings (February-June 1970) 571-3
  - Decision after the June 1970 General Election not to hold inquiry 573
  - In Cold Blood* documentary (9 September 1992) 574

**Keyu (right to public inquiry into 1948 Batang Kali Killings) (cont.)**

- CPS review (September 1992-March 1993) 574-5
- Petition reopening of investigations, prosecution and compensation 575
- opening of Royal Malaysian Police investigation 575
- CPS report on quality of evidence/decision not to pursue 575-6
- closure of Royal Malaysian Police investigation 576
- formation of Action Committee Condemning the Batang Kali Massacre/petition (25 March 2008) 576-7
- Foreign Secretary's refusal to hold an Enquiry 576-7
- application for judicial review 577
- request for disclosure of documents 578
- dismissal by Divisional Court (4 September 2012) 578
- dismissal by Court of Appeal (19 March 2014) 578
- background (procedural)
  - appellants' contentions 564
  - decision not to hold public inquiry (IA 1(1)) 564, 576-7
  - issue 563-4
- ECHR/HRA, interrelationship
  - domestic courts' responsibility to determine in absence of clear guidance from the ECtHR 628-33, 647-8
  - HRA as source of right 628-9, 635
  - interpretation in accordance with UK rules of statutory interpretation 628-9, 635
  - jurisprudence
    - Al-Skeini* 630
    - Ambrose* 630
    - In re G (Adoption: Unmarried Couple)* 628-9
    - Smith v. Oxfordshire Assistant Deputy Coroner* 630
    - Ullah* 629, 647-8
  - as mirror image 628-30
- judgments
  - Lady Hale (dissenting) 645-57
  - Lord Kerr 620-45
  - Lord Mance (Lord Neuberger, Lady Hale, Lord Kerr and Lord Hughes concurring on jurisdiction) 600-20
  - Lord Neuberger, Lord Hughes concurring 563-600
- judicial review, scope/standard
  - appellants' arguments 594-5
  - reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle) 595-7, 601, 643, 652-7: *see also*
    - judicial review/justiciability (foreign relations decisions/prerogative power of forum State), scope/standard of review/grounds/relevant considerations, reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle), proportionality test (ECHR/EU law) compared/proposed move to, jurisprudence
  - continuing value of *Wednesbury* test 653-7
  - proportionality as alternative 597-600, 641-5
- jurisdiction *ratione temporis* (temporal jurisdiction)/applicability to claims arising before entry into force of the HRA (section 22 principle) 586-7, 633-8
  - ECtHR and domestic courts' practice, possibility of divergence 635-8
  - McCaughy* 586-7, 624, 634, 649
  - McKerr* 586-7, 634-5
  - temporal jurisdiction principle (*Šilih*) distinguished 633
- jurisdiction *ratione temporis* (temporal jurisdiction)/applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28) 579-85, 616-17, 619-20

- critical date
  - domestic courts' responsibility to determine in absence of clear guidance from the ECtHR 628-33, 647-8
  - signature of Convention vs grant of right of individual petition 582-4, 622-33, 647
- "a genuine connection" requirement/relevant factors
  - investigations intended to establish the truth as an exception (*Janowiec*) 590-1, 651
  - real and effective protection of fundamental Convention values exception ("humanitarian clause") 580, 585, 632-3, 651-2
  - "reasonably short period of time" 646-8
  - relevant acts or omissions after the critical date 580-1, 622, 648-51
  - ten-year rule 581-2, 584-5, 616-17, 631-2, 636-7
- jurisprudence
  - Blečić* 579, 583, 624
  - Çakir* 583-4, 627-8, 648
  - Dorado* 584, 626-7, 648
  - Janowiec* 580-3, 585, 627, 648
  - Jelić* 584
  - Šilih* 579-80, 582-3, 624-5, 627
  - Varnava* 583, 625-6
- UK courts' right to depart from ECtHR practice 585
- obligation to investigate death (customary international law) 591-2, 640-1
- cut-off date, need for 592, 621-2
- evolution
  - 1948 (absence of obligation) 591
  - 1995 (*McCann*) 592
  - 2002 (*McKerr*) 592
  - 2005 UNGA Resolution 60/147 591-2
- incorporation of CIL into UK common law 592-4, 601-4
- obligation to investigate death ("procedural" obligation) (ECHR 2)
  - as evolving principle 591-2, 622
  - requirements, obligation "to secure to [someone] within the jurisdiction [at the time of the incident]" ECHR 2 rights and freedoms 620
  - as separate and autonomous duty 619-22, 634-5
  - Šilih* 578-9, 621-2
- timeliness of claim (ECHR 35(1)/HRA 7(5)) 588-91
  - investigations intended to establish truth as exception (*Janowiec*) 590-1, 651
- jurisprudence
  - Brecknell* 589, 639, 648
  - Hackett* 588-9
  - McKerr* 588-9
  - Varnava* 588
- new evidence 588-90, 638-40, 648-51
- "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1) (UK responsibility for events of 11/12 December 1948) ("Crown in right of" principle) 604, 612-16
- constitutional arrangements in relation to Malaya and Selangor 604-12
  - Army Act 610-11
  - Commissioner-General, appointment of (mid-1948) 609-10
  - ECHR (1950) (extension to Malaya 1953/termination on independence of Malaya) 611-12
  - Emergency Regulations (7 July 1948) 607-8

**Keyu (right to public inquiry into 1948 Batang Kali Killings) (cont.)**

- Federation of Malaya Agreement (21 January 1948) 605-8
- Federation of Malaya Independence Act 1957 611-12
- Federation of Malaya Independence Order in Council No 1933 of 1957 611-12
- King's Regulations 1940 611
- Order in Council of 26 January 1948 608-9
- Selangor Treaty (21 January 1948) 604-5
- jurisprudence
  - Bancoult* 2 614-16
  - Quark* 604, 613-15
- parties' positions
  - appellants 613
  - respondents 604, 612-13
- "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1) (UK responsibility for failure to hold inquiry) 578, 604, 616-20
- dividing and tailoring of obligations vs "whole package" solution 620
- respondents' arguments 604
- State responsibility for act prior to independence of Malaysia 617-19

**legal certainty/legality principle**

- jurisprudence
  - Janowiec* 112-13, 130-1, 636-7
  - Keyu* 636-7
  - Šilih* 112-13
  - Varnava* 112-13
  - Vattenfall* 47
- treaty interpretation 47

**Liechtenstein, paternity claims (*flABGB* 164c) 499**

**life, right to (ECHR 2)**

- obligation to investigate death ("procedural" obligation)
  - as evolving principle 591-2, 622
  - obligation "to secure to [someone] within the jurisdiction [at the time of the incident]" ECHR 2 rights and freedoms 620
- as separate and autonomous duty 112-15, 619-22, 634-5
  - non-retroactivity of Convention and: *see* admissibility (ECtHR) (*ratione temporis* (temporal jurisdiction)/applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28))
- obligation to protect and preserve 647-8

**life, right to (ECHR 2/ACHR 4), jurisprudence (obligation to investigate death)**

- Agache* 114
- Association "21 December 1989"* 114
- Bajić* 114-15
- Brecknell* 639, 648
- Dimovi* 114-15
- Igor Shevchenko* 114-15
- Janowiec* 619-20: *see also Janowiec* (Grand Chamber)
- Jularić* 114-15
- Keyu* 619-20
- Kudra* 114-15
- Lăpușan* 114
- Lyubov Efimenko* 114-15

- McKerr* 592  
*Mladenović* 114-15  
*Mocanu* 114  
*Paçaci* 114-15  
*Pastor and Ticlete* 114  
*Şandru* 114  
*Şilih* (including discussion in *McCaughey*) 621-2  
*Trufin* 114-15  
*Tuna* 114-15  
*Velcea and Mazăre* 114-15
- life, right to/obligation to investigate death (customary international law)**  
 591-2, 640-1  
 cut-off date, need for 592  
 evolution of principle  
   1948 (absence of obligation) 591  
   1995 (*McCann*) 592  
   2002 (*McKerr*) 592  
   2005 UNGA Resolution 60/147, Art. 3(b) (duty to investigate human rights violations) 591-2  
 incorporation into UK common law, requirements 592-4, 601-3
- life, right to/obligation to investigate death (UK common law)** 594-600
- Malaysia (1946-):** *see also* *Keyu* (right to public inquiry into 1948 Batang Kali Killings)  
 Constitution (1957) (including amendments) by article, 167(1) (transfer of rights, liabilities and obligations to Malaysia), 617  
 Emergency (1948-60): *see* *Keyu* (right to public inquiry into 1948 Batang Kali Killings)
- marine environment, protection and preservation (UNCLOS Part XII: Section 7 (safeguards))**  
 duty to avoid adverse consequences in the exercise of the powers of enforcement (UNCLOS 225) 260-3  
 applicability to enforcement powers generally including UNCLOS 73(1) powers 262  
 exercise of powers of enforcement (UNCLOS 224), applicability of principles derived from to EEZ 256  
*Virginia G* 260-3
- nationality of claims with particular reference to nationality as the basis of the right to exercise diplomatic protection**  
 ILC draft Articles on Diplomatic Protection (2000/2009) (ILC(DP)) 214-16  
 ships, relevance of rule in cases concerning 214-16
- Nazi persecution:** *see* Austria (1955- (Second Republic)), National Socialism Prohibition Act (*VerbotsG*); *Holocaust Freedom of Expression Case*
- necessity/duress as defence/justification (including war-related situations, war crimes or crimes against humanity)**  
 as balance between interests of a State and the protected rights of another 332-4  
 burden/standard of proof 335-7, 339-43  
 definition 337-8  
 treaty practice 332-3
- non-disclosure/use of “closed material” (national security considerations), adversarial proceedings to test State’s claims, need for** 140-1

***opinio juris***

jurisprudence

*Freedom and Justice Party* 664-5, 685*Jurisdictional Immunities* 664-5*Lotus* 664-5

treaties as evidence of 685

***pacta sunt servanda*/good faith observance of treaties (VCLT 26)**, municipal/internal law as justification for non-observance, exclusion (VCLT 27) 139-42

***Prince of Liechtenstein* (immunity of deceased head of State) (Austrian Supreme Court)**

Court's decision 504

procedural background

parties' positions

non-waiver of immunity by first respondent 499

plaintiff 499

parties' status 498-9

terms/admissibility of appeal to Supreme Court 500

procedural history

Court of Appeals (partial reversal of lower court's decision/admissibility of appeal to Supreme Court) 499-500

Court of First Instance (dismissal on grounds of immunity) 499

respect for family life (ECHR 8(1)), procedure to establish paternity as justified

restriction 503-4

State immunity

absolute immunity as superior rule 504

as exception to jurisdiction of domestic courts 500-2

executive/ministerial authorization, relevance 499, 502

separation of powers and 499, 502

timing of assertion 500-1

State immunity (head of State)

absolute theory 501-2

customary international law (CIL) 501-2

developments in relation to 502-3

effective remedy/"alternative means of redress" (ECHR 6(1)) 503-4

family members (VCDR 37(1)), entitlement 501-2

*ratione materiae* 501-2*ratione personae* 501-2

**prompt release of vessels and crews, jurisdiction (UNCLOS 292(1))**, refuelling/

bunkering vessels, applicability to 293-4

**prompt release of vessels and crews on posting of reasonable bond or financial security (UNCLOS 73(2))**

applicable law, municipal law of detaining State 245

jurisprudence

*Hoshinmaru* 247*Monte Confurco* 355*Virginia G* 244, 439

"reasonable" 246-7

**recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001) (Judgments Regulation)**

"civil and commercial matters" (JR 1(1)/EEX 1) (*Greek Government Bonds*) 508-10

jurisdiction, determination (JR 15(1)/16(1)), dependence on an objection or default 509-10

**recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001) (Judgments Regulation) by article**

- 1(1) (applicability to civil and commercial matters), “civil and commercial matters”, dispute relating to fulfilment of government bonds obligations 509-10
- 15(1) (jurisdiction over commercial contracts: contract concluded by a consumer for a purpose outside his trade or profession) 509-10
- 15(1) (jurisdiction over commercial contracts) 509-10
- 16(1) (place of proceedings brought by consumer) 509-10

**reparation for breach of treaty (ACHR 63(1)) (other than compensation)**, Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, UN Convention on the Non-Applicability of (1968), ICCPR 7/HRC General Comment 31 95-7

**reparation for breach of treaty (UNCLOS 304 (responsibility and liability for damage))**

applicability of general international law/*Chorzów Factory* principle/ILC(SR) 1 and ILC (SR) 31(1) 271-2

contributory fault 485-95

finding of international wrongfulness, sufficiency 275-6

jurisprudence

*Responsibilities and obligations of States with respect to activities in the Area* 271-2

*Saiga (No 2)* 271-2

*Virginia G* 267-76, 294-6, 298-307, 329, 343-6, 449-51

nexus/causal connection, need for 273-4, 294-6, 298-306, 343-6, 495-6

**Restatement of Foreign Relations Law (fourth) (2017) (special missions) 687**

**retroactivity of treaties (VCLT 28)**

critical date, entry into force for each party 153

ECHR (1950): *see* admissibility (ECtHR) (*ratione temporis* (temporal jurisdiction)/applicability of ECHR to claims arising before entry into force/non-retroactivity of the Convention (VCLT 28))

non-retroactivity as general principle of international law 149-53

**Russian Federation (1991-): *see also* Janowiec (Grand Chamber)**

Criminal Code (CC) (1996 as amended 2012) by article

78(5) (statutory limitation period: suspension in case of crimes under CC 353, CC 356, CC 357 and CC 358) 99

353 (aggressive war) 99

356 (prohibited means of warfare: direct effect of treaty) 99

357 (genocide) 99

358 (ecocide) 99

Criminal Procedure Code 2001 (CCrP) by article

24(1) (discontinuation of criminal proceedings) 97

42 (status of victim) 97

Rehabilitation Act 1991 (Law No 1761-1) by section

Preamble (object and purpose) 98

1 (definitions), political repression 98

2 (applicability) 98

3 (persons eligible for rehabilitation) 98

State secret information (Regulation No 973 (1997) on transfer to foreign States and international organizations) 99

State Secrets Act (Law No 5485-I of 21 July 1993) by section

5(4) (categories of information constituting a State secret: information about intelligence, counterintelligence and operational activities), text 98-9

**Russian Federation (1991-) (cont.)**

- 7 (information which may not be declared a State secret), text 99
- 13 (declassification procedure) 99
- as successor to USSR 165-6
- treaties, treaty succession 165-6

**Selangor:** *see Keyu* (right to public inquiry into 1948 Batang Kali Killings)

**separation of powers**, State immunity from jurisdiction and 502

**ships, definitions/classification as**

- inclusion of “every person involved or interested in” ship’s operation as part of unit of the ship
- nationality of persons on board, relevance 216
- Saiga (No 2)* 216
- Virginia G* 216, 463-6

**ships, nationality/registration (including UNCLOS 91)**

- diplomatic protection and 214-16, 394-408, 463-6
- Flag State’s right to determine (UNCLOS 91(1)) 451-6
- genuine link requirement (UNCLOS 91(1))
- jurisprudence
  - Saiga (No 2)* 213, 451-6
  - Virginia G* 210-14, 252, 451-6
- nationality of crew members, relevance 252

**special mission/envoy (with particular reference to the UN Convention on Special Missions (1969) (CSM))**

- CSM, parties to 662-3, 684-5
- customary international law (CIL) and
  - CAHDI survey of State practice (2012) 672-3
  - 2018 update 690
  - representativeness of participation 683-4, 688-90
  - Russian response 690
  - US response 687
- co-existence of CSM and CIL 684-5
- CSM as a measure of codification and progressive development of international law 662, 684-5
- decisions of international courts and tribunals 670
- exceptions to immunity, possibility of
  - limitation of immunity to official acts 692
  - serious international crimes including torture 691-2
- as narrow and simple rule 698
- State practice 669-73
- teachings of publicists 673, 691
- definition (CSM 1(a)) (temporary status/*mission en passant*) 661-2, 683
- Havana Convention on Diplomatic Officers (1928) 685
- ILC work on (1960-7) 662, 667-9, 684-5
- ILC work on the immunity of State officials from foreign criminal jurisdiction (2008) 669
- jurisprudence
  - Arrest Warrant* 670
  - Dogan v. Barak* 686-7
  - Khurts Bat* case 670-1
  - Lewis v. Mutond* 686-7

*Mutual Assistance* 670

*Philippines v. Marcos* 686

Restatement of Foreign Relations Law (fourth) (2017) 687

### **State immunity**

as absolute bar to legal proceedings 508

classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*

applicable law

customary international law (CIL) 508-9

ECSI 508-9

issue of government bonds (contractual nature) 509

legislative debt restructuring 509

effective remedy and, absolute immunity as superior rule 504

separation of powers and, executive/ministerial authorization, relevance 499,  
502

timing of assertion

after final binding decision 500-1

at any time in the proceedings 500-1, 508

### **State Immunity Act 1978 (UK) (SIA) by section (Part I (proceedings in the UK by or against other States))**

3(1)(a) (commercial transaction exception) 533

3(3)(c) (transaction or activity entered into other than in exercise of sovereign authority)  
533

8 (membership of bodies corporate) 533

14 (States entitled to immunities and privileges) 533

### **State Immunity Act 1978 (UK) (SIA) by section (Part III (miscellaneous and supplementary))**

20(1) (applicability of DPA 1964 to head of State) 533-4

“subject to . . . any necessary modifications”

duration of immunity (*Pinochet*) 536

“exercised . . . in the receiving State” (VCLT 31(1)(c)), disapplication in respect of  
a head of State, whether “necessary” 532, 545-50

“forming part of his household” (VCDR 37(1)), whether extended version

“necessary” in case of head of State 538-46

legislative history 535-6

20(1)(b) (applicability of DPA [VCDR 37(1)] to family members forming part of his  
household) 531-2, 538-46

head of State and diplomatic immunity, distinguishability 539-46

20(5) (applicability to sovereign or head of State granted privileges and immunities in  
Part I of Act) 533-4

21 (certificate of Secretary of State: conclusiveness) 534

### **State practice**

evidence of

judicial and arbitral decisions (international courts and tribunals)  
670

treaties 669-70

as evidence/source of customary international law, jurisprudence, *Freedom and Justice*  
*Party* 669-73

### **State responsibility**

for conduct of predecessor government

continuing responsibility of predecessor State 617-19

action “in respect of” successor government 618-19

adoption of act by successor government 618-19

**State responsibility** (*cont.*)

jurisprudence

*Keyu* 617-19*Lighthouses Arbitration* (1956) 618-19*Mwandingi* 618-19*West Rand* 618

for failure of State organ to comply with treaty obligations (ILC(SR) 4) 139-40

ILC Articles as customary international law (CIL) 271-2

**“subject of the dispute”, obligation to indicate in application (ITLOS 24(1) and ITLOS Rule 54)** 397-400, 456-61**timeliness/obligation to act without undue delay**, *Brecknell* 639, 648**torture** (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), obligation to investigate, jurisprudence 115**travaux préparatoires as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32)**, in respect of, VCDR 37(1) 538-9**treaties**

modification between certain of the parties provided “modification in question is not prohibited by the treaty” (VCLT 40(1)(b)) 60-1

successive treaties relating to same subject matter (VCLT 30), primacy of later treaty (VCLT 30(4)(a)) (*lex posterior*) 60**treaty interpretation**

context (VCLT 31(2))

instrument made between one or more of the parties in connection with the conclusion of the treaty (VCLT 31(2)(b)), EU Statement on ECT 26(3)(b)(ii) 51-4

treaty as a whole/relationship with

other articles in same treaty 49-51

other clauses of same article 446-8

*Vattenfall* 49-55

[natural and] ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1))

in context 49-55

**treaty succession (including VCSST)**, human rights treaties/clean slate theory 165-6**UNCLOS (1982), modalities**

as balance between rights of coastal States and other States 341-2

implementing legislation, desirability of uniformity 339-40

**United Kingdom**

customary international law (CIL) “as part of” municipal law 692-700

compatibility with domestic constitutional principles, statutory law and common law rules as test 592-4, 601-4, 692-4, 698-700

conflicting statute and 592-4, 601-4

developments in customary international law and, *stare decisis* doctrine 602-3

incorporation/implementing legislation, need for 602-3

criminal offence, creation of 692-3

reception of CIL rule on immunity from criminal jurisdiction distinguished 694-8

treaties distinguished 694-5

Diplomatic Privileges Act 1964 (DPA)

head of State “subject to . . . any necessary modifications”: *see* State Immunity Act 1978 (UK) (SIA) by section (Part III (miscellaneous and supplementary)), 20(1) (applicability of DPA 1964 to head of State)

- s 4 (evidence of entitlement to immunity: executive certificate) 531-2, 534
- Schedule 1 (VCLT articles having the force of law in the UK) 534-5
- diplomatic privileges and immunities, entitlement, family members “forming part of household” (VCDR 37(1)/SIA 20(1)(b)): *see* State Immunity Act 1978 (UK) (SIA) by section (Part III (miscellaneous and supplementary)), 20(1)(b) (applicability of DPA [VCDR 37(1)] to family members forming part of his household)
- ECtHR admissibility, UK courts’ right to depart from ECtHR practice 585
- head of State immunity from jurisdiction, entitlement
  - family members “forming part of his household” (VCDR 37(1)) 537
  - relationship of dependence vs functional responsibilities 539, 541-6
- Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA): *see* Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA)
- Inquiries Act 2005
  - purposes of inquiry 653-4
  - s 1(1) (grounds for inquiry) 564
- judicial review/justiciability, scope/standard of review
  - reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle) 595-7, 601, 643, 652-7
  - proportionality test (ECHR/EU law) compared/proposed move to 597-600
- life, right to (ECHR 2), obligation to investigate death: *see Keyu* (right to public inquiry into 1948 Batang Kali Killings)
- special mission/envoy (with particular reference to the UN Convention on Special Missions (1969) (CSM)): *see also Freedom and Justice Party* case
  - UK practice 663, 670-1
  - UK status vis-à-vis Convention/obligation not to defeat object and purpose (VCLT 18) 662
- United States of America (USA)**
  - head of State immunity from jurisdiction, entitlement of family members, Prince of Wales (*Kilroy v. Windsor*) 542-3
  - special mission/envoy
    - immunities 671, 686-7
    - CAHDI survey, response to 687
  - jurisprudence
    - Dogan v. Barak* 686-7
    - Lewis v. Mutond* 686-7
    - Philippines v. Marcos* 686
  - Restatement of Foreign Relations Law (fourth) (2017) 687
- Vattenfall/FRG Arbitration (*Achmea* issue) (applicability of ECJ judgment to Tribunal’s ECT-based jurisdiction)**
  - ECJ judgment (*Achmea*) 11-17
    - comments on EU law 13-14
    - final conclusion 14
    - questions referred to the Court 12-13
    - relevant EU treaty law (TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) 12
  - EC’s observations
    - applicability of EU law to the Tribunal’s jurisdiction 28-9
    - implications of the ECJ judgment 29-32
  - parties’ positions (claimants)
    - applicability of EU law to the Tribunal’s jurisdiction 23-4
    - implications of the ECJ judgment 24-8
    - timeliness of respondent’s jurisdictional objection 22-3

- Vattenfall/FRG Arbitration (Achmea issue) (applicability of ECJ judgment to Tribunal's ECT-based jurisdiction)* (cont.)**
- parties' positions (respondent)
    - applicability of EU law to the Tribunal's jurisdiction 18-19
    - implications of the ECJ judgment 19-22
    - timeliness of respondent's jurisdictional objection 18
  - procedural background
    - EC's intervention as a non-disputing party (AR 37(2)) 8-10
      - costs associated with 10-11
    - procedural context (general) 8
    - procedural context (parties' comments on the *Achmea* issue) 8-10
    - respondent's jurisdictional objection (AR 41) 10
    - scope of decision (*Achmea* issue) 7
      - reason for separate decision 7-8
  - Tribunal's considerations (applicable law (jurisdiction)) 35-47
    - ECT 26, applicability as source of Tribunal's jurisdiction 38-9
      - "as interpreted and applied in accordance with principles of international law" (VCLT 31(3)(c)) 39-40
    - ECT 26(6) as choice of law clause (ICSID 42(1)) 36-8
    - EU law/ECJ judgment, role 39-46
      - ECJ judgment (preclusion of BIT-based arbitral tribunal) 40-1
      - EU law as international law (ICJ 38(1)) 41-4
      - EU law as "relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties" (VCLT 31(3)(c)) 44-7
      - primacy of EU law, exclusion 39-40
    - law applicable to jurisdiction and to merits distinguished (ICSID 42(1)) 36-7
    - options (ECT 26(4)(a)) 38-9
    - parties' arguments/EC observations 36
    - Tribunal's conclusion 47
  - Tribunal's considerations (interpretation of ECT 26 ("contracting party", effect on intra-EU disputes)) 47-58
    - absence of "disconnecting" clause ensuring non-applicability to EU Member States 56-7
    - context (VCLT 31(2))
      - ECT 1(2), ECT 1(3) and ECT 1(10) 49-51
      - ECT 16 (relation to other agreements) 54-5
      - EU Statement on ECT 26(3)(b)(ii) (as instrument made between one or more of the parties in connection with the conclusion of the treaty (VCLT 31(2)(b))) 51-4
    - object and purpose (ECT 2/European Energy Charter II(4)) 55-6
    - ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1)) 48-9
      - in context (VCLT 31(2)) 49-55
    - Tribunal's conclusion 58
  - Tribunal's considerations (priority in case of conflict between TFEU 267/TFEU 344 and ECT 26)
    - differences of subject matter and scope as preclusion of conflict 58-9
    - existence of conflict 58-9
    - lex specialis* rule (ECT 16 vs TFEU 351) 61-3
    - modification provided "modification in question is not prohibited by the treaty" requirement (VCLT 40(1)(b)) 60-1
      - ECT 16 (relation to other agreements) as prohibition 61
    - primacy of later treaty (VCLT 30(4)(a)) (*lex posterior*) 60

- Tribunal's considerations (timeliness of respondent's objection relating to the ECJ judgment) 33-5
- competence/jurisdiction, Tribunal's right/duty to exercise *ex proprio motu/ex officio* 35
- ECJ judgment as a "fact" 34
  - as a "new fact" 33-5, 41
- respondent's comments on extension of ECJ judgment to ECT arbitration, relevance 34
- Tribunal's decision 63
  - enforceability 63
- Virginia G (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS):** *see* *Virginia G* (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (admissibility, jurisdiction and background); *Virginia G* (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (merits); *Virginia G* (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (reparations and costs)
- Virginia G (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (admissibility, jurisdiction and background)**
  - admissibility and evaluation of the evidence (separate opinions and declaration) 313-25
    - burden/standard of proof 325
  - admissibility (exhaustion of local remedies (UNCLOS 295)) 216-22, 348
    - parties' arguments
      - Guinea-Bissau 216-18, 382-4, 392-4
      - Panama 218-20, 388-92
    - separate opinions and declarations
      - direct injury to State/inter-State disputes distinguished 306-11, 347-8, 384-5, 466-71
      - effective remedy, need for (ILC(DP) 14) 311
      - preclusion of claims (special agreement) 290-2
    - Tribunal's analysis and conclusion 220-2
      - direct injury to State/inter-State disputes distinguished 221-2, 394-408
      - exhaustion of local remedies: claim in respect of injury to a national (ILC(DP) 14(1)) 221
      - preclusion of claims (special agreement) 209-10, 220-1
  - admissibility (genuine link (UNCLOS 91)) 210-14
    - parties' arguments (Guinea-Bissau) 210-11, 379-82
    - parties' arguments (Panama) 211-12
    - separate opinions and declarations 384-5
    - Tribunal's analysis and conclusion 212-14
      - effective exercise of jurisdiction and control/incorporation of COLREGS (UNCLOS 94) 213-14
      - Panama's compliance with MARPOL/SOLAS 214
  - admissibility (nationality of claims/diplomatic protection/ILC(DP) 18) 214-16
    - parties' arguments (Guinea-Bissau) 387
    - parties' arguments (Panama) 385-6
    - separate opinions and declarations 394-408, 456-61
      - inclusion of "every person involved or interested in" ship's operation as part of unit of the ship 463-6
    - Tribunal's analysis and conclusions
      - inclusion of "every person involved or interested in" ship's operation as part of unit of the ship 209-10, 216
      - nationality of persons on board, relevance 216
  - admissibility (timing of objections) 208-10
    - parties' arguments (Guinea-Bissau) 208, 376
    - parties' arguments (Panama) 208-9, 375-6
    - separate opinions and declarations (single-phase proceedings) 376-8
    - Tribunal's conclusions (single-phase proceedings) 209-10

***Virginia G (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (admissibility, jurisdiction and background) (cont.)***

- background (factual)
  - available local remedies (Ndiaye J) 404-8
  - events leading to claim 201-7
  - fishing conditions off the West African coast 360-3
  - SRFC, membership and role 360-1
  - vessels involved 363-5
- background (procedural): *see also* ITLOS Rules
  - appointment of ad hoc Judges 186
  - counterclaim 187
    - admissibility 187
  - extensions of time-limits 186-7
  - institution of proceedings 185
  - parties' agreement to submit dispute to ITLOS 184-5
  - parties' submissions (Guinea-Bissau)
    - counter-memorial 197
    - final submission 199-200
    - rejoinder 197
  - parties' submissions (Panama)
    - additional pleading 196-7
    - final submission 197-9
    - memorial 194-5
    - reply 195-6
    - Statement of Claim 193-4
  - procedural arrangements 185-6
  - public hearings 189-93
  - jurisdiction (special agreement/UNCLOS 286/UNCLOS 287/UNCLOS 288/ITLOS Statute 21) 207-8, 372-4
  - Tribunal's decision 276-80
- Virginia G (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (merits)***
  - alleged breach of UNCLOS 56, UNCLOS 58 and UNCLOS 73(1) (parties' arguments)
    - Guinea-Bissau 227-30
    - Panama 222-6
  - alleged breach of UNCLOS 56, UNCLOS 58 and UNCLOS 73(1) (separate opinions)
    - adoption of laws and regulations for access by foreign fishing vessels to coastal State's EEZ (UNCLOS 56(1)/UNCLOS 62(4)), direct connection to fishing requirement 419-22, 424-31
    - confiscation of bunkering vessel and gas oil on board 404-8, 471-85
    - confiscation of vessel as excluded penalty (UNCLOS 73(1)) 292-3
    - interpretation of UNCLOS 73(1) in context of UNCLOS 73 as a whole 446-8
    - laws and regulations for the protection of living resources, measures to ensure compliance (UNCLOS 73(1)), conformity of Guinea-Bissau's regulations 330-2
      - breach of, mitigating circumstances (including misunderstanding of the requirements) 354-5, 407, 474-6
      - coastal State's margin of appreciation/right to choose method of exercising enforcement measures 356-8
      - "ensure compliance" 330-2
      - flexibility of sanctions regime and 471-3
      - judicial review, possibility of 356-8, 476-85
      - "as may be necessary" 297-8, 332-43, 355-9

- prompt release on posting of reasonable bond (UNCLOS 73(2)), applicability to bunkering vessels 293-4
- regulation of the refuelling of fishing vessels (bunkering)
  - authorization legislation, conformity with the Convention 318-25
  - bunkering as activity ancillary to that of refuelled vessel 296-8, 435-8
  - compatibility of bunkering regulation with freedom of navigation (UNCLOS 58) 408-19
  - protection and preservation of the marine environment (UNCLOS 56(1)(b)(iii)) 289-90
  - residual rights/UNCLOS 59 (resolution of conflicts) 282-3
  - uncertainty of the legal regime relating to 280-5, 419-22
  - “sovereign rights” (UNCLOS 56(1)(a)) 293-4, 297, 329-30, 341-2, 356-7, 405-6, 408-19, 422-3, 445-8, 476-85
- alleged breach of UNCLOS 56, UNCLOS 58 and UNCLOS 73(1) (Tribunal’s analysis and conclusions) 230-44
- adoption of laws and regulations for access by foreign fishing vessels to coastal State’s EEZ (UNCLOS 56(1)/UNCLOS 62(4)) 232-4
  - bunkering authorization procedure including fees, acceptability 236-7
  - compatibility of bunkering regulation with freedom of navigation (UNCLOS 58) 234
  - consistency with UNCLOS (UNCLOS 62(4)) 232, 235-6
  - direct connection to fishing requirement 232
  - regulation of bunkering as permissible measure 233-4
- alleged breach of SUAC 2 (applicability to warships) 263
- laws and regulations for the protection of living resources, measures to ensure compliance (UNCLOS 73(1)), conformity of Guinea-Bissau’s regulations 239
  - boarding and inspecting 242
  - breach of, mitigating factors (including misunderstanding of the requirements) 242, 287-9
  - confiscation of bunkering vessel 240
  - confiscation of vessel and gas oil on board 241
  - parties’ arguments (Guinea-Bissau) 242
  - parties’ arguments (Panama) 241
  - “reasonable in the circumstances” test 243
  - “such measures as may be necessary” 241-2
- rights, jurisdiction and duties of coastal State (UNCLOS 56) 231-4
  - “conserving and managing” (UNCLOS 56(1)(a)) 232
  - “sovereign rights” (UNCLOS 56(1)(a)) 232, 284-5
- Tribunal’s conclusion 244
- Virginia G*’s compliance with authorization requirements
  - parties’ arguments (Guinea-Bissau) 238
  - parties’ arguments (Panama) 237-8
  - Tribunal’s conclusion 239
- alleged breach of UNCLOS 73(2) (prompt release of vessels and crews on posting of reasonable bond or financial security) 244
  - parties’ arguments
    - Guinea-Bissau 245
    - Panama 244
- separate opinions and declarations 439
- Tribunal’s analysis and conclusions 245
  - conformity of Guinea-Bissau’s law 245
  - municipal law of coastal State as applicable law 245
  - “reasonable” 246
  - Tribunal’s conclusion 248

***Virginia G (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (merits) (cont.)***

- alleged breach of UNCLOS 73(3) (exclusion of imprisonment/corporal punishment as means of enforcing laws) 248
- parties' arguments, Guinea-Bissau 249
- separate opinions and declarations, "imprisonment" 325-8, 439
- Tribunal's analysis and conclusion
  - conformity of Guinea-Bissau's law 250
  - detention pending completion of proceedings 250
  - temporary withholding of passport, whether 250
  - Tribunal's conclusion 251
- alleged breach of UNCLOS 73(4) (obligation to notify flag State of action taken and any penalties subsequently imposed)
  - parties' arguments
    - Guinea-Bissau 251-2
    - Panama 251
  - separate opinions and declarations 439
  - Tribunal's analysis and conclusions
    - conformity of Guinea-Bissau's law 252
    - genuine link requirement (UNCLOS 91(1)), relevance of nationality of crew members 252
    - Guinea-Bissau's failure to notify Panama of actions 252
- alleged breach of UNCLOS 110 (high seas: right of visit)/UNCLOS 224 (enforcement powers) (parties' arguments)
  - Guinea-Bissau 255, 259
  - Panama 255, 258
- alleged breach of UNCLOS 110 (high seas: right of visit)/UNCLOS 224 (enforcement powers) (Tribunal's analysis and conclusions) 260
- applicability to EEZ
  - principles derived from UNCLOS 110 255-6
  - principles derived from UNCLOS 224 256
- coastal State's right to choose method of exercising enforcement measures 257
- "due regard" to rights and duties of other States/conformity with the Convention (UNCLOS 56(2))
  - "with all possible consideration" (UNCLOS 110(2)) distinguished 257-8
  - alleged excessive use of force 260
- alleged breach of UNCLOS 225 (duty to avoid adverse consequences in the exercise of the powers of enforcement) 260-3
  - parties' arguments
    - Guinea-Bissau 261-2
    - Panama 261
  - Tribunal's analysis and conclusion, applicability of UNCLOS 225 to enforcement powers generally including UNCLOS 73(1) powers 262
- alleged breach of UNCLOS 300 (good faith and abuse of rights) 263-6
  - parties' arguments
    - Guinea-Bissau 265
    - Panama 263-4
  - separate opinions and declarations 328-9
  - Tribunal's analysis and conclusion 265-6
    - ancillary nature/obligation to identify impugned rights and obligations 265-6
- counterclaim (damage caused to Guinea-Bissau by alleged breach of genuine link requirement (UNCLOS 91))

- parties' arguments
  - Guinea-Bissau 266-7
  - Panama 267
- separate opinions and declarations 461-2
- Tribunal's conclusion 267
- laws and regulations for the protection of living resources, measures to ensure compliance (UNCLOS 73(1)), ("such measures as may be necessary"), conformity of Guinea-Bissau's measures 285-7
- separate opinions and declarations
  - Akl J (separate opinion) 298-306
  - Cot and Kelly JJ (joint separate opinion) 306-11
  - Gao J (declaration) 283-9
  - Hoffmann VP and Marotta Rangel, Chandrasekhara Rao, Kateka, Gao and Bouguetaia JJ (joint dissenting opinion) 346-59
  - Jesus J (dissenting opinion) 445-62
  - Kelly and Attard JJ (joint declaration) 289-90
  - Kulyk J (declaration) 290-4
  - Lucky J (separate opinion) 311-29
  - Ndiaye J (dissenting opinion) 359-445
  - Nelson J (declaration) 280-3
  - Paik J (separate opinion) 329-46
  - Servulo Correia, Judge ad hoc (dissenting opinion) 462-96
  - Treves, Judge ad hoc (declaration) 294-8
- Virginia G (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (ITLOS) (reparations and costs)***
  - costs 276
  - reparation/responsibility and liability for damage (UNCLOS 304) (parties' arguments)
    - Guinea-Bissau 269-71
    - Panama 267-9
  - reparation/responsibility and liability for damage (UNCLOS 304) (separate opinions)
    - causality/direct link to illegal confiscation, need for 495-6
    - compensation for lost profit claim/causality 294-6, 328-9, 343-6
    - legitimacy of Guinea-Bissau's actions/contributory fault 440-4, 449-51, 485-95
  - reparation/responsibility and liability for damage (UNCLOS 304) (Tribunal's analysis and conclusions) 271-6
    - applicability of general international law/*Chorzów Factory* principle/ILC(SR) 1 and ILC(SR) 31(1) 271-2
    - causality/direct link to illegal confiscation, need for 273-4
    - compensation as adequate form of reparation 274
    - finding of international wrongfulness, sufficiency 275-6
- war crimes/crimes against humanity, statutory limitation**
  - customary international law (CIL) 163-4
  - ECHR 7(2) 164
  - Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, UN Convention on the Non-Applicability of (1968) 163-4