

THE VICTORIAN CULT OF SHAKESPEARE

In the Victorian era, William Shakespeare's work was often celebrated as a sacred text: a sort of secular English Bible. Even today, Shakespeare remains a uniquely important literary figure. Yet Victorian criticism took on religious dimensions that now seem outlandish in retrospect. Ministers wrote sermons based upon Shakespearean texts and delivered them from pulpits in Christian churches. Some scholars crafted devotional volumes to compare his texts directly with the Bible's. Still others created Shakespearean societies in the faith that his inspiration was not like that of other playwrights. Charles LaPorte uses such examples from the Victorian cult of Shakespeare to illustrate the complex relationship between religion, literature, and secularization. His work helps to illuminate a curious but crucial chapter in the history of modern literary studies in the West, as well as its connections with Biblical scholarship and textual criticism.

CHARLES LAPORTE is an Associate Professor of English at the University of Washington. His *Victorian Poets and the Changing Bible* (2011) was awarded the Sonya Rudikoff Prize for the best first book in Victorian studies.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN NINETEENTH-CENTURY LITERATURE
AND CULTURE

General editor
Gillian Beer, *University of Cambridge*

Editorial board
Isobel Armstrong, *Birkbeck, University of London*
Kate Flint, *University of Southern California*
Catherine Gallagher, *University of California, Berkeley*
D. A. Miller, *University of California, Irvine*
J. Hillis Miller, *University of California, Irvine*
Daniel Pick, *Birkbeck, University of London*
Mary Poovey, *New York University*
Sally Shuttleworth, *University of Oxford*
Herbert Tucker, *University of Virginia*

Nineteenth-century British literature and culture have been rich fields for interdisciplinary studies. Since the turn of the twentieth century, scholars and critics have tracked the intersections and tensions between Victorian literature and the visual arts, politics, social organization, economic life, technical innovations, scientific thought – in short, culture in its broadest sense. In recent years, theoretical challenges and historiographical shifts have unsettled the assumptions of previous scholarly synthesis and called into question the terms of older debates. Whereas the tendency in much past literary critical interpretation was to use the metaphor of culture as “background,” feminist, Foucauldian, and other analyses have employed more dynamic models that raise questions of power and of circulation. Such developments have reanimated the field. This series aims to accommodate and promote the most interesting work being undertaken on the frontiers of the field of nineteenth-century literary studies: work which intersects fruitfully with other fields of study such as history, or literary theory, or the history of science. Comparative as well as interdisciplinary approaches are welcomed.

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49615-5 — The Victorian Cult of Shakespeare
Charles LaPorte
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

THE VICTORIAN CULT
OF SHAKESPEARE

Bardology in the Nineteenth Century

CHARLES LAPORTE

University of Washington



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
 978-1-108-49615-5 — The Victorian Cult of Shakespeare
 Charles LaPorte
 Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
 UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
 314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
 New Delhi – 110025, India
 79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108496155

DOI: 10.1017/97811088866262

© Charles LaPorte 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: LaPorte, Charles, 1972– author.

TITLE: The Victorian cult of Shakespeare : bardology in the nineteenth century / Charles LaPorte.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2021. | Series: Cambridge studies in nineteenth-century literature and culture | Includes index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2020018090 (print) | LCCN 2020018091 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108496155 (hardback) | ISBN 97811088866262 (ebook)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Shakespeare, William, 1564–1616 – Criticism and interpretation – History – 19th century. | Religion and literature – England – History – 19th century.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC PR2969 .L37 2021 (print) | LCC PR2969 (ebook) | DDC 822.3/3–dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020018090>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020018091>

ISBN 978-1-108-49615-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49615-5 — The Victorian Cult of Shakespeare
Charles LaPorte
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

To Joel Moore, 1946–2016

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49615-5 — The Victorian Cult of Shakespeare
Charles LaPorte
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> viii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xi
Introduction	I
1 Shakespearean Sermons and Other Pious Texts	22
2 The Harmonies and Beauties of Devotional Shakespeare Volumes	50
3 The Sonnets and the Messiah	80
4 The Authority of the (Missing) Author	103
5 Shakespearean Clerisies and Perfect Texts	121
Conclusion: Concealed Wonders and Choice Treasures	146
<i>Notes</i>	163
<i>Selected Bibliography</i>	196
<i>Index</i>	209

Figures

1.1	From J. F. Timmins, <i>The Poet-Priest: Shakespearian Sermons Compiled for the Use of Students and Public Readers</i> (1884), p. 33	page 40
2.1	From Sir Frederick Beilby Watson, <i>Religious and Moral Sentences Culled from the Works of Shakespeare, Compared with Sacred Passages Drawn from Holy Writ</i> (1843), pp. 50–51	57
2.2	From C. A. Swinburne, <i>Sacred and Shakespearian Affinities: Being Analogies Between the Writings of the Psalmists and of Shakespeare</i> (1890), pp. 38–39	61
2.3	From W. H. Malcolm, <i>Shakspeare and Holy Writ</i> (1881), pp. 74–75	65
3.1	From Charles Ellis, <i>Shakspeare and the Bible: Fifty Sonnets, with Their Scriptural Harmonies</i> (1896), pp. 30–31	83
3.2	From Charles Ellis, <i>Shakspeare and the Bible: Fifty Sonnets, with Their Scriptural Harmonies</i> (1896), pp. 32–33	87

Preface

The following volume explores the religious orientation of nineteenth-century Shakespeare criticism in Britain and North America and considers the cultural fortunes of a figure that Tricia Lootens has called, not altogether facetiously, “St. Shakespeare.”¹ It attempts nothing like a general summary of Shakespeare in the Victorian era, especially since admirable summaries already exist. For instance, there are at least three different books entitled *Shakespeare and the Victorians*, most recently by Adrian Poole (2004) and Stuart Sillars (2013).² My book complements such general studies by focusing upon Shakespeare’s unlikely place in religious contexts such as sermons and upon the devotional aspects of Victorian literary study.

It may be helpful to state in advance that the Victorian cult of Shakespeare does funny things to the playwright’s textual legacy and often removes it from the world of stagecraft (where we tend to look for Shakespeare). Sillars signals this circumstance by addressing “the cult of ‘Shakespeare the man,’” a formulation also adopted by Clara Calvo and Coppélia Kahn, yet this phrase risks misrepresenting the situation in another way, since Shakespeare’s cachet cannot be reduced to his manhood any more than to his oeuvre.³ Michael Dobson’s vague “‘Shakespeare’ as an idea” may serve better because of how it removes the question from the realms of dramatic performance and even text.⁴ Thus, for instance, Alexander C. Y. Huang writes in *Chinese Shakespeares: Two Centuries of Cultural Exchange* (2009) that “One of the most intriguing cases of nineteenth-century Asian encounters with Western culture is the Chinese reception of ‘Shakespeare’ as an idea for half a century before he was a text or performance event.”⁵ My religious archive offers a different “intriguing case,” and I hope to contribute to the rush of exciting new scholarship being written on Shakespeare in various nineteenth-century contexts, both in the anglophone world and beyond it. While this book is not about Shakespearean performance, then, still it helps to clarify the

cultural conditions that help to secure Shakespeare's singular place in Western culture.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge up front that religion is a challenging topic to write about with balance and respect. For instance, the term *cult* itself sometimes carries strongly negative associations. But it derives from *cultus*, the Latin word for veneration, and this undergirds scholarly usages such as those mentioned above. I employ the term in a positive and nonpejorative way, as sociologists of religion do when writing of various cultic practices, or as scholars (including believers) address the cult of Mary or the cult of saints. (Contrariwise, the French use *culte* for Protestant religion and worship more generally: “le culte protestant.”) After some hesitation, I retain it because no other term so succinctly brings home the religious tenor of Victorian ideas about Shakespeare.

Acknowledgments

Any book as slow to develop as this one will accumulate more debts than it is possible to repay. This book was inspired years ago by a visit to the Joseph Crosby Collection at the University of Michigan Special Collections Library. I thank the then-curator, Kathryn Beam, for calling it to my attention, and to the present curator, Martha O'Hara Conway and to the UM Libraries for permission to reprint my images from their collections. (Thanks also to Yopie Prins for help with logistics!) I am grateful likewise to the archivists at the University of Washington Libraries, the Folger Shakespeare Library, the Shakespeare Birthplace Trust Library, the Huntington Library, and the British Library, all of which have helped me with the research. Faye Christenberry and Elliott Stevens of UW Libraries have been especially helpful.

I thank my Cambridge series editor, Gillian Beer, and acquisitions editor, Bethany Thomas, as well as her predecessor, Linda Bree, for their support and enthusiasm. I thank the content manager, Natasha Burton, project manager, Akash Datchinamurthy, and my copy-editor, Kathleen Fearn, who have all been wonderful. I also thank my anonymous readers for their generous and thoughtful responses.

Earlier versions of Chapters 2 and 4 were published in Travis DeCook and Alan Galey's *Shakespeare, the Bible, and the Form of the Book* (2012) and *ELH*, respectively. I am grateful to Routledge and Johns Hopkins University Press for permission to reprint this material. I am grateful to have been able to present some of this work at the Northeast Victorian Studies Association, at the North American Victorian Studies Association, at the Modern Languages Association, at the Victorian Studies Association of Western Canada, at the Shakespeare Association of America Conference, at the Conference on Christianity and Literature, at the 50th Anniversary Conference for the journal *Victorian Poetry*, and at the ACT Theatre here in Seattle. I am also grateful to have been invited to present at Seattle University courtesy of David Leigh, S. J., at Princeton

University courtesy of Meredith Martin and Sarah Rivett, at Cambridge University courtesy of Simon Goldhill and the CRASSH seminar on “The Bible and Antiquity in 19th-Century Culture,” and finally at Duke University courtesy of Thomas Pfau and Devin Buckley.

I am grateful to my recent department chairs at UW for their support: Gary Handwerk, Brian Reed, and Anis Bawarshi. Thanks to other colleagues who read parts, including Joe Butwin, Habiba Ibrahim, Jeff Knight, Jesse Taylor, and Juliet Shields. Other colleagues whose conversation has been especially helpful include David Bosworth, Jessica Burstein, Andrew Feld, and Pimone Triplett. Thanks to a handful of (one-time) grad students whose timely insights helped: Jessica Campbell, Caitlin Hansen, Sarah Kremen-Hicks, Hee Eun Lee, Sharleen Mondal, Nicole Peters, Matt Poland.

I am grateful to the Simpson Center of the Humanities for their support, and for my fellows at the Society of Scholars 2014–2015, especially Beatrice Arduini, Habiba Ibrahim, Leigh Mercer, Cabeiri Robinson, Ben Schmidt, and Zeynep Seviner, as well as to our director, Kathy Woodward and assistant director, Rachel Arteaga. I am grateful to the Historical Poetics group for developing my thinking: Max Cavitch, Michael Cohen, Mary Ellis Gibson, Jennie Jackson, Erin Kappeler, Naomi Levine, Tricia Lootens, Meredith Martin, Meredith McGill, Yopie Prins, Jason Rudy, Alex Socarides, and Carolyn Williams.

Those whose conversation or correspondence has been memorably useful at various points in the construction of this book include Lee Behlman, Kirstie Blair, Andreea Boboc, Jo Carruthers, Molly Clark-Hillard, Jay Clayton, Travis DeCook, Carolyn Dever, Karen Dieleman, Richa Dwor, Laura Fiss, Alan Galey, Erik Gray, Bryn Gribben, Jonathan Grossman, Emily Harrington, Linda Hughes, Michael Hurley, Deanna Kreisel, Ruth Jackson, Priti Joshi, Deni Kasa, Laura Kilbride, Josh King, Alison Knight, Mark Knight, Gary Kuchar, Sebastian Lecourt, Michael Ledger-Lomas, Margaret Linley, Bill McKelvy, Joe McQueen, Sharmila Mukherjee, Daniel Pollack-Pelzner, Adrian Poole, Kathy Psomiades, Alexander Regier, Cynthia Scheinberg, Lee Scheingold, Mark Schoenfield, Anne Stiles, Marjorie Stone, Chip Tucker, Joel Walker, Ross Wilson. I am sure that I am forgetting others, about which I feel terrible.

Thanks finally to Colette Moore, my wife, whom I cannot forget here. Her brilliant mind and good heart supported my work at every stage. And to my family, both nuclear and extended, especially my late father-in-law, to whom I dedicate this book.