

INDEX

Abbreviations used in the index

- 1955 Treaty (Iran–US Treaty of Amity, Economic Relations, and Consular Rights (1955))
- 2013 Regulations (Social Security (Personal Independence Payment) (Amendment) Regulations 2013 (SI 2013 No 377))
- 2017 Regulations (Social Security (Personal Independence Payment) (Amendment) Regulations 2017 (SI 2017 No 194))
- ACHPR (African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (1981))
- ACHR (American Convention on Human Rights (1969))
- AOC (Administrative Offences Code)
- AU (African Union) (formerly Organization of African Unity (OAU))
- BBankG* (FRG Bundesbank Act)
- BIOT (British Indian Ocean Territory)
- BVerfGG* (FRG Constitutional Court Law)
- CC (Civil Code/Criminal Code)
- CCL (Constitutional Court Law)
- CFR (European Charter of Fundamental Rights (2000))
- CIL (customary international law)
- CJEU (Court of Justice of the European Union)
- Committee of Twenty-Four (UN Special Committee on Decolonization)
 - CRPD (Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2006)/Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities)
- DPA (Diplomatic Privileges Act)
- ECB (European Central Bank)
- ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))
- ECtHR (European Court of Human Rights)
- EEZ (exclusive economic zone)
- EO (Executive Order)
- ESCB (European System of Central Banks)
- FCO (Foreign & Commonwealth Office)
- FSA (Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks Agreement (1995))
- GATT (General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (1994))
- GG* (Basic Law (FRG))
- HRA (Human Rights Act (UK) 1998)
- HRC (UN Human Rights Committee)
- HRC GC (UN Human Rights Committee General Comment)
- HSC (High Seas Convention (1958))
- IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency)
- ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
- ICESCR (International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966))
- ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
- ICSID (International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes)
- ILC (International Law Commission)
- ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts)

IPOA–IUU (International Plan of Action to Prevent, Deter and Eliminate Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing (2001))
 ITLOS (International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea)
 IUU fishing (illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing)
 JCPOA (Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action)
 MCA Convention (SRFC Convention on Minimum Conditions for Access and Exploitation of Marine Resources (2012))
 MPA (Marine Protected Area)
 NSGT (non-self-governing territory)
 PCIJ (Permanent Court of International Justice/PCIJ Statute)
 PIP (Personal Independence Payment)
 PSMA (Agreement on Port State Measures to Prevent, Deter and Eliminate Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing (2009))
 PSPP (Public Sector Purchase Programme)
 RFMO (regional fisheries management organization)
 RFNA (Registration of Foreign Nationals Act (No 109-FZ of 18 July 2006))
 ROC (Rules of Court)
 ROP (Rules of Procedure)
 SFNA (Status of Foreign Nationals in Russia Act (No 115-FZ of 25 July 2002))
 SRFC (Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission)
 TEC (Treaty establishing the European Community)
 TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))
 TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))
 UDHR (Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948))
 UNC (UN Charter (1945))
 UNCLOS (UN Law of the Sea Convention (1982))
 UNGA (UN General Assembly)
 UNSC (UN Security Council)
 UNSCR (UN Security Council resolution)
 VCDR (Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961))
 VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))
 WRA (Welfare Reform Act)
 WSC (Western Sahara Campaign cases)
 WTO (World Trade Organization)
 WWF (World Wide Fund for Nature)

advisory jurisdiction (ICJ/PCIJ), competence of Court (ICJ 65(1)/PCIJ 65)

“on any legal question” (UNC 96) 107-8
 “at the request of whatever body may be authorized by or in accordance with [UNC 96] to make such a request” (ICJ 65(1))
 assistance to the requesting organization in the performance of its functions/
 determination as matter for requesting body 109, 111-12, 141, 146-51, 260,
 263, 339-41, 345
 UNGA’s decolonization functions 113-15
 discretion to decline request/factors of possible relevance 108-15
 assistance to the requesting organization in the performance of its functions
 111-12
 bilateral issues, exclusion 113-15
 compelling reason, need for 109-10, 436-7
 complexity of disputed factual issues 109, 110-11

- consent of parties/non-circumvention principle 113-14, 140-51, 262-8, 339-41, 343-5
- integrity of Court's judicial function 109
- pending dispute 110, 113-15, 141, 263-8
- res judicata* and, re-examination of question already determined by UNCLOS Arbitral Tribunal 109-10, 112
- separability of question/response to 268
- sufficiency of evidence 116-17
- "exact statement of the request" requirement (ICJ 65(2)/PCIJ 65) 142
- irregularities
 - Application for Review of UNAT Judgment No 273* (Mortished) 126-7
 - Interpretation of the Agreement of 25 March 1951 between the WHO and Egypt* 126-7
 - Interpretation of the Greco-Turkish Agreement of 1 December 1926* 126-7
 - Separation of the Chagos Archipelago* 126-7
 - Western Sahara* 127
- lack of clarity/clarification by the Court
 - Chagos* 108
 - Construction of a Wall* 108
- reformulation by Court 126-7
- jurisprudence
 - Admission of a State to UN Membership* 370
 - Chagos* (Advisory Opinion) 107-15, 140-54
 - Construction of a Wall* 107, 108-9, 112, 115, 140-1
 - Immunity of a Special Rapporteur* 109
 - Interpretation of Peace Treaties with Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania* 109, 112, 141, 147, 371
 - Kosovo* (Advisory Opinion) 108-9, 111, 146-7
 - Legality of Nuclear Weapons* 107, 263, 370
 - Namibia* 110, 115
 - Privileges and Immunities of the UN Convention* 140
 - Separation of Chagos Archipelago* 107-15, 140-51, 262-8, 339-41: *see also* *Separation of Chagos Archipelago*
 - Western Sahara* 112, 113-14, 140-1, 263
- advisory jurisdiction (ITLOS Seabed Disputes Chamber) (UNCLOS 191)**
 - amicus curiae* brief 359-60
 - applicable law (Rule 138(3))
 - ICSID Rules 130-7, 371-2
 - UNCLOS 293 (ITLOS 23) 372
 - discretion to decline request/factors of possible relevance 370-1
 - compelling reason for refusal, need for 370
 - exact statement of request/clarity 370
 - ICJ 65(1) (discretion to decline) distinguished 411-12
 - impact on States not members of the SRFC, relevance 371
 - ITLOS Rules relating to
 - 133(2) (international organizations likely to be able to furnish information) 357
 - WWF, exclusion 360-1
 - 133(3) (time limits for submission of written statements) 357, 359
 - 133(4) (oral proceedings; decision to hold/date for) 360
 - 134 (public availability of written statements and documents), webcast transmission 359
 - 138 (advisory opinion on a legal question): *see* jurisdiction, requirements (Rule 138) *below*

- advisory jurisdiction (ITLOS Seabed Disputes Chamber) (UNCLOS 191)** (*cont.*)
 jurisdiction, basis (ITLOS 21)
 “all matters”/”*toutes les matières*” 365-8, 410-11, 429-30
 use in ICJ 36(1)/PCIJ 36, relevance 365, 367
 limitation to contentious jurisdiction, whether 365-8, 425-32
 relationship with UNCLOS 288, 365-6, 431-2
 Annex VI as integral part of the Convention (UNCLOS 318)/equal status with 366
 text 364
 UNCLOS as living instrument 426-7
 jurisdiction, requirements (Rule 138)
 exclusion of Rule 138 as independent source of jurisdiction 365, 366
 “legal question” (UNCLOS 191/Rule 138) 369
 abstract questions, admissibility 370
 “arising within the scope of their activities” 369-70
 specific provision in an international agreement related to the purposes of UNCLOS 364
 MCA Convention as 368-9, 430-1
 jurisprudence 369, 382, 384, 387: *see also SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion Responsibilities and Obligations*
 non-binding effect/*non res inter alios acta* 371, 412
- AG (diplomatic immunity; child protection proceedings)**
 background
 facts (in date order)
 children’s reports of abuse (25 November 2019–18 January 2020) 661-2
 children’s withdrawal of allegations (22-3 January 2020) 663
 children’s renewal of allegations (21 February 2020) 663
 parents’ signature of working together agreements (28 February 2020) 664
 history and purpose of diplomatic immunity 665-7
 procedural matters
 basis of claimed immunity (VCDR 31(1)/VCDR 37(1)) 667
 care order proceedings (21 January 2020) 662
 decision of the Foreign Office, Department for Education and the diplomatic mission not to intervene 665
 issue (clash between VCDR and ECHR) 664
 order for preliminary hearing on diplomatic immunity (3 March 2020) 662-3
 Court’s analysis (interpretation of the DPA/VCDR)
 alternatives to court proceedings 673-4
 applicant’s argument (applicability of the “forever speaking” principle/”updating construction”) 667
 HRA 3(1) obligation (interpretation of legislation: “in a way compatible with the Convention rights”) 667-73
 interpretation consistent with the natural language of the statute, limitation to 668-71
 “so far as it is possible to do so” 668-9
 VCDR preamble 4 (“purpose . . . not to benefit individuals”) 668
 uniformity of treaty interpretation, desirability 670
- Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity (Provisional Measures)**
 background (factual) (in date order)
 UNSC resolutions calling upon Iran to cease some of its nuclear activities (2006-10) 11
 adoption of JCPOA 11-12

- UNSCR 2231 (2015) endorsing JCPOA 12
- US Executive Order 13716 revoking some earlier nuclear-related sanctions (16 January 2016) 12
- US announcement of programme for reimposing sanctions (8 May 2018) 12-13
- US Presidential Memorandum announcing US withdrawal from JCPOA/ reimposition of sanctions (8 May 2018) 12
- US Executive Order 13846 reimposing certain sanctions (6 August 2018) 13
 - non-application in respect of supply of agricultural commodities, food, medicine or medical devices to Iran (EO 2(e)) 13
- background (procedural)
 - Application (16 July 2018) 7-8
 - Iran's requests 8, 10-11
 - proposed basis for jurisdiction (ICJ 36(1)/1955 Treaty XXI(2)) 8
 - appointment of judges ad hoc 9
 - Request for Provisional Measures (16 July 2018) 8-9
 - US objection to the jurisdiction (27 July 2018) 10
 - Court's conclusion/measures to be adopted
 - binding effect 36
 - Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested 35
 - dispositif* 37
 - removal of impediments to compliance with Treaty obligations 35-6
 - restraint from action which might aggravate or extend dispute 36
 - existence of a dispute as to the interpretation or application of the Treaty (1955 Treaty XXI(2)) (Court's analysis) Court's conclusion 22
 - Court's obligation to make independent assessment 15
 - JCPOA-related acts as basis of alleged dispute
 - acts related to multiple legal instruments as source of dispute relating to the interpretation of more than one treaty 18
 - JCPOA dispute settlement mechanism, relevance 18
 - need for a dispute 14
 - "not satisfactorily adjusted by diplomacy" 19-22
 - typical compromissory clause distinguished 21
 - preclusion clause (1955 Treaty XX(1)(b) and (d)), dispute as to lawful application 18-19
 - existence of a dispute as to the interpretation or application of the Treaty (1955 Treaty XXI(2)) (parties' arguments) 14-19
 - Iran 15-16
 - US 17-18
 - link between rights to be protected and measures requested
 - Court's analysis and conclusion 29
 - parties' arguments (Iran) 28
 - parties' arguments (US) 28
 - plausibility of rights to be protected
 - Court's analysis
 - Court's conclusion 27-8
 - preclusion clause (1955 Treaty XX(1)(b) and (d)), effect 27-8
 - rights claimed by Iran to be at risk 25-7
 - parties' arguments
 - Iran 23-4
 - US 24-5
 - prima facie jurisdiction 13-22

Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity (Provisional Measures) (*cont.*)

risk of irreparable prejudice/urgency

Court's analysis

absence of risk to US rights from indicated measures 35

“could occur at any moment” test 30

“irreparable prejudice” 34

undertaking to use best endeavours to ensure expedited consideration 34

parties' arguments

Iran 30-1

US 31-3

Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity (Provisional Measures), separate opinion/declaration

Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) 37-60

1955 Treaty, individuals' rights under 58-60

overview 37-40

national security vs justice 44-6

provisional measures

continuing risk of irreparable harm 52-4, 60

evolution 49-51

juridical nature 47-9

plausibility of rights to be protected 60-2

preventive dimension of international law and 51-2

prima facie jurisdiction 43-4

rights of individuals vs inter-State rights 58-60

transposition of domestic procedural law 46-7

urgency (international security/nuclear-related situations) 62-6

vulnerability of the population 52-8

treaty interpretation (“living-tree” principle) 40-2

Montaz, judge ad hoc (declaration) 69-83

dispute as threat to international peace and security, provisional measures as means of preserving 79-80

IAEA recognition that Iran was complying with JCPOA provisions 73-5

insufficiency of measures to protect rights claimed by Iran 69-70

unlawfulness of US extraterritorial measures 75-81

non-intervention principle (UNC/UNGA resolutions) 75-8

WTO/GATT 78-80

UNSCR 2231 (2015) obligations 70-5

binding effect 70-3

arbitral tribunal (UNCLOS Annex VII), jurisdiction, dispute relating to coastal State's rights in respect to living resources in EEZ (UNCLOS 297(3)) 186-8

arrest or detention, right to take proceedings to establish lawfulness (ECHR 5(4) and ECHR 6(1)) (judicial review/appeal), *Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences* 564

Bancoult 3: see *Bancoult 3* (Supreme Court), admissibility of the Wikileaks cable/inviolability of diplomatic archives and correspondence; *Bancoult 3* (Supreme Court) (establishment of MPA); BIOT; Chagos Archipelago (history and status); Chagossians/Ilois; *Separation of the Chagos Archipelago*

***Bancoult 3* (Supreme Court), admissibility of the Wikileaks cable/inviolability of diplomatic archives and correspondence**

Lady Hale (control test) 657-8

- Lord Mance, Lords Neuberger, Clarke and Reed agreeing 607-14, 635-42
- “archives and documents of the mission” (VCDR 24)
 - “of the mission” vs archives and documents of other organs of the sending State 636-7
 - post-entry into the public domain 641-2
 - “at any time and wherever they may be” (VCDR 24) 609-10
 - vs “the premises of the mission” (VCDR 22(3)) 636
 - diplomatic communications (VCDR 27) 638-41
 - object and purpose 635-6
 - document “of the mission” after transfer to third party 613-14
 - electronic document as “document” (VCDR 24)/“official correspondence” (VCDR 27(2)) 608-9
 - “inviolability” (VCDR 24 and VCDR 27(2)) 610-14, 637-42
 - jurisprudence reviewed
 - Fayed* 613, 640
 - Guardian Newspapers* 614
 - News Group Newspapers* 614
 - Rose* 611-13, 640
 - Shearson Lehman v. Maclaine Watson (Tin Council)* 610, 613, 638-9, 640-1
 - object and purpose of inviolability (function of the mission) 636
 - post-entry into the public domain 614
 - relevant VCDR provisions (VCDR 24/VCDR 25/VCDR 27) (text) 608
 - use by organ of State of document obtained illicitly as breach of inviolability 638-41
 - Wikileaks cable, summary of/handling in the Divisional Court 605-7
- Lord Sumption, Lords Neuberger, Clarke and Reed agreeing, document “of the mission” after transfer to third party (VCDR 24), post-entry into the public domain 641-2
- Bancoult 3 (Supreme Court) (establishment of MPA)***
- procedural matters
 - Appeal Court proceedings (dismissal of appeal) 601, 607
 - Divisional Court proceedings (dismissal of the case) 601, 606-7
 - summary of appeal
 - admissibility of Wikileaks cable 605
 - failure to disclose risk to Chagossians’ traditional fishing rights 605
 - improper motive of officials for establishment of the MPA 605
 - ground 1: improper motive, importance of Wikileaks cable as possible evidence of (Lord Kerr, dissenting) 643-56
 - consequences of exclusion of cable
 - denial of status as counterweight to FCO officials’ evidence 647-8, 649-51
 - restriction of cross-examination of officials 647
 - “neither confirm nor deny” policy, acceptability of approach/impact confrontation with the cable would have had 648-9
 - summary of the contents and tenor of the Wikileaks cable 643-4
 - “would/could have made no difference” 652-4
 - inconsistencies between evidence of officials and views recorded in the Wikileaks cable 654-6
 - relationship between Foreign Minister’s decision and advice/information provided to him 654-6
 - ground 1: improper motive (Lord Mance, Lords Neuberger, Clarke and Reed agreeing) 614-29

Bancoult 3 (Supreme Court) (establishment of MPA) (cont.)

conclusions

absence of likelihood/risk that any improper motive of officials would have affected the Secretary of State's decision 629

exclusion of the Wikileaks cable/cross-examination based on, absence of material effect 626

Court of Appeal's findings 614-19

review of the evidence 619-26

ground 2: failure to disclose risk to Chagossians' traditional fishing rights (Lord Mance, Lords Neuberger, Clarke and Reed agreeing) 629-33

analysis of the points at issue 629-34

relevant factors 632-4

conclusions/endorsement of decision of the Divisional Court and Court of Appeal

failure of interested parties to raise the issue in response to the consultation 634-5

lack of impact on the fairness of consultation 634-5

BIOT (British Indian Ocean Territory)

Immigration Ordinance 1971 121

revocation and replacement by Immigration Ordinance 2000 123

Immigration Ordinance 1971 by section, 4 (exclusion from territory), validity/quashing 123

status

as new colony 142, 344-5

UK-US Exchange of Notes concerning the Availability of BIOT for Defence Purposes (30 December 1966) 104

Chagos Archipelago (history and status): *see also* *Bancoult 3*; BIOT; Mauritius;

Separation of Chagos Archipelago

1638-1710 (Dutch occupation of Mauritius) 102

1715-1810 (French colonial administration of Mauritius/*Île de France*) 102

1810 (British capture of *Île de France*/renaming as Mauritius) 102

1814-1968 (administration by the UK as a dependency of Mauritius) 102

fluidity of frontiers 152-3

1903 detachment of Seychelles to form separate colony 152

1946 UNGA resolution 66(I)/UK reports to the Fourth Committee on Mauritius (terminology) 102-3

1960 UNGA resolution 1514 (XV) 103

1961 UNGA resolution 1654 (XVI) 103

1964 Mauritius Constitution Order (26 February 1964) 102

1964 UK-US defence facilities discussions 103, 116-17

1966 UNGA resolution 2232 (XXI) (questions relating to the disruption of the national unity and territorial integrity of colonial territories) 153-4

1966 UK-US Exchange of Notes concerning the Availability of BIOT for Defence Purposes (30 December 1966) 104

1967 Committee of Twenty-Four's resolution deploring the dismemberment of Mauritius and Seychelles (19 June 1967) 104

1967-73 removal of population of the Chagos Islands 105

1980 OAU resolution 99 (XVII) demanding return of Diego Garcia to Mauritius (July 1980) 105

1980 Mauritan Prime Minister's UNGA demand for return of BIOT to Mauritius (9 October 1980) 105

- 2000 OAU Decision AHG/Dec.159 (XXXVI) (2000) 105
 2010 establishment of the marine protected area (MPA) (Proclamation No 1 April 2010) 105, 604
 2010 AU Decision 331 (2010) 106
 2010 institution of UNCLOS 287 arbitral proceedings (20 December 2010) 105-6
 2015 UNCLOS Tribunal's Award (18 March 2015) 106
 2016 extension of 1966 UK-US Agreement for 20 years (30 December 2016) 106
 2017 African Union adoption of resolution AU/Res.1 (XXVIII) on the Chagos Archipelago (30 January 2017) 106
 2017 UNGA resolution 71/292 requesting an advisory opinion (23 June 2017) 93-4, 107, 147, 149
 detachment from Mauritius/retention under British sovereignty (1965) 115-25, 142-6:
 see also Separation of Chagos Archipelago (Advisory Opinion)
 1965 UNGA resolution 2066 (XI) expressing "deep concern" about the detachment of certain islands from the territory of Mauritius (16 December 1965) 103, 133-4, 136, 143, 163, 185, 285
 compliance with UNGA resolution 1514 (XV) 131
 Lancaster House Undertakings (23 September 1965) 103
 absence of the free and genuine expression of the will of the people concerned 132, 133, 135-6, 151-4, 269-70, 279-84, 289-90, 295-6, 330-3
 Mauritius-UK discussions relating to (1964-5) 117-21, 142
 UK-US discussions relating to 116-17
 geography 102
- Chagossians/Ilois**
Note: "Chagossians" is the name by which the Ilois prefer to be known
 removal to Mauritius 121-5
 as abuse of power/unreasonable measure 121
 Bancoult 3 125
 resettlement feasibility study (2002-4)
 announcement (3 November 2000) 123
 decision not to proceed with resettlement (15 June 2004) 123-4
 resettlement feasibility study (2012-15) 125
 decision not to proceed with resettlement (16 November 2016) 125
- Child Rights Convention (1989) (CRC)**
 diplomatic immunity as impediment to compliance with 668
 implementing legislation, need for 667-8: *see also AG*
- CJEU competence (TEU 19(1)/TFEU 19(3))**
 interpretation and application of the Treaties to ensure uniformity and consistency of EU law 467-9: *see also PSPP* case (constitutional complaints) (Germany)
 Member States' right to conduct *ultra vires* review of EU institutions including the CJEU 466-9
ultra vires acts/manifest excess of competence: *see PSPP* case
- compensation for breach of ECHR (just satisfaction obligation (ECHR 41 [50])), right to/measure**, liberty and security of person (ECHR 5(5)) 564
- competences (TEU/TFEU): see also PSPP** case (constitutional complaints) (Germany); subsidiarity/conferral principle (TEU 4, TEU 5 and TFEU 352/TEC 3(b))
 conferral principle: *see* subsidiarity/conferral principle (TEU 4, TEU 5 and TFEU 352/TEC 3(b))
 economic and monetary policy (TFEU 119(1)/TFEU 127(1)) distinguished 470-90

Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences (AOC 31.7/AOC 31.9) (detention of stateless person with a view to deportation)

- background (facts in date order)
 - complainant's residence in Russia/multiple criminal convictions (1990-) 561
 - Ministry of Justice's decision to deport complainant (currently in prison) (2 December 2014) 561
 - Migration Service issues deportation order (4 March 2015) 561
 - transfer to the Detention Centre for Foreign Nationals to await deportation (10 March 2015) 561
 - Georgian Interests Section (Swiss Embassy) disclaims complainant's Georgian citizenship and proposed deportation to Georgia 561
 - complainant's release from Detention Centre (30 August 2015) without request for extension 561
 - conviction for an administrative offence under AOC 18.8.3 (15 December 2015)/fine and administrative expulsion 561
 - placement in the Detention Centre for Foreign Nationals under AOC 27.19/Law on the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens 39 561
 - appeals against 15 December decision on grounds impossibility of expulsion/indeterminate duration of detention, rejection (26 January and 25 June 2016) 562
 - Court's grounds for rejection: 1. absence of provision in the AOC preventing expulsion of a stateless person in the absence of a State willing to accept him 562
 - Court's grounds for rejection: 2. absence of legal requirement for the Court to determine the length of detention 562
 - Federal Bailiffs' request to terminate execution of 15 December decision (25 April 2016) 526
 - rejection of request to terminate decision
 - 1. absence of impossibility of execution from exhaustive list of grounds for termination (AOC 31.7) 562
 - 2. impossibility prior to two-year period for execution of administrative penalty (AOC 31.9.1) 562
 - further unsuccessful appeal/attempts to obtain termination of the decision (August 2016–May 2017) 562-3
- background (issue (constitutionality of AOC 31.7/AOC 31.9)) 563
 - issue (constitutionality of AOC 31.7/AOC 31.9), issues not raised 563
- background (relevant law)
 - AOC 18.8.2 (violation of by an alien or stateless person of the regime for entry/residence in Russia: punishment by fine/expulsion) 561
 - AOC 27.19 (placement in special institution for foreign and stateless persons) (text) 557, 561
 - AOC 31.7 (execution of administrative decisions: termination, grounds) (text) 558, 560
 - AOC 31.9 (non-execution of an administrative punishment not executed within two years) (text) 560
 - ECHR 5(1)(f) (text) 559
 - SFNA 31(1) (overstay of term of stay/residence: obligation to depart immediately) 561
- Court's analysis (detention of stateless person in a special institution pending administrative expulsion) 563-75
 - AOC/SFNA provisions relating to detention pending deportation 567-76
 - as discretionary measure (AOC 3.10.5) 569-70

- “deprivation of liberty”, detention in a special institute as/applicability of ECHR 5(1)(f) 565-7, 573-6
- duration of detention
 - AOC 27.19.1 (“until their enforced expulsion”) 570
 - certainty, need for 571
 - detainees subject to deportation/detainees subject to administrative expulsion distinguished 572
 - failure of legislature to implement Constitutional Court’s decisions on 572-3
 - impossibility of deportation/extradition and 572, 574-6
 - as interim/temporary measure 567-9
 - limitation to period “reasonably necessary to achieve the aim pursued” 566-77
- general rights in relation to liberty and security, applicability
 - aliens’ rights 565
 - Constitution 22/ECHR 5 564
- judicial review at request of detainee or the authorities, need for 571-5
- stateless persons, particular problems of
 - access to consular assistance 574
 - difficulty of securing consent to resettle 572, 574-6
 - uncertainty of status at end of detention without resettlement 573-4
- termination of detention
 - absence of impossibility of execution from exhaustive list of grounds for termination (AOC 31.7) 570-1
 - impossibility prior to two-year period for execution of administrative penalty (AOC 31.9.1) 570-1
- Court’s conclusion
 - non-compliance of AOC 31.7/AOC 31.9 with Constitution 574-5
 - prescribed measures 575-6
- Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code (CC 212.1 (public gatherings))***
 - background (facts in date order)
 - imposition of administrative penalties on complainant for administrative offences (AOC 20.2.5) (4, 23 and 26 September 2014) 527
 - entry into legal force of unappealed September 2014 decision (7 October 2014) 527-8
 - complainant’s participation in a public event in breach of AOC 20.2.6.1 (5 December 2014) 528
 - conviction of complainant under CC 212.1 (7 December 2014) 529
 - complainant’s participation in a public event in breach of AOC 20.2.5 (15 January 2015) 528
 - complainant’s conviction for an administrative offence under AOC 20.2.5 (16 January 2015) 528
 - institution of criminal case in respect of the 15 January events (breach of CC 212.1) 527-8
 - discontinuance of administrative proceedings (CC 212.1)
 - referral for criminal investigation (30 January 2015) 528
 - rejection of appeal against two September 2014 decisions (16 March 2015) 527-8
 - reduction on appeal of 7 December sentence (31 March 2015) 529
 - institution of criminal case (breach of CC 212.1) (29 April 2015) 528
 - discontinuance of the criminal case in respect of the 15 January events (24 June 2015) 529
 - Supreme Court refusal of reconsideration of the 7 December/31 March decisions (19 December 2016) 529

Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code (CC 212.1 (public gatherings)) (cont.)

- background (procedural)
 - complaint 530
 - jurisdiction/admissibility in respect of complaint of constitutionality of legislation affecting constitutional rights and freedoms (Constitution 74, 96 and 97) 529-30
- background (relevant law)
 - CC 212.1 (violation of the rules on public gatherings), text 524
 - Constitution 31 (freedom of assembly) 531
 - issue (compatibility of CC 212.1 with constitutional rights and freedoms) 530-1
- Court's analysis (freedom of assembly/lawful restrictions on (Constitution 31)) 531-44
- analysis of AOC 20.2 and CC 212.1/justification for range of options 542-54
- constitutional context 531, 536
- Constitutional Court's jurisprudence 531-2, 535-7
- Court's conclusion 554-6
- judicial protection, right to (Constitution 46(2)) 550
- justifiable restrictions
 - Constitution 17(3), Constitution 19 and Constitution 75 532
 - ECHR 11 532-4
 - ECtHR jurisprudence 532-4
 - ICCPR 21 532
 - requirements (legitimate aim, necessity in a democratic society, prescription by law, proportionality) 533-5
 - "to secure civil peace and accord and stability of the democratic basis of the sovereign statehood of Russia" (preamble) 532
 - UDHR 20(1)/UDHR 29 532
- non bis in idem* (previous conviction for repeated administrative offences as basis for criminalization of a later offence) 537-42
- nullum crimen, nulla poena sine lege* (Constitution 54(2))
 - certainty of the law and 537
 - proportionality requirement for criminal sanctions 537
- presumption of innocence
 - AOC 1.5 546
 - Constitution 49(1) 545-6

Constitutionality of Article 1224(5) of the Russian Civil Code (CC 1224(3) (copyright protection))

- background (factual) (Russia's accession to the WTO (22 August 2012)) 513
- undertaking to amend CC 1244(3) (elimination of non-contractual management of rights)/failure to complete amendment 513
- background (procedural)
 - Fifteenth Appellate Commercial Court proceedings, adjournment/referral of issue to the Constitutional Court (2 June 2014) 515
 - issue (compatibility of unamended CC 1242(3) with the Constitution 15(4)) 514
 - Rostov Commercial Court judgment (28 February 2014) 515
- background (relevant law)
 - CC 7(2) (international law: direct applicability of treaty/primacy) 519
 - CC 1242(2) (creation of organizations for collective management) 517
 - CC 1244(1) (areas for collective management) 517
 - possibility of arrangements other than the State-accredited organization 518
 - CC 1244(2) (limitation of State-accredited organization to one) 518
 - Russian Organization for Intellectual Property as (Orders 136 and 137 (6 August 2009)/Orders 1273 and 1274 (21 June 2014)) 518

- CC 1244(3) (collective management of rights in the absence of an agreement between an organization for collective management and the owners of rights) 515-16
 powers of accredited organization 517
 purpose 518
 renunciation of management of rights by State-accredited organization, procedure 518
 State accreditation procedure (Order 992 of 29 December 2007) 517
 text 513
- Constitution 15(4) (treaties as part of the law of Russia/primacy) 519-20
 Supreme Court rulings 8 (31 October 1995) and 5 (10 October 2003) 519-20
 text 513
- Constitution 44(1) (protection of intellectual property) 518-19
 intellectual property law
 limitations on economic rights 516
 protected property 516
 related economic and moral rights 516
 right of person without economic rights to use work without owner's consent against remuneration 516-17
 rights of holders of economic rights 516
- International Treaties of the Russian Federation (Federal Law No 101-FZ (July 1995)) 519
- Working Party Report on the Accession of Russia to the WTO, para. 1218, text 513
- Court's analysis (direct applicability of WTO Protocol of Accession) 519-21
 compliance of CC 1244(3) with Constitution 15(4) 521-2
 implementation of international obligation/choice of method as federal responsibility
 exclusion of Constitutional Court competence to determine compliance of national laws (including CC 1244(3)) with international legal acts 521
 International Treaties of the Russian Federation Law, Art. 32(1) 520-1
 inclusion in Protocol of obligation to amend CC 1244(3) 521
 treaty requirement for amendment to legislation as impediment to direct application (Supreme Court Ruling 5) 520
- Court's decision (dismissal of request for want of competence and admissibility (CCL 43(1), 43(2) and 79(1))) 521-2
- customary international law (CIL), formation/requirements**
 constant and uniform practice 129-30
 jurisprudence
North Sea Continental Shelf cases 130
Separation of the Chagos Archipelago 129-32
- decolonization**
 methods and procedures 132-3
 UNGA's role in regard to 113-15, 133-5, 138, 273-9
- differential treatment, justification/requirements (ACHR 30/CFR 1 and CFR 20 and CFR 21/ECHR 14/ICCPR 26/UDHR 1 and UDHR 2)**
 "manifestly without reasonable foundation" (*Stec* test) 594-5, 597
Note: defined as the threshold for a judicial challenge to acts of the executive in a case involving high-level social/economic policy
- diplomatic archives and documents, inviolability (VCDR 24)**
 "archives and documents"
 "at any time and wherever they may be" 609-10
 as exception to "the premises of the mission" (VCDR 22(3)) 636

diplomatic archives and documents, inviolability (VCDR 24) (cont.)

- control test 657-8
- document “of the mission”
 - after transfer to third party 613-14, 638-41
 - vs archives and documents of other organs of the sending State 636-7
- electronic documents 608-9
- post-entry into the public domain 614, 641-2
- “inviolability” 610-14, 637-42
 - use by organ of State of document obtained illicitly as breach of 638-41
- jurisprudence: *see* diplomatic communications (VCDR 27), jurisprudence
- object and purpose 636
 - protection of function of the mission 637-8
- obligation to provide full facilities for functioning of embassy (VCDR 25) and 639
 - ancillary nature of provision 639
- travaux préparatoires* 636

diplomatic communications (VCDR 27)

- jurisprudence
 - Bancoult* 3 607-14, 635-42, 646, 657-8: *see also* *Bancoult*
 - Fayed* 613, 640
 - Guardian Newspapers* 614
 - News Group Newspapers* 614
 - Rose* 611-13, 640
 - Shearson Lehman v. Maclaine Watson (Tin Council)* 610, 611, 637-8, 639, 640-1
- object and purpose (protection of documents not yet in the archive) 635-6

diplomatic immunity from jurisdiction (VCDR 31)

- exceptions (VCDR 31(1)) as exhaustive list/*expressio unius exclusio alterius* 670
- reciprocity, relevance 670-1

diplomatic premises, inviolability (including VCDR 22)

- duty to protect (VCDR 22(2))/control of access and obligation to accord full facilities
 - for performance of functions (VCDR 25) and 639
- immunity of premises (VCDR 22(3)) and inviolability of archives and documents “at any time and wherever they may be” (VCDR 24) distinguished 636
- US Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran (Hostages case)* 638

diplomatic privileges and immunities (general)

- basis/object and purpose
 - “not to benefit individuals” (VCDR preamble 4) 668
- reciprocity 670-1
- history and development of 665-7
- violation, justifiable, whether, conflict with human rights treaties 664-73

discretion to decline request/factors of possible relevance, relevance to UNGA’s work

109

ECHR (1950), justified restrictions/interference, jurisprudence

- Adaly* 533
- Barraco* 533
- Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code* 531-44: *see also*
Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code

EEZ (UNCLOS 55-75 (Part V) (general), applicable law, “relevant provisions of [the] Convention” 377**EEZ (UNCLOS 56) (rights, jurisdiction and duties of coastal State)**

- “conserving and managing” (UNCLOS 56(1)(a))

- as responsibility of the coastal State 377-8
 - as separate concepts 375-6
- Virginia G* 375-6
- EEZ (UNCLOS 58) (rights and duties of other States)**, due regard to the rights and duties of the coastal State obligation (UNCLOS 58(3)) 401-2
- EEZ (UNCLOS 62) (utilization of living resources)**
 - adoption of laws and regulations for access by foreign fishing vessels to coastal State's EEZ (UNCLOS 56(1)/UNCLOS 62(4)) 377, 378
 - consistency with UNCLOS (UNCLOS 62(4)) 377
 - direct connection to fishing requirement 378
 - "through agreements or other arrangements" (UNCLOS 62(2)) 377
- EEZ (UNCLOS 73(1)) (coastal State's responsibility for measures to prevent, deter and eliminate IUU fishing)** 375-8
- erga omnes obligations:** *see also jus cogens*/peremptory norm
 - jurisprudence
 - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 317
 - Barcelona Traction* 138, 327
 - Construction of a Wall* 179, 270, 330, 345
 - East Timor* 138, 178-9, 345
 - Nuclear Tests* 222
 - Reservations to the Genocide Convention* 312, 338
 - Separation of the Chagos Archipelago* 138, 145, 161-2, 178-9, 193, 197-202, 279, 296, 314-15
 - South West Africa* 313
 - ius cogens*, relationship with 326-8: *see also jus cogens*
 - self-determination, right of: *see* self-determination, right of, doctrine and practice
- EU common fisheries policy:** *see also SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion*; UNCLOS (1982), EU participation (UNCLOS 305(1)(f)/Annex IX)
 - competence, EC UNCLOS Annex IX:5(1) declaration (1 May 1998) 389-90
 - fisheries access agreements
 - as integral part of the EU legal order 391
 - liability for non-compliance with coastal State laws and regulations by EU Member State vessels operating under 392-3
 - "Union fishing vessel" (Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013) 390-1
- EU treaties with third parties ("international agreements" (TFEU 216-19)) (examples)**, UNCLOS: *see* UNCLOS (1982), EU participation (UNCLOS 305(1)(f)/Annex IX)
- fisheries, conservation and management measures (EEZ) (UNCLOS 61-8)**
 - coastal State's obligation to adopt (UNCLOS 61(2)) 375, 377
 - determination of allowable catch by coastal State (UNCLOS 61(1)) 377
 - highly migratory species (UNCLOS 64): *see* Straddling Fish Stocks Agreement (1995) (FSA); tuna, measures to protect (UNCLOS 64/UNCLOS 116-19)
 - straddling stocks (UNCLOS 63) (coastal State's obligations)
 - "development of stocks" (UNCLOS 63(1)) 397
 - "same stocks and associated stocks . . . in the adjacent area" (UNCLOS 63(2)), applicability of UNCLOS 63(1) cooperation obligation to SRFC Member States' EEZs 397-8, 401-2
 - "shall seek . . . to agree" (UNCLOS 63(1)) 396-7
 - as due diligence obligation 400

- fisheries, conservation and management measures (EEZ) (UNCLOS 61-8) (cont.)**
 on measures necessary to coordinate and ensure conservation and development of shared stocks 395
 as *pactum de negotiando* 448
 “shall seek . . . to agree” (UNCLOS 63(2)) 401-2
 “shared stocks”/“stocks of common interest” 394-5
 SRFC Member States’ obligations 400-2
SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion 393-402: *see also SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion*
 summary of provisions 396-7
 “sustainable management”, as “conservation and development of stocks as a viable and sustainable resource” 395-6
- fisheries, conservation and management measures (general)**
 definitions
 “conservation” and “management” distinguished 375-6
 “sustainable management” (“conservation and development of stocks as a viable and sustainable resource”) 395-6
- flag State**
 duties (UNCLOS 94): *see* IUU fishing in the EEZ, flag State obligations
 IUU fishing and: *see* IUU fishing in the EEZ, flag State obligations
- freedom of assembly/association (ECHR 11)**
 justified restrictions/requirements (ECHR 11(2))
 legitimate aim 533
 “necessary in a democratic society” 534
 “prescribed by law” 533
 justified restrictions/requirements (ECHR 11(2)), jurisprudence
Acgöl and Göl 533
Alexeev 534
Barankevich 533
Berladir 533
CDDP v. Moldova 534
Faber 533
Feldek 534
Galstyan 533
Karman 534
Kasparov 533, 544
Kokkinakis 533
Kudrėvičius 544
Kuznetsov 533
Ouranio Toxo 534
Pekaslan 544
Primov 533
Rai and Evans 533
Samüt Karabulut 534
Taranenko 533
Wilson 534
Yılmaz Yıldız 544
- General Assembly resolutions**
 binding, whether
 Security Council endorsement as evidence of 342
 UNGA resolution 1514 (XV) 341-2

- customary international law (CIL)/normative value 130-2, 262, 274, 297-301
 relevant factors (hortatory language/consensus) 130-1, 341-2
 as evidence of *opinio juris* 77-8, 130, 165-6, 230-3, 258, 262, 274, 300-1, 308-12
 jurisprudence
 Legality of Nuclear Weapons 274
 Separation of the Chagos Archipelago 130-2, 158-65, 262
- General Assembly resolutions in number/date order**
- 421 (V) (inclusion of self-determination in 1966 Covenants) 159, 298
 545 (VI) (inclusion of self-determination in 1966 Covenants) 159, 298
 637 (VII) (self-determination) 159, 298
 738 (VIII) (self-determination) 159-60, 298-9
 833 (IX) (self-determination) 159-60
 837 (IX) (self-determination) 275, 299
 1188 (XII) (self-determination) 260, 299
 1199 (XII) (self-determination) 160
 1514 (XV) (Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples): *see* self-determination, right of
 1541 (XV) (principles governing obligation to transmit information under UNC 73(e) (NSGT)): *see* non-self-governing territories (UNC 73-4)
 1654 (XVI) (self-determination) 162-3
 1810 (XVII) (self-determination) 162
 1956 (XVIII) 162
 2066 (XX) (Mauritius) 103, 133-4, 136, 143, 163, 185, 285
 2105 (XX) 162
 2145 (XXI) (South West Africa Mandate) 292
 2183 (XXI) (Aden) 135
 2189 (XXI) (self-determination) 162
 2232 (XXI) (questions relating to the disruption of the national unity and territorial integrity of colonial territories) 104, 153-4, 164
 2357 (XXII) (NSGT) 133-4, 164
 2621 (XXV) (Programme of Action for implementation of UNGA resolution 1514 (XV)) 164
 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States): *see* self-determination, right of
 3161 (XXVIII) (Comoro Archipelago) 135
 3291 (XXIX) (Comoro Archipelago) 135
 34/91 (Glorieuses, Juan de Nova, Europa and Bassas da India) 135
 35/118 (plan of action for the full implementation of the Declaration on Independence (Resolution 1514 (XV))) 293-4
 43/47 (eradication of colonialism) 116
 55/146 (eradication of colonialism) 116
 65/118 (self-determination) 166
 65/199 (eradication of colonialism) 116
 70/231 (self-determination) 167
 71/122 (self-determination) 167
 71/292 (request for an advisory opinion): *see* *Separation of the Chagos Archipelago* (Advisory Opinion)
 72/111 (self-determination) 167
- General Comments (HRC)**
- 9 (ICCPR 10 (humane treatment of persons deprived of liberty)) 177
 12 (ICCPR 1 (right to self-determination)) 175
 21 (ICCPR 10 (humane treatment of persons deprived of liberty)) 177
 26 (continuity of obligations) 177

General Comments (HRC) (cont.)

- 31 (ICCPR 2(1) (nature of general legal obligation imposed on States Parties to Covenant)) 177

Germany, Federal Republic (FRG)

Basic Law (*GG*) (including 2009 amendments) by article

- 20(1) (Germany as democratic and social federal State) 463-5, 469-70, 476-7, 483-5, 508
 20(2) (State authority, derivation from people) 463-5, 469-70, 476-7, 483-5, 508, 509-10
 20(3) (legislature, executive and judiciary: rule of law), European integration agenda and 465-6
 23(1) (EU: Principles: subsidiarity/conferral principle) 463-9, 480, 508, 510
 23(4)-(6) (EU excess of competence: duty of the *Bundesrat* to protect against) 466
 38(1) (*Bundestag* elections) 463-5, 469-71, 483, 509: *see also* *PSPP* case
 79(3) (amendment of Basic Law: *Länder*/Federation relationship) 462, 463-5, 466, 468-9, 470: *see also* *PSPP* case
 93(1)4a (Constitutional Court, jurisdiction: complaints of unconstitutionality of acts of public authority), “acts of public authority”, exclusion of acts of EU institutions 461-2

budgetary control by *Bundestag*, non-derogability (*GG* 23): *see also* *PSPP* case

budgetary powers of the *Bundestag*, as indispensable elements of the constitutional principle of democracy (*GG* 38(1), *GG* 20(1) and (2) and *GG* 79(3))/*non-derogability* 464-5

constitutional complaint: *see also* EU, transfer of powers to *below*; *PSPP* case (constitutional complaints) (Germany)

covered rights (*BVerfGG* 90(1)) 461-2

European integration, applicability to 463-4

limitations on the right of review of democratic majority decisions to “what is necessary” 463

Constitutional Court Law (*BVerfGG*) by section

23(1) (initiation of a case: requirements), substantiation 462

90(1) (constitutional complaint: covered rights) 461-2

92 (constitutional complaint: requirements) 462

EU, transfer of powers to: *see also* constitutional complaint *above*

authorization of EU power to create new competences for itself/*Kompetenz-Kompetenz*, exclusion 463-4

Bundestag powers of substantial political significance, need to retain (*GG* 38(1), *GG* 20(1) and (2) and *GG* 79(3)) 464-5

budgetary powers/overall responsibility as indispensable element of the constitutional principle of democracy 464-5

national identities, preservation of, EU acts bearing on Germany’s constitutional identity (*GG* 1/*GG* 20), obligation to protect against (identity review) 469

State organs’ responsibility for ongoing monitoring of EU’s compliance with the European integration agenda 465-9

manifest excess of competence, test 468-9

ultra vires review of EU compliance with conferral principle (*GG* 23(1)) 466-7

obligation to respect CJEU’s responsibility for interpretation and application of the Treaties (TEU 19(1)(2)/TFEU 267) 467-9

proportionality, EC/EU, obligation to observe: *see* *PSPP* case

Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) by section

- 3(1) (interpretation of legislation: “in a way compatible with the Convention rights”)
 - immunity exception, DPA (1964) 667-73
 - interpretation consistent with the natural language of the statute, limitation to 668-71
 - jurisprudence
 - AG* 667-73
 - Re B* 671-3
 - Re S* 669
 - Re X* 669-70, 672
 - Re Z* 670
 - “so far as it is possible to do so” 668-71

Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA), declaration of incompatibility with ECHR (HRA 4(2))

- AG* 674
- Re Z* 670

ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule

- 37(1) (right to choose a judge ad hoc) 9
- 73(2) (request: required information) 9
- 74(3) (interim protection: date for oral hearing) 9
- 74(4) (request to parties to “act in such a way” pending meeting of Court) 9-10
- 106 (public availability of pleadings, timing) 98

intellectual property rights (Russia): *see Constitutionalality of Article 1224(5) of the Russian Civil Code*

IPOA–IUU Plan of Action (2001): *see* MCA Convention (2012)

Iran–US Treaty of Amity (1955): *see also Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity*
 compromissory clause (1955 Treaty XXI(2)); *see also* preclusion clause (1955 Treaty XX(1)) *below*

- “interpretation or application of the treaty” 13-29
- “not satisfactorily adjusted by diplomacy” 19-22
 - typical compromissory clause distinguished 21
- individuals’ rights under 58-60
- preclusion clause (1955 Treaty XX(1))
 - as a defence/justification for action which would otherwise be breach of treaty obligation 18-19
 - “essential security interests”/right to defence, as matter of interpretation of treaty for purposes of compromissory clause (1955 Treaty XXI(2)(d)) 18-19
- jurisprudence
 - Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity* 18-19; *see also Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity*
 - Military and Paramilitary Activities* 19
 - Oil Platforms* 18-19
 - “relating to fissionable materials, the radioactive by-products thereof” (1955 Treaty XX(1)(b)) 18-19

ITLOS jurisdiction (ITLOS 21)

- advisory jurisdiction, whether included/evidence of
 - “all matters/*toutes les matières* specifically provided for in any other agreement [conferring] jurisdiction on ITLOS” 364-8, 410-11
 - “all matters”, use in ICJ 36(1)/PCIJ 36, relevance 365, 367
- contentious jurisdiction, provision for
 - “all applications” submitted in accordance with the Convention 366-7

ITLOS jurisdiction (ITLOS 21) (cont.)

- “all disputes and applications [shall be decided] in accordance with UNCLOS” (ITLOS 23) 367
- “all disputes” submitted in accordance with the Convention 367
- contentious jurisdiction, whether limited to, advisory jurisdiction, absence of reference to: *see* advisory jurisdiction (ITLOS Seabed Disputes Chamber) (UNCLOS 191)
- legal question, need for (UNCLOS 191) 369
- “arising within the scope of their activities” 369-70
- relationship with UNCLOS 288, 365-6
 - equality of status 366
- specific provision in an international agreement related to the purposes of UNCLOS (ITLOS 21/Rule 138) 364, 367
 - MCA Convention as 368-9, 430-1

ITLOS Rules

- 16(2) (continuity of presidency until completion of present phase of the case) 364
- 17 (continuity of members’ participation following expiration of term of office) 364
- 76(3) (judges’ right to ask questions) 363
- 130-8 (advisory opinions): *see* advisory jurisdiction (ITLOS Seabed Disputes Chamber) (UNCLOS 191), ITLOS Rules relating to

ITLOS Statute (Annex VI) as integral part of the Convention (UNCLOS 318) 366: *see also* Table of Treaties, UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), Annex VI

- IUU fishing in the EEZ, flag State obligations 438: *see also* EEZ (UNCLOS 62) (utilization of living resources); MCA Convention (2012); *SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion***
 - bilateral fisheries access agreements 378-9
 - MCA provisions in respect of 378-9
 - UNCLOS 62(2) 377
 - coastal State’s rights and obligations (MCA 9) (measures for the conservation and management of living resources) 375
 - coastal State’s rights and obligations (UNCLOS 61/UNCLOS 62/UNCLOS 73(1)/MCA 25) 375-8, 391-3, 400, 403, 442-3
 - due diligence nature of flag State’s obligation “to ensure” 382-4, 391-3, 400, 403-4, 433, 442-3
 - limitation of liability/“*responsabilité*” to failure to comply with due diligence obligation 387-8
 - HSC 5(1) (conditions for grant of nationality/registration/right to fly flag) 438
 - “IUU fishing”
 - definitions (MCA 2.4) 373-4
 - as infringement to be “integrated in the national legislations of the Member State” (MCA 31.1) 374-5
 - PSMA (2009) 421
 - UNCLOS 58(3) (EEZ: obligation to ensure compliance by nationals with laws and regulations of the coastal State) 381-2, 432-3, 439-40
 - with due regard to the rights and duties of the coastal State 401-2
 - UNCLOS 62(2) (obligation through agreements or other arrangements to give other States access to surplus of allowable catch) 377
 - UNCLOS 62(4) (utilization of living resources: coastal State’s obligation to adopt necessary laws and regulations) 377, 432-3, 440
 - other States’ compliance obligation 378, 381
 - other States’ obligation to ensure compliance by nationals 381-2, 402-3

- UNCLOS 91/UNCLOS 92/UNCLOS 193 general obligations 378
- UNCLOS 94 (duties of the flag State) 437-9
- UNCLOS 94(1) (exercise of jurisdiction and control in “administrative, technical and social matters”) 380, 383, 385, 437-9, 440
- UNCLOS 94(2) (jurisdiction under internal law over ships and crew in respect of administrative, technical and social matters concerning the ship) 380, 385
 non-exhaustive list of measures 380
- UNCLOS 94(3) (flag State measures to ensure safety at sea) 437-8
- UNCLOS 94(4) (flag State measures to ensure safety at sea) 437-8
- UNCLOS 94(5) (UNCLOS 94(3) and (4) measures: obligation to conform with generally accepted international norms/take steps to ensure observance) 438, 444
- UNCLOS 94(6) (clear grounds to believe that proper jurisdiction and control have not been exercised), report of, flag State obligation to investigate/inform reporting State of action taken 380, 385, 403, 438
- UNCLOS 94(7) (inquiry into marine casualty/incident of navigation on the high seas involving ships flying its flag) 438
- UNCLOS 192 (obligation of all States Parties to protect and preserve the marine environment)/obligation to ensure flag ships’ compliance with anti-IUU measures 381, 385, 402-3
- UNCLOS 193 (sovereign right to exploit natural resources in compliance with duty to protect and preserve the marine environment) 385
- UNCLOS 217 (flag State obligation to ensure compliance with applicable rules and standards) 459

***jus cogens*/peremptory norm (VCLT 53):** *see also erga omnes* obligations
 basis, any source identified in ICJ 38(1)(a), (b) and (c) 319
 definition

 ILC 324-5

 VCLT 53, 286-7, 319, 324, 325-6

erga omnes obligations, coincidence with 326-8: *see also erga omnes* obligations
 self-determination, right of: *see* self-determination, right of, doctrine and practice
 State responsibility and: *see* State responsibility for serious breaches of peremptory norms/*jus cogens* (ILC(SR) 40-1)
 voidness of treaty (VCLT 53/VCLT 64) and 287, 325-30

***jus cogens*/peremptory norm (VCLT 53), jurisprudence**

Application of the Genocide Convention 317

Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda) 316-17

Barcelona Traction 313-15

Military and Paramilitary Activities 315-16

North Sea Continental Shelf 315

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) 318-19

Reservations to the Genocide Convention 312-13, 316-18

South West Africa cases 313

legal dispute, need for (including ICJ 36/PCIJ 36)

 dispute relating to interpretation or application of treaty/*compromis* provision for acts related to multiple legal instruments as source of dispute relating to the interpretation of more than one treaty 18
 treaties considered, Iran–US Treaty of Amity (1955) 14-19

legal dispute, need for (including ICJ 36/PCIJ 36) (cont.)

existence of dispute as matter for objective judicial determination, applicable standard/requirements, Court's obligation to make independent assessment 15

jurisprudence

Alleged Violation of the 1955 Treaty of Amity 14-19

Alleged Violations of Sovereign Rights and Maritime Spaces in the Caribbean Sea 14

Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case 14

Military and Paramilitary Activities 19

Oil Platforms 19

South West Africa cases 14

liberty and security of person, right to (ECHR 5)

"deprived of"/arbitrary deprivation (ECHR 5(1))

jurisprudence

Borisov 566

Guzzardi 566

Kurt 566

ZA 566

range of possibilities/dependence on substantive factors 566-7

prompt notification of reasons for arrest and any charge (ECHR 5(2)), in "language which he understands" 564

liberty and security of person, right to, exceptions (ECHR 5(1))

"in accordance with procedure prescribed by law" (ECHR 5(1)) requirement/"lawful detention", non-discrimination (ECHR 14) and, foreign nationals' rights 567

interpretation/object and purpose, narrow interpretation 566

lawful arrest or detention to prevent unauthorized entry or with a view to deportation/extradition of alien (ECHR 5(1)(f))

conditions of detention ("acceptable") 566-7

Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences: see Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences

duration of detention, limitation to period "reasonably necessary to achieve the aim pursued" 566-77

certainty, need for 571

impossibility of deportation/extradition and 572

domestic legal impediments to terminating detention 570-1

stateless persons 572, 574-6

judicial review at request of detainee or the authorities, need for 571-5

jurisprudence

Alim 566-7

Azimov 566-7, 574

Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences 564, 566, 573-6

Eshonkulov 574

Ismailov 566-7, 574

Khalikov 574

Shakurov 567

marine environment, protection and preservation, cooperation on a global or regional basis (UNCLOS 197) 386

Mauritius: *see also Chagos Archipelago*

Constitution 1968 by section, 111(1) (definition of Mauritius) 105

Constitutional Conferences 118

- independence (12 March 1968) (UNGA resolutions)
 - 2066 (XX) 103, 133-4, 136, 143, 163, 185, 285
 - 2232 (XXI) 133-4, 153-4, 164
 - 2357 (XXII) 104-5, 126, 133-4, 164, 183-4, 277, 285
- Mauritius Constitution Order 1964 117
- MCA Convention (2012)**
 - access to surplus resources (MCA 3-4)
 - authorization “through agreements and other arrangements” (MCA 3.1) 376
 - precautionary principle (MCA 3.3) 449-50
 - conservation and management measures
 - coastal State’s obligation to adopt within its EEZ (UNCLOS 61(2)) 375, 377
 - definition (MCA 2.5) 375
 - obligation to give priority to plans for shared stocks (MCA 9.2) 450
 - obligation to take measures into account when giving access to fishing vessels (MCA 9) 375
 - definitions
 - “[f]ishing vessels belonging to non-Member States or third Party States” (MCA 2.9) 373
 - “fishing vessels” (MCA 2.6) 376
 - “IUU fishing” (MCA 2.4)
 - “illegal fishing” (MCA 4.2.1) 373
 - incorporation of IPOA-IUU, para. 3 definitions 374
 - incorporation in RFMO decisions, Member State legislation and EU law 374, 375
 - “unregulated fishing” (MCA 2.4.3) 374
 - “unreported fishing” (MCA 2.4.2) 374
 - “shared stocks” (MCA 2.12) 394, 395
 - “stocks of common interest” (SRFC explanation) 394-5
 - “support vessels” (MCA 2.8) 376
 - “sustainable management”, absence of UNCLOS definition 395
 - IUU fishing
 - as breach of national legislation 375
 - as infringement to be “integrated in the national legislations of the Member State” (MCA 31.1) 374-5
 - Member States’ commitment to take measures “to prevent, deter and eliminate” (MCA 25.1) 375, 378
 - source documents
 - IPOA-IUU (2001) 274
 - PSMA 374, 421
- non-self-governing territories (UNC 73-4) (UNC Chapter XI Declaration regarding (NSGT))**
 - administering power
 - obligations
 - development of self-government (UNC 73(b)) 129
 - promotion of the well-being of the inhabitants 269
 - independence/right to self-determination: *see* self-determination, right of
 - jurisprudence
 - Separation of the Chagos Archipelago: see Separation of the Chagos Archipelago Western Sahara* 132
 - territorial integrity/national unity, incompatibility of any attempt at the partial or total disruption of with UNC purposes and principles, UN resolutions
 - 65 (I) (South West Africa) 292

non-self-governing territories (UNC 73-4) (UNC Chapter XI Declaration regarding) (NSGT) (cont.)

- on South West Africa 292-3
- on Southern Rhodesia 293
- 1514 (XV), para. 6 131, 132-3, 135, 153-4, 160-1, 172, 268-9, 275-6, 282, 306-7
- 2066 (XX) 103-5, 133-4, 136, 143, 163, 285
- 2183 (XXI) (Aden) 135
- 2232 (XXI) 104-5, 126, 134, 153-4, 164, 285
- 2357 (XXII) 104-5, 126, 133-4, 164, 183-4, 277, 285
- 3161 (XXVIII) (Comoro Archipelago) 135
- 3291 (XXIX) (Comoro Archipelago) 135
- 34/91 (Glorieuses, Juan de Nova, Europa and Bassas da India) 135

***nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege*/non-retroactivity (ACHPR 7/ECHR 7/ICCPR 15/UDHR 11(2)), legal certainty/legality principle and 537**

obligation of result vs obligation of conduct/best efforts to ensure compliance with due diligence obligation, UNCLOS obligations “to ensure” 382-4, 387-8, 391-3, 400, 403-4, 433, 442-3

jurisprudence

Pulp Mills 383

Responsibilities and Obligations 383

opinio juris

jurisprudence

Legality of Nuclear Weapons 130

Nicaragua 166

Separation of the Chagos Archipelago 129-32

UNGA resolution as evidence of 77-8, 130, 165-6, 230-3, 258, 262, 300-1, 308-12

Port State Measures Agreement (2009): see MCA Convention (2012)

precautionary principle, treaties relevant to

MCA Convention (2012) 449-50

Straddling Fish Stocks Agreement (1995) (FSA) 419-20

proportionality: see also subsidiarity/conferral principle (TEU 4, TEU 5 and TFEU 352/TEC 3(b))

as general principle of EU law 474

provisional measures (ICJ 41)

binding force/compliance obligation

Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity 36

LaGrand 36

Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested (ROC 75(2)) 35

Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity 35

Application of CERD (Georgia v. Russia) 35

Application of CERD (Qatar v. UAE) 35

Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case 35

evolution 49-51

measures requested/ordered

removal of impediments to compliance with treaty obligations 35-6

restraint from action which might prejudice rights/aggravate or extend dispute 36

provisional measures, purpose/requirements (including ICJ 41/PCIJ 41): see also *Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity* (Provisional Measures)

- balance of parties' rights/respect for 35
- compliance with obligation to maintain international peace and security 79-80
- link between rights to be protected and measures requested 28-9
- plausibility as precondition
 - Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity* 22-8, 60-2
 - Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case* 22
- prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice 29-35
 - "irreparable damage/prejudice" 34
 - undertaking to use best endeavours to ensure expedited consideration 34
 - serious/imminent risk test: *see* urgency *below*
- prima facie jurisdiction/admissibility on the merits
 - Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty* 13-22
 - Jadhav* 14
- rights of individuals vs inter-State rights 58-60
- urgency
 - "could occur at any moment" test 30
 - international security/nuclear-related situations 62-6
 - jurisprudence
 - Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity* 29-35, 62-6
 - Immunities and Criminal Proceedings* 30
 - Jadhav* 29
 - vulnerability of the population 52-8
- provisional measures (UNCLOS 290)**, admissibility/requirements, continuing situation 52-4
- PSPP case:** *see* PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (admissibility); PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (background including facts, the relevant law and parties' positions); PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (Court's analysis and conclusions: II(1) PSPP as alleged violation of TFEU 119(2) and TFEU 127(1)); PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (Court's analysis and conclusions: II(2)-(6) (decisions other than on alleged violation of TFEU 119(2) and TFEU 127(1))); PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (Court's decision)
- PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (admissibility)**
 - admissible complaints (proceedings I-III) 459-61
 - constitutional complaints of a failure by German constitutional organs to take/refrain from EU-related actions 460-1
 - continued legal interest despite implementation of challenged ECB decisions 460, 461
 - modification of original applications 459-60
 - inadmissible complaints
 - challenge to the *Bundesbank* (GG 79(3)/*BBankG* 2), proceedings II and IV 462
 - direct challenge to a legal act of an EU institution (GG 93(1)4a/*BVerfGG* 90(1)) proceedings I 461-2
 - proceedings II and III 462
 - lack of substantiation (*BVerfGG* 23(1)/*BVerfGG* 92) (proceedings IV) 462
 - standing in respect of
 - alleged infringement of *Bundestag's* budgetary responsibility 460
 - Eurosystem's manifest excess of competences in violation of TFEU 123(1) 460
- PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (background including facts, the relevant law and parties' positions)**
 - Constitutional Court's suspension of proceedings/request for a preliminary ruling (18 July 2017) 454

PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (background including facts, the relevant law and parties' positions) (cont.)

- parties' positions (complainants)
 - PSPP as breach of
 - conferral principle (TEU 5) 453
 - Germany's constitutional identity (*GG 1/GG 20*) 453
 - TFEU 123(1) (prohibition of monetary financing) 453
 - PSPP as *ultra vires* (*GG 38(1)*) 453
- parties' positions (ECB) 453, 454
- parties' positions (Federal Government) 454
- PSPP, establishment and activities (22 January 2015–September 2019) 452-3
- standard of review/relevant legal principles 463-9
 - fundamental democratic rights inherent in the right to vote (*GG 38(1)*)
 - authorization of EU power to create new competences for itself/*Kompetenz-Kompetenz*, exclusion 463-4
 - budgetary powers of the *Bundestag*, as indispensable element of the constitutional principle of democracy (*GG 38(1)*, *GG 20(1)* and (2) and *GG 79(3)*)/non-derogability 464-5
 - limitations on the right of review of democratic majority decisions to "what is necessary" 463
 - scope of protection including the principle of the sovereignty of the people (*GG 20(2)*) 463
 - identity review (EU acts bearing on Germany's constitutional identity (*GG 1/GG 20*)) 469
- ultra vires* review
 - manifest excess of competence, test 468-9
 - Member States' right to conduct *ultra vires* review of EU institutions including the CJEU 466-9
 - obligation to respect CJEU's responsibility for interpretation and application of the Treaties (TEU 19(1)(2)/TFEU 267) 467-9
 - State organs' responsibility for ongoing monitoring of EU's compliance with the European integration agenda 465-9
- PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (Court's analysis and conclusions: II(1) PSPP as alleged violation of TFEU 119(2) and TFEU 127(1)) 470-90**
 - (a) *Weiss* judgment as *ultra vires* in part 471-90; see also subsidiarity/conferral principle (TEU 4, TEU 5 and TFEU 352/TEC 3(b))
 - summary of Court's conclusions 471
 - (aa) CJEU view on the classification of PSPP as monetary policy (ECB competence) vs economic policy (Member State competence) 471-3
 - (bb) methodological defects of judgment 473-82
 - (bb)(1) proportionality principle
 - conferral, subsidiarity and proportionality principles, interrelationship 474-5
 - as general principle of EU/German law 474
 - (bb)(2) proportionality principle, CJEU's application of 474-5
 - (bb)(3) conferral principle undermined by methodological defects 476-82
 - (bb)(3)(a) conferral principle 477-9
 - (bb)(3)(b) compliance, effects vs stated objectives as determining factor 475-82
 - (bb)(3)(c) CJEU's approach in *Weiss/Gauweiler/Pringle* as departure from the norm 482
 - (cc) parts of judgment lacking binding effect 482-5
 - (cc)(1) interpretation of proportionality/determination of ESCB's mandate as manifest excess of CJEU competence (TEU 19(1)) 482-3

- (cc)(2) structurally significant shift in competences to the detriment of Member States 482-3
- (cc)(3) non-binding effect of *Weiss* in Germany as legal consequence of CJEU's *ultra vires* acts 485
- (b) Federal Constitutional Court's own review of ECB's PSPP decision 485-90
 - summary of Court's decision 485-6
 - (aa) monetary policy objective as reasonable exercise of ECB's responsibilities 486-7
 - (bb) manifest violation of the principle of proportionality 487-9
 - (bb)(1) requirement for a balancing of interests 487-9
 - (bb)(1)(a) effects on Member State finances 487-8
 - (bb)(1)(b) effects on the banking sector 488
 - (bb)(1)(c) effects on private households 488-9
 - (bb)(1)(d) effects on businesses 489
 - (bb)(2) compliance, effects vs stated objectives as determining factor 489
 - (cc) structurally significant shift in competences to the detriment of Member States 490
- PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (Court's analysis and conclusions: II(2)-(6) (decisions other than on alleged violation of TFEU 119(2) and TFEU 127(1)))**
 - II(2) further ECB proportionality assessment, dependence of decision on Federal Government/*Bundestag's* failure to advocate PSPP 490
 - II(3) alleged violation of TFEU 123(1) (prohibition of monetary financing) 490-506
 - summary of Court's decision (finding of non-violation) 490
 - (a) CJEU's key considerations/*Gauweiler* "safeguards" 490-1
 - (b) Federal Constitutional Court's concerns 491-7
 - (aa) prior announcements concerning the PSPP 491-2
 - (bb) blackout period 492-5
 - (cc) holding of bonds until maturity 495-7
 - (c) absence of manifest circumvention of TFEU 123(1) 497-503
 - (aa) prior announcements concerning the PSPP 498
 - (bb) purchase limits 498-9
 - (cc) distribution of purchases 499-500
 - (dd) other relevant factors 500-1
 - (ee) observance of blackout period 501
 - (ff) credit rating 501-2
 - (gg) holding of bonds until maturity 502-3
 - (hh) determination of an exit strategy 503
 - (d) overall balancing 503-4
 - (e) (debt instruments) 505-6
 - (aa) debt instruments with a negative yield to maturity 505
 - (bb) *pari passu* clauses 505-6
 - II(4) redistribution of sovereign debt under the provided risk-sharing regime 506-8, 509-10
 - summary of Court's conclusion 506
 - (a) impossibility of redistributing debt 506-8
 - (b) obligations arising from the responsibility with regard to the European integration project 509-10
 - (c) overall budgetary responsibility 508
 - non-applicability of PSPP in Germany 510-11
 - II(5) consequences for the Federal Government and the *Bundestag* in their capacity as constitutional organs, summary of Court's conclusion

PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (Court's analysis and conclusions: II(2)-(6) (decisions other than on alleged violation of TFEU 119(2) and TFEU 127(1))) (*cont.*)

(a) obligations arising from their responsibility with regard to European integration 509

(b) obligations with respect to the PSPP 509-10

PSPP case (constitutional complaints) (Germany) (Court's decision) 458-9

Federal Government/*Bundestag* violation of rights under *GG* 38(1) in conjunction with *GG* 20(1) and (2)/*GG* 79(3) (failure to challenge PSPP for proportionality) 459, 469-70

dismissal of remaining complaints 459

inadmissible complaints 458

reparation for breach of treaty (UNCLOS 304 (responsibility and liability for damage))

Responsibilities and Obligations 409

Saiga (No 2) 387, 409

SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion 387, 408-10, 449

res judicata/non bis in idem principle (including double jeopardy rule)

Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code 537-42

previous conviction for repeated administrative offences as basis for criminalization of a later offence 537-42

restitution/restitutio in integrum (ILC(SR) 31(1), ILC(SR) 35 and ILC(SR)

36/Chorzów Factory principle), *Saiga* 387, 409

RF (lawfulness of 2017 amendments to PIP criteria) 580-99

Court's analysis and conclusions: ground i (alleged breach of ECHR 14/HRA (*Huang* test))

balance between measure's effect on individuals and importance of objective 597

failure to demonstrate rational connection of measure to objective/scientific basis for breach of CRDP 19 (equal right of disabled persons to live in the community) 508

Court's conclusion 597

RBS Rights Issue Litigation standards for scientific evidence, failure to meet 595-6

object of the measure/sufficiency to justify limitation of a protected right 595

Court's view ("to save money") 595

defendant's claim 595

standard of review ("manifestly without reasonable foundation" (*Stec* test)) 594-5

Court's conclusion ("blatant discrimination not objectively justified") 597

Court's analysis and conclusions (grounds ii and iii)

ground ii (non-compliance of amendment's "what not why" approach with the purpose of the scheme (WRA 2012)) 598-9

ground iii (failure to consult on important measure) 599

legislative history in date order

Disability Living Allowance consultation (December 2010) (extracts) 581-2

absence of any reference to role of psychological distress 582

"what not why" as key principle 582

Government's response to consultation (April 2011) (extracts) 582-3

absence of any reference to role of psychological distress 583

first draft of regulations with technical note (May 2011) 583-5

expert witness statement on 584-5

"overwhelming psychological distress", meaning/reasons for inclusion 584-5

"planning and following a journey" descriptors (text) 584

- Government's explanatory note to the second draft (November 2011) 585-6
 - text of descriptors b, c and d 585-6
- consultation document with proposed entitlement thresholds (16 January 2012) 586
- Welfare Reform Act 2012 (WRA) passed 586
 - "physical or mental condition", absence of definition 585
 - WRA 77(2) (PIP's two components) 586
 - WRA 79(1) (entitlement to mobility component at the standard rate) 586
 - WRA 79(2) (entitlement to mobility component at the enhanced rate) 586
 - WRA 79(4) (prescription of the relevant mobility activities) 586
 - WRA 80(1)(c) and (d) (determination of ability to carry out mobility activities) 586
 - WRA 80(3) (assessment requirement for ability to carry out mobility activities) 586
- Government's response to January 2012 consultation (13 December 2012)/revised draft regulations
 - Court's comments on 587-8
 - extracts 587-8
- 2013 Regulations made (25 February 2013) 588
 - 2013 Regulations Schedule I Part 3 (text) 588
 - absence of any reference to role of psychological distress 587-8
- entry into force of Part IV of WRA 2012 and the 2013 Regulations (10 June 2013) 588
 - "psychological distress" factor, witness statements (absence of departmental references to intentions) 589
- guides to the PIP scheme (May 2014), absence of policy intentions on psychological distress 589-90
- internal department guidance (18 August 2015), absence of policy intentions on psychological distress 590
- MH* (confirmation that psychological distress was not a disqualification) 590
- 2017 Regulations made (22 February 2017) 590-7
 - clarification that intention had always been to treat psychological distress less favourably 591
 - cognitive impairment as symptom rather than condition 592-3
 - as corrective to 2013 Regulations 590-1
 - defendant's claim that psychological distress had always been distinguished/Court's disagreement 509, 591
 - impact of change of policy on claims/costs 592
 - introduction of explicit causation requirement ("what not why") 591-2
- procedural matters
 - claimant's request (quashing of para. 2(4) of the 2017 Regulations) 580
 - ECHR Protocol 1:1, applicability to PIP scheme 594
- Russian Federation (1991-)**
 - Administrative Offences Code (AOC) by article
 - 1.5 (presumption of innocence) 545-6
 - 3.3.2 (administrative expulsion as primary and additional punishment) 567
 - 3.10.1 (compulsory and controlled transportation of a person across the State border) 567
 - 3.10.2 (judicial imposition of administrative expulsion in case of administrative offence) 567
 - 3.10.3 (non-applicability of administrative expulsion to military servicemen) 567
 - 3.10.4 (judicial decision as to controlled transportation or a controlled independent exit) 567

Russian Federation (1991-) (cont.)

- 3.10.5 (possibility of judicial detention in a special institution for foreign citizens/stateless persons pending forceful expulsion) 567, 569
- 18.8.2 (violation by an alien or stateless person of the regime for entry/residence in Russia: punishment by fine/expulsion) 561
- 20.2.5 (violation by participant of the rules on public gatherings), text 524
- 20.2.6.1 (participation in an unauthorized public gathering which interfered with emergency services, social infrastructure, movement of pedestrians or vehicles: penalty) 528
- 27.1.1 (means of securing proceedings concerning administrative offences including detention in a special institute) 567-8
- 27.19 (placement in special institution for foreign and stateless persons) 561, 567-70 text 557
 - 27.19.1 (detention in special institution as temporary measure) 567-8, 570
 - 27.19.2 (judicial decision to order special institution allowing no opportunity for unauthorized leaving) 568
 - 27.19.3 (immediate execution of order to detain in a special institution) 568
- 31.7 (execution of administrative decisions: termination, grounds)
 - constitutionality 560-76
 - text 558, 560
- 31.7.6 (termination of execution of decision on administrative punishment) 570
- 31.9 (execution of administrative penalty: limitation period)
 - constitutionality 560-76
 - text 558
- 31.9.1 (two-year cut-off date for execution) 570-1
- Administrative Procedure Code by article
 - 62(3) (Court's right to take its own view of grounds and argument of the stated claims) 572
 - 84(1) (Court's independent evaluation of the evidence) 572
 - 266(3) (request for placement in a special institution: requirement: indication of duration) 571
 - 269(2) (decision on placement in a special institution: requirement: indication of reasonable duration (reasons)/exact duration (operative part)) 571
- aliens, treatment of
 - Declaration on human rights of individuals who are not nationals of the country in which they live (1985) 565
 - Status of Foreign Nationals Act (No 115-FZ of 25 July 2002) (SFNA) by section
 - 31(1) (overstay of term of stay/residence: obligation to depart immediately) 561
 - 35 (framework for detention of foreign citizens and stateless persons) 568-9
- Civil Code (1996) by article
 - 7(2) (international law: direct applicability of treaty/primacy) 519
 - 1244(3) (collective management of rights in the absence of an agreement between an organization for collective management and the owners of rights): *see also Constitutionality of Article 1224(5) of the Russian Civil Code* text 513
- Constitution 1993 (including 1999 amendments) by article
 - preamble (preservation of civil peace and stability of historic sovereignty) 532
 - 1(1) (Russia as democratic federal law-bound State) 531
 - 2 (fundamental rights and freedoms: supreme importance/obligation to protect) 531
 - 15(1) (Constitution: primacy) 573

- 15(4) (generally recognized principles and norms of international law including treaties as part of the law of primacy) 514-22; *see also* *Constitutionality of Article 1224(5) of the Russian Civil Code*
 text 513
- 17(3) (fundamental rights: non-interference with rights and freedoms of others) 532
- 18 (rights and freedoms: direct effect) 531
- 19(1) (equality before the law) 532, 536
- 19(2) (State protection/non-discrimination) 532
- 22 (liberty and security of person): *see* liberty and security of person, right to (Constitution 22/ECHR 5) *below*
- 22(1) (freedom and security) 564-5
- 22(2) (freedom from arrest/detention other than by a court decision) 564-5
- 31 (freedom of assembly): *see* freedom of assembly (Constitution 31) *below*;
Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code
- 44(1) (protection of intellectual property) 518-19
- 45 (protection of rights and freedoms) 531
- 46(1) (judicial protection) 531, 550
- 46(2) (judicial protection: judicial appeal against act of State body, local self-government and officials) 550
 Constitutional Court jurisprudence 551
- 46(3) (judicial protection: appeal to international courts) 531
- 49(1) (presumption of innocence) 546
- 50(1) (*non bis in idem*) 537-42
- 54(2) (criminal liability: *nullum crimen, nulla poena sine lege*)
 certainty of the law and 537
 proportionality requirement 537
- 55(3) (rights and freedoms: derogation) 532, 536
- 118(2) (exercise of judicial power: constitutional, civil, administrative and criminal proceeding) 549-50
- 125(6) (invalidity of unconstitutional acts including treaties) 573
- Constitutional Court Law 1994 (CCL) by article
- 3 (powers of the Constitutional Court) 521
- 6 (binding effect of decisions) 554, 575
- 36 (reasons and grounds for consideration of a case by the Constitutional Court) 521
- 43(1) (dismissal of petition: Constitutional Court's lack of competence) 521
- 43(2) (dismissal of petition: inadmissibility of claim) 521
- 71 (questions requiring/not requiring a decision) 522, 554, 575
- 72 (reaching the decision) 554, 575
- 74 (requirements for decisions) 529-30, 554, 563, 575
- 75 (clarification of integral provisions of Resolution of Constitutional Court) 554
- 78 (promulgation of decision) 554, 575
- 79 (immediate, binding and final effect of decision) 522-3, 554, 575
- 80 (duty of State bodies and officials to bring laws into conformity with the Constitution following decision of the Constitutional Court) 34
- 87 (final decision on the constitutionality of an act of the State: possibilities) 575
- 96 (right to petition the Constitutional Court) 529-30, 563
- 97 (admissibility of complaint) 529-30, 554, 563
- 100 (final decision on complaint of violation of constitutional rights and freedoms: possibilities) 544
- Criminal Code (CC) (1996 as amended 2012) by article
- 3.1 (determination of criminal liability: CC as applicable law) 548-9
- 15 (categories of crime: grading of sanctions) 552-3

Russian Federation (1991-) (cont.)

- 31 (freedom of assembly) 531-5
- 212.1 (violation of the rules on public gatherings: punishment for repeated offences)
 - constitutionality 527-56
 - Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code* 527-56: *see also*
Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code
 - inclusion of offences under AOC 20.2.5 for purposes of 527
 - text 524
- Criminal Procedure Code 2001 (CCrP) by article, 90 (recognition of decision of civil or arbitration court) 549-50
- freedom of assembly (Constitution 31)
 - conformity with generally recognized principles and norms of international law including UDHR 20(1), ICCPR 21 and ECHR 21 532
 - ECtHR jurisprudence 532-3
 - constitutional context 531, 536
 - Constitutional Court jurisprudence 531-2, 535-7
 - justifiable restrictions
 - ECtHR jurisprudence 533-4
 - requirements (legitimate aim, necessity in a democratic society, prescription by law, proportionality) 533-5
 - Law on Assemblies, Meetings, Demonstrations, Processions and Picketings 2004 538
 - fundamental rights, constitutional provisions 531-2
 - intellectual property, protection of: *see Constitutionality of Article 1224(5) of the Russian Civil Code*
- liberty and security of person, right to (Constitution 22/ECHR 5) 564-7, 572-5
 - Constitutional Court jurisprudence 565-6
 - Constitutional provisions other than Constitution 22 564
 - “deprived of”/arbitrary deprivation (ECHR 5(1)) 566
 - international instruments other than the ECHR
 - ICCPR 2/ICCPR 9 564
 - UDHR 3/UDHR 8/UDHR 9 564
 - judicial review at request of detainee/authorities, need for, detainees subject to deportation/detainees subject to administrative expulsion distinguished 572
 - lawful arrest or detention . . . with a view to deportation/extradition of alien exception (ECHR 5(1)(f)) 564, 566, 573-6
 - rights, prompt notification of reasons for arrest and any charge (ECHR 5(2)) in “language which he understands” 564
- non bis in idem* (Constitution 50(1)) 537-42
 - Constitutional Court jurisprudence 537-8
 - Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code* 537-42
 - ECHR Protocol 7:4(1)/ICCPR 14(7) 537
- presumption of innocence (Constitution 49(1)/AOC 1.5) 545-6
- stateless persons: *see Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences*
- treaties
 - International Treaties of the Russian Federation Law (Law No 101-FZ of 15 July 1995) by article
 - 5(2) (direct effect) 519-20
 - 5(3) (treaties having direct effect) 519-20
 - 32(1) (responsibility for execution of treaties: President and Government) 521

municipal law and: *see* Constitution 1993 (including 1999 amendments) by article, 15(4) *above*
 as part of the law/direct applicability/primacy 514-22: *see also* *Constitutionality of Article 1224(5) of the Russian Civil Code*
 WTO and: *see* *Constitutionality of Article 1224(5) of the Russian Civil Code*

satisfaction for injury caused by internationally wrongful act, cessation obligation (ILC(SR) 30(a)) (UNCLOS breaches) 408-9

Security Council resolutions (UNSCRs), binding nature/compliance obligation (UNC 25), structure and language of resolution 172-3

Security Council resolutions (UNSCRs) by number and year, 2231 (2015) (JCPOA): *see* *Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity*

self-determination, right of

doctrine and practice

customary international law (CIL), status between 1965 and 1968 129-33, 151-4, 297-301

development of doctrine 129-33

erga omnes obligation 138, 145, 161-2, 178-9, 193, 197-202, 296, 314-15, 345

ICCPR 1 131, 174-5

ICESCR 1(3) 131, 174-5

ius cogens/peremptory norm of international law 193-220, 262, 285-95, 311-30

obligations consequent on a finding of illegality (ILC(SR) 1)

immediate steps to terminate illegal situation 137

invalidity of treaties in conflict with right to self-determination 294-5

non-recognition/assistance to illegal situation 137, 293-4, 296

reparation 137, 233-48, 296, 342-3

UNC 1(2) (respect for equal rights and self-determination) 129, 274, 287-8, 299

jurisprudence

Chagos: *see* *Separation of the Chagos Archipelago*

Construction of a Wall 179

East Timor 178-9

Kosovo 179-80

Namibia 133, 178

Separation of the Chagos Archipelago: *see* *Separation of the Chagos Archipelago*

Western Sahara 132, 178, 271

means of implementing

customary international law 132

UNGA resolution 1541 (XV), Principle VI 132

UNGA's discretion 132

Western Sahara 132, 271

relevant considerations/implications

"free and genuine will of the people concerned" 132, 133, 135-6, 269-70, 279-84, 289-90, 295-6, 330-3

territorial integrity, as corollary of self-determination 131-3, 151

UNGA resolution 1514 (XV) (Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples) 130-3, 160-2, 301-11

incompatibility of any attempt at the partial or total disruption of the national unity/territorial integrity with UNC purposes and principles 131, 132-3, 135, 153-4, 160-1, 172, 268-9, 275-6, 282, 306-7

normative value/CIL status 130-1, 141-3, 308-11

self-determination, right of (*cont.*)

UNGA resolution 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States)

normative value/CIL status 131-2

territorial integrity and 131-2, 151-4

Separation of the Chagos Archipelago (Advisory Opinion): *see* *Separation of the Chagos Archipelago* (Advisory Opinion) (background including history of the Archipelago, procedural history and jurisdiction); *Separation of the Chagos Archipelago* (Advisory Opinion) (UNGA questions) (Court's response); *Separation of the Chagos Archipelago* (Advisory Opinion) (UNGA questions) (declarations, separate and dissenting opinions)

Separation of the Chagos Archipelago (Advisory Opinion) (background including history of the Archipelago, procedural history and jurisdiction)

discretion to decline request/factors of possible relevance

assistance to the requesting organization in the performance of its functions/
 determination as matter for requesting body 109, 111-12

compelling reason, need for 109-10

complexity of disputed factual issues 109, 110-11

bilateral issues, exclusion 113-15

consent of parties/non-circumvention principle 113-14

consent of parties to pending dispute 110, 113-15

integrity of Court's judicial function 109

res judicata/re-examination of question already determined by UNCLOS Arbitral Tribunal 109-10, 112

geography with special reference to Diego Garcia 102

history and status of Archipelago (1638-1960s)

1638-1710 (Dutch occupation of Mauritius) 102

1715-1810 (French colonial administration of Mauritius/*Île de France*) 102

1814-1965 (UK administration as a dependency of Mauritius) 102

1946 (UNGA resolution 66(I)/UK reports to the Fourth Committee on Mauritius) 102-3

1960 (UNGA resolution 1514 (XV)) 103

1961 (UNGA resolution 1654(XVI)) 103

1964 (UK-US defence facilities discussions) 103, 116-17

1964-5 (Mauritius-UK discussions on the detachment of Archipelago) 117-21

1966 (UK-US Agreement on the establishment of a military base) 117

history and status of Archipelago (removal of Chagossians to Mauritius) 121-5

history and status of Archipelago (detachment from Mauritius/retention under British sovereignty)

1965 UNGA resolution 2066 (XI) expressing "deep concern" about the detachment (16 December 1965) 103

Lancaster House Undertakings (23 September 1965) 103

jurisdiction "on any legal question" (UNC 96/ICJ 65(1)) 107-8

"at the request of whatever body may be authorized . . . to make such a request"

(ICJ 65(1)), UNGA's decolonization functions 113-15

"exact statement of the request" requirement (ICJ 65(2))/lack of clarity/clarification by the Court 108

reformulation, dismissal of request for 126-7

procedural history in date order

UNGA resolution 71/292 (22 June 2017) 93

text 93-5

notice to States entitled to appear before the Court (ICJ 66(1)) (26 June 2017) 99

- Order with time limits for written statements on questions submitted to the Court (ICJ 66(2)) (14 July 2017) 95
- notification to UN and Member States of Court's decisions (ICJ 65(2)) (18 July 2017) 96
- transmission of documents likely to throw light upon the questions put to the Court (30 November 2017) 96
- AU request to be permitted to supply information (10 January 2018) 96
- Order agreeing to AU's request/setting time limits for written submissions (ICJ 66(2)/ICJ 66(4)) (17 January 2018) 96
- notification to UN and Member States of Court's decisions (17 January 2018) 96
- Member States' written statements/notification of list (5 March 2018) 96-7
- notification of hearing dates (14 March 2018) 97
- communication of written statements to contributing Member States/AU (15 March 2018) 97
- notification of written comments filed within the extended time limits (16 May 2018)/transmission of submissions (22 May 2018) 97
- notification of participants in the oral proceedings (21 June 2018) 98
- oral statements (3-6 September 2018) 98
 - Court's question to Mauritius/reply 101
 - participants 98-101
- Separation of the Chagos Archipelago (Advisory Opinion) (UNGA questions) (Court's response)***
 - a. lawfulness of the process of decolonization of Mauritius 128-36
 - Court's conclusion 136
 - text 126
 - a.1. lawfulness: relevant period of time for identifying the applicable law
 - period of decolonization of Mauritius (1965-8) 128
 - period of evolution of law on self-determination (UNC/UNGA resolution 1514 (XV)) 128
 - period post-dating key period when instruments confirm or interpret pre-existing rules or principles 128
 - a.2. lawfulness: applicable law/CIL status of right to self-determination 128-33
 - CIL requirements (general practice/*opinio juris*) 129-30
 - ICCPR 1/ICESCR 1 131
 - NSGT (UNC 73-4)
 - administering power's obligation to develop self-government (UNC 73(b)) 129
 - independence/exercise of self-determination, means (UNGA resolution 1541 (XV), Principle VI/CIL) 132
 - territorial integrity as corollary of self-determination (CIL/UNGA resolution 1514 (XV)/UNGA resolution 2625 (XXV)) 131-3
 - UNGA resolutions, CIL/normative value 130-2
 - relevant factors (hortatory language/consensus) 130-1
 - a.3. lawfulness: UNGA functions 133-5
 - a.4. lawfulness: application of Court's findings
 - factors
 - Lancaster House agreement/absence of the free and genuine expression of the will of the people concerned 136
 - status of Chagos Archipelago as integral part of Mauritius 135
 - status of Mauritius as NSGT 135
 - UNGA resolution 2066 (XX) (call for respect for the territorial integrity of Mauritius) 136

Separation of the Chagos Archipelago (Advisory Opinion) (UNGA questions) (Court's response) (cont.)

- b. consequences under international law of the UK's continued administration of the Chagos Archipelago 136-8
 - Court's conclusion (continued administration as an unlawful act entailing State responsibility (ILC(SR) 1))
 - erga omnes* nature of obligation to respect right to self-determination/obligation of all UN Members to cooperate in the process 138
 - finding of 137
 - obligation on UK to bring its administration of the Chagos Archipelago to an end as quickly as possible 137, 138
 - UNGA's responsibility for the modalities of decolonization 138
 - participants' arguments 137
 - text 126

Separation of the Chagos Archipelago (Advisory Opinion) (UNGA questions) (declarations, separate and dissenting opinions)

- Abraham J (territorial integrity principle) 151-4
 - absence of the "free and genuine expression of the will of the people concerned" as key issue 151-4
 - fluidity of colonial boundaries 152-4
- Cançado Trindade J 154-260
 - overview/conclusions 156-8, 254-60
 - decolonization law as evidence of the humanization of international law 168-74
 - general principles of law (ICJ 38(c)) 248-54
 - ICCP/ICESCR
 - HRC General Comments 175-7
 - HRC observations on States parties' reports on Chagos islanders 176
 - ICCP/ICESCR 1, 174-5
 - ICJ/PCIJ jurisprudence 177-80, 221-5
 - jus cogens* 193-220
 - opinio juris* and 208-20
 - obligation to pay reparations (ILC(SR) 1) 233-48
 - opinio juris* (UNGA resolutions) 230-3
 - UNGA resolutions 158-65
 - Vienna Declaration and Action Programme (1993) 180-3
 - Cançado Trindade and Robinson JJ (joint declaration) (importance of UNGA resolutions) 260-2
 - normative value/evidence of *opinio juris* and *jus cogens* 262
 - UNGA resolution 1514 (XV) 260-2
 - UNGA resolution 2625 (XXV) 261-2
 - Donoghue J (dissenting) (consent of parties/non-circumvention principle) 262-8
 - limitation of response to question (a) as acceptable response 268
 - pending dispute as compelling reason to decline jurisdiction 263-8
 - Gaja J (separate opinion) 268-71
 - question (a) legality of decolonization of Mauritius
 - "free and genuine will of the people concerned" requirement 269-70
 - UNC 73 obligation to promote well-being of inhabitants and self-government as better test 268-9
 - question (b) Court's avoidance of judicial impropriety 271
 - Georgian J (declaration) (consent of parties/circumvention principle) 339-41
 - Iwasawa J (declaration) 297-339, 343-5

- consequences of UK breach of *jus cogens* rule on self-determination, obligation not to recognize/render assistance (ILC(SR) 40) 330
- “free and genuine will of the people concerned” requirement 330-3
- question (a) legality of decolonization of Mauritius
 - BIOT as new colony 344-5
 - “free and genuine will of the people concerned” requirement 343-4
 - territorial integrity principle relationship with free and genuine consent 344
 - question (b) Court’s avoidance of judicial impropriety 345
- self-determination/territorial integrity, right to as *jus cogens*/peremptory norm of international law 311-30
 - ICJ jurisprudence 312-20
 - international instruments reflecting 320-3
 - VCLT 53 325-6
 - views of international bodies/scholars 324-5
 - views of States 323-4
- UNGA’s role in regard to decolonization/development of the right to self-determination 297-301
- Robinson J (separate opinion), consequences of UK breach of *jus cogens* rule on self-determination, voidness of UK–US Defence Agreement (1966) (VCLT 53) 328-30
- Salam J (declaration) (binding effect of UNGA resolution 1514 (XV)) 341-3
 - obligation to pay reparations (ILC(SR) 1) 342-3
- Sebutinde J (separate opinion) 271-97
 - “free and genuine expression of the will of the people concerned” requirement 279-84, 289-90, 295-6
 - obligations consequent on finding of illegality (ILC(SR) 1) 294-7
 - self-determination/territorial integrity, right to as *jus cogens*/peremptory norm of international law 285-95
 - UK’s serious breach of rule 294
 - UNGA resolution 1514 (XV), normative role/CIL status 275-9
 - UNGA’s role in regard to decolonization/development of the right to self-determination 273-9
- Tomka J (declaration) (consent of parties/non-circumvention principle) 146-51
 - analysis of the formulation of the questions (English and French texts) (limitation to validity of decolonization process) 149-50
 - assistance to UNGA in the performance of its functions requirement 146-51
 - preferred reply to UNGA’s question (b) 150-1
- Xue VP (declaration) 140-6
 - BIOT as a new colony 142
 - consent of parties/non-circumvention principle 140-6
 - erga omnes* nature of self-determination obligations 145
 - “exact statement of the request” requirement (ICJ 65(2)) 142
 - history of the detachment of the Chagos Archipelago/development of dispute 142-6
- SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion:** *see* IUU fishing in the EEZ, flag state obligations; MCA Convention (2012); *SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion* (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (background/procedural matters); *SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion* (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (*dispositif*); *SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion* (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (separate opinions and declarations); *SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion* (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (Tribunal’s analysis of the issues)

SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (background/procedural matters)

- jurisdiction, basis (ITLOS 21) 364-71
 - “all matters”/”*toutes les matières*” specifically provided for in an international agreement related to the purposes of UNCLOS (ITLOS 21/Rule 138) 364-8
 - “all matters”, use in ICJ 36(1)/PCIJ 36, relevance 365, 367
 - applicability to advisory jurisdiction 367
 - MCA Convention as 368-9
 - limitation to contentious issues, whether 365-8
 - participants’ arguments 364-6
 - relationship with UNCLOS 288/Annex VI as integral part of the Convention (UNCLOS 318)/equal status with 365-6
- jurisdiction, discretion to decline/factors of possible relevance 370-1
 - applicable law (Rule 138(3))
 - ICSID Rules 130-7, 371-22
 - UNCLOS 293 (ITLOS 23) 372
 - compelling reason for refusal, need for 370
 - exact statement of request/clarity 370
 - impact on States not members of the SRFC, relevance 371
 - non-binding effect of opinion/*res inter alios* 371
- jurisdiction, requirements (Rule 138)
 - exclusion of Rule 138 as independent source of jurisdiction 365, 366
 - “legal question” (UNCLOS 191/Rule 138) 369
 - abstract questions, admissibility 370
 - “arising within the scope of their activities” 369-70
- procedural history in date order
 - request/SRFC resolution authorizing (27 March 2013) 354-7
 - entry of request into list of cases (28 March 2013) 357
 - notification to States Parties (9 April 2013) 357
 - notification to international organizations likely to be able to furnish information (ROP 133(3)) (24 May 2013) 357
 - notification of decision to place statement by US (non-party to UNCLOS) on Tribunal website with status to be considered later (3/4 December 2013) 358
 - notification of decision in relation to WWF statement (3 December 2013) 358
 - transmission of copies of written statements (ROP 133(3)) (3 December 2013) 359
 - written statements received within time limit (3 December 2013) 358-9
 - notification of possibility of written statements on submitted written statements (20 December 2013) 359
 - additional written statements/transmission (ROP 133(3)) (20 March 2014) 359
 - rejection of WWF request to make oral *amicus curiae* statement (ROP 133/138) (24 June 2014) 360-1
 - Tribunal’s questions to SRFC (ROP 76(3)) (2 September 2014) 363
 - Australia’s comments on SRFC’s responses to questions (16 September 2014) 363
 - continuation in office of President Yanai (ROP 16(2))/Nelson and Turk JJ (ROP 17) 364
 - EU’s updating documents (20 October 2014) 363
 - SRFC’s comments on additional documents (6 November 2014) 363-4

SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (dispositif)

- competence
 - admissibility 402

- investigation/follow-up action on report of failure to exercise jurisdiction and control (UNCLOS 94(6)) 403
- jurisdiction, limitation to SRFC Member States' EEZs 402
- Question 1 (flag State's obligations in respect of IUU fishing in EEZ of third-party State obligation to ensure flag ships' compliance with anti-IUU measures (UNCLOS 58(3), UNCLOS 62(4) and UNCLOS 192)) 402-3
 - as due diligence obligation 403
 - obligation to exercise jurisdiction and control in "administrative, technical and social matters" (UNCLOS 94(1)/UNCLOS 94(2))/adopt measures 403
 - obligation of flag State and SRFC Member States to cooperate in IUU fishing cases 403
- Question 2 (limitation of liability to State's own failure to comply with due diligence obligations) 403-4
- Question 3 (liability/*responsabilité* for issue of fishing licence in breach of coastal State's fisheries legislation: flag State vs international agency [EU])
 - EU liability for non-compliance with coastal State laws and regulations by EU Member State vessels operating under fisheries access agreements 404
 - due diligence obligation 404
- limitation of question to international organizations [EU] referred to in UNCLOS 305(1)(f), UNCLOS 206 and UNCLOS Annex IX 404
- States Parties' right to ask organization or its Member States for information about competence in respect of a specific question (Annex IX:6(2)) 404-5
- Question 4 (obligations of SRFC Member States in respect of the sustainable management of shared stocks and stocks of common interest) 405-8
 - obligations
 - consultation and cooperation in setting up management measures (UNCLOS 61, UNCLOS 63 and UNCLOS 64) 407
 - cooperation with the competent regional organization to ensure that the maintenance of the shared stocks in the EEZ is not endangered by over-exploitation (UNCLOS 61(2)) 405
 - cooperation to ensure conservation/optimum utilization of highly migratory species/tuna (UNCLOS 64(1)) 405
 - effective measures to prevent over-exploitation 406
 - "to seek . . . to agree upon the measures necessary to coordinate and ensure the conservation and development of such stocks" (UNCLOS 63(1)) 405
 - "shall seek . . . to agree" (UNCLOS 63(2)) 407
 - points for inclusion in measures
 - maintenance/restoration of populations of species associated with harvested species above serious threat to reproduction 406
 - regular exchange of scientific information, catch and fishing efforts statistics, and other data relevant to conservation of shared stocks 406
 - relevant factors (UNCLOS 61/UNCLOS 62)
 - applicability of measures to the whole stock unit over its entire area of distribution or migration routes 407
 - "due regard" to rights and duties of other States/conformity with the Convention (UNCLOS 56(2)/UNCLOS 58(3)) 407
 - non-endangerment of shared stocks by over-exploitation 406
 - object of measures as sustainable yield qualified by relevant and economic factors 406
 - use of the best scientific evidence available 406
 - right to require cooperation to ensure conservation/optimum utilization of highly migratory species/tuna (UNCLOS 64(1)) 408

SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (separate opinions and declarations)

- Cot J (declaration) (Tribunal's advisory jurisdiction)
 "all matters"/"toutes les matières" 410-11
 ICJ 65(1) (discretion to decline) distinguished 411-12
 non-binding effect of opinion/*res inter alios*, relevance 412
- Lucky J (separate opinion)
 jurisdiction
 ITLOS 12/ITLOS 16, ITLOS Rule 138 and MCA as basis for advisory jurisdiction 432
 participants' arguments 421-5
 UNCLOS as a living instrument 426-7
 UNCLOS provisions 425-32
- Question 1 (flag State's obligations in case of IUU fishing in third-party EEZ),
 Tribunal's failure to discuss UNCLOS articles setting out coastal States' rights 432-3
- Question 2 (liability/responsabilité of flag State for IUU fishing by flag ships)
 insufficient clarity/specificity of question 433
 "liability"/"responsabilité" distinguishability 434
- Ndiaye J (separate opinion) (Question 4)
 questions relating to the adjacent area/tuna migration area, exclusion from the Tribunal's jurisdiction 413-14
 SRFC obligations 421-2
 UNCLOS Parts V (EEZ) and VII (High Seas) and FSA as governing legal provisions 414-22
- Paik J (separate opinion)
 Question 1 (flag State's obligations in case of IUU fishing in EEZ of third-party State) (preliminary issues)
 obligation to ensure flag ships' compliance with coastal State laws and regulations (UNCLOS 58(3)/UNCLOS 94) 437-40
 Tribunal's failure to consider post-UNCLOS legal developments 436-7, 440-6
- Question 4 (obligations of SRFC Member States in respect of the sustainable management of shared stocks and stocks of common interest)
 difficulty in securing cooperation as key issue 446-7
 invocation of liability/reparation as appropriate remedy for breach 449
 MCA provisions 449-50
 "shall seek . . . to agree" (UNCLOS 63(2))/obligation to cooperate (UNCLOS 64(1)) distinguished 448
 SRFC Member States' rights and obligations (exchange of information/good faith negotiation of cooperation agreements) 448-9
- Wolfrum J (declaration) (reparation for breach of State responsibility) 408-10
- SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (Tribunal's analysis of the issues)**
- Question 1 (flag State's obligations in case of IUU fishing in EEZ of third-party State) (preliminary issues)
 access to fisheries resources, obligations
 bilateral agreements 377, 378-9
 MCA 3-4 378-9
 UNCLOS 62(2)/UNCLOS 62(4) 377
 coastal State's rights and obligations
 primary responsibility for conservation and management/prevention, deterrence and elimination of IUU fishing (UNCLOS 56(1)(a)/MCA 25) 377-8

- responsibility for “conserving and managing” (UNCLOS 56(1)(a)) 337-8
- UNCLOS 61/UNCLOS 62/UNCLOS 73(1)/MCA 25 375-8
- Virginia G* 375-6
- “flag State” for purposes of Question 1 (limitation to States not members of the SRFC) 373
- geographical scope of question (EEZs of SRFC Member States) 373, 375
 - definitions (MCA 2. 4, 373-4
 - as infringement to be “integrated in the national legislations of the Member State” (MCA 31.1) 374-5
- IUU fishing, Member States’ commitment to take measures “to prevent, deter and eliminate” (MCA 25.1) 373
- Question 1 (obligations of the flag State in case of IUU fishing in EEZ of third-party State) (Tribunal’s analysis) 378-86
 - bilateral agreement provisions 378-9
 - compliance with coastal State’s laws and regulations (UNCLOS 58(3)) 381-2
 - compliance with coastal State’s laws and regulations (UNCLOS 62(4)) 378, 381
 - cooperation (UNCLOS 197-201) 386
 - due diligence nature of obligation “to ensure” 382-4
 - to ensure nationals/flag ships’ compliance 381
 - exercise of jurisdiction and control in “administrative, technical and social matters” (UNCLOS 94(1)/UNCLOS 94(2)) 380, 383, 385
 - investigation/follow-up action on report of failure to exercise jurisdiction and control (UNCLOS 94(6)) 380, 385
 - MCA provisions 378-9
 - protection and preservation of the marine environment (UNCLOS 192) 381, 385
- Question 2 (liability/*responsabilité* of flag State for IUU fishing by flag ships) 386-8
 - applicable law (ILC(SR) 1, ILR(SR) 2 and ILC(SR) 31(1) as other rules of international law not incompatible with UNCLOS (UNCLOS 293)) 386
 - limitation of liability to State’s own failure to comply with due diligence obligations 387-8
 - “liability”/*responsabilité* distinguished 387
 - right to reparation 387
- Question 3 (liability/*responsabilité* for issue of fishing licence in breach of coastal State’s fisheries legislation: flag State vs international agency [EU]) 388-93; *see also* UNCLOS (1982), EU participation (UNCLOS 305(1)(f)/Annex IX)
 - competences (Annex IX:4(1), 4(2) and 4(3)) 389
 - EC declaration on (Annex IX:5(1)) 389-90
 - EU liability for non-compliance with coastal State laws and regulations by EU Member State vessels operating under fisheries access agreements 392-3
 - States Parties’ right to ask organization or its Member States for information about competence in respect of a specific question (Annex IX:6(2)) 393
 - EU participation in UNCLOS (UNCLOS 305(1)(f)/Annex IX) 388-93
 - scope of question
 - “international agency”/“international organization”, equivalence 388
 - limitation to bilateral access agreement between SRFC Member State and an international organization 388
- Question 4 (rights and obligations of coastal State in respect of the sustainable management of shared stocks and stocks of common interest) 393-402
 - scope of question
 - limitation to rights and obligations of SRFC Member States in their EEZs 394, 400-2
 - limitation to shared stocks and stocks of common interest 394

- SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion (flag State obligations in respect of IUU fishing) (Tribunal's analysis of the issues) (cont.)**
- “shared stocks” (MCA 2(12)) 394, 395
 - “stocks of common interest” (SRFC explanation) 394-5
 - “sustainable management” (“conservation and development of stocks as a viable and sustainable resource”) 395-6
 - SRFC Member States’ obligations in their EEZs 400-2
 - UNCLOS 63(1) (“shall seek . . . to agree” (UNCLOS 63(1))) 396-7
 - “development of stocks” 197
 - as due diligence obligation 400
 - on measures necessary to coordinate and ensure conservation and development of shared stocks 395
 - UNCLOS 63(2) (“same stocks and associated stocks . . . in the adjacent area” (UNCLOS 63(2)))
 - applicability to SRFC Member States 397-8
 - applicability of UNCLOS 63(1) cooperation obligation, “shall seek . . . to agree” (UNCLOS 63(2)) 401-2
 - applicability of UNCLOS 63(1) protection 397-8
 - UNCLOS 64/UNCLOS 116-19 (measures to protect highly migratory species including tuna)
 - as due diligence obligation 400
 - States Parties’ obligation to cooperate (UNCLOS 64) 398-402
- State responsibility for serious breaches of peremptory norms/*jus cogens* (ILC(SR) 40-1)**
- as customary international law 287
 - obligations
 - cooperation to bring serious breach to an end (ILC(SR) 41(1)) 287
 - non-recognition of effects of wrongful act (ILC(SR) 41(2)) 287, 330
 - voidness of treaty 194
- stateless persons, *Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences*: see *Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences***
- Straddling Fish Stocks Agreement (1995) (FSA)**
- allocation of rights to new members of RFMO (FSA 11) 420
 - cooperation mechanisms (FSA 8) 416-17
 - data collection (FSA 119) 419
 - flag State obligations (FSA 18-20) 420-1
 - general principles (FSA 5) 415-16
 - precautionary principle (FSA 6(2)) 419-20
 - RFMOs, functions (FSA 10) 417-18
 - Recommended Best Practices for RFMOs (2007) 417
- subsidiarity/conferral principle (TEU 4, TEU 5 and TFEU 352/TEC 3(b))**
- conferral principle (TEU 4(1), TEU 5(1) and TEU 5(2))
 - compliance, effects vs stated objectives as determining factor 475-82
 - as core constitutional principle 480, 483-4
 - conferral, subsidiarity and proportionality principles, interrelationship 471, 473-4
 - jurisprudence: see *PSPP* case
 - proportionality (TEU 5(1)/TEU 5(4))
 - CJEU’s broad-brush approach to 475
 - three-step approach 475-6
 - as general principle of EU/European law 474
 - as safeguard of Member State competences 473-4, 476-7
 - suitability, necessity and appropriateness as elements of/distinguishability 474-5

territorial integrity/inviolability of boundaries principle (UNGA resolution 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation)) 260

travaux préparatoires, VCDR 24 636

treaties

annex as integral part of 366

municipal law and: *see* *Constitutionality of Article 31.7 and Article 31.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offences; Constitutionality of Article 212.1 of the Russian Criminal Code; Constitutionality of Article 1224(5) of the Russian Civil Code*

treaty interpretation

context (VCLT 31(2)) (other treaties concluded by the same parties) 367

evolutionary/"living-tree" principle

AG 667-71

Alleged Violations of the 1955 Treaty of Amity (Cançado Trindade J) 39-42

Reyes 668

expressio unius est exclusio alterius 670

uniformity, desirability 670

tuna, measures to protect (UNCLOS 64/UNCLOS 116-19)

SRFC Request for an Advisory Opinion 398-402

States Parties' obligation to cooperate (UNCLOS 64) 398-402

as due diligence obligation 400, 448

SRFC Member States' obligations in the EEZ 400-2

UNCLOS (1982), EU participation (UNCLOS 305(1)(f)/Annex IX) 389-93

competences (Annex IX:4(1), 4(2) and 4(3)) 389

EC declaration on (Annex IX:5(1)) 389-90

as basis of liability for breach of UNCLOS obligations (Annex IX:6(1)) 391

matters of exclusive Community competence/shared competence 390

fisheries access agreements

EU liability for non-compliance with coastal State laws and regulations by EU

Member State vessels operating under 392-3

as integral part of the EU legal order 391

States Parties' right to ask organization or its Member States for information about competence in respect of a specific question (Annex IX:6(2)) 393

EC accession (1 May 1998) 389

UNCLOS (1982), modalities

annexes as integral part of the Convention (UNCLOS 318) 366

international organizations and (UNCLOS 305(1)(f)/Annex IX) 389-93: *see also*

UNCLOS (1982), EU participation (UNCLOS 305(1)(f)/Annex IX)

as a living instrument 426-7

object and purpose (establishment of "a legal order for the seas and oceans") 377

UNCLOS dispute settlement, applicable law (UNCLOS 293)

other rules of international law compatible with UNCLOS (UNCLOS 293(1)),

ILC(SR) 386

SRFC Request 386

unequal treaties, Lancaster House Agreement (1965) 132, 133, 135-6, 269-70, 279-84, 289-90, 295-6, 330-5

United Kingdom

diplomatic archives and documents, inviolability (VCDR 24): *see Bancoult 3*

expert evidence, *RBS Rights Issue Litigation* standards for scientific evidence, failure to meet 595-6

United Kingdom (*cont.*)

non-discrimination obligation (ECHR 14): *see RF*

Welfare Reform Act 2012: *see RF*

uti possidetis/intangibility principle, fluidity of colonial boundaries 152-4

Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action on Human Rights (1993) 180-3