

## CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	viii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	1
<b>2 The Use of Force and the Scope for Dynamic Development</b>	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Dynamic Interpretation of the UN Charter Rules on the Use of Force	15
2.2.1 The UN Charter Rules and Customary International Law	19
2.2.2 Contentious Issues in the Interpretation of Article 2(4) UN Charter	21
2.3 Actors within the Interpretation of the UN Charter Rules	26
2.4 Covert Operations and the Development of <i>Jus ad Bellum</i>	33
2.5 Conclusion	35
<b>3 Customary International Law and the Requirement of Publicity</b>	37
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 Customary International Law	40
3.2.1 Addictive Addition: State Practice and <i>Opinio Juris</i>	40
3.2.2 Alternative Approach: The Turn to Normativity	48
3.2.3 Silence and Acquiescence	51
3.3 The Requirement of Publicity	56
3.3.1 Positioning Publicity within International Law	56
3.3.1.1 'Public' and 'Secret' Agreements: Creating the In- and Outside of International Law	56
3.3.2 Publicity in Contemporary Debates on Customary International Law	59
3.4 Justifications, Acknowledgements, and Public Knowledge	70
3.4.1 Justifications	70
3.4.1.1 Necessity of Justifications for Physical Acts	70
3.4.1.2 The Nature of Justifications	72

3.4.2	Acknowledgements	74
3.4.3	Public Knowledge of Acts in the Absence of Acknowledgements and Justifications	76
3.5	Different Levels of Covertness and Publicity	78
3.5.1	Communication by the Acting State	79
3.5.2	Public Knowledge	81
3.6	Conclusion	87
<b>4</b>	<b>Quasi-Covert Operations and the Identification of Claims</b>	<b>88</b>
4.1	Introduction	88
4.2	Delayed Acknowledgements	89
4.2.1	Delayed Acknowledgement of Completed Operations	90
4.2.2	Delayed Acknowledgement of Ongoing Operations	91
4.3	Hypothetical Justifications	92
4.4	Partial Acknowledgements	94
4.5	Illustration: The United States Drone Strikes in Pakistan	97
4.5.1	Background	98
4.5.2	The Road towards Transparency	99
4.5.3	Reactions to the Strikes and the Justifications	104
4.5.3.1	States and International Governmental Organisations	105
4.5.3.2	Academic Commentary	108
4.5.4	United States Drone Strikes and the Development of the <i>Jus ad Bellum</i>	111
4.5.4.1	United States Drone Strikes and the Right to Use Self-Defence against Non-State Actors	113
4.5.4.2	United States Drone Strikes and Temporality of Self-Defence	123
4.6	Conclusion	129
<b>5</b>	<b>Unacknowledged Operations</b>	<b>130</b>
5.1	Introduction	130
5.2	Different Levels of Publicity of Unacknowledged Acts	131
5.2.1	Unacknowledged Operations Triggering Reactions by Other States	132
5.2.2	Publicly Known, but Unacknowledged, Acts to Which States Remain Silent	137
5.2.2.1	Acquiescence to Unacknowledged Acts	137
5.2.2.2	Public Debate and Interpretation of the Charter Rules	141
5.3	Illustration: Cyberattacks	141
5.3.1	Cyberattacks against Estonia and Iran	143
5.3.2	Cyberattacks and the Definition of ‘Force’	147

## CONTENTS

vii

5.3.3	Attribution of Responsibility for Cyberattacks	151
5.3.4	Cyberattacks and the Right to Self-Defence	154
5.3.4.1	Cyberattacks and the Definition of ‘Armed Attack’	154
5.3.4.2	Cyberattacks and Temporality	155
5.3.4.3	Cyberattacks and Necessity	157
5.3.4.4	Cyberattacks and Proportionality	158
5.4	Cyberattacks and the Dynamic Interpretation of <i>Jus ad Bellum</i>	159
5.5	Conclusion	160
<b>6</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>162</b>
	<i>Index</i>	169