

Index

- abortions/abortion rights, 106–7
 accommodations, 198–202
Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Slater
 (10th Cir. 2000), 140, 289, 291
Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Co. (1970), 382
 Adiele, Laura, 169
ADR Tr. Corp. v. Carr (1st Cir. 1993),
 140
 affirmative action claims, 359, 397–98,
 407–8, 410
 affirmative defenses, 16, 20, 48, 54, 466–69,
 478–79, *see also* bona fide occupational
 qualification (BFOQ)
 African American Women/African descen-
 dants, *see* Black women
AFSCME v. State of Washington
 (9th Cir. 1985), 334–60
 comparable worth theory, 355–59
 conclusion, 360
 disparate impact, 354–56
 disparate treatment, 351–54
 facts, 346–48
 feminist judgment, 68–87, 340–60
 free market fallacy, 349–51
 original opinion, 335–40
 overview, 13–14
 pay gap, 334–35
 remedies, 359–60
 strengthening litigation tools, 18
 Age Discrimination in Employment Act
 (ADEA), 25–27, 77, 79
Albemarle Paper Co. v. Moody (1975), 360, 412,
 416, 418, 427–28
 Alexander, Leigh: *Do Google's*
“Unprofessional Hair” Results Show It Is
Racist?, 174
 Ali, Muhammad, 181
 Alito, Samuel, 92, 392
 Allen, Wynter: *Johnson Controls* feminist
 judgment, 8, 58–68
 Am. Psychological Ass’n, *Definition of Terms:*
Sex, Gender, Gender Identity, Sexual
Orientation, 326
 American Bar Ass’n: *Model Rules of*
Professional Conduct, 127
 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA),
 pregnancy and, 24–25, 88–89, 93, 95,
 115–17
 Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments
 Act (ADAAA), 95, 115–17
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. (1986), 132,
 473
 Anthony, Kathryn H. *et. al.*: *Potty Parity in*
Perspective: Gender and Family Issues in
Planning and Designing Public
Restrooms, 288, 295–96
 anti-race-norming provision, Title VII,
 399–400, 426–27
 appearances, intersectional approaches to,
 119–214, *see also* *EEOC v. Catastrophe*
Management Solutions (11th Cir. 2016),
Jespersen v. Harrah’s Operating Co (9th
 Cir. 2006)
 Araújo, Tais, 167–68
 Archibald, Catherine: *Etsitty* feminist
 judgment, 12, 266, 273–300
 Areheart, Bradley: *Young* feminist judgment,
 8, 87–96
Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan
Housing Dev. Corp. (1977), 409,
 429
Ash v. Tyson Foods Inc. (2006), 458

- Ashcraft, Karen Lee and Michael E. Pacanowsky: "A Woman's Worst Enemy": Reflections on a Narrative of Organizational Life and Female Identity, 260
- Ashcroft v. Iqbal* (2009), 162
- #AskHerMore campaign, 127
- associational theory of discrimination, 304
- Aziz, Sahar: *Webb* feminist judgment, 10, 180–91
- Back v. Hastings on Hudson Union Free Sch. Dist.* (2d Cir. 2004), 292, 326
- Bacon v. Honda of Am. Mfg. Inc.* (7th Cir. 2004), 456
- Baehr v. Lewin* (Haw. 1993), 325
- Baker v. California Land Title Co.* (9th Cir. 1974), 132–33
- Baldwin v. Fox* (EEOC 2015), 320, 323–25
- Barnes v. City of Cincinnati* (6th Cir. 2005), 284
- Barrett, Elisabeth M.: *The Effect of State Maximum-Hours Laws on the Employment of Women*, 80
- Barrett, Nancy S.: *Obstacles to Economic Parity for Women*, 80
- Bartlett, Katherine T., 138
- Only Girls Wear Barrettes: Dress and Appearance Standards, Community Norms, and Workplace Equality*, 286
- Bartol, Kathryn M.: *Female Managers and Quality of Working Life: The Impact of Sex-Role Stereotypes*, 80
- Baskin v. Bogan* (7th Cir. 2014), 333
- Batali, Mario, 215
- bathrooms
- bathroom bills, 268–69
 - sex-segregated, 275, 295–300
 - transgender persons use of, *see Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007)
- Bawa, Jesse: *Catastrophe Mgmt.* feminist judgment, 9, 146–56
- Bazemore v. Friday* (1986), 375, 377, 445
- Becker, Mary E.: *From Muller v. Oregon to Fetal Vulnerability Policies*, 81, 83, 84, 85
- Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly* (2007), 162
- Bell, Roxana: *Jespersen* feminist judgment, 9, 119–28
- Benard, Stephen et al.: *Cognitive Bias and the Motherhood Penalty*, 99
- Bendick, Marc, 453
- Bennett Amendment, 352
- Benson, Sara R.: *Hacking the Gender Binary Myth: Recognizing Fundamental Rights for the Intersexed*, 136
- Berger, Linda L.: *Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Opinions of the Supreme Court*, 2
- Bernal v. Fainter* (1984), 291
- Bernstein v. Bankert* (7th Cir. 2013), 332
- Bertrand, Marianne and Sendhil Mullainathan: *Are Emily and Greg More Employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination*, 446
- biases, implicit and explicit, 4, 55–56
- Bibby v. Phila. Coca Cola Bottling Co.* (3d Cir. 2001), 331
- Bielby, William, 439, 454–55
- Black women, 127–28, *see also* intersectionality
- Black hair, regulation of, 151–52, 154–55, 161, 162–70
 - CROWN Act (Cal. 2019), 127–28
 - hair and makeup requirements, *see* hair and makeup requirements, *Jespersen v. Harrah's Operating Co* (9th Cir. 2006)
 - immutability doctrine and, 177–79, *see also* immutable characteristics
 - Islam, intersection with, 180–214, *see also Webb v. City of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 2009)
 - natural hair, 134, 151–52, 162–70
 - sexual harassment and, 233–36
 - straight hair mandate and unequal burdens, 175–76
- Blasi, Gary: *Advocacy against the Stereotype: Lessons from Cognitive and Social Psychology*, 51
- Bollenbach v. Bd. of Educ. of Monroe-Woodbury Cent. Sch. Dist.* (S.D.N.Y. 1987), 263, 285
- bona fide occupational qualification (BFOQ)
- employer's purpose and, 76
 - fetuses as third parties, 78–79
 - in *Etsitty*, 284–86
 - in *Jespersen*, 137–39
 - in *Johnson Controls*, 82
 - occupational, defined, 77
 - pregnant women, 8, 59, 60–61
 - provisions in ADEA and Title VII, 77
 - safety exception, 61, 62–64, 77–82
 - sex-differentiated standards, 125
- Bond v. Atkinson* (7th Cir. 2013), 325

- Bonilla v. Oakland Scavenger Co.* (9th Cir. 1982), 355
- Bonto v. U.S. Bank, N.A.* (7th Cir. 2010), 312
- Bornstein, Lisa and Megan Bench: *Married on Sunday, Fired on Monday: Approaches to Federal LGBT Civil Rights Protections*, 332
- Bornstein, Stephanie
 AFSCME feminist judgment, 13–14, 334–44
Poor, Pregnant, and Fired, 99
- Boutillier v. Hartford Pub. Schs.* (D. Conn. 2016), 320, 325
- Bowen v. Georgetown University Hospital* (1988), 113
- Bowen v. Gilliard* (1987), 289
- Bowen v. Massachusetts* (1988), 117
- Bradley, Joseph P., 344–45
- Bradwell v. Illinois* (1872), 299, 344–45, 349, 364
- Bratton v. Roadway Package Sys., Inc.* (7th Cir. 1996), 312
- Breaking the Patterns of Injustice: AFSCME's Blueprint for Pay Equity*, 348
- Breeden, *see Clark County School District v. Breeden* (2001)
- Brennan, William, 106, 110, 270–71
- Breyer, Stephen, 92
- Bridgeman v. Ford, Bacon & Davis* (8th Cir. 1947), 140
- Brown v. Bd. of Educ.* (1954), 299
- Brown v. D.C. Transit Sys., Inc.* (D.C. Cir. 1975), 133
- Brown v. Gardner* (1994), 331
- Brown v. General Motors Corp.* (8th Cir. 1979), 198
- Brown v. Henderson* (2d Cir. 2001), 142
- Brown, Patricia Leigh: *A Quest for a Restroom That's Neither Men's Room Nor Women's Room*, 300
- Brownmiller, Susan: *Against Our Will: Men, Women, and Rape*, 234
- Bundy v. Jackson* (D.C. Cir. 1981), 238
- burden of production of evidence, 398–99, 410–15
- Bureau of Labor Statistics, Dep't of Labor: *Women in the Labor Force: A Databook*, 99
- Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth* (1998), 10, 20, 21, 224–26, 414, 463, 465–69, 476
- Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Co v. White* (2006), 462
- Burwell v. Eastern Air Lines, Inc.* (4th Cir. 1980), 78–79
- Bush v. Vera* (1996), 428
- Bush, George W., 277
- Bushey v. New York State Civil Service Comm'n* (2d Cir. 1984), 426
- business necessity test, 61, 76, 418–21
- Butler v. Home Depot, Inc.* (N.D. Cal. 1997), 445
- Byrd, Ayana and Lori Tharps: *Hair Story: Untangling the Roots of Black Hair in America*, 167
- Cainkar, Louise and Sunaine Malra: *Targeting Arab/Muslim/South Asian Americans: Criminalization and Cultural Citizenship*, 212
- Caldwell v. Miller* (7th Cir. 1986), 313
- Caldwell, Paulette M.: *A Hair Piece: Perspectives on the Intersection of Race and Gender*, 163–64
- California Brewers Ass'n v. Bryant* (1980), 423
- California Fed'l Sav. & Loan Assoc. v. Guerra* (1987), 107–9, 114, 115, 423
- Carroll v. Talman Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n of Chicago* (7th Cir. 1979), 135, 144
- Carter v. Three Springs Residential Treatment* (11th Cir. 1998), 55
- Castaneda v. Partida* (1977), 257, 371
- Catastrophe Management, see EEOC v. Catastrophe Management Solutions* (11th Cir. 2016)
- Census Bureau, 84
- Centola v. Potter* (D. Mass. 2002), 320
- Chamallas, Martha: *Deepening the Legal Understanding of Bias: On Devaluation and Biased Prototypes*, 51
- Chapman v. City of Danville* (C.D. Ill. 2011), 318
- Chavez v. Credit Nation Auto Sales, LLC* (11th Cir. 2016), 329
- Chavkin, Wendy: *Walking a Tightrope: Pregnancy, Parenting, and Work*, 81
- Cheek v. W. & S. Life Ins. Co.* (7th Cir. 1994), 313
- Chevron, USA v. NRDC* (1984), 105, 109
- circumstantial evidence in disparate treatment cases, 32–34, 39, 48–52
- City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Ctr.* (1985), 290

- City of Los Angeles Dep't of Water & Power v. Manhart* (1978), 78, 86
- City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.* (1989), 291
- Civil Rights Act of 1964, *see* Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Civil Rights Act of 1991, *see also* Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964
- causation, issue of, 482
- disparate impact claims, 337
- punitive damages under, 458–61
- reason, 426
- retaliation claims, 469–70
- civility code, Title VII and, 247–49, 251–52, 258–59
- C.K., Louis, 215
- Clark v. Jeter* (1988), 290
- Clark County School District v. Breeden* (2001), 16, 462–85
- affirmative defense to hostile work environment claims, 466–69, 478–79
- causation, issues of, 483–85
- feminist judgment, 468–85
- impact of, 465–68
- introduction, 462–64
- issues addressed, 471–72
- opposition retaliation claim, 473–74, 475–82
- participation retaliation claims, 470, 474–75, 482–85
- reasonable, good faith belief standard, 466, 468–69, 475–82
- retaliation claims, 469–71, 473–75
- standard of review, 472–73
- temporal proximity determination, 470–71, 479–80, 484–85
- Clarke, Jessica A.: *Protected Class Gatekeeping*, 329
- class actions, 432
- common issue of law or fact, 430, 438–39, 451–52, *see also* *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes* (2011)
- FRCP Rule 23(a), 450–56
- FRCP Rule 23(b), 456–61
- individual hearings, compared, 439–40, 451
- notice and-opt out rights, 440–41, 460–61
- predominance rule, 434–35, 440
- systemic discrimination, *see* systemic discrimination claims
- clothing, relevance in sexual harassment cases, 242–43
- Cobble, Dorothy Sue: *The Other Women's Movement: Workplace Justice and Social Rights in Modern America*, 105
- Coffman v. Indianapolis Fire Dep't* (7th Cir. 2009), 326
- color-blind Constitution theory, 407
- commission sales, historical context for women's interest in, 372–74, *see also* *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* (7th Cir. 1988)
- commonality requirement for class actions, 430, 438–39, 451–52, *see also* *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes* (2011)
- comparable worth theory, 334–68, *see also* *AFSCME v. State of Washington* (9th Cir. 1985)
- Condit v. United Air Lines, Inc.* (4th Cir. 1977), 79
- Congressional Research Service, 182
- Connecticut v. Teal* (1982), 287–88, 408, 427
- Connecticut Nat. Bank v. Germain* (1992), 48
- Constitution, US, *see* First Amendment, US Constitution
- content validation, 419
- Cooper v. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond* (1984), 461
- Cooper v. S. Co.* (11th Cir. 2004), 458
- Corning Glass Works v. Brennan* (1974), 342, 366
- Cortez v. McCauley* (10th Cir. 2007), 279
- Cosby, Bill, 215
- Council on American Islamic Relations (CAIR): *Presumption of Guilt: The Status of Muslim Civil Rights in the United States*, 211–12
- County of Washington v. Gunther* (1981), 381
- Cox, Jeannette: *Pregnancy as Disability and the Amended Americans with Disabilities Act*, 116
- Craig v. Boren* (1976), 289
- Crawford, Bridget J.: *Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Opinions of the Supreme Court*, 2
- credibility of witnesses, 37–38, 41, 216–18
- Crenshaw, Kimberlé: *Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory, and Antiracist Politics*, 163
- Criswell case*, *see* *Western Air Lines, Inc. v. Criswell* (1985)

- critical race theory, 212–13, 254
 CROWN Act (Cal. 2019), 84–85
Cruzan v. Spec. Sch. Dist. No. 1 (8th Cir. 2002), 292
 Cudahy, Richard, 77
 cultural heterosexism, 320
Cummins v. Parker Seal Co. (6th Cir 1975), 197
- Daniels v. City of Arlington* (5th Cir. 2001), 186
Davis v. Coca-Cola Bottling Co. (11th Cir. 2008), 456
 Davis, Angela Y.: *Women, Race, and Class*, 233–34
 Davis, Peggy C.: *Law as Microaggression*, 480
 Day, Phyllis J.: *Sex-Role Stereotypes and Public Assistance*, 84
 Defense of Marriage Acts (DOMA), 302
 DeLano, Mary: *The Conflict Between State Guaranteed Pregnancy Benefits and the Pregnancy Discrimination Act: A Statutory Analysis*, 286
Deneffe v. Skywest, Inc. (D. Colo. May 11, 2015), 320
de novo review, 131, *see also* standards of review
 Dep't of Defense, 204
 Dep't of Health and Human Servs.: Births, Final Data for 2009., 99
Desert Palace, Inc. v. Costa (2003), 30–57, 327
 background facts, 23, 43–47
 commentary on, 30–43
 disparate impact, 412, *see also* disparate impact claims
 feminist judgment, 43–57
 narrative technique and, 17
 original opinion, 30–34
 rewritten decision, implications of, 41–43
 summary, 7
 Title VII, § 703(m), 418, *see also* Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964: § 703(m)
- Devitt, Edward J. and Charles B. Blackmar, *Federal Jury Practice and Instructions* § 15.02, 52
Diaz v. Pan American World Airways (5th Cir. 1971), 201, 263, 285
 diction and rhetoric, 273–74
 direct evidence standard in disparate treatment cases, 32–34, 37–39, 42, 43, 48–57
 discrimination, *see also* gender identity discrimination, pregnancy discrimination, retaliation claims, sex discrimination, sexual orientation discrimination, stereotypes, transgender discrimination
 associational theory of, 304
 because of one's genitals, 280–81
 discriminatory on its face, 61, 75–76
 discriminatory reasons for employer's actions, 30–34
 intent to, 51
 pattern or practice of, 444–47
 personal preferences and, 293–94
 privacy concerns and, 295
 relationship, 324–25
 reverse, 390–91
 safety concerns, discrimination and, 294
 sex of plaintiff, 329–30
 sex of plaintiff's partner, 330
 sex plus, 325–26
 sex stereotyping and sex discrimination, 307–10
 subjective decision making and, 447–48
 systemic, *see* systemic discrimination claims
 under PDA, 75–76
 victims of, 34–36, 37–38
 wage discrimination, 381, *see also* Equal Pay Act (EPA)
- disparate impact claims, 334–461, *see also* *AFSCME v. State of Washington* (9th Cir. 1985), disparate treatment claims, *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* (7th Cir. 1988), *Ricci v. DeStefano* (2009), *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes* (2011)
 in *AFSCME*, 336–39, 354–56
 in *Etsitty*, 286–89
 in *Ricci*, 389, 396–98
 job-relatedness/business necessity defense, 61, 76, 418–21
 less-discriminatory alternatives, 421–24
 minority candidate's counterfactual case in *Ricci*, 415–18
 systemic claims, 13
 disparate treatment claims, *see also* *AFSCME v. State of Washington* (9th Cir. 1985), disparate impact claims, *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* (7th Cir. 1988), *Ricci v. DeStefano* (2009), *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes* (2011)
 evidence for, 32–34
 genitals, discrimination based on, 280–89
 in *AFSCME*, 338–39, 351–54
 makeup requirements, 132–46
 mixed motives, 30–34

- pregnant employees and, 104
 reverse discrimination and, 393–95, 406–27
 sex discrimination, 280–89
 statistical outcomes vs. classifications, 406–9
 systemic claims, 13–16
 systemic discrimination, 334–461
- Doe v. City of Belleville* (7th Cir. 1997), 318
- DOMA (Defense of Marriage Acts), 302
- Domingo v. New Eng. Fish Co.* (9th Cir. 1984), 456
- Dothard v. Rawlinson* (1977), 62–63, 77–79, 81, 138, 294, 355, 417, 423
- double bind, 18, 120, 145, 266–71, 274
- Dowd, Nancy: *Oncale* feminist judgment, 11, 245–55
- Draper v. U.S. Pipe & Foundry Co.* (6th Cir. 1975), 200–1
- dreadlocks, *see* Black women: Black hair, regulation of, *EEOC v. Catastrophe Management Solutions* (11th Cir. 2016)
- Dreger, Alice Domurat: *Ambiguous Sex – or Ambivalent Medicine? Ethical Issues in the Treatment of Intersexuality*, 282–83
- Dreher, Rod: *Miley Cyrus > Donald Trump*, 319
- dress, relevance in sexual harassment cases, 242–43
- Drogin, Richard, 453
- Due Process Clause, 440–41, 460–61
- Easterbrook, Frank H., 82
- Eatman v. United Parcel Serv.* (S.D.N.Y. 2002), 163
- economic harm, 199
- EEOC, *see* Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)
- EEOC v. Catastrophe Management Solutions* (11th Cir. 2016), 9, 146–80
 Black women’s hair, regulation of, 162–70, 172–74
 factual background, 158–59
 feminist judgment, 150–80
 immutability doctrine, 147–48, 150–51, 153–55, 159–61, 177–79
 intersectionality, Black and female, 147, 151–52, 162–70
 legal analysis, 170–79
 legal standard, 162
 original opinion, 147–50
 other communities of color, implications for, 155–56
 procedural history, 159–62
 straight hair mandate and unequal burdens on Black women, 175–76
 Title VII, protections and purposes, 170–72
EEOC v. R.G. & G.R. Harris Funeral Homes (6th Cir. 2018), 253, 276–77
EEOC v. Reads, Inc. (E.D. Pa. 1991), 197
EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co. (7th Cir. 1988), 361–83
 analysis, 376–82
 burden of proof, 375–76
 commission sales, historical context for women’s interest in, 372–74
 conclusion, 383
 day’s leave with pay provision, 382
 EEOC’s prima facie case, 376–78
 facts of record, 369–74
 feminist judgment, 18, 363–83
 introduction, 361–62
 jobs do not have gender, 367–68
 lack of interest defense, 362–63, 365
 Sears hiring policies, 369–70
 Sears’ interest and qualifications defense, 378–81
 statistical analysis, 363–64, 370–72, 376–79
 stereotypes, 364–65
 summary, 13
 wage discrimination, 381–82
- Ellerth case*, *see* *Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth* (1998)
- Ellison v. Brady* (9th Cir. 1991), 224
- Ely, Robin J.: *The Effects of Organizational Demographics and Social Identity on Relationships among Professional Women*, 260
- employers
 actions, accountability for, 36–37
 actions, motivations for, 30–34
 BFOQ and purpose, 76
 credibility of, 37–38
 liability for supervisory conduct, 224–26, 243–45
 liability under Title VII, 53–54
- employment discrimination
 appearances, intersectional approaches, 119–214, *see also* *EEOC v. Catastrophe Management Solutions* (11th Cir. 2016), *Jespersen v. Harrah’s Operating Co.* (9th Cir. 2006)

- employment discrimination (cont.)
 gender identity discrimination, 266–300, *see also Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007)
 gender narratives, Supreme Court and, 30–57, *see also Desert Palace, Inc. v. Costa* (2003)
 introduction, 1–29
 pregnancy discrimination, 58–118, *see also International Union, UAW v. Johnson Controls* (1991), pregnancy discrimination, Pregnancy Discrimination Act (PDA)
 retaliation, 462–85, *see also Clark County School District v. Breeden* (2001), retaliation claims
 sexual harassment, 215–65, *see also Meritor Savings Bank, FSB v. Vinson* (1986), *Oncale v. Sundowner Services* (1998), sexual harassment
 sexual orientation discrimination, 301–33, *see also Hively v. Ivy Tech Community College* (7th Cir. 2017)
 systemic claims, proving disparate treatment and impact, 334–461, *see also AFSCME v. State of Washington* (9th Cir. 1985), disparate impact claims, disparate treatment claims, *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* (7th Cir. 1988), *Ricci v. DeStefano* (2009), *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes* (2011)
- employment practices under Title VII
 in private sector, 48
 in public sector, 383–430, *see also Ricci v. DeStefano* (2009)
- English Only policies, 367
Ensley-Gaines v. Runyon (6th Cir. 1996), 116
- Environmental Protection Agency, 85
 EPA, *see* Equal Pay Act (EPA)
- equal burdens test, 122–25, 136–44, 175
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), 76, 98–99
 comparable worth theory, 334–60
 Compliance Manual on Religious Discrimination, 200
EEOC Pregnancy Guidance (2014), 110–12
 four-fifths rule, 389–91, 416–18
 PDA, interpretation of, 109–14
 prima facie case, 376–78
 Questions and Answers document (1979), 111
Race and Color Discrimination, 210
- race, definition of, 149
 sex discrimination guidelines, 105–6
 temporary disabilities regulations, 115
 undue hardship, guidelines on, 198
 workplace sexual harassment, guidelines for, 242
- Equal Pay Act (EPA), 335, 342, 352, 357–58, 366, 381–82
- Equal Protection Clause, 289–95
 affirmative action claims, *see* affirmative action claims
 discriminatory intent requirement, 400
Etsitty claim under, 273
 intermediate scrutiny test, 289, 290, 292
 personal preference concerns, discrimination and, 293–94
 privacy concerns, discrimination and, 295
 rational basis test, 289
Ricci claim under, 391, 427–29
 safety concerns, discrimination and, 294
 sex-based discrimination, 271, 291–93
 sex-segregated bathrooms and, 275, 298–300
 strict scrutiny test, 289–92
 Title VII, sex-segregated bathrooms and, 295–300
 transgender discrimination and, 290–91
- Espinoza, Leslie and Angela P. Harris:
Embracing the Tar-Baby: LatCrit Theory and the Sticky Mess of Race, 134
- Estlund, Cynthia: *The Story of Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins*, 318
- Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007), 11–12, 18–19, 295
 BFOQ exception, 284–86
 diction and rhetoric, 273–74
 discrimination because of one’s genitals, 280–81
 disparate impact, 286–89
 disparate treatment, 280–86
 double bind, 266–71, 273, 274
 Equal Protection Clause, 289, 298–300
 facts, 266–68, 278–79
 feminist judgment, 273–300
 introduction, 266–71
 original opinion, 271–73
 personal preference concerns, discrimination and, 293–94
 ruling below and summary judgment standard, 279
 safety concerns and, 294
 sex as “but for” cause of firing, 281–84

- sex as motivating factor in dismissal, 284
 sex-based discrimination, 291–93
 sex-segregated bathrooms, legality of, 295–300
 strict scrutiny test for transgender discrimination, 290–91
 Title VII, sex-segregated bathrooms and, 297–98
 transgender discrimination as sex discrimination, 281–84
Evans v. Ga. Reg'l Hosp. (11th Cir. 2017), 315, 331
 Evans, Daniel J., 347
Everson v. Mich. Dep't of Corr. (6th Cir. 2004), 295
 evidence
 default rules in civil cases, 49, 54
 in disparate treatment cases, 32–34, 37–39, 48–52
 explicit biases, 4, 55–56
- facially discriminatory, 61, 75–76
Fagan v. National Cash Register Co. (D.C. Cir. 1973), 138
 Fair Pay Act, 343
 Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), 101
Faragher v. City of Boca Raton (1998), 11, 20, 21, 224–26, 414, 463, 465–67, 476–79
Febres v. Challenger Caribbean Corp. (1st Cir. 2000), 55
 Federal Arbitration Act (FAA), 26–27
Federal Exp. Corp. v. Holowecki (2008), 110
 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP)
 Rule 12(b)(6), 157, 159
 Rule 23, 432, 439–43, 459–61, *see also*
 Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes (2011)
 Rule 23(a), 15, 432, 450–56
 Rule 23(b), 456–61
 Rule 56(c), 382, 473
Feldman v. Allegheny Airlines, Inc. (2d Cir. 1975), 140
 Feminist Judgments project (U.K.), 2
Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Opinions of the Supreme Court (Stanchi, Berger, and Crawford) – 34
 feminist legal theory
 antiessentialism vs. essentialism debate, 318
 double bind, 120, *see also* double bind narrative technique, 17, 341–42, *see also*
 Desert Palace, Inc. v. Costa (2003)
 social framework analysis, 433
- Fernandes v. Costa Bros. Masonry, Inc.* (1st Cir. 1999), 50, 54–55
Fernandez v. Wynn Oil Co. (9th Cir. 1981), 138, 285
 fetal protection policies, 58–60, *see also*
International Union, UAW v. Johnson Controls (1991)
 fetus as third party for BFOQ, 77–82
 impact on families, 65–67
 safety concerns for entire workforce, 67
Fields v. New York State Office of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities (2d Cir. 1997), 54
Fifty-Six Women Officers Are the First to Patrol Philadelphia's Streets, 213
 firefighting, discriminatory hiring and promotion practices, 383–84, *see also*
Ricci v. DeStefano (2009)
 First Amendment, 214
 Flaum, Joel M., 305
Floyd v. Amite Cty. Sch. Dist. (5th Cir. 2009), 324
 Flynn, Taylor: *Transforming the Debate: Why We Need to Include Transgender Rights in the Struggles for Sex and Sexual Orientation Equality*, 282
 Ford, Christine Blasey, 216–18, 226
Ford Motor Co. v. EEOC (1982), 414
 four-fifths rule, 389–91, 399, 416–18
 Fourteenth Amendment, 180, 214, *see also*
 Equal Protection Clause
Frank v. United Airlines, Inc. (9th Cir. 2000), 122, 133, 135
 Franke, Katherine M.: *What's Wrong with Sexual Harassment?*, 260
Fraternal Order of Police Newark Lodge No. 12 v. City of Newark (3d Cir. 1999), 186–87, 194
 FRCP, *see* Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
 free market
 defense to unequal pay claims, 339–41, 345–46
 free market fallacy, 349–51
 Fudge, Marcia, 170
Fumco Construction Corp. v. Waters (1978), 353
- Gagnon v. Sprint Corp.* (8th Cir. 2002), 55
 Gagnon, Louise: *Community Partnerships Connect Hair Loss Patients with Dermatologists*, 176

- Garcia v. Elf Atochem North America* (1994), 255
- Garcia v. Gloor* (5th Cir. 1980), 160
- gender
- gender-based harassment, 19–20, 257–61
 - gender bias, 50, 378–81
 - gender binary, *see also* equal burdens test, stereotypes
 - gender binary, equal burdens test and, 136–37
 - gender narratives, 7, 30–57, *see also* *Desert Palace, Inc. v. Costa* (2003)
 - gender nonconforming individuals, 136, *see also* *Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007)
 - gender-segregated workforce, 334–35, 349–50, 361–83, *see also* *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* (7th Cir. 1988)
 - indirect vs. direct reliance on, 407–8
 - stereotyping, *see* stereotypes
- gender identity discrimination, 11–13, *see also* *Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007), *Hively v. Ivy Tech Community College* (7th Cir. 2017)
- as sex discrimination, 266–333
 - paradigms for understanding, 39
 - sex stereotyping and gender identity, 261–65
- General Electric Co. v. Gilbert* (1976), 92, 93, 102–3, 106–8, 110
- General Telephone Co. of Southwest v. Falcon* (1982), 432, 438, 441, 443, 450–52
- Gerdom v. Cont'l Airlines, Inc.* (9th Cir. 1982), 122, 263, 285–86
- Gilbert case*, *see* *General Electric Co. v. Gilbert* (1976)
- Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp.* (1991), 26–27
- Ginsburg, Ruth Bader, 226, 247
- Glynn, Sarah Jane: *The New Breadwinners: 2010 Update*, 99
- Goessart v. Cleary* (1948), 349
- Goldberg, Suzanne B.: *On Making Anti-essentialist and Social Constructionist Arguments in Courts*, 318
- Goldilocks problem, 479, *see also* temporal proximity determination
- Goldman v. Weinberger* (1986), 185, 202, 204
- Goluszek v. H. R. Smith* (N.D. Ill. 1988), 258
- good faith defense, 198, 387–89, 394–95, 398, 411–12, *see also* reasonable, good faith belief standard
- Gould, Bill, 367
- Government Employee Relations Report, May 12, 351
- Green, Michael Z.: *Breeden* feminist judgment, 16, 463, 468–85
- Green, Tristin
- Discrimination-Reducing Measures at the Relational Level* (with Alexandra Kalev), 446
 - Wal-Mart* feminist judgment, 15, 435–61
- Greene, D. Wendy: *Catastrophe Mgmt.* feminist judgment, 9, 147, 148, 150–80
- Greenwald, Anthony G. et al: *Measuring Individual Differences in Implicit Cognition: The Implicit Association Test*, 51
- Greeson v. Imperial Irr. Dist.* (9th Cir. 1932), 139
- Gregory, Ted: *Sexual Harassment Suits Put Casinos in Spotlight*, 141
- Gresham v. Waffle House, Inc.* (N.D. Ga. 1984), 325
- Griggs v. Duke Power Co.* (1971), 287, 350, 355, 412, 416, 418, 427–28, 430, 450
- Gross v. FBL Financial Services, Inc.* (2009), 25
- Grotter v. Bolinger* (2008), 407
- Habermehl v. Potter* (10th Cir. 1998), 279
- Hagel, Chuck, 170
- hair and makeup requirements, 119–46, *see also* *Jespersen v. Harrah's Operating Co* (9th Cir. 2006)
- costs of, 139–40, 142–43
 - diversity and, 18
 - equal burdens test, 139–44
 - psychological costs, 141
 - religious exceptions, 152, 155–56
 - time required for, 140–41
- Hall v. Nalco Co.* (7th Cir. 2008), 322–23, 326
- Hallett, Karen, 50
- Hamm v. Weyauwega Milk Prods.* (7th Cir. 2003), 315
- Hamner v. St. Vincent Hosp. & Health Care Ctr., Inc.* (7th Cir. 2000), 315–16
- harassment, because of sex, *see* sexual harassment
- Hardison*, *see* *Trans World Airlines, Inc. v. Hardison* (1977)
- Harris v. Forklift Systems, Inc.* (1993), 257, 261, 466
- Harriss v. Pan Am. World Airways, Inc.* (9th Cir. 1980), 78, 138

- Hayes v. Shelby Mem. Hosp.* (11th Cir. 1984), 75
Hazelwood School Dist. v. United States (1977),
 371, 377, 408, 436–37, 444–49
Hazen Paper Co. v. Biggins (1993), 409
 Heilman, M. E. and M. C. Haynes:
*Subjectivity in the Appraisal Process:
 A Facilitator of Gender Bias in Work
 Settings*, 448
Heller v. Columbia Edgewater Country Club
 (D. Or. 2002), 320, 323
Henson v. City of Dundee (11th Cir. 1982), 238
 Herek, Gregory M.: *Psychological
 Heterosexism in the United States*, 320
 Hermer, Laura: *Paradigms Revised: Intersex
 Children, Bioethics and the Law*, 136
 heterosexism, 306–8, 318–22
 heterosexuality, 259, 263–65
 Hill, Anita, 38, 223, 226
Speaking Truth to Power, 216
Hively v. Ivy Tech Community College (7th Cir.
 2017), 301–33
 analysis, 317–32
 analytical approaches in, 304
 as 7th Cir. precedent, 315–17
 background, 311–12
 counterarguments, 331–32
 differential treatment, 322–24
 discrimination based on Hively's partner's
 sex, 330
 discrimination based on Hively's sex, 329–30
 feminist judgment, 306–33
 Hively's complaint, 313–15
 original opinion, 302–6
 relationship discrimination, 324–25
 sex plus discrimination, 325–26
 sex stereotyping, 318–22
 sexual orientation discrimination claim,
 313–26
 standard of review, 312
 summary, 12–13
 Title VII, § 703(a)(1), 314–15, 317–26
 Title VII, § 703(m), 316–17, 327–30
 transgender discrimination and, 19, 252
Holcomb v. Iona Coll. (2d Cir. 2008), 324–25
 Holder, Eric, 276
Holiday v. Belle's Rest. (W.D. Pa. 1976), 325
Holland v. U.S. (1954), 52
Hollins v. Atl. Co. (6th Cir. 1999), 163
 homosexuality, 259, 264–65, *see also* gender
 identity discrimination, *Hively v. Ivy Tech
 Community College* (7th Cir. 2017)
 hooks, bell: *Feminist Theory: From Margin to
 Center*, 236
 Hornick, Christopher, 386
*Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church
 & School v. EEOC* (2012), 25–26
 hostile work environment claims, 238–40, 258,
 464, 466–67, 478–79
Huber v. Taylor (3d Cir. 2006), 196
Hudgins v. Wrights (Va. 1806), 152, 165, 177
 Hughes, Mark Alan: *Poverty in Cities*, 84
 Human Rights Watch: *Failing Its Families:
 Lack of Paid Leave and Work-Family
 Supports in the U.S.*, 101
 immutable characteristics, 121, 125, 133–36,
 150–55, 159–62, 177–80
 impact, 394–95
 impermissible/discriminatory reasons for
 employer actions, 30–34
 implicit biases, 4, 13–16, 21, 39, 42, 55–56
In re Nat'l Airlines, Inc. (S.D. Fla. 1977), 79
 BFOQ defense, 132
 intent to discriminate, 51
 intermediate scrutiny test, 289–92
*International Brotherhood of Teamsters
 v. United States* (1977), 353, 355, 375–78,
 408, 436–37, 442, 444–49, 457
International Union, UAW v. Johnson Controls
 (1991), 58–87
 background, 59–60
 BFOQ defense, 62–64, 75–83, 138, 285
 facially discriminatory policy, 61–62
 feminist judgment, 64–65
 fetal protection policy, impact of, 65–67,
 68–69
 Johnson Controls' policies, 69–71
 original opinion, 58–59
 proceedings below, 71–74
 societal context, 83–86
 summary, 7–8
 Supreme Court analysis, 61
 workforce safety concerns, disregard for, 67
 intersectionality
 appearances and, 119–214, *see also* EEOC
v. Catastrophe Management Solutions
 (11th Cir. 2016), *Jespersen v. Harrah's
 Operating Co.* (9th Cir. 2006), *Webb
 v. City of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 2009)
 Black and female, 151–52, 162–70, 172–74
 complexity of facts and, 36
 feminist judgments and, 20–21

- intersectionality (cont.)
 Islam, Blackness and gender, 180–214, *see also* *Webb v. City of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 2009)
 sex and gender, 250–51
 sex stereotyping and LGBTQ+, 301
 intersexuality, 282, *see also* transgender discrimination
Investment Co. Institute v. Camp (1971), 113
Isaacs v. Felder Servs., LLC (M.D. Ala. 2015), 320, 323
 Islam, stereotyping of, 180–214, *see also* Muslims, stereotyping of, *Webb v. City of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 2009)
- Jackson v. Arkansas Dep't. of Educ.* (8th Cir. 2001), 478
Jackson v. Continental Cargo-Denver (10th Cir. 1999), 280
 Jacobs, Harriet: *Incidents in the Life of Slave Girl*, 234
Jefferson v. Ingersoll Int'l Inc. (7th Cir. 1999), 458
Jeffries v. Harris County Comty. Action Comm'n (5th Cir. 1980), 210
Jenkins v. Blue Cross Blue Mut. Hosp. Ins., Inc. (7th Cir. 1976), 161
Jespersen v. Harrah's Operating Co. (9th Cir. 2006), 119–46
 amicus curiae brief, 137, 141
 background, 129–31
 BFOQ defense, 132–33, 137–39
 disparate treatment under Title VII, 132–46
 double bind in, 18
 equal burdens test, 136–44, 175, 297–98
 facts, 119–20
 feminist judgment, 128–46
 feminist judgment, implications of, 124–28
 intentional sex discrimination, 172
 mutability/immutability distinction, 133–36, 178
 original opinion, 120–22
 sex stereotyping, 123–24
 standard of review, 131–32
 summary, 9
 job-relatedness/business necessity test, 61, 76, 418–21
Johnson v. Transportation Agency (1987), 257–58, 407, 413
 Johnson, Maude: *Caring for African American Hair*, 134
 Johnson, Paulette M. *Johnson: A Hair Piece: Perspectives on the Intersection of Race and Gender*, 134
Johnson Controls, *see International Union, UAW v. Johnson Controls* (1991)
Jones v. State Bd. of Educ. of Tennessee (1970), 236
 Jones, Jasmine, 169–70
 Jones, Trina: *Meritor* feminist judgment, 10, 215–27
 judicial deference, 202–4
- Kanter, Rosabeth Moss: *Men and Women of the Corporation*, 350
Katz v. Dole (4th Cir. 1983), 238
 Kavanaugh, Brett, 216–18
 Keillor, Garrison, 215
Kelley v. Johnson (1976), 185, 202–3, 204
 Kelley-Claybrook, Susan: *The Comparable Worth Dilemma: Are Apples and Oranges Ripe for Comparison?*, 358
Kelly v. City of New Haven (Conn. 2005), 423
 Kennedy, Anthony, 337, 392–95, 400, 429
 Kershaw, Jeila Martin: *Tignon of Colonial Louisiana*, 166
 Kessler-Harris, Alice
 expert testimony, *EEOC v. Sears*, 366–67, 373–74, 378–80
Out to Work, 80
 Kim, Clare: *Florida School Threatens to Expel Student over "Natural Hair"*, 168
 Kimmel, Michael S.: *Masculinity as Homophobia: Fear, Shame, and Silence in the Construction of Gender Identity in Theorizing Masculinities*, 264
Kirkland v. New York State Dep't of Correctional Servs. (2d Cir. 1983), 426
 Kogan, Terry S.
Sex-Separation in Public Restrooms: Law, Architecture, and Gender, 297, 299
Transsexuals and Critical Gender Theory: The Possibility of a Restroom Labeled "Other", 283, 296
 Koontz, Elizabeth Duncan: *Childbirth and Child-Rearing Leave: Job-Related Benefits*, 105
 Kozinski, Alex, 215
- Lach, Denise H. and Patricia A. Gwartney-Gibbs: *Sociological*

- Perspectives on Sexual Harassment and Workplace Dispute Resolution*, 481
- lack of interest defense, 362–63, 365, 378–81
- Laderach v. U-Haul of Northwestern Ohio, et al.* (6th Cir. 2000), 55
- Lam v. University of Hawai'i* (9th Cir. 1994), 210
- Lambda Legal: *Hively Speaks out after Fed Court Dismisses Discrimination Suit*, 314
- Landgraf v. USI Film Products* (1994), 53
- Latta v. Otter* (9th Cir. 2014), 325
- Lauer, Matt, 215
- Lawrence v. Texas* (2003), 253, 304
- lead exposure, *see International Union, UAW v. Johnson Controls* (1991)
- Lebamoff Enters., Inc. v. Huskey* (7th Cir. 2012), 329
- Lee, Rebecca K.: *Ricci* feminist judgment, 14, 384–401
- legitimate reasons for employer actions, 30–34
- Lemons v. City and County of Denver* (Fair Empl. Prac. Cas. 1978), 357
- LGBTQ+ rights, 18–19, 301, *see also* gender identity discrimination, intersectionality, transgender discrimination
- Linder, Mark and Ingrid Nygaard: *Void Where Prohibited: Rest Breaks and the Right to Urinate on Company Time*, 288
- Little v. Ill. Dep't of Revenue* (2004), 415
- Local 28 of Sheet Metal Workers Int'l Ass'n v. EEOC* (1986), 456
- Local No. 93, International Ass'n of Firefighters v. City of Cleveland* (1986), 414
- Lochner v. New York* (1905), 345
- locs. *See* Black women: Black hair, regulation of, *EEOC v. Catastrophe Management Solutions* (11th Cir. 2016)
- Loftin, Tiffany Dena, 128
- Lopez, Ian F. Haney: *The Social Construction of Race: Some Observations on Illusion, Fabrication, and Choice*, 177
- Loorde, Audre, 56
- Los Angeles Dep't. of Water & Power v. Manhart* (1978), 76, 322–23, 325, 352, 356, 375
- Loving v. Virginia* (1967), 304–5
- Lusardi, Annamaria et al.: *Financially Fragile Households: Evidence and Implications*, 101
- Lynch v. Freeman* (6th Cir. 1987), 287–88
- Lynn v. Regents of the University of California* (1981), 353
- MacKinnon, Catharine A., 250
Sexual Harassment of Working Women, 218, 350
- Madu, Pamela and Roopal Kundu: *Follicular and Scarring Disorders in Skin of Color: Presentation and Management*, 176
- makeup, employer policy requiring, *see Jespersen v. Harrah's Operating Co* (9th Cir. 2006)
- male discrimination, 254, *see also Oncale v. Sundowner Services* (1998)
- Mann, Naomi: *Desert Palace* feminist judgment, 7, 30–43
- Marbury v. Madison* (1803), 331
- Marino v. Indus. Crating Co.* (3d Cir. 2004), 196
- market defense to unequal pay claims, 339–41, 343, 349–51
- Marshall, Thurgood, 106, 110
- Martin Marietta* case, *see Phillips v. Martin Marietta Corp.* (1971)
- masculinities theory, 250–51, 263–65
- Massachusetts v. Feeney* (1979), 349
- McClain v. Lufkin Indus. Inc.* (5th Cir. 2008), 456
- McClelland, Sara I. et al: *Adapting to Injustice: Young Bisexual Women's Interpretations of Microaggressions*, 319
- McConnell, Mitch, 28, 217
- McCormick, Marcia: *Johnson* feminist judgment, 8, 58, 64–87
- McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green* (1973)
 burden-shifting framework, 23–25, 91, 94, 353, 388–89
 circumstantial evidence, 281
 disparate impact, 103, 410
 disparate treatment analysis, 420
 mixed motives, 31
 standard of review, 346, 414
- McEntee, Gerald W.: *Congressional Testimony of AFSCME President, Gerald W. McEntee, May 1982*, 351
- McGinley, Ann C.
Erasing Boundaries: Masculinities, Sexual Minorities, and Employment Discrimination, 322
 introduction, xxv

- McGinley, Ann C. (cont.)
Oncale feminist judgment, 11, 246, 249–65
 ¡Viva la Evolucion! Recognizing
 Unconscious Motive in Title VII, 50
- McGowan, Sharon M.: *The Bona Fide Body: Title VII's Last Bastion of Intentional Sex Discrimination*, 299
- McKellips v. *Franciscan Health Sys.* (W.D. Wash. 2013), 116
- McKennon v. *Nashville* (1995), 414–15
- McWilliams v. *Fairfax County Board of Supervisors* (4th Cir. 1996), 258
- Medina v. *Income Support Div.* (10th Cir. 2005), 272, 331
- Meritor Savings Bank, *FSB v. Vinson* (1986), 215–45
 background, 215–20, 233–36
 district court's errors, 236–38
 employer liability for sexual harassment, 243–45
 facts, 222–23, 227–31
 feminist opinion, 2
 harassment defined, 246
 injury, tangible and economic, 238–40
 original opinion, 220–22
 other alleged harassment, 241–42
 preventing sex and gender-based harassment, 19–20
 procedural history, 231–33
 sexual harassment claim, 238–45, 257–58
 strict liability for illegal harassment, 16
 summary, 10–11
 unwelcomeness standard, 240
 voluntary participation inquiry, 240–43
- Messerschmidt, James W.: *Masculinities and Crime: Critique and Reconceptualization of Theory*, 261
- #MeToo movement, 27, 35, 37, 42, 215–18
- Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., *Statistical Bulletin* 9, 86
- Michael M. v. *Superior Court* (1981), 293
 microaggressive behavior, 480
 military policies, US, 169–70, 189–90, 201–2
- Miller v. *Fed. Land Bank of Spokane* (9th Cir. 1978), 139
- Minow, Martha: *Making All the Difference: Inclusion, Exclusion, and American Law*, 89–90
- Miró, Esteban, 166–67
- Mitchell, Holly, 127–28
- Mitchell, Stephen: *Testimony to ERISA Advisory Council* (2012), 100
- mixed-motive cases, 30–31, 47–48, 53–54, 326–27, 439
- Mokoena, Hlonipha: *From Slavery to Colonialism and School Rules: A History of Myths about Black Hair*, 168–69
- Monahan, John et al.: *Contextual Evidence of Gender Discrimination*, 454
- Money, John: *Gay, Straight and In-Between: The Sexology of Erotic Orientation*, 262
- Montero v. *AGCO Corp.* (9th Cir. 1999), 478
- Moore, Roy, 215
- Morris, Madeline, 80
- Morrissey v. *Brewer* (1972), 460
- Morton v. *Mancari* (1974), 117
- Mosbergen, Dominique: *Battling Asexual Discrimination, Sexual Violence and "Corrective" Rape*, 319
- Mullane v. *Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.* (1950), 460
- Muller v. *Oregon* (1908), 79, 345, 349, 364
- Mullins, Anne: *Desert Palace* feminist judgment, 7, 17, 30, 34–57
- Muslims, stereotyping of, 152, 155, 180–214, *see also Webb v. City of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 2009)
- mutable characteristics, 121, 125, 133–36, 178
- Myers, Howard: *The Effect of Sexual Stratification by Race on Arrest in Sexual Battery Cases*, 235
- NAACP, 154
 narrative technique, 17, 30–57, 341–42, *see also Desert Palace, Inc. v. Costa* (2003)
- Nathan, Richard P. and Charles F. Adams, Jr.: *Four Perspectives on Urban Hardship*, 84
- National Ctr. for Children in Poverty, Columbia Univ.: *Five Million Children: A Statistical Profile of Our Poorest Young Citizens*, 85
- National Ctr. for Economic Alternatives: amicus curiae brief, *AFSCME v. State of Washington*, 357
- National Ctr. of Lesbian Rights, 137
- National War Labor Board: *Termination Report: Industrial Disputes and Wage Stabilization in Wartime*, 357
- natural hairstyles, *see* Black women: Black hair, regulation of

- Nelson, Robert and William Bridges:
Legalizing Gender Inequality, 445
- Nelson, Ryan H.: *Hively* feminist judgment, 12, 301, 306–33
- Nesset-Sale, Jo Carol: *The Making of a Civil Rights Plaintiff: A Retrospective by the Plaintiff in Cleveland Board of Education v. Lafleur, a Landmark Pregnancy Discrimination Case*, 294
- Nevada Dep't of Human Res. v. Hibbs (2003), 109, 428
- Nev-Cal Elec. Securities Co. v. Imperial Irr. Dist (9th Cir. 1936), 141
- New Orleans v. Dukes (1976), 289
- New York City Commission on Human Rights, 154–55
- New York City Transit Authority v. Beazer (1979), 406
- Newport News Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co. v. EEOC (1983), 76, 103, 108, 257, 280–81
- Nguyen v. INS (2001), 293
- Nichol v. Pullman Standard, Inc. (7th Cir. 1989), 329
- Nichols v. Azteca Rest. Enters., Inc. (9th Cir. 2001), 144, 298
- noneconomic harm, 199
- Norton, Eleanor Holmes, 336
- O'Connor, Sandra Day, 31, 53–54, 55, 412, 428
- O'Hanlan, Kevin: *City Settles Suit with Woman Who Was Told to Remove Muslim Garb*, 211
- O'Reilly, Bill, 215
- Obama, Barack, 170, 277
- Obergefell v. Hodges (2015), 153–55, 253, 301–2, 304, 325, 332–33
- occupational, defined, 77
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), 60, 67, 70–71, 82
- Oncala v. Sundowner Services (1998), 2, 19–20, 33, 245–65, 272, 304
- civility code, 247–49, 251–52, 258–59
- defining “because of sex,” 259–61
- facts, 246–47, 249–50, 256, 476
- feminist judgment, 11, 249–65
- feminist opinion, impact on subsequent law, 252–55
- LGBTQ+ rights, 329
- masculinities theory, 250–51
- original opinion, 246–49
- proving claim of sex- or gender-based harassment, 257–61
- same-sex sexual harassment, 250, 304
- sex stereotyping, sexual orientation, and gender identity, 261–65
- summary, 281
- Title VII protections, 258–59, 272, 281, 329, 476
- Ontiveros, Maria: *Sears* feminist judgment, 13, 361–68
- Onwuachi-Willig, Angela
Another Hair Piece: Exploring New Strands of Analysis under Title VII, 175
Jespersen feminist judgment, 9, 119, 124–46
Meritor feminist judgment, 9–10, 222–45
- opposition retaliation claims, 473–74, 475–82
- Ostapowicz v. Johnson Bronze Co. (3d Cir. 1976), 209
- Ostrowski v. Atlantic Mut. Ins. Cos. (2d Cir. 1992), 51
- Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School Dist. No. 1* (2007), 407, 428–29
- Parr v. *Workmen of the World Life Ins. Co.* (11th Cir. 1986), 325
- participation clause claims, 463–65, 470, 474–75, 482–85
- pattern or practice claims, 368, 372–78, 408, 439–40, 442–61
- Patton, Tracey Owens: *Hey Girl, Am I More Than My Hair? African American Women and Their Struggles with Beauty, Body Image, and Hair*, 134
- Paul, Maureen, et al.: *Corporate Response to Reproductive Hazards in the Workplace: Results of the Family, Work, and Health Survey*, 85
- pay gap, 334–35, 342–43
- Paycheck Fairness Act, 343
- Pearce v. *Mayfield School* [2002] (Eng.), 323
- Pemberton, Jack, 367
- Pennsylvania Religious Freedom Protection Act (RFPA), 195
- Pension Ben. Guar. Corp. v. LTV Corp.* (1990), 331
- Perception Institute: *The Good Hair Study*, 175–76
- personal preferences, discrimination and, 293–94
- Personnel Administrator v. Feeney* (1979), 409

- Pettaway v. Am. Cast Iron Pipe Co.* (5th Cir. 1974), 456–58
- Phelps, Teresa Godwin: AFSCME feminist judgment, 14, 340–60
- Phillips v. Perry* (9th Cir. 1997), 201
- Phillips v. Martin Marietta Corp.* (1971), 75–76, 325–26, 375
- Pitts v. Wild Adventures* (M.D. Ga. 2008), 163
- Plair v. E.J. Brach & Sons, Inc.* (7th Cir. 1997), 55
- Poirier, Marc R.: *Gender Stereotypes at Work*, 480
- police uniform regulations, 181–214, *see also* *Webb v. City of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 2009)
- Porter, Nicole Buonocore: introduction, xxv
- Posner, Richard, 77, 303–5
- Postal Service Bd. of Governors v. Aikens* (1983), 49, 281
- predominance rule, 434–35, 440
- pregnancy discrimination, 58–118, *see also* fetal protection policies, *International Union, UAW v. Johnson Controls* (1991), Pregnancy Discrimination Act (PDA), *Young v. United Parcel Service, Inc.* (2015)
- bona fide occupational qualification (BFOQ), 8, 59, 60–61
- dilemma of difference, 89–90
- disparate treatment, 54–55, 72–73
- double bind, 18
- EEOC *Pregnancy Guidance* (2014), 110–12
- summary of rewritten opinions, 7–8
- Pregnancy Discrimination Act (PDA), 59, 62, 79–82
- ADAAA and, 116–17
- BFOQ standard, 63–64, 80–81
- discrimination defined under, 75–76
- EEOC’s interpretation of, 109–14
- history and purpose, 105–9
- legislative history, 65–67, 81–82, 107–8, 117
- leveling-up mandate, 114–18
- other persons, interpretation of, 93–94
- pregnancy-neutral accommodation rules and, 94
- rights under, 88
- statutory mandate, 102–5
- prenatal care, 84–85
- prevention of sex- and gender-based harassment, 19–20
- Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins* (1989)
- disparate treatment claims, 34, 280, 425
- employers’ liability under Title VII, 53–54
- gender narratives, 30–31
- immutable characteristics, 307–11
- in original *Feminist Judgments*, 23
- intersectional stereotypes, 153, 250–51, 253–54, 261–62, 275
- retaliation claims and, 255
- sex stereotyping, 178, 318
- social constructions, 304
- standard of review, 375
- stereotypes and discrimination, 78, 82, 121, 124, 136–37, 144–46, 272–73, 282–84, 296, 469–70, 482–83
- Title VII violation, 173, 298, 321–22, 328
- Prince, Althea: *The Politics of Black Women’s Hair*, 165
- privacy concerns and discrimination, 295
- proof methodologies, 4
- Protos v. Volkswagen of America* (3d Cir. 1986), 196–97
- psychological heterosexism, 319–20
- public sector employment practices, 383–430, *see also* *Ricci v. DeStefano* (2009)
- Pullman-Standard v. Swint* (1982), 409
- queer theory, 254
- quid pro quo* claims, 237–38
- race
- definition of, 149–50
- direct vs. indirect reliance on, 407–9
- race-norming ban, Title VII, 399–400, 426–27
- racial statistical outcomes opposed to race-based classifications, 406–9
- sexual harassment and, 233–36, *see also* intersectionality
- rape, sexual harassment
- corroboration requirements, 37
- definitions and understandings, 233–35
- status, differences in, 235
- Rastafarians, 155
- rational basis test, 289, 400, 427–28
- Ratliff v. City of Milwaukee* (7th Cir. 1986), 375
- Ray v. Henderson* (9th Cir. 2000), 483
- Reagan, Ronald, 336
- reasonable, good faith belief standard, 463, 466–68, 475–82, *see also* good faith defense
- reasonable person standard, 224
- recovery, theories of, 4

- Reeb v. Ohio Dep't of Rehab. & Corr.* (6th Cir. 2006), 458
- Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc.* (2000), 49, 413
- Reeves v. Swift Transp. Co.* (6th Cir. 2006), 103, 115
- relationship discrimination, 324–25
- religion
- Rastafarians, 155
 - religious accommodations, 156, 187–88
 - religious discrimination, 197, 200, 210–12
 - religious garb, 18
 - religious neutrality defense, 205–7
 - religious stereotyping, Islam, 180–214, *see also* Muslims, stereotyping of, *Webb v. City of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 2009)
 - Sikhism, 152, 155
- Religious Apparel Amendment, 203
- Religious Garb Statute (Pa.), 205
- remedies for sex-and gender-based harassment, 19–20
- Rene v. MGM Grand Hotel, Inc.* (9th Cir. 2002), 331
- Reskin, Barbara F.
- Supervisors as Gatekeepers: Male Supervisors' Response to Women's Integration in Plant Jobs* (with Irene Padavic), 84
 - The Proximate Causes of Employment Discrimination*, 446
- retaliation claims, 462–85, *see also Clark County School District v. Breeden* (2001)
- Breeden* feminist judgment, 473–85
 - causation, issue of, 469–71
 - opposition activity, 473
 - overview, 16
 - participation claims, 474–75, 482–85
 - retaliation as motivating factor, 482–83
- reverse discrimination, 390–91, 393–95, *see also Ricci v. DeStefano* (2009)
- Rhodan, Maya: *U.S. Military Rolls back Restrictions on Black Hairstyles*, 169–70
- Ricci v. DeStefano* (2009), 383–430
- burden of production of evidence, 398–99
 - call to overturn, 400–1
 - CSB hearings and decision, 403–5
 - disparate impact claim, 389, 397–98
 - disparate treatment claim, 393–96, 406–27
 - equal protection claim, 391, 400, 427–29
 - evidentiary burdens, 410–15
 - factual background, 402–5
 - feminist judgment, 397–430
 - firefighting, discriminatory hiring and promotion practices, 383–84
 - four-fifths rule, 399, 416–18
 - good faith defense, 387–89, 398
 - job-relatedness/business necessity defense, 418–21
 - less discriminatory alternatives, 421–24
 - minority candidates' counterfactual dispute, 415–18
 - New Haven selection process, 415–24
 - original opinion, 384–97
 - pro-black/anti-white bias, evidence of, 424–26
 - procedural background, 405
 - promotional selection process, 402–3
 - race-norming ban, Title VII, 399–400, 426–27
 - reverse discrimination, 390–91
 - strengthening litigation tools, 18
 - summary, 14–15
 - Title VII, purpose of, 397–400, 430
- Richards, Bill: *Face-off on Hazardous Jobs: Women's Rights, Fetus Safety*, 83
- Ripple, Kenneth F., 305
- Roberts, John, 392
- Robinson v. Lorillard Corp.* (4th Cir. 1971), 458
- Robinson v. Shell Oil Co.* (1997), 479
- Robinson, Lori S.: *The Politics of Hair*, 143
- Rogers v. American Airlines* (S.D.N.Y. 1981), 148, 161, 177, 179–80
- Rogers v. EEOC* (5th Cir. 1971), 238
- Rogers v. Missouri Pacific R. Co.* (1957), 52
- Romer v. Evans* (1996), 304
- Rosa v. Park W. Bank & Trust Co.* (1st Cir. 2000), 284
- Rosado, Sybil Dione: *No Nubian Knots or Nappy Locks: Discussing the Politics of Hair among Women of African Descent in the Diaspora*, 143
- Rose, Charlie, 215
- Rosenberg, Rosalind, 372–73, 377, 378
- Rosenfeld v. Southern Pac Co.* (1971), 263
- Rostker v. Goldberg* (1981), 292–93
- Rudman, Laurie A.: *Self-Promotion as a Risk Factor for Women: The Costs and Benefits of Counterstereotypical Impression Management*, 260
- Rudov, Melvin H. and Nancy Santangelo: *Health Status of Minorities and Low-Income Groups*, 86

- Rules Enabling Act, 435, 447
- Rush, David: *Socioeconomic Status and Perinatal Outcome*, 84–85
- Russell, Constance Dionne: *Styling Civil Rights: The Effect of § 1981 and the Public Accommodations Act on Black Women's Access to White Stylists and Salons*, 167
- safety concerns, discrimination and, 294
- San Francisco Human Rights Commission, 137
- Saucedo, Leticia: *Sears* feminist judgment, 13, 361, 363–83
- Sbraga, Tamara Penix and William O'Donohue: *Sexual Harassment*, 141
- Scalia, Antonin, 11, 246–48, 392, 431–32, 436, 438
- Schultz, Vicki: *Reconceptualizing Sexual Harassment*, 50, 481
- Schwartz, Kevin S.: *Equalizing Pregnancy: The Birth of a Super Statute*, 107
- Schwartz, Victoria: *Title VII: A Shift from Sex to Relationships*, 324–25
- Scrivner v. Socorro Indep. Sch. Dist.* (5th Cir. 1999), 478
- Sears* case, *see EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* (7th Cir. 1988)
- Segar v. Smith* (D.C. Cir. 1984), 457
- separate but equal doctrine, 299
- Serednyj v. Beverly Healthcare, LLC* (7th Cir. 2011), 103
- Serrano v. Cintas Co.* (E.D. Mich. 2009), 449
- Sessions, Jeff, 276
- sex-based animus, 50
- sex discrimination
- comparable worth theory, 334–68, *see also AFSCME v. State of Washington* (9th Cir. 1985)
 - conscious intent and, 51
 - defining “because of sex,” 259–61
 - discrimination because of one’s genitals, 280–81
 - disparate treatment, 280–89
 - EEOC guidelines, 105–6
 - Equal Protection Clause and, 291–93
 - in *Webb*, 209–13
 - intermediate scrutiny, 290
 - PDA and, 88
 - safety exception, 62
 - sex plus discrimination, 325–26
 - sexual harassment as form of, 220–21
 - sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination as, 266–333, *see also Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007), *Hively v. Ivy Tech Community College* (7th Cir. 2017)
 - sexuality, spectrum of, 259
 - transgender discrimination as, 281–84, 291–93, *see also* transgender discrimination
- sex-segregated bathrooms, legality of, 266–333, *see also Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007)
- Equal Protection Clause, 298–300
- Title VII, 297–98
- sex-stereotyping discrimination, *see* stereotypes
- sexual harassment, 215–65, *see also Clark County School District v. Breeden* (2001), *Meritor Savings Bank, FSB v. Vinson* (1986), *Oncale v. Sundowner Services* (1998)
- affirmative defense to, 466–69, 478–79
 - age, class, and status differences, 236
 - “because of sex” defined, 259–61
 - dress and speech, relevance of, 242–43
 - EEOC guidelines for, 242
 - employer liability for, 243–45
 - factual records of other alleged harassment, 241–42
 - historical and social context, 233–36
 - hostile environment sexual harassment, 238–40, 257–58
 - in *Meritor*, 238–45
 - injury, tangible and economic, 238–40
 - motivation for, 260–61
 - overview, 10–11
 - prevention of, 19–20
 - proving claims of, 257–61
 - retaliation claims, *see* retaliation claims
 - same-sex harassment, 245–65
 - sexual misconduct, 233–36
 - temporal proximity determination, 470–71, 479–80, 484–85
 - unwelcomeness standard, 221, 224, 240–41
 - verbal and/or physical, 259
 - voluntary participation inquiry, 240–43
- sexual orientation discrimination, *see also Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007), *Hively v. Ivy Tech Community College* (7th Cir. 2017)
- as sex discrimination, 266–333
 - feminist scholars on, 307–10

- immutable characteristics, 155
 in *Hively*, 313–15
 overview, 11–13
 sex stereotyping and gender identity, 261–65
 Title VII's coverage of, 301–33
 Shakespeare, William, 216
 Shauffer, Allen: *TSA to Woman: We're Going to Have to Search Your Hair*, 169
Shaw v. Delta Air Lines (1983), 423
Sheet Metal Workers v. EEOC (1986), 408
Shelton v. Univ. of Med. and Dentistry of N.J. (3d Cir. 2000), 196
Shepherd v. Slater Steels Corp. (7th Cir. 1999), 322
 Shi, David E.: *Facing Facts: Realism in American Thought and Culture 1850–1920*, 299
Shipes v. Trinity Ind. (5th Cir. 1993), 457
Shorter v. ICG Holdings, Inc. (10th Cir. 1999), 55
 Sikhism, 152, 155
 Simmons, Russell, 215
Simonton v. Runyon (2nd Cir. 2000), 331
 Siskin, Bernard, 371–72
Skidmore v. Swift & Co. (1944), 105, 109–10, 113
Smith v. City of Salem, Ohio (6th Cir. 2004), 144, 273, 284, 292
Smith v. Wilson (7th Cir. 2013), 327
 Smith, Beverly: *Black Women's Health: Notes for a Course*, 234
 social framework analysis, 433
 social norms, 125–26, 132, 261
 Solarz, Steve, 203
 Soucek, Brian: *Hively's Self-Induced Blindness*, 306
Spaulding v. University of Washington (1984), 353, 356
Spearman v. Ford Motor Co. (7th Cir. 2000), 315
 speech, sexually provocative, 242–43
 Sperino, Sandra F.: *Justice Kennedy's Big New Idea*, 329
Spivey v. Beverly Enter., Inc. (11th Cir. 1999), 103
Sprogis v. United Air Lines (7th Cir. 1971), 326
Sreeram v. Louisiana State Medical Center-Shreveport (5th Cir. 1999), 54
St. Mary's Honor Ctr. v. Hicks (1993), 409
 Stanchi, Kathryn M.: *Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Opinions of the Supreme Court*, 2
 standards of review, 131, 196, *see also* summary judgments: standard of review
stare decisis, 315–17
State Farm Mut. Automobile Ins. v. Campbell (2003), 460
 statistics
 statistical analysis, 363–64, 370–72, 376–79
 statistical modeling, 439, 456–58
 statistical outcomes vs. race-based classifications, 406–9
 statistical studies in *Wal-Mart*, 433, 438, 444, 453–55
 statutory interpretation
 ambiguous language, 105, 109–14
 legislative text, focus on, 32
 of Title VII, 48–52
 statutory construction, rules of, 102–3
Staub v. Proctor Hospital (2011), 437, 450
 stereotypes
 bathroom use and, 296–97
 gender-based, 79–80, 120, 364–65, 438–39
 genitalia and, 283
 heterosexism, 318–21
 implicit biases, 21
 in disparate treatment claims, 34, 39–41
 job performance and, 136–37
 LGBTQ+ community, effect on, 306
 pregnancy and, 109
 race and gender, 234–35
 religious stereotyping, Islam, 180–214, *see also* *Webb v. City of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 2009)
 sex, sexual orientation and gender identity, 261–65
 sex stereotyping, 144–46, 254, 318–22
 sex-stereotyping discrimination, 307, 318–19
 sexuality, spectrum of, 259
 social norms and, 125–26
 Stevens, John Paul, 106
 straight hair mandate, 175–76
 strict liability for illegal harassment, 16
 strict scrutiny test, 289–91
 structural inequalities, 37
 Sullivan, Charles: *Wal-Mart* feminist judgment, 16, 430–41
 Sullivan, Sean: *Rick Perry Doesn't Back Down from Comparing Homosexuality to Alcoholism*, 319
 summary judgments
 evidentiary burden, 401–2, 410–15, 483–85

- summary judgments (cont.)
 standard of review, 97, 131–32, 184, 196,
 207–8, 279, 472–73
- supply and demand market theory, 351
- Suratt v. Attorney Gen.* [2004] (Trin. &
 Tobago), 333
- Sweeny, JoAnne, 9, 120, 124–46
Jespersen feminist judgment, 128–46
- systemic discrimination claims, 13–16, 334–461,
see also AFSCME v. State of Washington
 (9th Cir. 1985), class actions, *EEOC*
v. Sears, Roebuck & Co. (7th Cir. 1988),
Ricci v. DeStefano (2009), *Wal-Mart*
Stores, Inc. v. Dukes (2011)
- systemic disparate treatment, 431, 435–38,
 448–50, *see also AFSCME v. State of*
Washington (9th Cir. 1985), *EEOC*
v. Sears, Roebuck & Co. (7th Cir. 1988),
Ricci v. DeStefano (2009), *Wal-Mart*
Stores, Inc. v. Dukes (2011)
- Taber, Gisela E. and Helen Remick: *Beyond*
Equal Pay for Equal Work: Comparable
Worth in the State of Washington, 348
- Tate v. Showboat Marina Casino P'ship* (7th
 Cir. 2005), 315–16
- Tavora v. N.Y. Mercantile Exch.* (2d Cir.
 1996), 132
- Teal v. Connecticut* (1982), 380
- temporal proximity determination, 470–71,
 479–80, 484–85
- Terkel, Amanda: *Anti-Gay Stance Still*
Enshrined in Majority of State GOP
Platforms, 319
- Terveer v. Billington* (D.D.C. 2014), 320
- Tetro v. Elliot Popham Pontiac, Oldsmobile,*
Buick & GMC Trucks (6th Cir. 1999), 325
- Texas Dep't of Community Affairs v. Burdine*
 (1981), 346, 409–10, 426
- Thermal Design, Inc. v. Am. Soc'y of Heating*
Refrigerating & Air-Conditioning Eng.,
Inc. (7th Cir. 2014), 312
- Thomas v. Natl. Football League Players Ass'n*
 (D.C. Cir. 1997), 55
- Thomas, Clarence, 32, 216, 336, 392
- Thomasson v. Perry* (4th Cir. 1996), 202
- Tignon Law, 166
- Time's Up movement, 42, 215–18
- Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964,
 § 701, 205, 346, 382
 § 701(k), 418
 § 703, 48, 298
 § 703(a), 75, 351, 427, 476, 482–83
 § 703(a)(1), 53, 194, 196, 255, 280, 285, 317–26,
 332–33
 § 703(a)(2), 287
 § 703(e), 77, 159
 § 703(k), 412–13, 418, 428, 430
 § 703(l), 426–27
 § 703(m), 30, 316–17, 327–30, 332–33,
 482–83
 § 704, 314, 462–85, *see also Clark County*
School District v. Breeden (2001)
 § 704(a), 471, 482
 § 706, 48, 314
 § 706(g), 456
 § 708, 423
- affirmative action claims, *see* affirmative
 action claims
- amendments to (1991), 31–32
- animus/hostility and, 341
- antiretaliation provision, 462–85, *see also*
Clark County School District v. Breeden
 (2001)
- BFOQ provision, 77, *see also* bona fide
 occupational qualification (BFOQ)
- civility code not created by, 247–49, 251–52,
 258–59
- class certification vs. individual hearings,
 439–40
- conflict between antidiscriminatory provi-
 sions, 384–97
- direct evidence requirement, 33–34
- discrimination based on plaintiff's partner's
 sex, 330
- discrimination based on plaintiff's sex,
 329–30
- disparate impact, 286–89, 354–56, 389,
 393–96, *see also* disparate impact claims
- disparate treatment under, *see* disparate
 treatment claims
- employer liability under, 53–54
- enforcement provisions, 456
- EPA and, 358
- equal pay for equal work, 335
- hostile work environment claims, 466–67
- in *Etsitty*, 280–89
- inclusion mandate, 205–6
- legislative history, 331–32
- mixed-motive disparate treatment, *see*
 mixed-motive cases
- Oncale* rewritten, impact on, 252–53

- participation clause, 463–65, 470, 474–75, 482–85
- pattern or practice claims, 368, 372–78, 408
- proving claim of sex-or gender-based harassment, 257–61
- public sector, coverage of, 384
- punitive damages, 458–61
- purpose and principles of, 49–50, 83, 170–72, 187, 308–9, 397–400, 430, 457
- race-norming ban, 399–400, 426–27
- reasonable, good faith belief standard, 463, 468, 475–82
- relationship discrimination, 324–25
- religious discrimination, 196–208
- retaliation claims, *see* retaliation claims
- same-sex sexual harassment, 246, *see also* *Oncale v. Sundowner Services* (1998)
- sex as “but for” cause of firing, 281–84
- sex as motivating factor for dismissal, 284
- sex-segregated bathrooms, 275
- sex stereotyping, 144–46, 318–22
- statutory interpretation of, 48–52
- subjective decision making, 447–48
- systemic discrimination and, 443–50
- transgender discrimination, 281–84
- transgender persons and, 276
- violations, remedies for, 359–60
- voluntary compliance, 414
- Title IX guidelines, 276–77
- Tobias, Sheila and Lisa Anderson: *What Really Happened to Rosie the Riveter? Demobilization and the Female Labor Force*, 1944–47, 349
- Tokoph v. United States* (10th Cir. 2014), 329
- Toledo v. Nobel-Sysco, Inc.* (10th Cir. 1989), 198
- Tooley v. Martin Marietta Corp.* (9th Cir. 1981), 198
- Toonen v. Australia* (U.N. Human Rights Comm. 1994), 333
- Trans World Airlines, Inc. v. Hardison* (1977), 196–97
- Trans World Airlines, Inc. v. Thurston* (1985), 77, 281
- transgender discrimination, 253–54, 266–300, *see also* *Etsitty v. Utah Transit Authority* (10th Cir. 2007), gender identity discrimination
- as sex discrimination, 281–84
- double bind, 18, 266–71, 273, 274
- strict scrutiny test under Equal Protection Clause, 290–91
- under Title VII, 271
- Transgender Law Center: *Peeing in Peace: A Resource Guide for Transgender Activists and Allies*, 137, 282, 288, 294, 297, 299
- Treiman, Donald J. and Heidi I. Hartmann: *Women, Work, and Wages: Equal Pay for Jobs of Equal Value*, 348
- trial by formula, 435
- Trump, Donald, 28, 217, 276–77, 306
- TRW Inc. v. Andrews* (2001), 102
- Turner, Ilona M.: *Sex Stereotyping per se: Transgender Employees and Title VII*, 282
- Turner, Michelle L.: *The Braided Uproar: A Defense of My Sister’s Hair and a Contemporary Indictment of Rogers v. American Airlines*, 144
- Tyler v. Bethlehem Steel Corp.* (2d Cir. 1992), 52
- Ude, Thomas, 385–87
- Ulane v. Eastern Airlines, Inc.* (7th Cir. 1977), 271–72, 281, 315
- unconscious bias, 50–51
- undue hardship defense, 197–202
- unequal burdens analysis, 122–25, 136–39, 175–76
- unequal pay claims, 339–41, 345–46
- Uniform Guidelines for Employee Selection Procedures (UGESP), 416, 419
- United States v. Board of Education of Philadelphia* (3d Cir. 1990), 198, 205
- United States v. Mead Corp.* (2001), 110
- United States v. Paradise* (1987), 408
- United States v. Philadelphia* (E.D. Pa. 1980), 213
- United States v. Ricciardi* (2d Cir. 1966), 140
- United States v. Ritchie* (9th Cir. 2003), 139
- United States v. Virginia* (1996), 201, 280, 291, 294, 299
- United States v. Windsor* (2013), 253, 319
- United States Code, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-1 *et seq.*, *see* Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964
- United States ex rel. Garibaldi v. Orleans Par. Sch. Bd.* (5th Cir. 2005), 329
- United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO-CLC v. Weber* (1979), 407, 414, 426
- Univ. of Texas Sw. Med. Ctr. v. Nassar* (2013), 21, 329, 463, 470
- unwelcomeness standard, 221, 224, 240–41

- Urbano v. Cont'l. Airlines, Inc.* (5th Cir.1998), 103, 115
- US Census Bureau, US Dep't of Commerce: *Maternity Leave and Employment Patterns of First-Time Mothers* 1961–2008, 99
- US Coast Guard
 Office of Civil Rights Newsletter, 204
 Religious Apparel Requirement Law, 189
- US Commission on Civil Rights, 336
- US Constitution, *see* Equal Protection Clause,
 First Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment
 Due Process Clause, 440–41, 460–61
 First Amendment, 214
 Fourteenth Amendment, 180, 214
- US Dep't of Air Force: *Dress and Personal Appearance of Air Force Personnel*, 204
- US Dep't of the Army: *Wear and Appearance of Army Uniforms and Insignia*, 204
- US Merit Sys. Protection Bd.
Sexual Harassment in the Federal Government: An Update, 50
Sexual Harassment in the Federal Workplace: Is It a Problem?, 50
- Vade, Dylan: *Expanding Gender and Expanding the Law: Toward a Social and Legal Conceptualization of Gender that Is More Inclusive of Transgender People*, 282, 290
- Valdes, Francisco: *Beyond Sexual Orientation in Queer Legal Theory: Majoritarianism, Multidisciplinary, and Responsibility in Social Justice*, 480
- Vance v. Ball State University (2013), 11, 21, 225–26
- Vickers v. Fairfield Med. Ctr (6th Cir. 2006), 321
- Videckis v. Pepperdine Univ. (C.D. Cal. 2015), 323
- Villarimo v. Aloha Island Air, Inc. (9th Cir. 2002), 131
- Vinson v. Taylor (D.C. Cir. 1985), 243–44, *see also* Meritor Savings Bank, FSB v. Vinson (1986)
- Vojdik, Valerie K.
Beyond Stereotyping in Equal Protection: Reframing the Exclusion of Women from Combat, 201
- Gender Outlaws: Challenging Masculinity in Traditionally Male Institutions*, 213
- Webb feminist judgment, 10, 183, 187–214
- voluntariness standard, 221–24, 227, 240–41
- wage discrimination, 381–82, *see also* Equal Pay Act (EPA)
- Wagner v. Dillard Dep't Stores, Inc. (4th Cir. 2001), 54
- Waller, Willard, 349
- Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes (2011), 430–61
 class actions, notice and opt-out rights, 440–41, 460–61
 class certification commonality question, 438–39
 class certification vs. individual hearings, 439–40
 facts, 441–42
 feminist judgment, 435–61
 FRCP Rule 23, 430–35, 439–41, 443
 FRCP Rule 23(a), 450–56
 FRCP Rule 23(b), 456–61
 key points in feminist judgment, 442–43
 litigation tools in feminist judgment, 18
 original opinion, 431–35
 pattern or practice of discrimination, 444–47
 predominance rule, 440
 purpose or intent in systemic disparate treatment, 448–50
 statistical studies, 364
 subjective decision-making, 447
 summary, 15–16
 systemic discrimination and Title VII, 443–50
- Wards Cove Packing Co. v. Atonio (1989), 413, 427–28
- Washington v. Davis (1976), 409, 419
- Washington v. Seattle School Dist (1982), 428–29
- Washington County v. Gunther (1981), 342, 352
- Watson v. Fort Worth Bank & Tr. (1988), 280, 412, 416, 427
- Watson v. Fort Worth Bank & Tr. (5th Cir. 1986), 456
- Watson v. Southwestern Pa. Transp. Auth. (3d Cir. 2000), 54
- Weatherby, Danielle: *Hively* feminist judgment, 12, 301–11
- Webb v. City of Philadelphia (3d Cir. 2009), 180–214
 background, 180–87, 191–93

- constitutional claims, 214
 facts, 193–94
 feminist judgment, 187–214
 good faith efforts to accommodate, 198–202
 intersection of race, gender, and religion, 188
 judicial deference unwarranted, 202–4
 objectification of women's bodies, 188
 original opinion, 183–87
 police uniform regulations, 202–4
 procedural history, 194–96
 religious accommodations, 187–88
 religious discrimination, 196–208
 religious neutrality defense, 205–7
 sex discrimination, 209–13
 summary, 9–10
 summary judgment, genuine disputes of fact and, 207–8
 undue hardship defense, 197–202
Widow v. City of Kan. City (8th Cir. 2006), 287
Weeks v. Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co. (5th Cir. 1969), 82
 Weinstein, Harvey, 215
 Weiss, Marley: *Ricci* feminist judgment, 14, 397–430
 welcomeness standard, 240–41
Western Air Lines, Inc. v. Criswell (1985), 77, 79, 81
White v. Cmty. Care, Inc. (W.D. Pa. Dec. 11, 2008), 201
 White, Byron, 53
 White, Rebecca Hanner: *Breeden* feminist judgment, 16, 462–71
 White, Shane and Graham White: *Slave Hair and African American Culture in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries*, 165–66
Whitman v. Walt Disney Productions, Inc. (9th Cir. 1958), 139
Whitney v. Greater N.Y. Corp. of Seventh-Day Adventists (S.D.N.Y. 1975), 325
 Widiss, Deborah: *Young* feminist judgment, 8, 93–118
 Wilkins, Pamela: *Etsitty* feminist judgment, 12, 266–77
 William Chafe, Henry: *The American Woman: Her Changing Social, Economic, and Political Roles*, 349–50
 Williams, Christine L.: *Gender Differences at Work, Women and Men in Non-traditional Occupations 4*, 204
 Williams, Joan C. et al.: *A Sip of Cool Water*, 116
 Williams, Wendy W.: *Firing the Woman to Protect the Fetus*, 84, 86
Willingham v. Macon Telephone Publishing Co. (5th Cir. 1975), 147–50, 159
 Willis study, 347–48, 354
 Wilson, William Julius: *The Truly Disadvantaged: The Inner City, the Underclass, and Public Policy*, 84
 Wing, Adrien Katherine and Monica Nigh Smith: *Critical Race Feminism Lifts the Veil? Muslim Women, France, and the Headscarf Ban*, 212
Winstead v. Lafayette Cty. Bd. of Cty. Comm'rs (N.D. Fla. 2016), 320
 Wise, Lauren A. et al.: *Hair Relaxer Use and Risk of Uterine Leiomyomata in African-American Women*, 176
 Witherspoon, Reese, 127
 women of color, *see also* Black women
 beauty stereotypes and, 146–80, *see also* *EEOC v. Catastrophe Management Solutions* (11th Cir. 2016)
 hair and makeup requirements, 126, 142–43
 Women's Research and Educ. Inst.: *The American Woman*, 84
 Wood, Diane, 303–5
 workplace accommodations, 99–102
W. R. Grace & Co. v. Rubber Workers (1983), 424
 Wriggins, Jennifer: *Rape, Racism, and the Law*, 233–35
Wright v. Olin Corp. (4th Cir. 1982), 75
Wrightson v. Pizza Hut of America (4th Cir. 1996), 258
Wygant v. Jackson Board of Educ. (1986), 408, 410
 X., Malcolm, 181
Young v. United Parcel Service, Inc. (2015), 24, 87–118
 amicus curiae briefs, 100–2, 107, 112–13, 115
 double bind in, 18
 EEOC's interpretation of PDA, 109–14
 factual background, 97–99
 feminist judgment, 92–95
 original opinion, 90–92
 PDA history and purpose, 105–9

508

Index

Young v. United Parcel Service (cont.)
PDA's leveling-up mandate, 114–18
PDA's statutory mandate, 102–5
pregnant women's needs for workplace
accommodations, 99–102
rewritten opinion, 96–118
summary, 8–9

Young v. UPS (4th Cir. 2013), 115
Yuval-Davis, Nira, 212

Zarda v. Altitude Express Inc. (2019), 252, 253
Zinn, Maxine Baca and Bonnie Thornton
Dill: *Theorizing Difference from
Multiracial Feminism*, 134