

Introduction A Colicky Muse

Like many literary critics, I have a personal stake in what I read and how I read. I turned to Sappho for the first time, in a sustained fashion, at a moment when I had lost faith in the relay between reading and writing; reading no longer felt like the creative, generative process it had always been. My writer's block, I later realized, was spurred by the critique-based tradition, whose high-stakes and competitive approach to interpreting literary texts had left me paralyzed - too aware of how I would be challenged to even want to risk committing a thought to paper. Sappho was what I read "just for fun." It was my avocational, naïve readerly fascination with Sappho that instilled in me a feeling of kinship with Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick's "reparative reading" and the related approaches of other queer theorists. This way of reading, to which I devote Part I of this book, finds aesthetic coherence and sustenance in literary forms and episodes typically characterized by their incompletion or failure; it also values the experiences and insights of nonprofessional readers. Only after I had already embarked on my personal venture, which felt both urgent and necessary if somewhat unfocused, did I learn that this style of reading was in fact part of a larger movement, one whose broader outlines I attempt to sketch in Chapter 2.

Sedgwick and Sappho are both consummate readers, readers who relish the process of engaging with others' compositions, and who center that experience – of falling in love with, resisting, and refashioning what they have read – within their own texts. I accordingly use the term "reader" in its broadest sense: for the act of listening to and being moved by texts and poems (whether heard or read), but also for writing or composing in

1

¹ I borrow here from Lee Edelman's reflections on reparativity and survival in Berlant and Edelman 2019: 43: "Assembling, conferring plenitude, giving the inchoate a sustaining form: the work of reparativity grounds itself in a notion of aesthetic coherence that opposes the incompletion, division, and defectiveness of failure." These comments are in dialogue with Sedgwick 2003: 149–150. On reparative reading, see the section on "What Readers Can Expect to Find," in this Introduction, and Part I.



Introduction

response to those "texts." I think we can say, in the case of both Sappho and Sedgwick, that their intimate engagement with the works of other artists is very much on the surface of their own creations. Their works invite readers to contemplate not only the finished product but also what it means to have immersed oneself in the act of reading (in interpretation, response, recomposition). In theorizing reparative reading as an alternative to the style of literary criticism she (and many others) had been schooled in, Sedgwick brings this focus on collaboration and responsiveness to the foreground.

Reading Sappho alongside Homer and Sedgwick will not help us recover the historically contextualized performances of either of these archaic Greek poets. But Sedgwick can help us hear some of the contemporary resonances in Sappho's lyrics, by attuning us, for example, to Sappho in the act of reading, or by inviting us to read Homer and Sappho side by side, without the diachronic, hierarchical filter of historicist approaches (those that aim to reconstitute the original ritual and performance contexts for archaic Greek lyric). Reading Sappho with Sedgwick will also put us in touch with some of the queerer aspects of Homeric epic, as these are elicited by Sappho's lyrics. I gesture here to the unusual cohabitation of beauty and brightness alongside shame and ugliness that one finds in her verses – and to the self-consciously nonheteronormative ways in which both female and male sexualities are evoked. A famously impossible term to define, "queer" receives one of its now iconic formulations in Tendencies, where Sedgwick describes it as "the open mesh of possibilities, gaps, overlaps, dissonances and resonances, lapses and excesses of meaning when the constituent elements of anyone's gender, of anyone's sexuality aren't made (or can't be made) to signify monolithically." This is perhaps the single most frequently cited sentence in Sedgwick's entire oeuvre. ⁴ As a working definition, it will serve us well. I appreciate in particular how it acknowledges that sexuality is both fundamental to and yet incommensurable

² In Chapter 1, I elaborate on "side by side" (avuncular) reading, and I also discuss some possible scenarios for interactions between Homeric epic and Sappho's lyrics on Lesbos; I maintain throughout this book that the oral-poetic contexts of archaic Greek performance culture can and should be brought into conversation with contemporary practices of intertextuality. For a complementary perspective on reading poetry as poetry, see the Introduction to Foster, Kurke, and Weiss 2020.

³ Sedgwick 1993: 8 (emphasis in the original); notably, she introduces this formulation as "one of the things that 'queer' can refer to" (i.e., not as *the* definition).

⁴ I will return to it in Chapter 2.

⁵ See also duBois 2015: 15⁶, in her chapter on queer Sappho: "The use of the word 'queer', once a pejorative term used to dismiss gay men, became a badge of honour, and came to define not just gay, not just lesbian, but also other forms of 'non-heteronormative' persons, that is, transgender, transsexual, transvestite, bisexual, intersex, sex workers and any other variety of proud deviance from what was once called 'compulsory heterosexuality.'"



Introduction

3

with queerness. And how it does not try to define "queer" in any absolute sense. Sedgwick's suggestive language invites supplementation.

In invoking Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick as this book's queer Muse, I am attentive to Charles Martindale's reminder of how important it is to balance historicist and presentist perspectives. Martindale (2006: 9) sounds cautiously optimistic when he insists that "we are not doomed either to a narrow and relentless presentism or to any form of historical teleology." Sappho and Homer: A Reparative Reading is "presentist," however, in more than one sense. It takes root in the present I share with others who have been looking for a way of reading that affirms our own pre-(or post?) professional experiences of loving literature. But it is also devoted to a genre – lyric – whose temporality foregrounds the present. Taking up universal and mythical themes, lyric nevertheless embodies them in the here and now, eliciting responses (visceral, verbal, aesthetic) from readers and listeners who may feel as if they are being spoken to directly and intimately by the poetic voice addressing them as "you."

Unlike the lyrics of most modern poets, Sappho's poems come to us in a fragmented and mostly incomplete state, with holes and tears in the papyrus (or other material on which they have been preserved) turning up as blank spaces and brackets in our modern editions. The fragmentedness of Sappho's lyrics presents readers with numerous challenges. But encountering her lyrics as fragments has also been regarded by some as essential to the aesthetic experience of reading Sappho. In an essay on the Tithonos Poem, Page duBois connects the fragmentary quality of that poetic text with our own fragility as mortal beings. DuBois (2011: 668) suggests that "the pleasure of the ancient text, in particular, may lie in part in the fact that all bodies fall into ruin." Like the

⁶ See Muñoz 2019b: 153–157 on queerness and the incommensurate. As Karin Sellberg (2019: 189) aptly puts it, "[queerness] arguably has always involved an engagement with sexuality, but where the limits of this sexuality are to be set and what sexuality itself entails is by the very definition of queer theory (if there is such a thing) a notion that will and should continually be problematized."

8 Telò and Olsen (2022: 7) likewise in their Introduction to Queer Euripides embrace a mode of reading that pushes against "historicist contextualism and its ostensible distance from the past it aims to reconstruct."

⁹ On the longer history of affective labor within the discipline of literary studies, see Lynch 2015.

See, for example, duBois 1995: 35–39; duBois 2011. On the early modern romanticization of Sappho herself as "the perfect fragment," see Prins 1999: 3–8.

duBois 2011: 668: "... and that therefore the encounter with ancient objects offers not just the pathos of a fragment broken from a whole, but also the consolation of the persistence of these remnants."

⁷ Fawaz (2019: 8) regards Sedgwick's investment in certain works of art and her language of "tending towards" as coextensive with her commitment to queer studies, which, in his words, is "the first arena of humanistic inquiry to take seriously the public and political dimensions of erotic and affective desire, intimacy, attachment, and kinship; it is a theory, in short, of what we tend toward" (my emphasis).



Introduction

body of the singer lamenting her old age in the Tithonos Poem, Sappho's "body," her entire poetic corpus, has also been transfigured by time.¹²

The Middle Years

Eve Sedgwick's trio of books, published between 1985 and 1993 (*Between Men, Epistemology of the Closet*, and *Tendencies*), were foundational for the field of queer theory; in the later years of her career, as I discuss here and in Chapter 2, she turned her attention to what she called "reparative reading," a less combative, less antagonistic way of interacting with texts than tends to be encouraged by the "paranoid" critical tradition (more on which, later). I never consciously set out to write a book on Sappho and Sedgwick by way of Homer. But stranger things have happened in middle age. I wrote most of this book while I was forty-six, although I had begun dreaming it at least ten years before that. And so, during that year of writing, I was especially attuned to the way that the number forty-six crops up in Sedgwick's work – and the way middle age in general, the no-longeryoung body, are thematized in both Sedgwick and Sappho.¹³

Sedgwick herself was also at something of a crossroads by the time she reached the age of forty-six. As she recalls in "Making Things, Practicing Emptiness," she had always loved textiles, but only in middle age did she free herself from linking her investment in textiles to her self-presentation, her self-adornment:

It's funny that it wouldn't happen before age forty-six, or that it could happen then, but somehow I think I finally got it, that to tie my very acute sense of beauty to the project of making myself look beautiful was definitely a mug's game. Apparently the notion of a visual or tactile beauty that might be impersonal, dislinked from the need to present a first-person self to the world, came as news to me – late, late news. But exciting!¹⁴

Liberated to pursue her love of fiber arts without the interference of her ego (her "I"), she discovers that her fingers are "very hungry to be handling a reality, a beauty, that wasn't myself, wasn't any self, and didn't want to be."¹⁵

¹² On the vulnerability of bodies in and of poetry, see Nooter 2023, chapter 6.

¹⁴ E. Sedgwick 2011: 71.

¹³ In "Trace at 46," a poem Sedgwick wrote in 1977 when she was only twenty-seven, a character by the name of Trace is forty-six, turning forty-seven, and on the cusp of various transitions; it begins (Sedgwick 1994: 43): "In middle age his bodily outline / softens and fills in – partly, he supposes, / with femaleness." Middle age here seems to bring with it a blurring of gender, as Trace's once securely masculine body "softens and fills in."

E. Sedgwick 2011: 75. Hawkins (2010) discusses Sedgwick's attraction, in her later works, to nonidentity and nonbeing. And Snediker (2019: 206–207) rightly points out that "Sedgwick's



The Middle Years

This love of impersonal beauty, beauty divorced from the needs or reality of the self, is also highly prized by Sappho. We might think here of Sappho fr. 16V, where, in addition to the outwardly beautiful Helen so familiar from epic and earlier mythical tradition, Sappho gives us Helen the aesthete, a Helen who pursues her own love of beauty, just as Sappho herself is drawn to the bright glance and lovely movement of Anactoria. The impersonal aspect of the beauty that moves both the listeners and the characters within this poem is embodied in that neuter form *kalliston* (fr. 16.3V). Intimately linked with desire, it is a beauty that mirrors the impersonal, nonself-oriented beauty that Sedgwick pursues, in her fabrics and in her theory. And lest the travails of middle age seem far removed from Sappho's purview, let us also recall (in addition to the better-known Tithonos Poem, which will be discussed in Chapter 5) these verses (fr. 168B Voigt):¹⁶

δέδυκε μὲν ἀ σελάννα καὶ Πληΐαδες· μέσαι δὲ νύκτες, παρὰ δ' ἔρχετ' ὤρα, ἔγω δὲ μόνα κατεύδω.

The moon has sunk as well as the Pleiades. And it is the middle of the night. The season passes by. And I sleep alone.

Most readers focus on the solitary voice – the woman who sleeps alone, without a lover by her side. We have been conditioned to read Sappho as a love poet. But what if, rather than hearing an expression of loneliness, we were instead to allow this voice to speak to us in more neutral, descriptive tones? Sappho experiences her life as an arc, just like the night itself, which is past its midpoint.¹⁷ Middle age is, in this respect, integrated into the celestial movements of moon and stars, and the diurnal rhythms of night and day. The solitude that accompanies the singer's revelation comes from

eventual migration to the differently capacity-making medium of textiles – precipitated by what she sometimes describes as a waning investment in authorial control – is as much an intensification of her long-standing attachment as it is a turning away."

Hephaestion does not quote these verses as belonging to Sappho, although they are preserved in his *Enchiridion*, along with three or four other Sappho fragments; some editors thus treat the poem as an anonymous fragment or a folk song (See Clay 1970). The poem was ascribed to Sappho by Arsenius, the Archbishop of Monemvasia (c. 1500) and it is attributed to Sappho in Campbell's and Voigt's editions. There may also be references to old age at Sappho frr. 21V and 63V; see Ferrari 2010: 203–204.

¹⁷ Sider (1986: 59) observes of the term ἄρα that there are three ways in which it can be understood: "a) the time of the night, b) the season of the year, and c) the passing of Sappho's life." Preferring this last sense, he adds that it "both crystallizes the inchoate personal feelings underlying the first three lines and acts as a glide between the astronomical description of the poem's beginning and its intensely personal last line."

<



Introduction

her coming to terms with the "season" of her own life, which glides past her (para) while she lies still, perhaps looking up at the night sky (though the woman who speaks is also asleep). The preposition para is worth pausing over, too. It indicates a sidling up to; but attached to the verb erchetai it also implies a brushing-past, a form of movement. The experience recorded here is one of stillness within movement: solitude, though not necessarily loneliness. What we hear is the tension between the depersonalization of the "I" and the seemingly personal account that this voice renders of a particular moment in a woman's life. Like Eve Sedgwick, Sappho takes the middle years seriously, devoting attention not just to the beauty and vibrancy of youth but also to what comes after. And like Sappho, Sedgwick turns tending to what she terms a "colicky" muse into a source of poetry. In doing so, she reminds us that Sappho's aspirations may not have been those that are typically ascribed to poets by the literary critics who read them.

Colicky Muses and the Moisopoloi

As mentioned, Sedgwick's early interventions in the emergent field of queer theory had happened by 1993, the year *Tendencies* was published.¹⁹ But by the mid-1990s, she had begun rethinking the mode of criticism with which she was already closely identified. Feeling that it was out of step with certain developments in her own life, including the loss of several close friends to AIDS and the diagnosis she received of what was to become a terminal cancer, Sedgwick sought to bring her critical writing practice more closely into alignment with her evolving sensibilities as a reader: so was born the style of reading she came to call "reparative." But we can trace all the way back to her earliest years Sedgwick's ambivalence toward the "paranoid" or "symptomatic" critique from which she would distance herself.²⁰ For Sedgwick, being a critic had always been, at best, second best.²¹

The language of queerness does not emerge explicitly until *Tendencies*, but *Between Men* and *Epistemology of the Closet* were clearly instrumental in shaping debates that became central to queer studies. See Wiegman 2019 on Sedgwick's role within the history of the field of queer studies.

See Best and Marcus 2009 for a critique of Fredric Jameson's "symptomatic reading."
 Snediker (2019: 206) puts it well: "Far more than is usually acknowledged, Sedgwick's investment as

Snediker (2019: 206) puts it well: "Far more than is usually acknowledged, Sedgwick's investment as a critic in her own writerly style is unthinkable apart from her lifelong sense of poetry as a first calling."

On the complexities of the present-tense voice as the sleeper's voice, see Purves forthcoming. As Carson (2021: 9) remarks of the similarly confounding poem by Emily Dickinson (465) that begins, "I heard a Fly buzz – when I died – " that "it is unclear where 'I' am positioned in order to write a poem about a noise simultaneous with my own death."



Colicky Muses and the Moisopoloi

As she relates in an interview from 2006, Sedgwick had wanted in the first place to be a poet:

I didn't start out to be a critic. I started out to be a poet from very, very early on, but my muse was very fickle, and so there was a lot of time when I just couldn't write. And so my sense of identity as that kind of a writer was kind of excruciatingly tenuous. So I found my way – I'm talking about college and graduate school here – into seeing myself as a literary critic.

I have taken this excerpt from a piece written by her husband, Hal Sedgwick, in which he discusses Eve's often thwarted attempts to establish herself as a poet.²² The troubled relationship between the poet and her Muse also figures prominently in Sedgwick's poetry. For instance, in the verses that open the collection *Fat Art, Thin Art*, the speaker asks,

Who fed this muse? Colicky, premature, not easy to supply, nor fun to love: who powdered her behind and gave her food the years when ("still a child herself almost") her mother was too blue?²³

"Colicky" and "premature" are perhaps not the adjectives one would choose for Sappho. She was appreciated, especially in antiquity, for her smooth and polished style. Nevertheless, Sappho, who names herself in her own lyrics, becoming in this way both author and character, grapples also with her Muse. ²⁴ In a two-line fragment preserved for us by Maximus of Tyre (fr. 150V), Sappho tells her daughter, Kleis, not to mourn, for "there is no place for lamentation in the house of those who serve the Muses: this would not be appropriate for us (οὐ γὰρ θέμις ἐν μοισοπόλων ⟨δόμωι⟩ / θρῆνον ἔμμεν'ζ , οὔ κ' ἄμμι πρέποι τάδε.)." Sappho identifies herself here as a member of, in her own coinage, the *moisopoloi* (those who tend to the Muses). ²⁵ We would

²² H. A. Sedgwick 2011: 452.

²³ Sedgwick 1994: 3. Kent (2017: 136) argues that "writing poetry as Sedgwick theorizes it here constitutes a female-female (auto)erotic act" and that this poem and its Muse, who is so closely identified with as to be nearly inseparable from Sedgwick herself, "provides a counterpoint to Sedgwick's male homoerotic identifications and desires" (113).

²⁴ I discuss this practice of self-naming, an almost autofictional blurring of identities, in Chapter 2 but acknowledge here that it results in my sometimes assuming that a female persona or "I" voice in her lyrics is "Sappho," even when that voice is not named as such.

²⁵ Hauser (2016: 145) shows that the -πόλος suffix of μουσοπόλος "emphasizes proximity, engaged activity and a dynamic of care and guardianship towards the Muses." This is the first occurrence of the word μουσοπόλος in Greek literature.



Introduction

call them poets.²⁶ She never claims to have been anointed with a gift, or handed a staff, as Hesiod tells us he was.²⁷ In her own lyrics Sappho presents herself as a poet cultivating her craft, tending to her Muse, working and reworking whatever comes to her in the way of inspiration or eros. In such a figure we may recognize a portrait of the woman as artist, especially if we consider that Aphrodite, for all intents and purposes, serves as Sappho's "colicky" Muse.

The irreverent tone of the Sappho-persona's conversation with her ventriloquized Muse, Aphrodite, in the first poem of her collection – the Hymn to Aphrodite, as it is often called – echoes both the playfulness and the pain concealed in Sedgwick's voice (from both the interview and the verses quoted from Fat Art, Thin Art). Both Sappho and Sedgwick are, moreover, obsessed with similar themes: desire, sexuality, bodies, beauty, shame, reputation, mortality, and the very art of making music, a process that each one figures as a kind of plaiting. Trace, the protagonist of Sedgwick's poem, "Trace at 46," is a musicologist who suffers from writer's block ("Why can't he work on getting the current chapter written, /on Fauré?") while having affairs with at least two women, one of whom -Cissy – is a young composer living in New York City. Cissy's composition, "Aquarelle," which is being performed as we read the poem, fluidly blends different sounds, Gamelan instruments with Western, and also features a Javanese god who, with his "tone-deaf and high and irresolute" and yet "transfixing voice" oversees "the plaiting together of lines female and male and divine."28

Reparative Reading (Sedgwick, Klein, and Sappho)

The term "reparative" comes from Eve Sedgwick, who adapted it from Melanie Klein, a psychoanalyst probably best known for her work in the field of object-relations analysis.²⁹ Klein focused on the normative patterns of development in the human infant. Using the "play" technique she developed for observing and decoding their unconscious, Klein argued

²⁷ See Hes. Th. 30–34. For Hesiod's self-designation as therapon (servant) of the Muses (Hes. Th. 100), see Hauser 2016: 144–145.

²⁹ For Kleinians, object-relations analysis is relevant for the period before children have gained verbal fluency and before the onset of the Oedipal complex; see further Mitchell 1998.

²⁶ For this interpretation, as opposed to a cult in honor of the Muses, see Lasserre 1989: 116–118, Ferrari 2010: 147, and Hauser 2016: 141–146.

²⁸ Sedgwick 1994: 68. Sappho's own use of the verb *plekō* ("plait") is distinctive: unlike a woven text, the fibers that are plaited together retain their separateness, so that individual threads can still be recognized even as they join together to form a composite new whole (see further Chapter 3).



Reparative Reading

9

that very young children oscillate between two primary positions.³⁰ They are "at one" with the mother when their primal needs (for nourishment, affection, etc.) are satisfied, and in the earliest months of life may view the mother's breast as an extension of themselves.³¹ But when their primal needs go unmet, these same infants phantasize, to use Klein's spelling, about destroying the part-object (i.e., the breast) that has frustrated their attempts at controlling it.³² Hurtling in this way between love and hate, satisfaction and aggression, they occupy two successive positions, which Klein termed the "paranoid-schizoid" and "depressive" positions.³³ When the aggression-fueled "paranoid" phantasies in turn yield feelings of fear and guilt, the infant reverts to a "depressive" position from which she undoes her earlier destruction, healing the mother with her "reparative" feelings. Klein thought that the paranoid-schizoid and depressive positions, which first manifest in the earliest months of life, remain with us into adulthood, becoming permanent facets of our personality.³⁴

Like its psychoanalytic namesake, "reparative" reading emerges from the depressive position, and takes a less aggressive approach to its object of study. If we were to use (mixed) metaphors to describe the process of making sense of a work of literature, we might say that for the reparativist, reading's affect (the feeling one has while reading) is closer to that of inhabiting a text's orbit rather than sparring with it, or trying to breach its façade. Instead of interpreting an inherently alien textual artifact, the reader becomes absorbed into the fictional world that is created through her engagement with the text.³⁵

I find Sedgwick's notion of reparative reading helpful for understanding Sappho's reception of Homeric epic. In my experience of reading her, Sappho is not a typically paranoid (or even agonistic) reader of Homer. Her lyrics are not overtly competitive with epic, nor does she primarily turn

³⁰ Positions are, according to Juliet Mitchell 1998: 27, an atemporal status, "a mental space in which one is sometimes lodged." For a concise introduction to these ideas, see Klein and Riviere [1937] 1964.

³¹ See, however, Rose 1998: 144, alluding to a Klein work of 1944: "Even when the feeding situation is satisfactory, hunger and the craving for libidinal gratification stir and reinforce the destructive impulses" because "what the infant actually *desires* is unlimited gratification."

³² Mitchell (1998: 22) explains that the unusual spelling of phantasize/phantasy is used by Klein to indicate that the process is unconscious.

³³ For Klein (Klein and Riviere [1937] 1964) love and hate coexist from the earliest stage of infancy; even as babies "in our unconscious phantasy we make good the injuries we did in phantasy, and for which we still unconsciously feel very guilty" (68).

³⁴ Mitchell 1998: 21.

³⁵ In recent years, paranoid reading has come to be viewed as nearly synonymous with standard practices of literary criticism. See especially Felski 2012 and 2015, and Chapter 2.



> Introduction TO

to Homer for self-authorization. Sappho focuses on moments from the epic repertoire where a character makes what looks like a "bad" decision (Helen leaving her husband, daughter, and parents and following Paris to Troy). Or she responds to feelings of weakness and humiliation (Aphrodite being wounded and taunted by Diomedes in *Iliad* 5, for example) and calls our attention to the emotions themselves, and the capacity of the injured to endure. Some readers of the *Iliad* have assumed that Aphrodite's silence when she leaves the battlefield is a sign of her defeat. But as I suggest in Chapter 4, her bodily posture also signals shame. The intensity of that emotion – one which is often accompanied by a sense of failure – characterizes the experiences of lovers in Sappho's lyrics.³⁶ A focus on shame and emotional upheaval, followed by healing (or simply survival), will thus be integral to the mode of reparative reading I develop in this book as a baseline for reading Sappho. But it is important also to acknowledge the moments of levity and playfulness, as well as brash, sexual humor one encounters in Sappho's lyrics.³⁷ Just as soon as you may think you have captured the poet's tone and affect, another fragment challenges your description.

In an essay they wrote for the volume Reading Melanie Klein, Judith Butler (1998: 181) remarks that one of the dangers of being a "paranoid" critic is that one risks "emerging objectless and without attachment in the world." Anxiety and suspicion may, in fact, destroy those potential attachments (whether to persons or texts). Tavia Nyong'o (2010: 245) similarly describes non-reparative reading as a critical strategy that "explains too much, explains too well and, ultimately, explains away the more worthwhile local readings that a critic may produce." In certain instances, such a critical strategy raises the bar so high that the critic ultimately "translates every possible phenomenon into yet another sign of the ubiquity of ideology or disciplinary power."³⁸ Paranoid reading strategies thus risk subsuming and flattening whatever comes within their purview.³⁹ By

³⁸ Anker and Felski 2017: 15. For critique's paranoid disposition, see Latour 2004. Castiglia (2017b: 5) sees in "hope" a chance to remedy this: "literary critics, persistent in their suspicion, have overlooked the centrality of hope to cultural theorists who have described its socially transformative powers."

³⁶ By "failure" I mean not only the lack of success in getting the object of one's desire, but failure as the repudiation of normative behaviors, or as a form of resistance to achieving culturally sanctioned forms of success. On "queer" failure, see Chapters 4 and 5. See also the chapters in the "Failure" section of Olsen and Telò 2022.

Francisco See especially the section on "The Doorkeeper's Sandals" in Chapter 3.

They are, in this regard, what Silvan Tomkins deems a "strong theory," a theory designed to explain a wide variety and quantity of disparate data. See further, Sedgwick and Frank 1995: 27n22: "Tomkins suggests that the measure of a theory's strength is not how well it avoids negative affect or finds positive affect but the size and topology of the domain which it organizes and its methods of determining that domain.'