

Index

- Ability to pay. *See* Financial information of offenders, access to; Personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines
- Adjustment of day fines
 asylum seekers, for, 58
 England and Wales, in, 203–4
 Germany, in, 117
 Hungary, in, 152, 159
 juvenile offenders, for, 57–8, 152, 159
 Sweden, in, 49, 57–8
- Administrative offences
 comparative perspective on, 367
 Czech Republic, in, 327
 expansion of day fines to recommended, 384
- Advantages of day fines
 deterrence, 2
 fairness, 2
 transparency in sentencing, 3
 uniformity in sentencing, 2
- Albrecht, Hans-Jörg, 107–8
- Amount of daily unit
 generally, 1–2
 Austria, in, 125–7, 371
 comparative perspective on, 371
 Croatia, in, 291–3
 Czech Republic, in, 325, 327, 330–1, 341, 344
 Denmark, in, 74, 371
 deterrence and, 20
 England and Wales, in, 200–1
 Finland, in, 1–2, 25–6, 27–8, 33, 40, 371
 France, in, 172, 178–9, 371
 Germany, in, 87, 94–5, 106, 117, 371
 Hungary, in, 151–2, 153–4, 371
 limitations on, 374
 optimal day fine model, in, 19–20
 Poland, in, 266, 267, 268–9, 371
- Portugal, in, 188
 proportionality and, 13, 20
 retribution and, 20
 Romania, in, 349, 351–4, 355, 371
 Slovenia, in, 223, 224, 371
 Spain, in, 244, 371
 Sweden, in, 48–9, 371
- Ashworth, Andrew, 207, 212
- Asylum seekers, adjustment of day fines for, 58
- Australia, rejection of day fines in, 4–5, 210
- Austria
 generally, 144, 385
 Act on Administrative Offences (*Verwaltungsstrafgesetz*) (VStG), 135–6
 Act on Fiscal Offences (*Finanzstrafgesetz*) (FinStrG), 132–5
 Act on the Responsibility of Legal Entities (*Verbandsverantwortlichkeitsgesetz*) (VbVG), 129–30, 143–4
 actual versus potential income, 125–6, 372, 373
 aggravating factors in, 124–5
 alternative sanction, day fines as, 124
 amount of daily unit in, 125–7, 371
 categories of offences for which day fines imposed, 137–8
 Code of Criminal Procedure (*Strafprozessordnung*) (StPO), 128, 130–1
 conditional suspension of day fines, 129
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 127–8, 134, 372–3
 corporate liability for day fines in, 129–30, 143–4, 371
 Criminal Code (*Strafgesetzbuch*) (StGB), 122–4, 127–8, 129, 131–2, 142

- Austria (cont.)
 criticism of fiscal offences scheme, 134–5
 Croatia compared, 292, 293
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 376
 diversion in, 130–2
 early use of fines in, 284
 example of day fines, 127
 financial information of offenders, access to, 128, 144
 fixed fines precluded in, 133, 135–6, 367
 Germany compared, 100, 143
 historical development of day fines in, 122–3
 incarceration rate in, 86
 introduction of day fines in, 380
 judicial perception of day fines in, 143
 legal transplant, day fines as, 380
 media reporting of day fines in, 142–3
 Ministry of Justice, 126, 136
 mitigating factors in, 124–5
 net income in, 125
 number of daily units in, 123–5, 138–9, 371
 personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 123, 124, 125, 127, 131–2, 133, 134, 142–3, 144
 Poland compared, 263
 public perception of day fines in, 142–4, 373, 382
 ratio of fines in, 136–7
 recalculation of day fines, 127
 recidivism in, 141
 regional differences in, 139–41
 Road Traffic Act, 135
 Slovenia compared, 222
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 136–41
 Statistics Austria, 136
 Stock Exchange Act (*Börsengesetz*), 135
 structure of day fines, 123
 subsistence minimum, deduction of, 126–7, 371
 success of day fines in, 377
 withdrawal from prosecution, 130–2
- Bäcklund, A., 62
 Bavcon, L., 219, 220, 222
 Beccaria, Cesare, 14
 Bentham, Jeremy, 3, 14–15, 17
 Bonneville de Marsagny, Armand de, 307
 Borgeke, M., 63
- Caja Madrid (bank), 251
 Calculation of day fines. *See also* Amount of daily unit; Number of daily units generally, 1–2
 Denmark, in, 73–5, 79–81
 England and Wales, in, 200–4
 Finland, in, 29
 Romania, in, 349, 354–5, 357–8
 Slovenia, in, 223
 Sweden, in, 48–9
 Canada, rejection of day fines in, 5
 Carter, P., 210
 Categories of offences for which day fines imposed
 Austria, in, 137–8
 Croatia, in, 299
 Czech Republic, in, 334–6
 France, in, 175–7
 Germany, in, 105
 Hungary, in, 162, 163–4
 Poland, in, 276–9
 Romania, in, 356–7
 Slovenia, in, 228–9
 Spain, in, 252–3, 255–6
 Charles University (Czech Republic), 338–9
 Christián, L., 233
 Clarke, Kenneth, 206, 209
 Community service on default
 aggregate amount of day fines in, 277
 alternative to imprisonment on default, recommended as, 385
 Croatia, in, 296–7, 299
 Czech Republic, in, 339, 344
 France, in, 169
 Germany, in, 91, 101, 109, 116, 117
 Poland, in, 271, 275–6
 Portugal, in, 189–90, 191
 Romania, in, 359–60, 361–2
 Spain, in, 246
 Switzerland, in, 321
 Comparative perspective on day fines generally, 6, 366–7
 administrative offences, 367
 amount of daily unit, 371
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment, 372–3
 corporations, day fines and, 371
 country-specific analysis, 6 (*See also specific country*)
 courts, imposition of day fines by, 367–71
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 375–7

- fixed fines, 367
- number of daily units, 371
- public perception of day fines, 373, 379–80
- purpose of day fines, 367
- scope of wealth and, 18–19
- tabular comparison, 368–70
- Conversion of day fines to imprisonment
 - Austria, in, 127–8, 134, 372–3
 - community service on default
 - recommended as alternative, 385
 - comparative perspective on, 372–3
 - Croatia, in, 296–7, 300–1, 372–3, 378
 - Czech Republic, in, 328, 339, 344, 372–3
 - Denmark, in, 76, 81, 372–3
 - England and Wales, in, 204, 209–10, 378
 - Finland, in, 24–5, 30–1, 40, 372–3
 - France, in, 372–3
 - Germany, in, 100–1, 107–11, 115–17, 372–3, 377
 - Hungary, in, 158–9, 372–3
 - Poland, in, 270–2, 279–80, 372–3, 378
 - Portugal, in, 184, 189–90
 - reduction of default as goal of day fines, 377
 - Romania, in, 360–2, 372–3
 - Slovenia, in, 225–6, 372–3
 - Spain, in, 246, 372–3
 - Sweden, in, 45, 55–6
 - Switzerland, in, 310–11, 372–3, 378
- Corporations, day fines and
 - Austria, in, 129–30, 143–4, 371
 - comparative perspective on, 371
 - Croatia, corporations exempt from day fines in, 371
 - Czech Republic, in, 326, 328–9, 330, 334, 371
 - England and Wales, corporations exempt from day fines in, 200, 371
 - expansion of day fines to recommended, 385
 - Germany, corporations exempt from day fines in, 90, 371
 - Poland, in, 272
 - Portugal, in, 193
 - Romania, in, 346, 350, 353–4, 358, 359, 371
 - Spain, in, 239, 244
- Correia, Eduardo, 181–2
- Courts, imposition of day fines by
 - comparative perspective on, 367–71
 - Czech Republic, in, 333
 - France, in, 171–2
 - Hungary, in, 156
 - prosecutors, imposition of day fines by
 - addition to courts recommended, 385
 - Romania, in, 349
 - Slovenia, in, 226
 - Spain, in, 245
- Croatia
 - Act on Execution of Enforcement on Financial Assets, 296
 - amount of daily unit in, 291–3
 - ancillary sanction, day fines as, 289–90, 297, 299
 - Austria compared, 292, 293
 - average daily income, use of in calculation of unit fines, 288–9
 - Basic Criminal Code of the Republic of Croatia (BCCRC), 284, 297
 - categories of offences for which day fines imposed, 299
 - challenges of day fines in, 302–3
 - Chief State Attorney, 298
 - collection of day fines, three-step process of, 296–7
 - community service on default in, 296–7, 299
 - conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 296–7, 300–1, 372–3, 378
 - corporations, exemption from day fines, 371
 - Criminal Code (1997), 286, 288, 297, 300–1
 - Criminal Code (2011), 286, 289, 290–2, 293, 295, 296–7, 299
 - Croatian National Bank, 296
 - day fines as portion of all sentences, 285, 298, 376
 - Financial Agency (FINA), 296
 - financial information of offenders, access to, 294–5, 374
 - fixed fines in, 284
 - Germany compared, 293
 - historical development of day fines in, 284–9
 - Income Tax Act, 287
 - instalment payments of day fines in, 295
 - introduction of day fines in, 286
 - judicial perception of day fines in, 301
 - juvenile offenders, exemption from day fines, 289
 - Land Register, 294
 - ‘loss principle’ in, 292–3
 - maximum amount of daily unit in, 293
 - media reporting of day fines in, 301
 - minimum amount of daily unit in, 293
 - Ministry of Finance, 294, 296

- Croatia (cont.)
 Ministry of Justice, 300
 number of daily units in, 290–1, 371
 personal circumstances of offenders,
 relevance to day fines, 290, 293, 294–6
 personal identification number (OIB),
 294–5
 Poland compared, 293
 Prison System and Probation
 Directorate, 300
 property versus income, 291–2
 public perception of day fines in, 301–2, 373
 recidivism in, 298–9, 302
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 297–301
 subsistence minimum, deduction of, 371
 suspension of sentences in, 286–7,
 298–9, 302
 Switzerland compared, 293
 Tax Administration, 294, 296
 war, impact of, 285–6
 wealth versus income, 372
- Csmegi, Károly, 146
- Czech Republic
 Act on Crimes, Misdemeanours and
 Administrative Offences, 324
 actual versus potential income, 372
 Administrative Offences Act, 327
 administrative offences in, 327
 alternative sanction, day fines as, 325–6
 amount of daily unit in, 325, 327, 330–1,
 341, 344
 categories of offences for which day fines
 imposed, 334–6
 challenges of day fines in, 343–4
 collection of day fines in, 333–4
 community service on default in, 339, 344
 conditional suspension of day fines, 327
 conditions for imposition of day fines, 327–8
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in,
 328, 339, 344, 372–3
 corporate liability for day fines in, 326,
 328–9, 330, 334, 371
 courts, imposition of day fines by, 333
 Criminal Code (1950), 324
 Criminal Code (1961), 324, 339, 340, 343, 344
 Criminal Code (2009), 325–8, 329–30,
 335–7, 338–9, 341, 342–4
 Criminal Procedure Code, 331, 334
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 377
 distributive justice in, 341
 empirical studies of day fines, 338–41
 financial information of offenders, access to,
 331–3, 342, 344, 374
 Germany compared, 329
 historical development of day fines in, 324–7
 Institute for Criminology and Social
 Prevention, 338–9
 introduction of day fines in, 380
 judicial noncompliance with day fine
 scheme in, 340–1, 343–4
 judicial perception of day fines in, 342
 Juvenile Act, 324–5, 326–8, 329, 330
 juvenile offenders, day fines and, 324–5,
 326–7, 329, 330
 Legal Persons Liability Act, 326, 328–9,
 330, 334
 legal transplant, day fines as, 380
 lump sum fines in, 324–5, 340
 media reporting of day fines in, 343
 Ministry of Justice, 338
 number of daily units in, 325, 327, 329–30,
 340, 344, 371
 personal circumstances of offenders,
 relevance to day fines, 325, 326–8,
 330–3, 334
 Probation and Mediation Service, 341
 property, sanctions against, 326
 proportionality in, 340–1
 public perception of day fines in, 341–3, 373
 reluctance regarding day fines, 337, 339,
 343–4
 Social Security Administration, 332
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 336–7
 Supreme Court, 338–9
 Tax Offices, 332
 welfare benefits, relevance to day fines,
 337–8
- Daily units. *See* Amount of daily unit; Number
 of daily units
- Day fines. *See specific country or topic*
- Default on day fines
 community service on default (*See*
 Community service on default)
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment on
 (*See* Conversion of day fines to
 imprisonment)
- Denmark
 Administration of Justice Act, 73
 administrative fines precluded, 73
 aggravating factors in, 74–5
 amount of daily unit in, 74, 371

- 'backward counting' in, 80
- calculation of day fines in, 73–5, 79–81
- challenges of day fines in, 83–4
- conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 76, 81, 372–3
- Corrections Act, 75
- Criminal Code (1866), 70
- Criminal Code (1930), 71
- Criminal Code (1938–1939), 72–3
- day fines as portion of all sentences, 376
- Debt Authority, 75
- Drugs Acts, 72–3, 78
- England and Wales compared, 195
- exceptions to day fines, 71
- financial information of offenders, access to, 70–1, 80, 375
- 'fines catalogues', 81
- First Criminal Code Commission, 70
- guidelines regarding day fines, lack of, 83
- historical development of day fines in, 70–2
- introduction of day fines in, 3, 380
- judicial discretion regarding day fines, 73
- judicial perception of day fines in, 82
- lack of limitations on amount of daily unit, 374
- lump sum fines in, 70, 71, 72, 73
- mens rea* in, 74
- mitigating factors in, 74–5
- number of daily units in, 73–4, 80, 371
- personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 73–5
- Poland compared, 263
- police, day fines and, 75
- proportionality in, 74
- public perception of day fines in, 82–3, 373
- recidivism in, 79
- recommendations regarding day fines, 83–4
- reduction of penalties in, 75
- reluctance regarding day fines, 83
- Road Traffic Act, 72–3, 77, 78
- Second Criminal Code Commission, 71
- Standing Committee of Criminal Law, 82–3
- statistical analysis of day fines in, 76–9
- statutory provisions regarding day fines, 72–3
- Weapons Acts, 78
- Deterrence
 - generally, 21
 - as advantage of day fines, 2
 - amount of daily unit and, 20
 - fixed fines and, 15–16
- France, day fines in criticised for lack of, 177–8
 - general deterrence, 14, 17, 186
 - historical development of, 14–15
 - individual deterrence, 14, 17, 186
 - Law and Economics perspective, 15, 177–8
 - marginal deterrence, 14–15, 16, 17, 177
 - optimal day fine model and, 15, 18–19
 - Portugal, day fines in criticised for lack of, 185, 186
 - proportionality and, 16–17
 - rational choice theory and, 15
 - retribution versus, 14
 - Sweden, day fines in criticised for lack of, 61–2, 63–5, 66–7
 - as utilitarian concept, 14–15
- Deutsche Bank, 94–5
- Díez Ripollés, J. L., 253
- Dongois, N., 307
- Drápal, Jakub, 332, 337, 340, 342, 343
- Ends proportionality, 16
- England and Wales
 - generally, 195, 212–13
 - abolition of unit fines in, 206, 209
 - adjustment of unit fines in, 203–4
 - Advisory Council on the Penal System, 196
 - amount of daily unit in, 200–1
 - appropriate expenditure levels, deductions for, 201–2, 371
 - calculation of unit fines in, 200–4
 - challenges of unit fines in, 210–12
 - conditional discharge in, 209–10
 - Conservative Party, 196–7
 - conversion of unit fines to imprisonment in, 204, 209–10, 378
 - corporations, exemption from unit fines, 200, 371
 - Criminal Justice Act 1991, 195, 200–4
 - Criminal Justice Act 1993, 209
 - Criminal Justice Act 2003, 11, 212
 - 'crisp packet' incident, 208
 - default on traditional fines in, 198–9
 - Denmark compared, 195
 - disposable income, use of in calculation of unit fines, 202–3
 - early wealth-dependent fines in England, 3
 - example of unit fines, 203
 - exceptions to unit fines, 200
 - Expenditure Committee, 196
 - failure of unit fines in, 6, 206–7

- England and Wales (cont.)
 financial information of offenders, access to, 200–1, 207, 211–12
 Finland compared, 195
 Green Paper on ‘Punishment, Custody and the Community’, 197
 historical development of unit fines in, 196–200
 Home Office, 197–8, 200–1, 209–10
 inconsistency of traditional fines in, 198–9
 inequality of traditional fines in, 198–9
 informal system of unit fines in, 209
 instalment payments of day fines in, 204
 judicial perception of unit fines in, 379
 Magistrates’ Court Sentencing Guidelines, 209, 211–12
 Magistrates’ Courts (Unit Fines) Rules 1992, 201–2
 media reporting of day fines in, 208
 misvaluation of unit fines in, 207
 number of daily units in, 200
 Parliamentary All-Party Penal Affairs Group, 196
 Penal Affairs Consortium, 210
 personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 198–9, 200–1, 209, 210–12
 pilot scheme of unit fines, 198–9
 practical implementation of unit fines in, 204–7
 progressive approach to unit fines in, 202–3
 proportionality in, 210, 212
 public perception of day fines in, 208–10, 373, 379
 rigidity of unit fines in, 206
 Road Traffic Offenders Act 1988, 203–4
 statistical analysis of unit fines in, 205
 Sweden compared, 195, 196
 unit fines as portion of all sentences, 376
 White Paper on ‘Crime, Justice and Protecting the Public: Proposals for Legislation’, 199–200
- Europe. *See also specific country*
 fixed fines, move away from in, 3
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 36–7, 38
- European Sourcebook of Crime and Criminal Justice, 322
- Fairness as advantage of day fines, 2
- Faraldo-Cabana, Patricia, 42
- Financial information of offenders, access to
 Austria, in, 128, 144
 cost issues, 5, 21
 Croatia, in, 294–5, 374
 Czech Republic, in, 331–3, 342, 344, 374
 Denmark, in, 70–1, 80, 375
 deterrence and, 20
 England and Wales, in, 200–1, 207, 211–12
 falsification of information, 29
 Finland, in, 29
 fixed fines, relevance for, 1
 France, in, 173–4, 179
 Germany, in, 92, 99, 374
 guidelines, recommendations regarding, 211
 Hungary, in, 150–1, 153–4, 156–7, 374
 optimal day fine model, in, 20–1, 374–5
 Poland, in, 268–70, 374
 policy recommendations regarding, 383–4
 Portugal, in, 188–9
 privacy issues, 5, 21
 proportionality and, 21
 Romania, in, 351–3, 360–1
 scope of wealth, recommendations regarding, 383
 Slovenia, in, 223, 224–5
 Spain, in, 245–6, 248–9
 Sweden, in, 46–7, 49–51, 59
 Switzerland, in, 309–10, 320
- Finland
 generally, 41–2
 amount of daily unit in, 1–2, 25–6, 27–8, 33, 40, 371
 calculation of day fines in, 29
 challenges of day fines in, 39–41
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 24–5, 30–1, 40, 372–3
 cumulative amount of day fines, 34
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 375
 distribution of day fines, 35
 enforcement of day fines, 31
 England and Wales compared, 195
 extensions in, 25
 falsification of financial information, 29
 financial information of offenders, access to, 29
 Fine and Summary Penal Fee Act, 28, 30
 fixed fines in, 24, 27, 40
 Germany compared, 95
 historical development of day fines in, 24–7
 instalment payments of day fines in, 25
 introduction of day fines in, 3, 380

- lack of limitations on amount of daily unit, 374
 legal reforms in, 24–7
 Legal Register Centre, 31
 Ministry of Justice, 40–1
 number of daily units in, 27, 33, 371
 penal administrative fees, 40–1
 Penal Code, 24, 26
 Penal Law Committee, 26–7
 penalties, distribution of, 32
 personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 30–1, 34
 Poland compared, 263
 proportionality in, 11, 26
 public perception of day fines in, 39, 373, 382
 recidivism in, 35–8
 Road Traffic Offences Act, 40–1
 Slovenia compared, 222
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 32–8
 summary penal fees, 27
 summary proceedings, 29–30
 victim surcharge, 41
 voluntary payment of day fines, 31
 wealth versus income, 29, 372
- Fixed fines**
 Austria, precluded in, 133, 135–6, 367
 comparative perspective on, 367
 Croatia, in, 284
 Czech Republic, in, 324–5, 340
 Denmark, in, 70, 71, 72, 73
 deterrence and, 15–16
 financial information of offenders, access to, relevance of, 1
 Finland, in, 24, 27, 40
 Germany, precluded in, 367
 Hungary, precluded in, 367
 move away from, 3
 Poland, in, 272, 367
 Portugal, in, 190
 proportionality and, 12
 regressive nature of, 12
 Slovenia, in, 221
 Sweden, in, 44
 Switzerland, in, 306, 308–9, 317, 322, 323, 367
- Flat fines.** *See* Fixed fines
- France**
 alternative sanction, day fines as, 169, 170
 amount of daily unit in, 172, 178–9, 371
 categories of offences for which day fines imposed, 175–7
 Code of Criminal Procedure, 172
 community service on default in, 169
 complementary sanction, day fines as, 171
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 372–3
 courts, imposition of day fines by, 171–2
 Criminal Code, 167, 169, 170, 171, 172
 Criminal Code Revision Committee, 167
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 376
 deterrence, day fines criticised for lack of, 177–8
 disadvantages of traditional fines in, 168
 early use of fines in, 284
 financial information of offenders, access to, 173–4, 179
 historical development of day fines in, 167–9
 instalment payments of day fines in, 171, 178
 introduction of day fines in, 380
 lack of guidelines regarding day fines, 178
 legal nature of day fines in, 172–3
 number of daily units in, 172, 371
 personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 179
 recidivism in, 169
 recommendations regarding day fines, 178–9
 Slovenia compared, 222
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 174–7
 upper limit on day fines in, 178–9
 wealth versus income, 178
- Future research on day fines, 387–8**
- Garcés Peregrina, J. M., 247**
- General deterrence, 14, 17, 186**
- Germany**
 generally, 385
 adjustment of day fines in, 117
 administrative fines in, 88–9
 Alternative Draft (*Alternativ-Entwurf*), 240
 amount of daily unit in, 87, 94–5, 106, 117, 371
 Austria compared, 100, 143
 categories of offences for which day fines imposed, 105
 challenges of day fines in, 115–17
 Code of Criminal Procedure (CPC), 89, 92
 collection of day fines in, 106–7
 community service on default in, 91, 101, 109, 116, 117
 Comprehensive Criminal Law Reform, 85, 88–94, 95, 103, 112–13, 115–16
 conditional day fines, 90

- Germany (cont.)
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in,
 100–1, 107–11, 115–17, 372–3, 377
 corporations, exemption from day fines,
 90, 371
 Criminal Code (CC), 90–2, 96, 97, 98, 100,
 101, 110, 143, 147
 Croatia compared, 293
 Czech Republic compared, 329
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 376
 day fines besides prison sentences, 91
 decline in short prison sentences resulting
 from day fines, 377
 decriminalisation proposals in, 116–17
 enforcement of day fines, 100–1
 estimating net income, 98–9
 Federal Register of Criminal Convictions
 (*Bundeszentralregister*), 111
 financial information of offenders, access to,
 92, 99, 374
 Finland compared, 95
 fixed fines precluded in, 367
 German Crime Survey 2017, 114
 historical development of day fines in, 85–7
 Hungary compared, 147
 incarceration rate in, 86
 instalment payments of day fines, rejection
 of, 87
 introduction of day fines in, 380
 juvenile offenders, exemption from day
 fines, 90
 Law on Administrative Offences
 (*Ordnungswidrigkeitengesetz*), 88
 Law on Enforcement of Criminal Sentences
 (*Strafvollstreckungsordnung*), 100
 Law on Recovery of Public Claims
 (*Justizbeitreibungsgesetz*), 100
 legal transplant, day fines as, 380
 limitations on amount of daily unit, 374
 Marburg Programme, 85–6
 marginal groups, day fines and, 97–8
 minimum sentences in, 92
 Netherlands compared, 110–11
 net income principle in, 95–7, 98–9, 371
 number of daily units in, 87, 94
 payment accommodations in, 100, 107, 117
 personal circumstances of offenders,
 relevance to day fines, 96, 98
 Poland compared, 263
 priority rule in, 376, 377
 Procedural Code, 59
 proportionality in, 90
 prosecutorial discretion in, 89, 93–4
 public perception of day fines in, 112–15, 373
 recidivism in, 111–12
 reunification of, 102–3
 short prison sentences in, 91–2
 ‘simple rule’ in, 46
 simplified criminal proceedings in, 92–3
 Slovenia compared, 222
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 101–6
 structure of criminal sanctions in, 101–6
 subsistence minimum, deduction of, 371
 success of day fines in, 377
 suspended prison sentences in, 90
 transfer dependent offenders, day fines
 and, 97–8
 Goldschmidt, James Paul, 88
 Golub, Ljubin, 301
 Heidenborg, M., 63
 Historical development of day fines
 generally, 3–5, 385
 Austria, in, 122–3
 Croatia, in, 284–9
 Czech Republic, in, 324–7
 Denmark, in, 70–2
 England and Wales, in, 196–200
 Finland, in, 24–7
 France, in, 167–9
 Germany, in, 85–7
 Hungary, in, 146–7
 Poland, in, 263–6
 Portugal, in, 181–3
 Romania, in, 346–8
 Slovenia, in, 217–23
 Spain, in, 240–1
 Sweden, in, 45–8
 Hungary
 generally, 162–5, 385
 administration of day fines in, 157
 aiding and abetting, day fines and, 149
 alternative sanction, day fines as, 148–9,
 162–5
 amount of daily unit in, 151–2, 153–4, 371
 attempt, day fines and, 149
 categories of offences for which day fines
 imposed, 162, 163–4
 Code of Criminal Procedure, 155–6, 158
 Communist period, criminal law during, 147
 context of criminal sanctions, day fines in,
 148–51

- conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 158–9, 372–3
- courts, imposition of day fines by, 156
- Criminal Code (1795—draft), 146
- Criminal Code (1843—draft), 146
- Criminal Code (1878), 146–7
- Criminal Code (1978), 146, 147, 148–51, 152, 153, 158–9
- Criminal Code (2013), 160
- criminal forfeiture in, 162
- custodial arrest in, 148, 149
- day fines as portion of all sentences, 376
- driving bans in, 148
- expulsion from, 148, 149
- financial information of offenders, access to, 150–1, 153–4, 156–7, 374
- fixed fines precluded in, 367
- forms of criminal sanctions in, 148
- Germany compared, 147
- historical development of day fines in, 146–7
- household income in, 372
- imprisonment in, 148
- instalment payments of day fines in, 157–8
- introduction of day fines in, 380
- juvenile offenders, adjustment of day fines for, 152, 159
- Law on Criminal Procedure, 156
- Law on Judicial Execution, 159
- Law on the Execution of Criminal Sanctions, 157–8
- legal transplant, day fines as, 380
- less severe sanction, day fines as, 149
- maintenance obligation in, 150–1
- non-payment of day fines in, 158
- number of daily units in, 151–3, 371
- pecuniary gain offences in, 149–50, 160–2
- personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 151, 153–4
- plea deals in, 155–6
- Poland compared, 263
- problems with day fines in, 165
- professional activity, prohibition on, 148
- residency bans in, 148
- seizure of assets in, 156
- sporting event bans in, 148
- statistical analysis of day fines in, 159–64
- subsistence minimum, deduction of, 371
- underutilisation of day fines in, 165
- wealth versus income, 372
- Hurd, Douglas, 197
- Imprisonment
- conversion of day fines to (*See* Conversion of day fines to imprisonment)
- criticisms of short prison sentences, 306–7
- decline in short prison sentences resulting from day fines, 86, 311–13, 323, 377
- default imprisonment (*See* Conversion of day fines to imprisonment)
- social redistribution of short prison sentences resulting from day fines, 313–15, 321–2
- Incapacitation, 8, 14
- Individual deterrence, 14, 17, 186
- Instalment payments of day fines
- Croatia, in, 295
- England and Wales, in, 204
- Finland, in, 25
- France, in, 171, 178
- Germany, rejection in, 87
- Hungary, in, 157–8
- Poland, in, 271
- Romania, in, 358–9
- Slovenia, in, 224
- International Crime Survey, 113–14
- International Criminalist Association, 71
- Italy, ‘simple rule’ in, 46
- Jager, M., 222–3
- Jareborg, Nils, 63, 65
- Judicial perception of day fines
- Austria, in, 143
- Croatia, in, 301
- Czech Republic, in, 342
- Denmark, in, 82
- England and Wales, in, 379
- Poland, in, 281
- policy recommendations regarding, 382–3
- Slovenia, in, 379
- Spain, in, 379–80
- ‘Just desert’, 9–10, 197
- Juvenile offenders, day fines and
- adjustment of day fines for, 57–8, 152, 159
- Croatia, in, 289
- Czech Republic, in, 324–5, 326–7, 329, 330
- Germany, in, 90
- Hungary, in, 152, 159
- Sweden, in, 57–8
- Kant, Immanuel, 9
- Killias, M., 307
- Krabbe, Oluf, 72

- Kuhn, A., 307
 Kuzma, Irena, 235
- Lappi-Seppälä, Tapio, 35, 39
 Law and Economics perspective on day fines
 deterrence and, 15, 177–8
 Germany and, 87
 legal transplants and, 380–1
 Slovenia and, 222–3, 227, 229
 Legal persons. *See* Corporations, day fines and
 Legal transplant, day fines, 386
 Lessons of day fines, 386
 Liechtenstein, day fines in, 6, 385
 Lobnikar, B., 232–3
 ‘Loss principle’, 292–3
 Lump sum fines. *See* Fixed fines
- Mannesmann, 94–5
 Marginal deterrence, 14–15, 16, 17, 177
 Markelj, L., 222–3, 227
 Markić, Željka, 292
 Markwalder, N., 307
 Martinović, I., 294
 Means proportionality, 16
 Media reporting of day fines
 Austria, in, 142–3
 Croatia, in, 301
 Czech Republic, in, 343
 England and Wales, in, 208
 Poland, in, 281
 Spain, in, 257
 Sweden, in, 3
 Modic, M., 232–3
 Montesquieu, 3
- Netherlands
 Criminal Code, 5
 Germany compared, 110–11
 rejection of day fines in, 5
 New Zealand, rejection of day fines in, 5, 210
 Nokia, 11
 Nordic Committee on Criminal Law, 82
 Norway, ‘simple rule’ in, 46
 Novoselec, P., 294
 Number of daily units
 generally, 1
 Austria, in, 123–5, 138–9, 371
 comparative perspective on, 371
 Croatia, in, 290–1, 371
 Czech Republic, in, 325, 327, 329–30, 340,
 344, 371
- Denmark, in, 73–4, 80, 371
 England and Wales, in, 200
 Finland, in, 27, 33, 371
 France, in, 172, 371
 Germany, in, 87, 94
 Hungary, in, 151–3, 371
 Poland, in, 266–7, 268, 371
 Portugal, in, 187–8
 proportionality and, 13
 Romania, in, 349–51, 355, 371
 Slovenia, in, 223, 224
 Spain, in, 241–4, 371
 Sweden, in, 48–9, 57, 371
 Switzerland, in, 308, 371
- Objections to day fines, 4–5
 Optimal day fine model
 generally, 18
 amount of daily unit in, 19–20
 deterrence and, 15, 18–19
 financial information of offenders, access to
 in, 20–1, 374–5
 limitations on amount of daily unit in, 374
 retribution and, 19
 scope of wealth in, 18–19, 373–4
 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and
 Development (OECD), 231
- Personal circumstances of offenders, relevance
 to day fines
 Austria, in, 123, 124, 125, 127, 131–2, 133, 134,
 142–3, 144
 Croatia, in, 290, 293, 294–6
 Czech Republic, in, 325, 326–8, 330–3, 334
 Denmark, in, 73–5
 England and Wales, in, 198–9, 200–1, 209,
 210–12
 financial information of offenders, access to
 (See Financial information of offenders,
 access to)
 Finland, in, 30–1, 34
 France, in, 179
 Germany, in, 96, 98
 Hungary, in, 151, 153–4
 Poland, in, 267–9
 Portugal, in, 188, 192
 Slovenia, in, 223
 Spain, in, 241, 244, 247
 Sweden, in, 46–7, 48–9, 53, 57–8, 63
 Switzerland, in, 322–3
 Petrovec, D., 233–4

- Plato, 14
 Plesničar, M. M., 233
 Poland
 actual versus potential income, 372, 373
 alternative sanction, day fines as, 265
 amount of daily unit in, 266, 267, 268–9, 371
 Austria compared, 263
 categories of offences for which day fines imposed, 276–9
 Code of Criminal Procedure, 269–70
 Communist period, criminal law during, 263
 community service on default in, 271, 275–6
 complementary sanction, day fines as, 265
 conditional suspension of sentences in, 272–3, 275–6
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 270–2, 279–80, 372–3, 378
 corporate liability for day fines in, 272
 Criminal Code (1969), 263, 265
 Criminal Code (1981), 263–4
 Criminal Code (1997), 264–9, 272–5, 279, 280, 281–2
 Criminal Enforcement Code, 270–2
 Criminal Fiscal Code, 266–7, 272
 Croatia compared, 293
 cumulative fines in, 273
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 274, 376
 Denmark compared, 263
 enforcement of day fines, 270–1
 financial information of offenders, access to, 268–70, 374
 Finland compared, 263
 fiscal offences in, 266–8
 fixed fines in, 272, 367
 Germany compared, 263
 historical development of day fines in, 263–6
 Hungary compared, 263
 instalment payments of day fines in, 271
 introduction of day fines in, 264–5
 judicial perception of day fines in, 281
 legal reforms in, 265–6, 281–2
 martial law in, 263–4
 media reporting of day fines in, 281
 Ministry of Finance, 270, 374
 Ministry of Justice, 266, 276, 281
 number of daily units in, 266–7, 268, 371
 offenders with no assets, day fines and, 280
 personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 267–9
 public perception of day fines in, 281
 Solidarity, 263–4
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 272–81
 Sweden compared, 263
 welfare benefits, relevance to day fines, 281
 Policy recommendations
 administrative offences, expansion of day fines to, 384
 all offences, expansion of day fines to, 384
 community service on default as alternative to imprisonment on default, 385
 corporations, expansion of day fines to, 385
 financial information of offenders, access to, regarding, 383–4
 judicial perception of day fines, regarding, 382–3
 prosecutors, imposition of day fines by in addition to courts, 385
 public perception of day fines, regarding, 381–3
 Portugal
 adequacy and sufficiency of day fines, 187
 alternative sanction, day fines as, 183–4
 amount of daily unit in, 188
 Carnation Revolution, 181–2
 Code of Penal Procedure, 182, 188–9
 community service on default in, 189–90, 191
 Constitution (1976), 181–2, 184, 186, 189, 193
 constitutional challenges to day fines in, 193
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 184, 189–90
 corporate liability for day fines in, 193
 criticisms of day fines in, 184–5
 deterrence, day fines criticised for lack of, 185, 186
 Directorate-General for Justice Policy, 190, 191
 distributive justice in, 182–3
 financial information of offenders, access to, 188–9
 General Law Governing Regulatory Offences, 190
 General Statute of Tributary Offences, 193
 historical development of day fines in, 181–3
 imposition of day fines in, 189
 Insurance Contract Statute, 192
 introduction of day fines in, 181, 380
 legal framework of day fines in, 186–90
 legal transplant, day fines as, 380

- Portugal (cont.)
 lump sum fines in, 190
 Ministry of Justice, 190
 National Health System, 191
 National Institute of Statistics, 191
 National Network for Long-term Integrated
 Care, 191
 number of daily units in, 187–8
 Penal Code (1852), 181
 Penal Code (1886), 181
 Penal Code (1982), 181, 182, 190
 Penal Code (1995), 183–4, 186–90, 192, 193
 personal circumstances of offenders,
 relevance to day fines, 188, 192
 primary sanction, day fines as, 186–7
 proportionality in, 186
 public perception of day fines in, 192, 373
 Public Prosecutor, 189
 recidivism in, 187
 rehabilitation, day fines criticised for lack of,
 184–5
 retributive nature of day fines in, 185–6
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 190–2
 subsistence minimum, deduction of, 371
 substitutive sanction, day fines as, 186, 187
 suspension of imprisonment in, 191
 symbolic punishment, day fines as, 186
 wealth versus income, 372
 welfare benefits, relevance to day fines, 191–2
- Price discrimination system, day fines as, 17
- Prislan, K., 232–3
- Proportionality
 generally, 10, 21
 amount of daily unit and, 13, 20
 Czech Republic, in, 340–1
 Denmark, in, 74
 deterrence and, 16–17, 186
 disproportionality, day fines criticised for,
 62–3, 322
 ends proportionality, 16
 England and Wales, in, 210, 212
 financial information of offenders, access
 to, 21
 Finland, in, 11, 26
 fixed fines and, 12
 Germany, in, 90
 means proportionality, 16
 number of daily units and, 13
 objective understanding of, 10–12, 21
 Portugal, in, 186
 Romania, in, 351
 subjective understanding of, 10–11, 12, 21
 Sweden, in, 46, 61, 62–3
 Switzerland, in, 322
- Prosecutors, imposition of day fines by in
 addition to courts recommended, 385
- Pruin, I., 110
- Public assistance. *See* Welfare benefits, rele-
 vance to day fines
- Public perception of day fines
 Austria, in, 142–4, 373, 382
 comparative perspective on, 373, 379–80
 Croatia, in, 301–2, 373
 Czech Republic, in, 341–3, 373
 Denmark, in, 82–3, 373
 England and Wales, in, 208–10, 373, 379
 Finland, in, 39, 373, 382
 Germany, in, 112–15, 373
 Poland, in, 281
 policy recommendations regarding, 381–3
 Portugal, in, 192, 373
 Romania, in, 362–3
 Slovenia, in, 232–4, 373, 379
 Spain, in, 257–60, 373, 379–80
 Sweden, in, 61–3, 382
 Switzerland, in, 382
- Rational choice theory, 15
- Recidivism
 Austria, in, 141
 Croatia, in, 298–9, 302
 Denmark, in, 79
 Finland, in, 35–8
 France, in, 169
 Germany, in, 111–12
 Portugal, in, 187
- Rehabilitation, 8, 14, 184–5
- Reluctance regarding day fines
 generally, 386
 Czech Republic, in, 337, 339, 343–4
 Denmark, in, 83
- Restitution, 8
- Retribution
 generally, 21
 amount of daily unit and, 20
 deterrence versus, 14
 ‘just dessert’, 9–10, 197
 as justification for day fines, 9–10
 optimal day fine model and, 19
 Portugal, day fines in as retributive in nature,
 185–6
 proportionality (*See* Proportionality)

- Robert, Jacques-Henri, 173
- Romania
- aggravating factors in, 350–1
 - amount of daily unit in, 349, 351–4, 355, 371
 - ancillary penalties in, 347
 - bad faith, conversion of day fines to imprisonment due to, 360–1
 - calculation of day fines in, 349, 354–5, 357–8
 - categories of offences for which day fines imposed, 356–7
 - challenges of day fines in, 363–4
 - community service on default in, 359–60, 361–2
 - complementary sanction, day fines as, 363–4
 - conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 360–2, 372–3
 - corporate liability for day fines in, 350, 353–4, 358, 359, 371
 - courts, imposition of day fines by, 349
 - Criminal Code (1969), 348
 - Criminal Code (2009), 346–8, 349–50, 351, 352, 354–5, 356–8, 361, 362–4
 - criminal sanctions in, 347
 - effectiveness of day fines, 357
 - financial information of offenders, access to, 351–3, 360–1
 - Fiscal Procedure Code, 359
 - general criminal law in, 347
 - historical development of day fines in, 346–8
 - instalment payments of day fines in, 358–9
 - introduction of day fines in, 348, 380
 - legal reforms in, 346–7
 - material situation of offender, determination of, 351–3, 360–1
 - mitigating factors in, 350–1
 - National Agency of Fiscal Administration, 359, 361
 - new offences, conversion of day fines to imprisonment due to, 362
 - number of daily units in, 349–51, 355, 371
 - patrimonial situation of offender, determination of, 351–3, 360–1
 - PatrimVen, 352, 360
 - postponement of enforcement of day fines, 355
 - proportionality in, 351
 - public perception of day fines in, 362–3
 - special criminal law in, 347
 - traditional fines in, 348
 - transitional provisions, 348
 - turnover, determination of, 353
 - welfare benefits, relevance to day fines, 357
- Scheinost, Miroslav, 339, 341, 342–3
- Schengen area, 6
- Schmelck, Robert, 167–9
- Schultz, Hans, 307–8
- Scope of wealth
- comparative perspective on, 18–19
 - Croatia, wealth versus income in, 372
 - financial information of offenders, access to (See Financial information of offenders, access to)
 - Finland, wealth versus income in, 29, 372
 - France, wealth versus income in, 178
 - Hungary, wealth versus income in, 372
 - optimal day fine model, in, 18–19, 373–4
 - Portugal, wealth versus income in, 372
 - Spain, wealth versus income in, 372
 - Sweden, wealth versus income in, 372
 - Switzerland, wealth versus income in, 310
- Šelih, A., 219, 220
- Sentencing. *See also specific topic*
- conversion of day fines to imprisonment (See Conversion of day fines to imprisonment)
 - default imprisonment (See Conversion of day fines to imprisonment)
 - imprisonment (See Imprisonment)
 - role of day fines in, 375–7
 - transparency in, 3
 - uniformity in, 2
- Serlachius, Allan, 24
- Slovenia
- generally, 216–17, 235
 - amount of daily unit in, 223, 224, 371
 - Austria compared, 222
 - basic minimum income in, 232
 - calculation of day fines in, 223
 - categories of offences for which day fines imposed, 228–9
 - challenges of day fines in, 234–5
 - Constitution, 231
 - conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 225–6, 372–3
 - courts, imposition of day fines by, 226
 - Criminal Code (1994), 216–17, 220–1, 222, 227
 - Criminal Code (2004), 221
 - Criminal Code (2008), 216–17, 222, 223–4, 225–6, 227–8, 234
 - criticism of fines in, 218–19
 - Financial Administration, 224–5

- Slovenia (cont.)
 financial information of offenders, access to, 223, 224–5
 Finland compared, 222
 fixed fines in, 221
 France compared, 222
 Germany compared, 222
 historical development of day fines in, 217–23
 instalment payments of day fines in, 224
 introduction of day fines in, 220–1, 222, 380
 judicial perception of day fines in, 379
 legal transplant, day fines as, 380
 main findings regarding day fines, 216–17
 maintenance obligations in, 225
 Ministry of Finance, 224–5
 Ministry of Justice, 228
 National Resolution on the Crime Prevention Act of 2007, 227
 number of daily units in, 223, 224
 personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 223
 pluralistic nature of fines in, 217–18
 public perception of day fines in, 232–4, 373, 379
 purpose of fines in, 218
 Social Assistance Act, 231
 Social Assistance Benefits Act, 231–2
 Social Security Act, 232
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 226–32
 Statistical Office, 228–9, 232
 subsistence minimum, deduction of, 371
 Supreme State Prosecutor's Office, 230
 welfare benefits, relevance to day fines, 230–2
 Yugoslav period, fines during, 217–20
 Social assistance. *See* Welfare benefits, relevance to day fines
- Sotlar, A., 233
- Spain
 generally, 238–9
 Air Navigation Penal and Procedure Act of 1964 (*Penal y Procesal de Navegación Aérea*), 243, 253
 amount of daily unit in, 244, 371
 categories of offences for which day fines imposed, 252–3, 255–6
caución, 238–9
 Central Mercantile Registry, 245
 challenges of day fines in, 260–1
 community service on default in, 246
 Constitution of 1812, 238
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 246, 372–3
 corporate liability for day fines in, 239, 244
 courts, imposition of day fines by, 245
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 254, 256–7, 376
 Directorate of Police, 245
 Directorate of Traffic, 245
 financial information of offenders, access to, 245–6, 248–9
 historical development of day fines in, 240–1
 imprisonment as portion of all sentences, 255–7
 introduction of day fines in, 380
 Judicial Neutral Point (*Punto Neutro Judicial*), 245, 246
 judicial perception of day fines in, 379–80
 Land Registry, 245
 legal transplant, day fines as, 380
 maximum number of daily units in, 243–4
 media reporting of day fines in, 257
 minimum amount of daily unit in, 249–50, 252
 minimum number of daily units in, 242–3
 National Institute of Statistics, 245
 National Statistics Office (INE), 253–5, 260–1
 number of daily units in, 241–4, 371
 Offenders Registry, 245
 Penal Code (1973), 240, 242–4, 246
 Penal Code (1995) (CP), 238–9, 240–1
 personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 241, 244, 247
 plea bargains in, 249
 politicians, day fine cases involving, 251–2
 proportional fines in, 239, 241
 public perception of day fines in, 257–60, 373, 379–80
 Secretariat for Penitentiary Institutions, 245
 State Agency of the Tax Administration, 245
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 253–7
 survey of day fine cases in, 246–7, 250–2
 Treasurer's Office of Social Security, 245
 wealth versus income, 372
 welfare benefits, relevance to day fines, 249
- Statistical analysis of day fines
 Austria, in, 136–41
 Croatia, in, 297–301
 Czech Republic, in, 336–7
 Denmark, in, 76–9

- England and Wales, in, 205
 Europe generally, 36–7, 38
 Finland, in, 32–8
 France, in, 174–7
 Germany, in, 101–6
 Hungary, in, 159–64
 Poland, in, 272–81
 Portugal, in, 190–2
 Slovenia, in, 226–32
 Spain, in, 253–7
 Sweden, in, 59–61
 Switzerland, in, 317–19
 Suárez-López, J. M., 260–1
 Sustainable Governance Indicators (SDI), 231
 Sweden
 generally, 44–5
 Act on Public Order (*Ordningslag*), 54
 Act on Smuggling Offences (*Lag om straff för smuggling*), 54
 Act on Unemployment Insurance (*Lag om arbetslöshetsförsäkring*), 52
 Act on Unlawful Possession of Certain Radar and Laser Instruments (*Lag om förbud mot vissa radar-och laservarnare*), 54
 adjustment of day fines, 49, 57–8
 alternative sanction, day fines as, 65–7
 amount of daily unit in, 48–9, 371
 asylum seekers, adjustment of day fines for, 58
 basis for determination of day fines, 59
 calculation of day fines in, 48–9
 case law on day fines, 56–9
 censure, day fines as, 47–8, 66
 challenges of day fines in, 63–5
 collection of day fines, 55–6
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 45, 55–6
 corporal punishment in, 45
 Crimes Against the Drugs (Penal) Act, 60
 criticisms of day fines in, 61–3
 day fines as portion of all sentences, 375
 deductions in, 50–1
 deterrence, day fines criticised for lack of, 61–2, 63–5, 66–7
 disproportionality, day fines criticised for, 62–3
 enforcement of day fines, 55–6
 Enforcement of Fines Act (*Bötesverkställighetslag*), 55
 England and Wales compared, 195, 196
 estimates of financial information, 50–1
 financial information of offenders, access to, 46–7, 49–51, 59
 Fishing Act (*Fiskelag*), 54
 fixed fines in, 44
 historical development of day fines in, 45–8
 introduction of day fines in, 3, 380
 juvenile offenders, adjustment of day fines for, 57–8
 legal reforms in, 45–8
 media reporting of day fines in, 3
 number of daily units in, 48–9, 57, 371
 Penal Code, 48–9, 57
 personal circumstances of offenders, relevance to day fines, 46–7, 48–9, 53, 57–8, 63
 Poland compared, 263
 proportionality in, 46, 61, 62–3
 Prosecutor-General, guidance from, 49–51, 56
 public perception of day fines in, 61–3, 382
 Road Traffic Offences Act, 60
 Road Traffic Ordinance, 60
 short prison sentences replaced by day fines in, 63–5
 ‘simple rule’ in, 46–8
 Social Insurance Agency (*Försäkringskassan*), 51
 Social Insurance Code, 51
 standardised fines, 54
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 59–61
 statutory provisions regarding day fines, 48–9
 Study Assistance Act (*Studietödslag*), 52
 summary fines, 53–4
 summary penalties, 53
 Swedish Enforcement Authority, 55
 wealth versus income, 372
 Weapons’ Regulation (*Vapenförordning*), 54
 welfare benefits, relevance to day fines, 51–2
 Switzerland
 generally, 306
 community service on default in, 321
 conversion of day fines to imprisonment in, 310–11, 372–3, 378
 costs of collecting day fines, 320–1
 criticisms of short prison sentences in, 306–7
 Croatia compared, 293
 custodial sanction in, 309
 decline in short prison sentences resulting from day fines, 86, 311–13, 323
 dependent children, effect on day fines, 322

- Switzerland (cont.)
 disproportionality, day fines criticised
 for, 322
 Federal Statistical Office, 314
 financial information of offenders, access to,
 309–10, 320
 flat fines in, 306, 308–9, 317, 322, 323, 367
 incarceration rates, effect of day fines on,
 86, 313
 introduction of day fines in, 308
 number of daily units in, 308, 371
 Penal Law Reform Act, 308, 309, 311, 313
 personal circumstances of offenders,
 relevance to day fines, 322–3
 policy implications of day fines, 321–3
 proportionality in, 322
 public perception of day fines in, 382
 Register of Criminal Convictions, 312
 ‘simple rule’ in, 46
 social redistribution of short prison
 sentences resulting from day fines, 313–15,
 321–2
 statistical analysis of day fines in, 317–19
 survey of day fine cases in, 316–17, 319
 suspension of sentences in, 308–9, 322–3
 Swiss Criminal Code (SCC), 306, 308, 309,
 310–11, 316, 317, 321
 wealth versus income, 310
- Theoretical perspective on day fines
 generally, 5–6, 8–9
 deterrence (*See* Deterrence)
 optimal day fine model (*See* Optimal day
 fine model)
 proportionality (*See* Proportionality)
 retribution (*See* Retribution)
- Thyrén, Johan, 44, 46, 61–2
 Torp, Carl, 71
- Transparency in sentencing as advantage
 of day fines, 3
 Treig, J., 110
- Ulväng, Magnus, 63, 65
 Uniformity in sentencing as advantage of day
 fines, 2
 United Kingdom. *See* England and Wales
 United States, rejection of day fines in, 4
 Unit fines. *See* England and Wales
 Utilitarian concept, deterrence as, 14–15
- Válková, Helena, 339, 341, 342–3
 Vodafone, 94–5
 Von Hirsch, Andrew, 197
 von Liszt, Franz, 71, 85–6, 88, 307
 Vukadin, Kovčo, 301
- Wales. *See* England and Wales
 Warner, K., 210, 212
 Welfare benefits, relevance to day fines
 Czech Republic, in, 337–8
 Poland, in, 281
 Portugal, in, 191–2
 Romania, in, 357
 Slovenia, in, 230–2
 Spain, in, 249
 Sweden, in, 51–2
- Yugoslavia
 criticism of fines in, 218–19
 Croatia (*See* Croatia)
 Federal Criminal Code, 217–18, 284
 historical development of fines in,
 217–20
 pluralistic nature of fines in, 217–18
 purpose of fines in, 218
 Slovenia (*See* Slovenia)