

INDEX

- abortion. *See* bioethics
 academic appraisals, 79–83
 academic freedom
 a brief intellectual history, 16–19
 conditions of, 92–94
 constructions and contestations, 24, 159–163, 177–178
 historical perspective, 3
 key legal and policy statements, 20–23
 meaning of, 1, 3–4
 qualitative and quantitative measures, 8
 relationship with conceptions of knowledge, 163–166
 as under threat, 1–2
 transnational theory of, 13–14, 159
 universalised position, 39
 academics' responsibility, 24–26, 106, 161
 activism, and knowledge production, 46–48
 affect, 34–38
 American Association of University Professors (AAUP),
 17–18, 20–23, 160
 American studies programmes, 53–55, 60–62
 anonymity, 7–8
 appraisals, 79–83
 arts subjects, 68–72
 audit culture, 79–83

 behavioural science, 57–58
 bias, use of systemic reviews, 45–46
 See also Western bias
 bioethics, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
 Bourdieu, P. 135, 154
 Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions (BDS) movement
 conditions of academic freedom, 92–94
 global awareness, 2–3
 national citizenship, 31–32
 power, knowledge and morality, 26–29
 branch campuses, 92
 British Canon, 145–148
 See also Western bias
 Butler, Judith, 31–32, 91–94, 160–161

 the 'Canon', 62, 145–148, 165–166
 See also Western bias
 capital, 41–42, 43, 154
 Centre for American Studies and Research (CASAR),
 53–55, 60–62, 78–79

 Centre for Research on Race in Education (CREE), 84
 the civic university, 29, 64–65, 66, 88, 162
 civility, 34–38, 148–150, 163
 colonialism, 18–19
 'colonising the mind', 48–49
 confidentiality, 7–8
 constructivist grounded theory, 6–7
 controversial science, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
 the corporate university, 69–70
 COVID-19 pandemic, 2
 critical university studies (CUS), 65, 166–169

 'death of knowledge', 165–166
 death threats, 37–38
 decolonisation discourses, 72–74
 decolonisation of knowledge, 48–51, 164
 delegitimation of knowledge, 156–157, 176
 democracy and academic freedom, 56
 democratisation of knowledge, 13, 140–142
 development
 real-world applicability of research, 44–45
 social change, 50–51
 temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
 'dignity safe', 29–32
 complementarity of freedom and diversity,
 16, 160–161
 psychologisation of society, 32–33
 disability rights, 118–120
 disciplinarity, 26–29
 'disciplinary decadence', 58–59
 See also interdisciplinary approach
 disciplinary quality, 145–148
 diversity
 mangerialisation of, 83
 'oversensitivity', 16
 university initiatives, 144–145, 174
 See also freedom vs. diversity/inclusion
 dominance, knowledge production, 49
 Douglas, Mary, 48–49

 education, value of, 42–46
 emotion
 and civility, 34–38
 public performance of academic debate, 36–37
 as a social and political practice, 82–83

- employability, in the knowledge economy, 42–46, 68–72, 175
- employment
- job security of academics, 81, 162
 - liberal arts and the 'knowledge economy', 68–72
 - precarity as an academic, 38
 - restriction of academic freedom, 81, 175
 - skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
- enrollment rates, university, 10, 74–79, 167–168
- entrepreneurship, 42–46, 151–152
- epistemic violence, 137
- ethics committees, at universities, 95–98, 169–170
- Eurocentrism, 129–130
- euthanasia, 118–120, 132–133
- See also* bioethics
- exceptionalism, 87–89
- expertise, 24–26, 140–142, 161
- extramural speech, 17–18, 25, 106
- extremism, 125–129, 172–173
- forbidden knowledge, 12–13, 112–113
- agentic boundary work, 129–133
 - conceptualising rationales of, 113–129
 - controversial science, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
 - gatekeeper mechanisms, 171–177
 - publishing and dissemination, 129–133, 173
 - taboo topics, 116–118
- Foucault, M., 135
- free speech, 161
- contested understandings, 24–26
 - definition and distinction from academic freedom, 3–4
- free speech champions, 2–3
- 'freedom to'/'freedom from', 31
- freedom vs. diversity/inclusion, 1, 8–9
- complementarity of, 16, 160–161
 - contextualisation and preconditions, 29–32
 - irreconcilability debate, 16
- funding
- legitimate knowledge, 153–155
 - research, 44–45, 64–85
 - 'strong research', 135–145
- gender
- forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
 - intimidation and threats against female academic, 37–38
 - race and, 30–31
 - real-world applicability of research, 44–45
 - sexual harassment, 102–106
 - vulnerable academics, 81
- gender dysphoria, 120–121, 146
- geographical positionality, 51–56, 60–62
- global neoliberalism, 11–12
- Global North
- development research, 44–45
 - gender and sexuality, 129–130
 - international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177
 - missions of universities, 31–32
 - understandings of academic freedom, 31–32, 177
 - See also* Western bias
- Global South
- development research, 44–45
 - drive for internationalisation, 11, 108
 - funding and international partnerships, 153–155
 - gender and sexuality, 130
 - impact agendas, 175–176
 - international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177
 - marketisation of higher education, 11
 - missions of universities, 31–32
- global university rankings, 155–158, 176–177
- governance of universities
- contextualisation of constraints, 91
 - exceptionalism and context, 87–89
 - funding, 64–85
 - management and audit culture, 79–83
 - procedural irregularities, 98–100, 170
 - role of universities, 168–169
 - unions, 85–87
- grievance studies, 34–36, 68
- habitus, 154
- Hanafi, S., 153–154, 157
- harassment, 102–106, 170
- hate speech, 25, 133–134, 150
- hegemony of knowledge, 49, 178
- hierarchy of rights, 30–31
- higher education. *See* universities
- historical perspective on academic freedom, 3, 16–19
- human rights, 20, 159–160
- humanities subjects, 68–72
- Humboldtian model of higher education, 17–18, 29–32, 41–42
- identity politics, 24
- ignorance studies, 113–115
- inclusive knowledge, 29–32
- indigenous knowledge, 142–143
- individualisation of society, 32–34, 162
- infanticide 118–120
- See also* bioethics
- infantilisation of students, 32–33, 162
- insecurity, job, as an academic, 37–38, 81, 162
- interdisciplinary approach, 5, 56–62
- international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177

- internationalisation of universities, 4–5, 10, 74–79, 108, 153–155
- interpretivist paradigm, 7
- intersectionality, 170–178
- intimidation, 37–38
- Israel/Palestine debate, 52–55, 120–121, 172
- job security, as an academic, 37–38, 81, 162
- Journal of Controversial Ideas*, 129–133
- justice, epistemic violence, 137
- justified true belief, 136–137
- knowledge
- constructions of, 136–137, 163
 - contested understandings, 26–29, 40–48, 161–162
 - inclusive, 29–32
 - relationship with conceptions of academic freedom, 163–166
- knowledge economy, 42–46, 68–72, 175
- knowledge production, 9–10
- ‘death of knowledge’, 165–166
 - decolonisation, 48–51, 164
 - democratisation, 13, 140–142
 - Humboldtian model, 41–42
 - implications for academic freedom, 62–63
 - inter/disciplinarity, 56–62
 - internal and external restrictions, 91–92
 - positionality, 46–48, 164–165
 - quality, 174–175
 - role of universities, 4–5, 10, 64–66
 - temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
 - ‘truth’ and ‘public good’, 66–68
- See also forbidden knowledge; legitimate knowledge
- lay expertise, 142
- Lebanon
- compliance with US regulations, 108–110
 - methodological approach to research in, 5–8
 - Palestine/Israel debate, 52–55
 - universities in, 10–11, 19–20
- legal context of academic freedom
- mobilities, 110
 - national, 106–108
 - policy statements, 20–23
 - transnational, 108–110
- legal status, working in UAE, 37–38
- legitimacy, 136–137, 161–162
- legitimate knowledge, 13, 135
- the ‘Canon’, 62, 145–148, 165–166
 - disciplinary quality, 145–148
 - discourses of civility, 148–150
 - funding and international partnerships, 153–155
 - global legitimating systems, 155–158
 - meaning of, 138
 - positionality, 138–143, 174
 - skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
 - social context, 173–174
 - university diversity initiatives, 144–145
- LGBT
- forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
 - publishing difficulties, 130–132
- liberal arts, 68–72
- libertarian perspective, 1, 8–9
- madrasas, 16–17
- management of universities, 79–83
- marketisation of higher education, 11, 100–102, 163–164, 169–171, 176–177
- masculinity, and sexism, 54
- McCarthyism, 17–18
- medicalisation of society, 32–33
- mission of the university, 66–72, 166–167
- mobilities
- legal regulation, 110
 - state and international levels, 170–178
 - transnational education, 78
- moral virtue, 26–29, 161–162, 163
- Murray, Charles, 126–127
- Muslim Brotherhood, 128
- Nandy, A. 49, 51
- nation-state formation, 72–74
- national citizenship, 31–32
- national educational systems, history of, 18–19
- national identity, 128–129
- national legislation, freedom of speech, 20–23, 106–108
- neoliberalism, 11–12
- diversity initiatives, 144–158
 - as a framing discourse, 94–95, 169–170
 - marketisation of higher education, 11, 100–102, 163–164, 169–171, 176–177
 - skills and employment, 42–46, 175
- objective truth, 68
- offshore campuses, 92
- online teaching, 2
- organisational culture, 79–83
- ‘oversensitivity’, 16, 32–33, 150
- Oxbridge, 17–18, 29–32, 160
- Palestine/Israel debate, 52–55, 120–121, 172
- participation rates, university, 10, 74–79, 167–168
- patriarchy
- decolonisation discourses, 72–74
 - race and gender, 30–31
- policy statements, academic freedom, 20–23
- political economy of knowledge production, 175–176

- positionality
 as academics, 38
 decolonisation of knowledge, 48–51
 forbidden knowledge, 120–121
 geographical, 51–56, 60–62
 knowledge production, 46–48, 164–165
 legitimate knowledge, 138–143, 174
 race, 139–140, 164–165
 temporal, 51–56
 power, 26–29, 34–38, 161–162
 precarity, 38
 privilege, and responsibility, 26, 28
 pro life/pro choice, 118–120
 See also bioethics
 psychologisation of society, 32–34, 162
 public good
 contested understandings, 25–26
 role of universities, 66–68
 public knowledge, 46–48
 public performance of academic debate, 36–37
 publishing forbidden knowledge, 129–133, 173

 qualitative measures, academic freedom, 8
 qualitative research, 147
 quality, knowledge production, 44–45, 174–175
 quantitative measures, academic freedom, 8
 quantitative research, 147

 race
 decolonisation discourses, 72–74
 ethnic minority academics, 140
 forbidden knowledge, 125–129, 172–173
 funding of research, 84
 gender and, 30–31
 positionality, 139–140, 164–165
 real-world applicability of research, 44–45
 transracialism, 117
 white researchers, 51, 139–140
 rape threats, 37–38
 rationality
 civility, 34–38
 knowledge production, 46–48
 real-world applicability of research, 44–45
 religion, 125–129, 172–173
 remote learning, 2
 research
 fear of the mis/application of research findings, 113–115
 funding, 44–45, 64–85
 methodological approach, 5–8
 role of universities, 168–169
 skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
 ‘strong research’, 135–145
 Research Evaluation Framework (REF), 152, 170–178
 research funding councils, 92

 responsibility, 24–26, 106, 161
 retirement, taking early retirement, 82
 right to life, 118–120
 See also bioethics

 safe spaces, 32–33
 Said, Edward, 140
 Salaita, Stephen, 149
 scholars. *See* academics’ responsibility
 sciences, technology, engineering, maths and medicine (STEMM), 144–145
 Scientific Women’s Academic Network (SWAN), 144–158
 security, 125–129, 172–173
 self-censorship
 ethnic minority academics, 140
 forbidden knowledge, 123–125
 hostile environments, 102–106, 170
 literature on academic freedom, 24
 students, 100–102, 170
 vulnerabilities, 170
 seniority of academics, 81, 162
 sexism, and masculinity, 54
 sexual harassment, 102–106, 170
 sexuality
 forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
 and transracialism, 117
 Singer, Peter, 129–133
 skills and employment, 42–46, 175
 ‘snowflakes’, 32–33, 150
 social change, 50–51
 social justice, 34–36
 social media, 82
 social science subjects, 68–72
 state-imposed constraints on research, 92
 ‘strong research’, 135–145
 students
 infantilisation of, 32–33, 162
 self-censorship, 100–102, 170
 as university customers, 100–102, 170
 systemic reviews (SRs), 45–46

 taboo topics, 116–118
 See also forbidden knowledge
 temporal positionality, 51–56
 tenure, job security of academics, 81, 162
 therapeutic turn in higher education, 32–33
 transnational production of knowledge
 legitimate knowledge, 155–158
 methodological approach, 5–8
 role of the university, 166–169
 shifting conceptions of academic freedom, 159–163
 transnational theory of academic freedom, 13–14, 159
 transracialism, 117
 transsexual, 120–121

truth

- contested understandings, 25
- Humboldtian model, 41–42
- interdisciplinary approach, 56–62
- as justified true belief, 136–137
- legitimate knowledge, 138
- positionality, 161–162
- role of universities, 66–68

UAE

- forbidden knowledge, 12–13
- legal status of academics in, 37–38
- methodological approach to research in, 5–8
- mobility restrictions, 170–178
- transition to knowledge-based economy, 42–46, 64–66
- universities in, 10–11, 19–20

UK

- conception of academic freedom, 160
- methodological approach to research in, 5–8
- national legislation, 106–108
- Research Evaluation Framework (REF), 152, 170–178
- systemic reviews, 45–46
- temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
- universities in, 17–18

uncertainty, knowledge production, 41–42

unions, at universities, 85–87

universities

- a brief intellectual history, 16–19
- the civic university, 29, 64–65, 66, 88, 162
- contextualisation and preconditions, 29–32
- diversity initiatives, 144–145, 174
- global university rankings, 155–158
- internationalisation of, 4–5, 10, 74–79, 153–155
- participation rates, 10, 74–79, 167–168
- public sphere for debate, 11

universities, role of

- contextualising academic freedom, 166–169
- (de-)constructing the nation, 72–74
- exceptionalism and context, 87–89
- governance and funding, 79–87
- knowledge production, 4–5, 10, 65
- liberal arts and the 'knowledge economy', 68–72
- mission of the university, 66–72, 166–167
- transnational production of knowledge, 166–169
- 'truth' and 'public good', 66–68

University and College Union (UCU), 22–23

university enrolment rates, 167–168

university ethics committees, 95–98, 169–170

university procedures, 12

US

- American studies programmes, 60–62
- conception of academic freedom, 160
- global compliance with US regulations, 108–110
- literature on academic freedom, 24
- methodological approach to research in, 5–8
- temporal and geographical positionality, 56

value of education, 42–46

'virtues', discourse, 36, 163

vulnerable academics, 81, 162

Western bias

- the 'Canon', 62, 145–148, 165–166
- disciplinary quality, 145–148
- geographical positionality, 51–56
- international division of knowledge labour, 156–157
- knowledge production, 62
- publishing forbidden knowledge, 129–130
- See also* Global North

'woke', 36, 150

women's history, 57