

Index

- 3314 Definition of Aggression
 basis for the definition of an act of
 aggression, 5–6, 10, 15–16, 85–86, 106,
 121, 153, 154
 criticisms of, 99–106
 General Assembly practice, 112–113
 International Court of Justice practice,
 114–119
 negotiation of, 94–99
 purpose of, 102–105, 121
 Security Council practice, 99, 101, 105,
 106–7
 selective use of in Article 8*bis* (2), 122–128
- ‘ABS Proposal’, 26
- Activation of the ICC’s jurisdiction over the
 crime of aggression
 negotiation of the Activation Resolution,
 32–40
 effect of the Activation Resolution,
 323–335
- act of aggression under the UN Charter, 86,
 88, 90–91, 93, 94, 264, 269
- Al Mahdi Case*, 359
- Armed Activities Case*, 116–119, 120, 277,
 281–283
- Arrest Warrant Case*, 383, 384, 385–387
- armed attack, 86, 88, 91–93, 94
- armed force under the UN Charter, 86, 88
- attempted crimes of aggression, 252–256
- breach of the peace, 86, 88, 90, 91, 93,
 94, 264
- budget of the ICC, 357
- ‘CABS Proposal’, 28, 309, 317–318
- Canada, 27, 33, 34, 37, 159
- Certain Expenses Case*, 274, 275
- chilling effect of the aggression amend-
 ments on the use of force, 81–83, 84
- Charter of the United Nations *see* UN
 Charter
- China, 31, 66, 70, 91, 352
- command responsibility, 233–234
- complementarity, 374
- Control Council Law No. 10, 172, 217–218
- cooperation obligations of States Parties,
 369–374
- crimes against peace
 Allied invention, 50
 customary international law, 3, 189–194
 failure to prosecute, 50–51
 criminalisation theories, 203–204
 customary international law
 status of crimes against peace, 3,
 189–194
 status of the crime of aggression,
 168–200
 relevance to ICC jurisdiction, 169,
 199–200
- cyber operations, 138–142, 153
- deterrence, 58–60, 83, 402
- domestic offences, 169–171, 173, 175–184,
 194–199
- domestic prosecutions
 by States to date, 185–189, 199
 in the future, 374–393
 bases for jurisdiction, 378–385
 immunities, 385–389
 other hurdles to, 390–392
- Draft Code of Offences against the Peace
 and Security of Mankind, 4–5, 6, 7, 175,
 189, 263, 375
- Draft Statute for an international criminal
 court, 6, 7–8, 189, 288
- Elements of the crime of aggression,
 124–125, 126–128, 130, 227, 239–240,
 241, 242

- entry into force of the aggression amendments, 22–24, 30–31, 305–306, 325–326
- Facilitation on the Activation of the Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court over the Crime of Aggression, 33–37, 326–327
Farben Case, 221–222, 223, 244
France, 1, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40, 46, 70–74, 319, 357
- General Assembly
ability to identify acts of aggression, 274–277
attempts to define aggression, 5, 94–106
practice in relation to acts of aggression, 111–113, 120–121
Genocide Case, 277
Germany, 11, 37, 155, 185–187, 196–197, 230–231
- harm caused by the crime of aggression, 51–55
High Command Case, 221–222, 223, 238, 245
humanitarian intervention, 82–83, 150–151, 153, 206–209
- identification of aggressor, 61–62, 63–64, 321–323
individual criminal responsibility for the crime of aggression, 56–57, 62–63, 213–257
International Court of Justice
ability to identify acts of aggression, 273, 277–283
risk of conflicting decisions with ICC, 77–81, 83
practice in relation to acts of aggression, 113–119
international humanitarian law (IHL)
comparison with the crime of aggression, 53, 76, 77, 163–164
relationship with the *jus ad bellum*, 55–56, 57
International Law Commission Draft Conclusions on Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice, 328–335
International Military Tribunal (IMT)
basis of jurisdiction, 381–382
definition of crimes against peace, 171
definition of wars of aggression, 3, 172
findings in relation to individual criminal responsibility, 218–221, 226, 236, 239, 243–244
General Assembly affirmation of, 173–175
International Military Tribunal for the Far East (IMTFE)
definition of crimes against peace, 171
definition of wars of aggression, 172
findings in relation to individual criminal responsibility, 224–226, 236, 239, 244
- Japan, 29, 31, 33, 34, 37, 39, 291, 297
Judges of the ICC
qualifications, 354–357, 395–396
proposals for the Pre-Trial Division, 394–395
- jurisdiction
arguments for the broad interpretation of Article 15bis (4), 35, 36
arguments for the narrow interpretation of Article 15bis (4), 34–35, 37, 39
bases for domestic jurisdiction, 378–385
debates over the crime of aggression, 7–9, 10, 16–21, 34–40, 68
effect of the Activation Resolution, 323–335
identification of the territorial State, 141–142
of the ICC, 289–290
proper interpretation of Article 15bis (4), 39–40, 302–323
jus ad bellum
relationship with the crime of aggression, 75–77, 84, 106–122, 163–168
jus in bello see international humanitarian law (IHL)
- Kampala Review Conference see Review Conference (Kampala 2010)
Krupp Case, 245
- leadership requirement, 214–232, 257
Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall Case, 277
legitimacy of the ICC, 64–68, 325
Lockerbie Case, 265
- manifest violation threshold, 15, 126, 154–160
mental elements, 239–246, 257
Ministries Case, 223–224, 245
mistake of fact, 246–247, 256
mistake of law, 246, 247–248
modes of participation, 249–250
- Nicaragua Case*, 113–116, 118–119, 277–280
non-State actors, 131–137, 153
non-States parties

- and Article 121(5), 301–302
- and the Monetary Gold principle, 298–300
- exclusion under the aggression amendments, 310–311, 320–321, 335–337, 352
- to the Rome Statute, 290–291
- Norway, 31, 33, 34, 35, 37
- nullum crimen sine lege*, 160–162, 200
- Nuremberg Principles, 173–174

- official capacity, 214–215
- opt-out declaration, 24, 36, 37–38, 307–310, 315, 327–328, 337–339

- Permanent Five (P5), 10, 15, 24, 38, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 83, 259, 260, 261, 265, 342, 347, 348, 351
- perpetrators, 214–232, 257
- ‘planning, preparation, initiation or execution’, 234–239, 256
- ‘person in a position effectively to exercise control over or to direct the political or military action of a State’, 227–232
- political questions, 64–68, 254–265, 389–390
- politics of the crime of aggression, 13, 39, 69–75, 352
- peace negotiations, 60–61
- Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, 9
- Pre-Trial Division authorisation, 28, 30, 260, 342, 343–348, 397
- prohibition of the use of force
 - customary international law, 48–49
 - grey areas, 200–210
 - pre-Charter, 2
 - UN Charter, 3, 43–49, 86–94
- protection provided by the crime of aggression, 51–55, 69, 401
- provision of assistance to aggressor States, 251–252
- puppet government, 251

- reasons to ratify the aggression amendments, 400–402
- Regulations of the Court, 346–347
- Resolution RC/Res.6, 142, 291, 303, 305, 306, 307
- Review Conference (Kampala 2010), 1–2, 24–32, 315–318, 319
- review of the amendments, 393–398
- rights of an accused, 123, 125, 128, 160–162, 200
- Rochling Case*, 223, 245–246

- Rome Conference of the International Criminal Court, 9–12, 295–296
- Rome Statute
 - Article 5(1), 22, 165–166, 292
 - Article 5(2), 11–12, 262, 287, 292, 293, 305–306, 307, 313, 314, 315, 316
 - Article 8bis (1), 85, 154, 157, 167, 194, 226, 227, 230, 231, 291, 293
 - Article 8bis (2), 85, 106, 119, 121–128, 131, 138, 140–141, 149, 152–153, 167, 194, 200, 361
 - Article 9, 293
 - Article 10, 75
 - Article 12, 35, 64, 288, 289, 297, 300, 301, 304, 305, 306–307, 308, 316, 339
 - Article 12(3), 199, 200, 289, 298, 335–337
 - Article 13, 67, 260, 287, 298, 303, 349, 352
 - Article 14, 67
 - Article 15, 345–346, 397
 - Article 15bis, 35, 260–261, 288, 291, 293, 302–311, 316, 324, 325, 330, 332, 335, 337, 338, 339, 340, 342, 343, 344, 345–346, 355, 356, 373, 379, 397, 399
 - Article 15ter, 260, 288, 291, 293, 308, 325, 348–349, 351
 - Article 16, 20, 30, 61, 164, 209, 259–260, 342, 347–348, 352
 - Article 17, 163, 167, 375, 378
 - Article 18, 375
 - Article 19, 327, 375
 - Article 20(3), 127, 128, 293, 377
 - Article 21, 143
 - Article 22, 125, 128, 130, 152, 160–162, 200
 - Article 25(3), 64, 75, 234, 249–250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256
 - Article 25(3bis), 214, 249
 - Article 25(4), 64, 299
 - Article 27, 214
 - Article 28, 233–234, 256
 - Article 30, 239
 - Article 31, 210–211
 - Article 32, 246–248, 256
 - Article 33, 248–249, 256
 - Article 36, 354, 395–396
 - Article 39, 343, 394–395
 - Article 40, 327, 330
 - Article 57, 344
 - Article 67, 123
 - Article 68, 362, 363
 - Article 72, 370–371
 - Article 73, 370, 371
 - Article 75, 362, 366
 - Article 79, 366
 - Article 86, 372

- Rome Statute undefined
 Article 87, 369
 Article 93, 370
 Article 112, 372
 Article 114, 372
 Article 115, 372
 Article 117, 372
 Article 119, 327, 330
 Article 121(3), 292, 305
 Article 121(4), 22–24, 26, 291–297, 398
 Article 121(5), 22–24, 26, 28, 291–302,
 304, 305, 307, 309, 310–311, 312–313,
 316, 317, 330, 332, 349, 372
 121(6), 294
 Article 123, 292
 Article 124, 372
 Rules of Procedure and Evidence, 335,
 358–359, 362, 363, 364, 365
 Russia, 31, 78, 91, 120, 132, 141, 199,
 352, 374
R v. Jones, 187–189
- Security Council
 ability to block ICC investigations and
 prosecutions, 20, 30, 61, 164, 209,
 259–260, 342, 347–348, 352
 arguments against a prerogative to iden-
 tify acts of aggression, 268–288
 arguments for a prerogative to identify of
 acts of aggression, 261–267
 determinations under Article 15*bis* (6),
 339–342
 practice in relation to acts of aggression,
 106–111, 120–121, 284–287
 referrals to the ICC, 347–351, 352,
 373–374
 risk of decisions conflicting with the ICC,
 77–81
 role under the aggression amendments,
 124–125
 self-defence, 44, 47, 88, 93, 101, 125–126,
 137, 202, 205–206
 self-determination, 6, 53, 101, 123, 135
 Slovenia, 27
 sovereignty, 51–55, 133–134
 Special Working Group on the Crime of
 Aggression
 debates over jurisdiction, 16–21, 26–28,
 291–2, 295, 296, 297–298, 300, 301,
 311, 319, 341
 efforts to define the crime of aggression,
 14–16, 121, 122–123, 126, 128–129,
 131, 154–157, 204, 231–232
 establishment, 13
 views on domestic prosecutions,
 375–378
 working methods, 13–14
- State responsibility, 64, 299
 superior orders, 248–249, 256
 superior responsibility, 233–234, 256
- Tadic Case*, 266, 272–273
Tehran Hostages Case, 277, 278
 third State officials, 250–252
 ‘those most responsible’, 215–217
 threat of force, 89
 threat to the peace, 86, 88, 89–90,
 93, 264
 transitional justice, 58
- UN Charter
 Article 2(4), 4, 10, 14, 43–49, 76, 77, 80,
 88, 89, 91, 95, 99, 110, 135, 136, 138,
 140, 151, 158, 167, 186, 191
 Article 11(2), 274
 Article 12, 266–267, 276–277, 279
 Article 24, 266–267, 270, 278
 Article 25, 267
 Article, 33, 271, 274
 Article 34, 271, 274
 Article 36, 271
 Article 37, 271, 272
 Article 38, 271
 Article 39, 8, 19, 42, 44, 88, 90, 91, 95,
 102, 107, 112, 261, 263, 267, 268–270,
 274, 275, 278, 279, 282, 341, 348
 Article 40, 271
 Article 41, 265–266, 275
 Article 42, 44, 265, 275
 Article 51, 44, 88, 92, 93, 96, 101, 136,
 137, 202
 Article 103, 267, 283
 Understandings, 25–26, 75, 142–152, 157,
 159–160, 208, 302, 311–312, 325,
 348–349, 376–378, 379
 United Kingdom, 1, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 37,
 38, 40, 45, 46, 60, 70–74, 104, 187, 262,
 271, 319, 355, 356, 357
 United Nations Conference on
 International Organisations (UNICO),
 264, 271–272
 United Nations General Assembly *see*
 General Assembly
 United Nations Security Council *see*
 Security Council
 United States of America, 9, 25–26, 29, 30,
 31, 32–33, 46, 65, 66, 70–73, 81, 82,
 104, 149, 150–151, 155, 159, 173, 193,
 207, 277, 278, 280, 290, 318, 347,
 374, 375
 Uniting for Peace Resolution, 275–276
 universal jurisdiction, 381–384
 use of force under the UN Charter, 86, 89
 US Military Tribunal, 221

- victims
 - aggressor/victim dichotomy, 55, 321–323
 - before the ICC, 358–360
 - of the crime of aggression, 357–369
 - participation, 362–365
 - proposals, 397–398
 - reparations, 366–367
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), 135, 143, 144–149, 157, 253, 290, 296, 300, 304, 314, 319, 320, 328–335, 355
- war crimes amendments, 312–313
- Working Group on the Crime of Aggression, 12