

Prologue

Sexpionage and the Female Body

On May 28, 2019, the news of the murder of Mitra Ostad, the younger second wife of Mohammad Ali Najafi, a high-profile reformist, an ally of the Iranian president, and a former mayor of Tehran, proliferated the news and social media platforms.¹ Najafi confessed to killing his wife in a domestic dispute. Ostad was killed with bullet wounds to the heart and arm. In the span of a month, news of Mehdi Hashemi's second marriage with Mahnush Sadeghi became the focus of Iran's news outlets. Hashemi is a well-known actor, screenwriter, and director whose first wife is the famous actress Golab Adineh. Sadeghi is a young actress. While Hashemi initially denied this news, he later confessed to his marriage to Sadeghi in a letter.² This is, however, not the first time that Iran's news and social media outlets are attending to the private lives of celebrities or high-profile figures and benefiting from it. A reformist politician, 'Attaollah Mohajerani was also at the center of political scandals because of the news about his *'aqdi* and *sigheb* wives in 2004. What is important here is that these are three instances of cases where high-profile and famous, older men have entered relationships with younger women and have become the focus of scandalous representations resulting in the exposure of these women to harsh criticism, gendered violence, and, in the recent case of Ostad, a murder. What follows is a brief summary of Najafi and Ostad's case and my analysis in regard to the main argument of this book – mapping out sociocultural, religious, political corruption on the female body and the elimination of this female body on the grounds that it poses a threat to the structure, reputation, and “the greater good.” In all these cases, the women exposed to stigmatization and

¹ Some news outlets refer to Ostad as Najafi's *sigheb* wife and believe that she is mentioned as his second wife for respect.

² Thanks to Parvaneh Hosseini and Elham Naej, who pointed out this potential connection to me.

exploitation of patriarchal sociopolitical institutions are either temporary or second wives.³

Najafi and Ostad's case made waves after Iran's state broadcaster showed footage of him at a Tehran police station, sipping tea and smiling with police officers as he confessed to killing his thirty-five-year-old wife, Mitra Ostad. The initial coverage of the killing raised questions over how Iranian news outlets report on issues of domestic violence. The weapon Najafi purportedly used to kill his wife and a white pillow with a hole stained by gunpowder residue was on display for parts of the trial. At the time, the state broadcaster, IRIB News, showed footage of an interview with Najafi in which he is not handcuffed, and the police officers and reporter interviewing him appear to treat him with deference. The reporter questioning him also appeared to handle the gun that was believed to be the murder weapon, showing it to the camera, and loading and unloading bullets from the weapon's magazine. The footage spread quickly on social media, with many criticizing it as inappropriate.

The treatment of Najafi, who had murdered his wife, by the law enforcement and the news broadcasters is a reflection of gendered and sociopolitical power dynamics harking back to the corruption of a sociopolitical system that contributes to the exploitation and manipulation of women by men and institutions that men dominate. Much like Moshfeq-e Kazemi's *Tebran-e Makhuf*, Jamalzadeh's *Ma'sumeh Shirazi*, Golestan's "Safar-e 'Esmat," and Chubak's *Sang-e Sabur*, this case highlights women's suffering at the hands of a patriarchal system that considers women a property to be owned or a commodity to be used, exchanged, sold, or murdered. These women are viewed as objects to be desired, but not as capable of being subjects in their own right. The case also elucidates the ways that the law enforcement and the news outlets dissociate the murder and the eliminated woman's body from the case, dehumanizing Ostad, and seeing her as a resource to be benefitted from. The case is representative of the ways that the female body simultaneously fluctuates as an object of power, a site of social inscription, and a threat to the status quo with regard to women's subjectivity and autonomy – Ostad was murdered because she was going to expose Najafi; she was a potential threat. This is a commentary on the decadence of the social and political systems of

³ Thanks to Nayereh Tohidi, who suggested that I write this analysis.

society, as well as the male objectification of young women, especially by high-ranking political figures.

The case raised questions about Iran's elite power dynamics, as well as gendered violence. Meanwhile, Najafi's fellow reformists claimed that Ostad had been hired by the Islamic Revolutionary Guards Corps to lure Najafi into marriage and keep him under her control, lest he reveals "shocking" information about widespread financial corruption in the municipality of Tehran. While in charge of running Tehran municipality, Najafi had threatened to reveal a series of financial irregularities during his predecessor, IRGC brigadier-general, Mohammad Baqer Qalibaf's term as the mayor that pointed to corruption. However, the threat never materialized, and Najafi abruptly resigned on grounds of an unknown illness. But many believe that Najafi was forced out by hard-liners after viewing a dance performance by school-girls. Najafi married Ostad months after he resigned. Later, it was revealed that the mayor had secretly married Ostad, a former actress. Pictures circulated on social media showed Najafi with his new wife in affectionate poses – a shocking development in conservative Iran. The case set off a debate between reformists and the country's governing conservatives. Conservatives said the case was an example of the moral corruption of reformists who do not live the devout lifestyle required of government officials. Conservative newspapers printed photos of Najafi on their front pages with one saying the scandal was a "bullet in the heart of reform." On the contrary, the reformists said they believed that Najafi had been framed. During his interviews after the murder, Najafi brought to the fore his suspicions about Ostad's potential role as a *parastu* (a woman acting as seductress while serving as a political insider agent for the opposite political party – sexpionage), too. Najafi said that he believed Ostad was in contact with intelligence officials, and the two had violent arguments because she threatened to expose him. Najafi also mentioned the fact that Ostad had sexual relationships with other *na-mahram* men. With this comment, Najafi exhibits his hypocrisy by blaming a murdered woman without a voice to defend herself for multiple, simultaneous relationships, while he was "allegedly" part of one of those relationships (his claim for the reason for their marriage) – a clear reference to the gendered double standards inherent in relationships between men and women where a man can enjoy multiple relationships simultaneously but a woman is condemned for such activity.

The case therefore sheds light on the ways that various sociopolitical institutions reduce women to the corporeal, instrumentalizing the female body to political advantage without viewing individual women as autonomous subjects. While in *Zendegi-ye Khosusi*, Parisa and Ebrahim's relationship is not the exact same one between Ostad and Najafi, one might reflect on scenes and conversations from the film which hark to the events surrounding Ostad's murder. For instance, consider the first scene where Parisa and Ebrahim meet and make some connections leading to the idea that Parisa could be considered a potential *parastu*, too. Ebrahim and Parisa meet at a party where both reformists and conservatives are attending with their family members. In fact, Ebrahim and Parisa strike a conversation right after Ebrahim has a heated conversation with the editor-in-chief of a hard-line newspaper, who is a member of the opposite political party to which Ebrahim belongs. Such parties are generally private and invitation-only based; however, Parisa – a nonpartisan woman – has been invited. Such instances make one think that Parisa might have been serving the opposite political party, namely, the hard-liners, while functioning as a temporary wife/seductress – sexpionage. The similarities between Parisa's name and the symbolic significance of *parastu* are also important here. Both the case and the film portray the unsettling balance of the political and the personal, double standards, and gendered inequality, and the inimical power of patriarchy to protect and maintain male dominance.

Regardless of all these debates between the reformists and the hard-liners, Najafi was sentenced to *qesas* (retribution in-kind) for killing his second wife on July 30 for charges of premeditated murder, battery, and possession of an unlicensed firearm. Najafi could repeal this verdict in twenty days. On August 14, news of Najafi being pardoned by Ostad's family infiltrated news and social media. In an Instagram post, Ostad's brother wrote, "We have forgiven Mr. Najafi, setting aside [the issue of] our beloved's blood, and we are contended for not bargaining over her blood." The fact that a woman has been murdered and a man (her brother) decides to forgive the male murderer also speaks to the dominant patriarchal discourses – men forgiving one another while a female body has been eradicated. This is also in line with my analysis of *Showkaran* and *Zendegi-ye Khosusi* where the male murderers of the female characters get away with the murder easily.

It is believed that this is the first time a high-profile political figure with a clean background and a long history of serving the regime is imprisoned for the murder of his wife. However, the focus on Najafi's sipping tea or the handling of the gun has taken the spotlight away from the murder of a woman. The manner in which news and social media handled the murder of a woman pushed the elimination of the female body to the margins (and to a great extent this marginalization of a woman's murder), contributing to the normalization of such gendered domestic violence and murder cases. Again, the way that these political parties approached this murder and the portrayal of the murder in the news outlets, including reformist and hard-line newspapers, speaks to not only the normalization of the murder of a woman who was a potential threat to the so-called "greater good," but it also speaks about the ways that this female body has been commodified and commercialized in a capitalist system to become a tool of payback for opponent political parties and newspapers while the murder and the elimination of the murdered woman's body and her rights have been forgotten. This brings me back to one of the main arguments in the book which is the mapping out of the sociocultural, religious, and political corruptions of a society upon the female body.