

EUROPE'S SECOND CONSTITUTION

The process of European constitutionalization is met with extensive scepticism in current national legal and political spheres and in broader circles of public opinion across Europe. By shedding light on these concerns, this book reveals the widespread misunderstanding of constitutional federalism that permeates the Member State courts, popular media and many academic communities. A failure to address confusion over this fundamental concept is leading us towards impoverished development of the EU's 'Second Constitution', and even ensuring that the role of both domestic and European courts in enriching the constitutionalization process is overlooked and undervalued. In a bid to avoid such consequences, this book explores how federalism and further constitutionalization – rightly understood in a dialogue of the European courts – may actually change this process and allow a clearer advance towards Europe's Second Constitution for, but also with, the people of Europe.

MARKUS W. GEHRING, JSD (YALE), MA (CANTAB), LLM (YALE), DR IUR (HAMBURG), is a lecturer in law at the Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge. He serves as an affiliated lecturer in European and international law at Politics and International Studies (POLIS), University of Cambridge. He is an expert in the Centre for European Legal Studies (CELS), a fellow and Director of Studies in Law at Hughes Hall and a fellow of the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law. Dr Gehring is also an affiliated lecturer in the Department of Land Economy and a fellow of the Centre for Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Governance (C-EENRG). He also serves as Lead Counsel for Trade in the Centre for International Sustainable Development Law (CISDL). He co-edits a book series on Treaty Implementation for Sustainable Development with Cambridge University Press and is author of several publications on EU, international and sustainable development law.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

The aim of this series is to produce leading monographs in constitutional law. All areas of constitutional law and public law fall within the ambit of the series, including human rights and civil liberties law, administrative law, as well as constitutional theory and the history of constitutional law. A wide variety of scholarly approaches is encouraged, with the governing criterion being simply that the work is of interest to an international audience. Thus, works concerned with only one jurisdiction will be included in the series as appropriate, while, at the same time, the series will include works which are explicitly comparative or theoretical – or both. The series editor likewise welcomes proposals that work at the intersection of constitutional and international law, or that seek to bridge the gaps between civil law systems, the US, and the common law jurisdictions of the Commonwealth.

Series Editors
David Dyzenhaus
Professor of Law and Philosophy, University of Toronto, Canada
Thomas Poole
Professor of Law, London School of Economics and Political Science

Editorial Advisory Board
T.R.S. Allan, Cambridge, UK
Damian Chalmers, LSE, UK
Sujit Choudhry, Berkeley, USA
Monica Claes, Maastricht, Netherlands
David Cole, Georgetown, USA
K.D. Ewing, King's College London, UK
David Feldman, Cambridge, UK
Cora Hoexter, Witwatersrand, South Africa
Christoph Moellers, Humboldt, Germany
Adrienne Stone, Melbourne, Australia
Adam Tomkins, Glasgow, UK
Adrian Vermeule, Harvard, USA

Books in the series

A.V. Dicey and the Common Law Constitutional Tradition: A Legal Turn of Mind Mark D. Walters

Legal Sabotage: Ernst Fraenkel in Hitler's Germany Douglas Morris Proportionality in Action: Comparative and Empirical Perspectives on the Judicial Practice Edited by Mordechai Kremnitzer, Tayla Steiner and Andrej Lang

Constitutional Dialogue: Democracy, Rights, Institutions Edited by Geoffrey Sigalet, Grégoire Webber and Rosalind Dixon



The Veiled Sceptre: Reserve Powers of Heads of State in Westminster Systems Anne Twomey

Vigilance and Restraint in the Common Law of Judicial Review Dean Knight

The Alchemists: Questioning Our Faith in Courts as Democracy-Builders Tom Gerald Daly

Australia's Constitution after Whitlam Brendan Lim

Building the Constitution: The Practice of Constitutional Interpretation in Post-apartheid South Africa James Fowkes

Dimensions of Dignity: The Theory and Practice of Modern Constitutional Law Jacob Weinrib

Reason of State: Law, Prerogative, Empire Thomas Poole

Bills of Rights in the Common Law Robert Leckey

The Guardian of the Constitution: Hans Kelsen and Carl Schmitt on the Limits of Constitutional Law Translated by Lars Vinx, with an introduction and notes by Lars Vinx

Parliamentary Bills of Rights: The Experiences of New Zealand and the United Kingdom Experiences Janet L. Hiebert and James B. Kelly

Lawyering for the Rule of Law: Government Lawyers and the Rise of Judicial Power in Israel Yoav Dotan

Balancing Constitutional Rights: The Origins and Meanings of Postwar Legal Discourse Jacco Bomhoff

Judges on Trial: The Independence and Accountability of the English Judiciary Shimon Shetreet and Sophie Turenne

Proportionality and Constitutional Culture Moshe Cohen-Eliya and Iddo Porat

The Politics of Principle: The First South African Constitutional Court, 1995–2005 Theunis Roux

The New Commonwealth Model of Constitutionalism: Theory and Practice Stephen Gardbaum

Searching for the State in British Legal Thought: Competing Conceptions of the Public Sphere Janet McLean

Judging Social Rights Jeff King

Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and Their Limitations Aharon Barak Parliamentary Sovereignty: Contemporary Debates Jeffrey Goldsworthy



EUROPE'S SECOND CONSTITUTION

Crisis, Courts and Community

MARKUS W. GEHRING

University of Cambridge





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108487962
DOI: 10.1017/9781108768399

© Markus W. Gehring 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Names: Gehring, Markus W., author.

Title: Europe's second constitution : crisis, courts and community / Markus W. Gehring, University of Cambridge.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 2020. | Series: Cambridge studies in constitutional law | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020006013 | ISBN 9781108487962 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108768399 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Constitutional law – European Union countries – Philosophy. | European Union countries – Politics and government. Classification: LCC KJE4445 .G44 2020 | DDC 342.24–dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020006013

ISBN 978-1-108-48796-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Preface

1

CONTENTS

1 rejuce puge m			
Acknowledgements xiv			
Table of Cases xvi			
Table of Legislation lix			
List of Abbreviations lxvi			
·			
Introduction 1			
1.1 European Constitutionalization Questioned 1			
1.2 Framing European Constitutionalization 11			
1.3 Research Methodology 34			
1.4 Structure of the Book 55			
Demos Obstacles to European			
Constitutionalization 58			

2

nage vi

- 2.1 The Importance of Demos
- 2.2 No Homogeneity of Citizenship? 63
- 2.3 No Religious Homogeneity?
- 2.4 No Homogeneity of Values?
- 2.5 No Social and Linguistic Homogeneity?
- 2.6 No Common European Public Space for Political Debate? 90
- 2.7 Key Factors for the *Demos* Dimension of European Constitutionalization

3 Civitas Obstacles to European Constitutionalization

- 3.1 Introduction 101
- 3.2 Lack of Unitary Elections? 103
- 3.3 No European-Wide Parties? 115
- 3.4 Lack of One Real President? 124
- 3.5 The European Parliament Is Not the Only Law-Maker? 131

vii



iii		CONTENTS
		3.6 The Council of the European Union Cannot Serve
		as a Senate? 141
		3.7 The Foundational Documents of the Union Are International Treaties, Not a Constitution? 147
		3.8 Key Factors for the <i>Civitas</i> Dimension of European
		Constitutionalization 152
	4	Ius Obstacles to European
		Constitutionalization 155
		4.1 Introduction 155
		4.2 No Single Rule of Law? 157
		4.3 No Powerful Unitary Federal Court System? 169
		4.4 No Common Criminal Law or Police Force? 203
		4.5 Lack of a Common and Effective System to Protect Human
		Rights? 207
		4.6 No Foreign Relations According to the Rule of Law? 213
		4.7 Key Factors for the <i>Ius</i> Dimension of European
		Constitutionalization 216
	_	
	5	Addressing the Legal Obstacles to EU
		Constitutionalization 223
		5.1 Introduction 223
		5.2 Dimensions of European Crises 225
		5.3 The UK's Brexit as a Special European Crisis 234
		5.4 The Remaining Obstacle to European Constitutionalization
		and Its Corollary Challenges 241
		5.5 Lack of a European Public Space and Its Contribution
		to the European Crises 250
		5.6 Europe's Constitutional Moment and Potential Adaptive Mechanism
		for European Constitutionalization 259
		5.7 The Way Forward: Recommendations for Further
		Constitutionalization of Europe 275
		5.8 Key Findings 292
	6	Conclusions 295
		6.1 European Constitutionalization Answered 295
		6.2 Intersections of Law and Political Science for Constitutionalization
		Theory 296
		·



CONTENTS ix

6.3 Future Directions for Legal and Historical Research 305

6.4 Recommendations for a Process of Constitutional Change 306

6.5 A European Contribution to Our Understanding of Post-Regional Constitutional Entities 308

Bibliography 311 Index 355



PREFACE

In both the court of public opinion and modern legal scholarship, the Europe of today appears to lurch from crisis to crisis, driven by sociopolitical, environmental and economic challenges. How might the European Union overcome these crises? Which obstacles should become Europe's central concerns? Which opportunities should be explored? To date, many political debates focus on the next feeble incremental steps to be taken towards formal inter-governmental amendments of the Constitutional Treaties. Yet to overcome what are, in their foundations, a series of constitutional crises shaking the EU, a more considered approach to further constitutionalization is required. In this book, I turn to an under-researched dimension of EU constitutionalization, one that not only provides opportunities to help overcome the current series of crises but also presents a new approach to integration that takes seriously the perceptions and understandings of European jurisprudence with regard to federalism and the European public sphere.

Through a detailed analysis of Member State court jurisprudence and associated literature, the study evaluates the most important demos, civitas and ius dimensions of European constitutionalization. Demos focuses on the populace of a democracy, as a self-perceived, aware and organized political unit, and the European demos dimension of European constitutionalization concerns the political cohesion of the people of the Union. It also concerns the potential for Europe to develop a common European citizenship, rely on common values and develop a common attitude towards religion. The analysis highlights the lack of a common European public space for deliberation and effective communications through discussions in intelligible working languages. Civitas stands for the collection of citizenship duties attributed to a member of a community, and in later years more broadly to a state, and the civitas dimension of European constitutional development invokes the potential for a functioning EU institutional citizenship as characterized by EU democratic elections, European political parties, the role of the president



XII PREFACE

in the EU, the function of the Council and the European Parliament, and the role of a foundational document. *Ius* refers to the rule of law and respect for rights, which also includes more broadly the mandates of legal authorities and the effective functioning of courts of justice, taking into consideration common structures in the law based on EU law, any general principles *Ius Commune Europaeum*, and the collection of rules and rule-making in Europe. In this sense, the *ius* dimension of European constitutionalization discusses the potential for a single rule of law in Europe, the structure of the EU court system, the criminal law and police force, the system of human rights protection and external relations law in the EU.

In these three dimensions, EU constitutionalization has been judged by many periphery courts to be either non-existent or deficient. At the root of these opinions, my research has revealed an impoverished understanding of federalism and its potential role in further European constitutionalization. Through case law analysis, it is revealed that many Member State courts on the periphery do not fully appreciate either the constitutional nature of federations or the lived experiences of federalism in other jurisdictions. This work demonstrates that the litany of obstacles commonly raised by Member State courts and academics have nearly all been overcome, or were never challenges in the first place.

Once many common concerns have largely been dismissed, my research identifies the most significant and potentially fatal remaining obstacle to EU constitutionalization: the absence of a strong and vibrant trans-European public sphere in which transparent, legitimate policy debates can occur, and corollary challenges such as lack of a pragmatic number of working languages, lack of unitary elections, and important gaps in the European central court's *Kompetenz-Kompetenz*. Overcoming *this* obstacle and its related challenges would do much to address the constitutional crisis and set Europe on a healthier path towards further constitutionalization.

This research leads me to further conclude that while a European 'constitutional moment' might be the most straightforward next step, it is not currently on the political horizon. Other constitutional adaptive mechanisms do, however, offer certain opportunities. Incremental formal treaty amendments and fundamentally changed constitutional practices have helped to address certain early obstacles. However, given embedded Member State privileges, they are unlikely alone to be effective in addressing *this* remaining hurdle. Rather, in conclusion it is argued that there is a crucially decisive challenge to be taken up in the



PREFACE XIII

jurisprudence itself. Further constitutionalization can, in essence, be *prepared* by the concerted efforts of more courageous centre and periphery courts, through engaged and legitimate dialogues between the Courts of Europe themselves. Only by enabling and strengthening a vibrant pan-European public policy debate can Europe's Second Constitution one day become a reality.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book is dedicated to my wife, Professor Marie-Claire Cordonier Segger, and to our sons, Jona and Nico, with thanks for their patience and inspiration, as well as to Ulli and Peter, Maike, Marit and their families whose support has been deeply appreciated.

Thanks are due to Professor Bruce Ackerman at the Yale Law School for his wise advice and for being a shining light of liberalism in the law, and to many colleagues from the University of Cambridge, especially Professor Catherine Barnard at the Centre for European Legal Studies, who has been an essential part of this project; also Dr Martin Steinfeld and Professor Antje Wiener from Hughes Hall, whose help allowed me to advance the final manuscript during a sabbatical. As a Fellow of the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, I also appreciate the advice of excellent public-law-spirited international lawyers, especially Professor Michael Waibel and Professor Freya Baetens as well as Professor James Crawford. Thanks are also due to public law colleagues such as Professor Alison Young and Professor Paul Daly for their attempts to explain parts of the UK Constitution, which remain a mystery, and to colleagues from POLIS, especially Baroness Dr Julie Smith, and from Land Economy, especially Professor David Howarth, who challenged my continental black-letter legal understandings. I have benefited from a Jean Monnet ad personam Chair at the University of Ottawa, where I had the pleasure of collaborating with the Honourable Judge Sébastien Grammond, Professor Pascale Fournier and others. Colleagues from the Centre for International Sustainable Development Law (CISDL), especially Dr Ashfaq Khalfan, Professor Alexandra Harrington and Freedom-Kai Phillips, and also outside Cambridge, especially fellow EU constitutional lawyer Professor Robert Schütze, have been very encouraging.

Thanks are also due to heads of two Cambridge colleges, Professor David Yates of Robinson College and Dr Anthony Freeling of Hughes Hall who opened the space and time needed to finalize this research; I



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$

strongly value their support. I am also grateful to several student legal research assistants, especially Jacquelyn Veraldi, who helped in the final stretches of the project, and to my fourteen successful PhD students who endured countless discussions of these issues throughout their studies in Cambridge, EUI, Kisangani and Ottawa.

Finally, I am deeply indebted to the law team of Cambridge University Press, especially Finola O'Sullivan, for their encouragement, and also to the reviewers for their helpful comments. This book is about crises inside the European Union and now for the first time outside it, too. The Brexit process in the UK, that is, the process of one Member State leaving the Union, remains in my view one of the strongest expressions of the constitutionalization obstacles discussed in the volume. It serves as both a warning and a reminder that most constitutional structures change over time.