

Index

- absolute solitary confinement, *see* solitary confinement: relied on continuously: without labor
- abuses, *see* punishment: violations
- administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary)
 - abandonment of Pennsylvania System, xlviii–xlix
 - as a group, xl, lvi
 - see also* ‘conflict: amongst themselves’ below
 - as gentlemen reformers, 71–79
 - as policymakers, li–lii
 - autonomy, 264–265
 - challenges to, 265
 - see also* ‘discretion’; ‘identity as professional experts’; ‘personal control’ below
 - baser instincts, 231–232, 247
 - benevolence, xlvii, 174, 179–180, 183–185
 - commitment to values in the penal field, 174
 - conflict,
 - among themselves, 232–247
 - with New York penologists, 285–291
 - with PSAMPP, xl–xli, 265–271
 - with the state legislature, xl, 271–279
 - see also* ‘refusal to enforce Commutation Law’ below
 - defense of Pennsylvania System, xli–xlii
 - based on experience, 268–270
 - based on science, 280–285
 - benefits, 195–196
 - eventually unnecessary, xlviii, 265
 - due to alternative status, 294–295
 - due to decline in criticism, 299
 - explained by personal
 - institutionalization, xlv–xlvii, 170
 - see also* organizational defense
 - through neutralization techniques, 137–138, 169, 173
 - see also* characterizations; evaluations; name changes; scapegoating; undermining,
 - through their annual reports, 136–137, 170
 - demonstration of conformity, 172–173
 - deviations, 203
 - see also* Eastern State Penitentiary: as ‘a deviant prison’; Pennsylvania System: violations
 - difficulties, 123–127
 - discretion, 98
 - duties, 68–71
 - efforts to alleviate overcrowding, 305–309
 - endorsement of Pennsylvania System, 154–155
 - fiscal responsibility, 149–151, 189–194
 - hands-on management, 71
 - identity as professional experts,
 - based on experience, 299
 - based on science, 280–285

- administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary) (cont.)
 - see also* annual reports: examination by the legislature encouraged;
 - Pennsylvania: penal code revision: without advice of the administrators at Eastern, xlviii, 265, 291–294
 - Janus-face character, 231–232
 - motivations contrasted with reformers, xlviii
 - other activities, 77–78
 - oversight, 88–89
 - see also* inspectors; investigations; official visitors; prisons: oversight, 88–89
 - personal control, 97–98
 - pragmatism, xlvii–xlviii, 203
 - propagation of creation myths, 174, 181–183
 - refusal to enforce Commutation Law, 97, 264–265, 276–279
 - role in the retention of the Pennsylvania System, xl
 - uncertainty, *see* uncertainty: over administration of Pennsylvania System
 - veracity of accounts, liv–lvi
 - vulnerability to criticism, 172–173
 - see also* Pennsylvania System: administration; and *individual wardens, inspectors, physicians etc.*
- administrators (other Pennsylvania System-style prisons)
 - criticism of Pennsylvania System, xli
- African Americans
 - assignment to out-of-cell labor, 218
 - blamed for disease and mortality rates, 158–160
 - blamed for increased costs, 161–162
- Alabama State Penitentiary, 114
- alcohol
 - access by prisoners, 224–225
- American Revolution
 - influence on penal reform, 7
- analyses (of the Pennsylvania System)
 - by scholars, xxxi–xxxii
 - by the administrators at Eastern, 145–155, 281–282
 - see also* annual reports
- animosities, *see* conflict
- annual reports
 - basis on science, 281–282
 - examination by the legislature encouraged, 275–276
 - production, 136
 - trustworthiness, lv–lvi
 - use to condemn the Pennsylvania System, 169
 - use to counter criticism, 136–137, 170
 - see also* evaluations
- anonymity, *see* hooding of prisoners; privacy of prisoners
- anxiety, *see* uncertainty
- Arch Street Prison, 18–19
- assaults by prisoners, 309–310
- Auburn State Prison
 - use of solitary confinement
 - authorization, 28–29, 37
 - continuously (pure solitary confinement), 5–6, 29–30, 37, 103
 - partially (hybrid system) *see* Auburn System
- Auburn System
 - claimed inferiority, 151
 - claimed superiority, 119–120
 - contrast with the Pennsylvania System, xxv
 - conversion costs, xxxiii
 - distinction from pure solitary confinement, 101, 104
 - endorsement by BPDS, 39, 102
 - initially recommended for Eastern State Penitentiary, 44–48
 - operation, xxiii–xxiv, 28, 38–39
 - popularity, 39–41, 113–114, 300
 - undermining by the administrators at Eastern, 186–194
 - use of whipping condemned, 48, 186–189
 - see also* solitary confinement
- Bache, Dr. Franklin (PSAMPP member and Physician (1829–1837))
 - alliances, 233
 - testimony on ability to interfere with decisions to punish, 84
 - visits, 237
- Bacon, John (Inspector (1831–1859))
 - alliances, 233
 - career, 337

- Commissioner for the Erection of the Eastern Penitentiary, 24–25
 debate over resident physician, 240–242
 investigations
 into Warden Thompson, 244
 into Warden Wood, 235
 Barnes, Harry Elmer
 explanation for retention of Pennsylvania System, xxxiv–xxxv
 Becker, Howard
 study of deviance, xxxvii, xl
 Beckham, Armistead, xxxv, 273–274
 behavioral constraints, xxxviii
 behind-the-scenes practices,
 see Pennsylvania System: violations
 benevolence
 of the administrators at Eastern, xlvii, 174, 179–180, 183–185
 of the Pennsylvania System, 174–179
 Bentham, Jeremy
 Panopticon, 32
 Bevan, Matthew L. (Inspector (1834–1849)), 239
 bias, *see* calumnious myths; creation myths
 ‘Big House’ model, 321
 Bigler, Governor William
 visit to Eastern State Penitentiary, 92
 blame, *see* scapegoating
 blindfolding of prisoners, 55
 Blundin, Mr. (Richard) and Mrs., 250–251
 Board of Public Charities, 73, 88–89, 271
 Boston Prison Discipline Society (BPDS)
 condemnation of pure solitary confinement, 102–103
 criticism of delay in constructing Eastern, 103–105
 criticism of Eastern, 108–110, 169–170
 response, 110–112, 115, 170
 enthusiasm for Auburn System, 130
 propagation of calumnious myths, 107–108
 efficacy, 112–115, 118–123
 role in transforming Eastern into a deviant prison, 106–107
 skepticism over Pennsylvania System, 104–105
 see also individual members: Dwight (Rev. Louis); Howe
 BPDS, *see* Boston Prison Discipline Society
 Bradford, Jr., Thomas (PSAMPP member and Inspector (1829–1851))
 alliances, 235
 appointment as president, 235
 campaign for more than one state prison, 24
 conflict with the visiting inspectors, 233–234
 Commissioner for the Erection of the Eastern Penitentiary, 24–25
 conflict with Warden Thompson, 242–247
 death, 232
 debate over resident physician, 237–242
 experience, 72, 74
 influence in the annual reports, 136
 testimony on escapes, 225
 testimony on out-of-cell labor, 82, 214–215, 217–221
 testimony on the choice of punishments, 206–207
 uncertainty over the Pennsylvania System, 123–124
 views on best prison regime, 42
 bread and water diet, 46
 Brockway, Zebulon, 286
 call for new ‘prison science’, 286
 Brown, Charles (Inspector (1838–1841)), 72
 Buchanan Crosse, James, liv
 Building Commissioners,
 see Commissioners for the Erection of the Eastern Penitentiary
 building costs, xxxiii–xxxiv
 Bussinger, D. W., 322
 calumnious myths, 107
 propagated against Pennsylvania System, 107–108
 Campbell, Hugh (Inspector (1850–1853)), 337
 capital punishment
 re-authorization, 17
 case studies
 importance of Eastern State Penitentiary, xlix
 Cassidy, Michael J. (Warden (1881–1900)), 291–293, 322
 cellblocks
 new construction, 308–309, 321
 certainty, *see* uncertainty
 chance encounters, 221–222
 change, *see* penal reform
 characterizations, 174–186

- charity work
 - by the administrators at Eastern, 77–78
 - see also* Board of Public Charities
- children
 - education, 204, 273
 - see also* juvenile facilities
- cognitive dissonance, 226
- cognitive frames, xxxix
- Commissioners for the Erection of the Eastern Penitentiary
 - appointment, xlv
 - Report, 49
- Commissioners on the Penal Code
 - appointment, 44
 - Report, 44–48
 - PSAMPP response, 49
- commitment
 - by the administrators at Eastern, 174
 - limit, 231–232
 - by PSAMPP, 237
- Commonwealth (The) ex rel. Johnson v. Halloway*, *see* Commutation Law
- Commutation Law, 270–271, 307–308
- ‘condemnation of the condemners’, 186
- confinement, *see* solitary confinement
- confirmed criminals, *see* crime class
- conflict
 - amongst the administrators themselves, 232–247
 - between the administrators and New York penologists, 285–291
 - between the administrators and PSAMPP, xl, xli, 266–271
 - between the administrators and the state legislature,
 - see also* administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary): refusal to enforce Commutation Law
 - see also* Pennsylvania System: ‘forged in conflict’
- conformation, xxxviii
 - by the administrators at Eastern
 - see also* Eastern State Penitentiary: as ‘a deviant prison’
- confusion, *see* uncertainty
- congregate system, *see* Auburn System
- congresses
 - reception of the administrators at Eastern, 288–290
- Connecticut, *see* Old Newgate Prison; Wethersfield State Prison
- conscience
 - ‘giving way to convenience’, 329–330
 - see also* benevolence
- constraints on behavior, xxxviii
- contact, *see* separation
- contraband
 - access by prisoners, 224–225
- convenience, *see* gap between theory and practice
- conversion costs, xxxiv
- corporal punishment
 - comeback, 17
 - see also* whipping
- costs
 - of Eastern State Penitentiary, xxxiii
 - defended, 189–194
 - see also* ‘sunk costs’ theory
 - explained by scapegoating, 160–165
 - of the proto-prisons, 16
- county jails, *see* jails
- Coxe, Charles Sydney (Inspector (1829–1835))
 - alliances, 233
 - career, 72, 337
 - conflict with Warden Wood and Inspector Bradford, 233–234
 - investigation into Warden Wood, 234–235, 248
 - membership of PSAMPP, 73
 - resignation, 232
- crafts-style labor
 - profitability, 164–165
- creation myths
 - propagated in support of Pennsylvania System, 174, 181–183
- crime class, 311–312, 320–321
- criminal code, *see* penal code
- criminal justice organizations
 - application of theory of personal institutionalization, xlv–xlvi
 - see also* prisons
- criminal justice system
 - modernization, 65–66
- Crosse, James Buchanan, liv
- Darrach, Dr. William (PSAMPP member and Physician (1837–1844))
 - alliances, 236
 - report on sickness among African-American prison population, 158–160

- report on sickness owing to 'self-abuse', 158
- residence debate, 236–241
- death, *see* mortality rates
- debate, *see* hard labor: inclusion debate; Pennsylvania: debate on best prison regime; Pennsylvania System: merits debated
- defense, *see* organizational defense; Pennsylvania System: defense
- deinstitutionalization
 - due to alternative status, 324
 - due to decline in criticism, 299, 302
 - due to overcrowding, 318
- denials of injury, 145–146
- denials of responsibility, 155
- design of prisons
 - importance to success or failure, xxiii
 - see also* redesign of prisons
- deviance
 - challenges counteracted by personal institutionalization, xlv–xlvii
 - concept, xxxvii
 - from rules of Pennsylvania System, *see* Pennsylvania System: violations
 - see also* Eastern State Penitentiary: as 'a deviant prison'; organizational deviance
- Dickens, Charles
 - criticism of Pennsylvania System, xxvi, 99–101, 126–127, 202
- diet of bread and water, 46
- discipline *see* 'prison discipline'
- disease and mortality rates, 146–149
 - explained by scapegoating, 155–160
- disinterestedness, 173
- Dix, Dorothea, 329
- documents, liii
- Doll, Eugene
 - explanation for retention of Pennsylvania System, xxxv
- double-celling, 208–213, 303–305
 - consequences, 309–310
 - see also* overcrowding
- doubt, *see* uncertainty
- Drexel, Anthony J. (Inspector (1865–1870)), 337
- Durkheim, Emile
 - study of deviance, xxxvii
- Dwight, Rev. Louis (BPDS founder)
 - death, 300
 - enthusiasm for Auburn System, 39–40
 - see also* Boston Prison Discipline Society
- Dwight, Theodore W. (NYPA member)
 - comparison of the two systems, xxvi, 41, 113, 300
 - conflict with Richard Vaux, 289
 - study of the treatment of prisoners, 315
- early prisons
 - failure: impact on penal reform, 6–7
 - see also* jails (county); proto-prisons; and particular prisons such as Eastern State Penitentiary
- early release, *see* Commutation Law
- Eastern State Penitentiary
 - administration, 67
 - see also* administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary)
 - as 'a deviant prison', xxxvii, xl, xlix, 101
 - see also* non-conformation: by the administrators at Eastern
 - as the 'Quaker Prison', xxxii
 - authorization to be built, 20–21
 - bureaucratization, 293–294
 - conduciveness to personal institutionalization, xlvii
 - see also* personal institutionalization,
 - creation
 - authorization, 24–25
 - bill passed, 24
 - commission *see* Commissioners for the Erection of the Eastern Penitentiary
 - early requests, 21
 - legislative committee
 - recommendations, 21–23
 - design, 25
 - fame, 328
 - histories, xxxi–xxxii
 - importance to study of penal history, xxviii–xxx
 - inclusion in prison histories, xxvii–xxviii
 - investigation into wrongdoings at, 74–75, 97, 106–107, 230–231, 234–235, 247–254
 - jurisdiction, 84–88
 - change to alleviate overcrowding, 306, 308
 - claimed by the administrators, 274–279

- Eastern State Penitentiary (cont.)
 see also administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary): identity as professional experts
 overcrowding, 303–313, 315–316
 oversight, *see* inspectors; investigations; official visitors; prisons: oversight
 redesign abandoned, xxxiv
 unique status, xlii
 use of solitary confinement
 initially recommended to be without labor, 49
 with labor, *see* Pennsylvania System
 visit by Dickens, 100
 economic climate
 as a cause of unprofitability, 163–164
 economics
 of Eastern State Penitentiary, 149–151, 189–194
 failures explained by scapegoating, 160–165
 of the proto-prisons, 16
 Edelman, Lauren
 concept of legal endogeneity, xlix
 education, 204, 273
 see also moral and religious instruction
 Elmira Reformatory, 301
 Elwell, Elizabeth Velora, 216–217, 222–224
 employment, *see* labor
 endogeneity, *see* penal reform: endogenous factors
 escapes, 225–226, 311
 evaluations (of the Pennsylvania System)
 by the administrators at Eastern, 145–155, 281–282
 see also annual reports
 exclusive solitary confinement, *see* solitary confinement: relied on continuously: without labor
 exogeneity, *see* penal reform: exogenous factors
 experience, *see* Pennsylvania System: experience; professional expertise
 experimentation
 language use, 281
 proof
 by demonstration, 282–285
 by statistics, 281
 see also statistical evaluations, expertise, *see* professional expertise
 external factors, *see* penal reform: exogenous factors
 ‘face saving’ theory, xxxv
 failure, *see* penal failure
 faith
 in solitary confinement, 34
 family visitation, 297–298
 fear of failure, *see* uncertainty
 fighting among prisoners, 309–310
 financial self-interest, *see* administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary): baser instincts
 Findley, William
 request for second state prison, 21
 first-time offenders, 301–302
 Friends, *see* Quakers
 funding
 requests by the administrators at Eastern, 162–163, 271–272, 274
 see also ‘sunk costs’ theory
 gap between theory and practice
 at Eastern State Penitentiary, 330
 in the proto-prisons, 14–17
 gentlemen reformers
 death, 321–324
 Georgia
 proto-prison, 15
 Given, Dr. Robert (Physician (1844–1851))
 explanation for the disease and mortality rates, 155–156
 praise of the officers, 183–184
 report on sickness owing to ‘self-abuse’, 158
 views on the comparison of systems, 148–149, 282
 Goodwin, William (Inspector (1857–1859)), 72, 337
 grand juries: official visits, 92, 94
 Green Jackson, Albert, 216–217, 222–224,
 Gridley Howe, Samuel, 118, 148
 Habeas corpus petitions, 95, 96
 Holloway, John S. (Clerk (1831–1850) and Warden (1850–1854, 1856–1870))
 account of a family visit, 297
 account of fighting among prisoners, 310
 account of overcrowding, 306
 account of prisoner’s exercise, 60
 accusations of inappropriate behavior by, 249–251

- death, 292
 election as warden, 257
 embarrassment over escapes, 225
 endorsement of Pennsylvania System, 151
 experience, 76–78
 explanation for recidivism, 152
 rejection of prison's reputation for poor health, 146
 taking of vegetables from the prison's garden, 255–256
 hard labor
 ambiguity over inclusion in Pennsylvania System, 79–80
 inclusion debate, 42
 requirement in Pennsylvania penal code, 33, 43
 use at Western State Penitentiary hindered by architectural problems, 33–34
 see also labor
 Harrison, George L. (Inspector (1879–1885)), 73
 Hartshorne, Dr. Edward, 147, 240, 242
 Hastings, Daniel, xxxiv
 health of the prisoners, 146–149
 failures explained by scapegoating, 155–160
 Henry, Alexander (Inspector (1859–1882)), 72–73, 96
 hereditary criminality, 311–312
 histories of prisons, xxvii
 importance of Eastern State Penitentiary, 331–335
 see also Eastern State Penitentiary: histories
 Hood, William H. (Inspector (1831–1842)), 235
 hooding of prisoners, 202
 Howe, Samuel Gridley, 118, 148,
 humanitarianism, *see* benevolence
 Huntingdon Reformatory, 320
 hybrid system, *see* Auburn System

 identity switching, 138–139
 ideology, *see* penal ideology
 Illinois State Penitentiary, 113
 incarceration, *see* penitentiary system
 individual treatment system, 313–319
 inherent depravity, 311–312
 injury denial, 146

 insanity
 at Eastern State Penitentiary, 119–120, 147–149
 explained by scapegoating, 272–273
 insecurity, *see* uncertainty
 inspectors
 duties, 68
 experience with criminal justice, 72–74
 oversight of the prison, 89–90
 power to make rules, 90
 table, 337
 see also individual inspectors such as Bradford; Harrison, Mercer; Porter; Vaux (Richard); Vaux (Roberts)
 institutionalization
 as the cause of an organization's goals, xlii
 conceptualization
 by neoinstitutional scholars, xlii–xliii
 by Selznick, xliii
 influence by Michels, xliii–xliv
 see also personal institutionalization,
 instruction, *see* moral and religious instruction
 internal factors, *see* penal reform:
 endogenous factors
 internecine debate, *see* Pennsylvania:
 debate on best prison regime;
 Pennsylvania System: merits debated
 intoxication, 224–225
 interpersonal animosities, *see* conflict
 investigations, 95–97
 see also Eastern State Penitentiary:
 investigation into wrongdoings at,
 investment
 requests by the administrators
 at Eastern, 162–163, 271–272, 274
 see also 'sunk costs' theory
 Irish immigrant prison population, 306–307
 Irish System, 302
 isolation
 of Eastern State Penitentiary, xxxvi
 see also Eastern State Penitentiary: as
 'a deviant prison',
 of prisoners, *see* solitary confinement

 Jackson, Albert Green, 216–217, 222–224
 jails (county)
 inadequacy, 7–8

- jails (county) (cont.)
 - as a cause of recidivism, 165–166, 272
 - see also* prisons
- Johnson v. Hallway*, *see* Commutation Law
- Jones, Charles Thomas (Inspector (1873–1883)), 337
- Jones, Samuel (Inspector (1854–1864)), 337
- journal, *see* warden's log
- judicial review
 - of refusal to enforce Commutation Law, 97, 264–265, 276–280
- jurisdiction
 - of Eastern State Penitentiary, 84–88
 - change to alleviate overcrowding, 306, 308
 - claimed by the administrators, 265, 274–280
 - see also* administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary): identity as professional experts
- juvenile education, 204, 273
- juvenile facilities, 301–302
 - see also* Huntingdon Reformatory
- keepers, 69
 - see also* Blundin; Merrill; Roe,
- King, Edward, *see* Commissioners on the Penal Code
- labor
 - as implemented at Walnut Street Prison, 10
 - profitability, 164–165
 - rationale, 204
 - violations, 204–206
 - see also* hard labor; out-of-cell labor
- Larcombe, Rev. Thomas, 71, 153, 179, 188, 270
- lawsuits, 95–97
- legacies, 1
- legal endogeneity
 - adaptation to the penal context, xlix–l
 - concept, xlix
- legislative investigations, 95–97
 - see also* Eastern State Penitentiary: investigation into wrongdoings at
- legislature (Pennsylvania)
 - authority over the prison, xl
 - conflict with the prison administrators, xl, 271–280
 - see also* administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary): refusal to enforce Commutation Law
 - investigation into wrongdoings at Eastern, 74–75, 97, 106–107, 230–231, 234–235, 247–254
- liquor
 - access by prisoners, 214
- local jails, *see* jails
- local variation, xxx
- lockstep, xxiv, 38
- log, *see* warden's log
- Lyman, Stanford M., *see* identity switching
- Maine State Prison
 - adoption of Auburn System, 123
 - use of pure solitary confinement, 30–31, 102–103
- maintenance costs
 - of Eastern State Penitentiary, xxxiii, 149–151
 - explained by scapegoating, 160–165
 - of the proto-prisons, 16
- management of prisons
 - importance to success or failure, xxiii
 - see also* administrators
- manipulations, *see* Pennsylvania System: violations
- Maris, John M. (Inspector (1870–1891)), 73, 337
- Mark System, 302
- Maryland Penitentiary
 - use of solitary confinement, 15, 123
- Massachusetts State Prison, 7
 - use of solitary confinement, 15
- masturbation ('self-abuse')
 - blamed for disease and mortality rates, 158, 169
- Matza, David, *see* denials of injury; denials of responsibility; techniques of neutralization
- McKibben, Chambers (Inspector (1853–1857)), 377
- McLennan, Rebecca
 - account of the Eastern State Penitentiary, *see also* Rebecca M. McLennan, xxix
- mechanization
 - as a cause of unprofitability, 165
- mental illness, *see* insanity

- Mercer, Singleton A. (Inspector (1851–1854)), 72
- Merrill, W. O. B., 212, 254–255
- Michels, Robert
 study of oligarchical tendencies, xliii–xliv
- Miller, Andrew (Inspector (1853–1859)), 337
- Miller, Daniel H. (Inspector (1829–1831)), 232
- Milne, Caleb J., 74
- moral and religious instruction
 funding, 271–272
 provision at Eastern criticized, 109
 rationale, 204
- moral instructor: duties, 70–71
 see also individual instructors:
 Larcombe; Ruth
- ‘moral science’ references, 281
- mortality rates, 146–149
 explained by scapegoating, 155–160
- Moyamensing Prison, 213
- murders: by prisoners, 211, 310
- myths
 as a means of persuasion, 107
 propagated against Pennsylvania System (calumnious myths), 107–108, 112–113
 efficacy, 114–115, 118–123
 propagated in support of Pennsylvania System (creation myths), 174, 181–183
- name changes, 138–145, 313–314, 316–318
- neoinstitutional theory, xxxviii–xxxix
 as an organizational theory, xl
- neutralization techniques, 137
 see also characterizations; evaluations;
 name changes; scapegoating;
 undermining
- New Jersey State Prison
 abandonment of Pennsylvania System, xxvi, 113, 122–123
 adoption of Pennsylvania System, xxv
 tour by the administrators at Eastern, 125–126
- New York, *see* Auburn State Prison; Elmira Reformatory; Newgate Prison
- New York Prison Association (NYPA), *see* Dwight (Theodore W.); Wines
- Newgate Prison (New York), 15–16
- non-conformation, xxxviii
 by the administrators at Eastern, 172–173
 see also Eastern State Penitentiary: as
 ‘a deviant prison’
- norms, xxxviii
 see also penal norms,
- NYPA (New York Prison Association), *see* Dwight (Theodore W.); Wines
- official visitors, 90–95
- Old Newgate Prison (Connecticut), 7–8
- organization of prisons
 importance to success or failure, xxiii
- organizational defense
 as a reason for gaps between theory and
 practice, 330–331
 concept, xlv
 provision of ‘aura of disinterestedness’, 173
- organizational deviance, xxxvii–xxxviii
 see also Eastern State Penitentiary: as ‘a
 deviant prison’
- organizations
 ‘life of their own’, xlii
 see also criminal justice organizations
- out-of-cell labor, 208, 213–220, 308–309
- consequences, 221–226
- overcrowding
 in the post-Civil War period, 301
 at Eastern State Penitentiary, 303–313, 315–316
 in the proto-prisons, 12–13, 16, 19
 see also double-celling
- overseers, 69
 see also individual overseers: Blundin;
 Merrill; Roe
- ‘pamphlet warfare’
 over merits of Pennsylvania System, 110–112
- Panopticon, 32
- pardons
 as a cause of recidivism, 166–169
- Patterson, Robert (Inspector (1835–1847)), 236
- penal change, *see* penal reform
- penal code (Pennsylvania)
 revision
 of hard labor requirement, 42–48
 without advice of the administrators
 at Eastern, 273, 275–276

- penal failure
 - fear of, *see* uncertainty
 - impact on penal reform, 1, 34
 - importance to study of penal history, 331–335
 - see also* Pennsylvania System: violations; proto-prisons: failure
- penal history
 - importance of Eastern State Penitentiary, 331–335
 - see also* Eastern State Penitentiary: histories
- penal ideology
 - gap between theory and practice, 329–330
 - at Eastern State Penitentiary, 330
 - in the proto-prisons, 14, 17
- penal norms
 - emergence from within penal system, 1–li
- penal policy
 - development within penal system, li–lii
 - feedback effects, 1
- penal reform
 - after the American Revolution, 7
 - endogenous factors, li–lii
 - exogenous factors, 1
 - limitation, lii
 - impact of penal failure, 1, 34
 - importance of Eastern State Penitentiary, xlix
 - permeating values, *see* values in the penal field
 - through prison science, 286
- penal reformers, *see* Boston Prison Discipline Society; gentlemen reformers; Philadelphia Society for Alleviating the Miseries of Public Prisons; Society for the Prevention of Pauperism in the City of New York
- penal science
 - basis of claims by the administrators at Eastern, 280–285
- penitentiaries, *see* Philadelphia: as the birthplace of the penitentiary; prisons; and particular penitentiaries such as Eastern State Penitentiary
- penitentiary system
 - effectiveness as a method to reform criminals, 17, 25–26
 - steadfastly believed in, 34
 - see also* prisons; solitary confinement
- Penn, William
 - credit for the Pennsylvania System, xxxii
- Pennsylvania
 - debate on best prison regime, 36, 41–42
 - need for more than one state prison, 20
 - penal code revision
 - of hard labor requirement, 33, 42–48
 - without advice of the administrators at Eastern, 273, 275–276
 - prisons, *see* Arch Street Prison; Eastern State Penitentiary; Huntingdon Reformatory; Moyamensing Prison; Walnut Street Prison; Western State Penitentiary
 - see also* legislature (Pennsylvania)
- Pennsylvania System
 - abandonment, xxv–xxvi, 122–123
 - at Western State Penitentiary, 273–274
 - by the new administrators, 323–324
 - due to deauthorization, 323
 - due to deinstitutionalization
 - see* ‘deinstitutionalization’ below
 - due to overcrowding, 316–318
 - process, 319–321, 324
 - administration
 - scrutiny by PSAMPP, 266–268
 - uncertainty, 79–84, 88
 - see also* administrators
 - as a distinct system, 105–106
 - as intended at Walnut Street Prison, 9–10, 17
 - as the ‘separate system’, 138, 141–143
 - as the system of ‘solitary or separate confinement’, 139–141
 - authorization, 50–51
 - benevolent approach to punishment, 174, 175–179
 - campaign by PSAMPP, 35–36, 50
 - claimed superiority, 282–284
 - criticism
 - by BPDS, 108–110, 169–170
 - response, 110–112, 115, 170
 - by prominent writers, xxxvi, 101
 - see also* Dickens: criticism of Pennsylvania System
 - by the administrators, xli
 - decline, 299–302
 - directed at administrators rather than system, 126

- effect on administrators, 126–127
- precipitating event, 106–107
- deauthorization, 321
- defense
 - by PSAMPP members *see* ‘campaign by PSAMPP’ *above*
 - by the administrators at Eastern, xli–xlii
 - based on experience, 268–270
 - based on science, 280–285
 - benefits, 195–196
 - eventually unnecessary, xlviii, 165
 - due to alternative status, 294
 - due to decline in criticism, 299
 - explained by personal institutionalization, xlv, 170
 - see also* organizational defense
 - through their annual reports, 136, 170
 - through neutralization techniques, 137–138, 169, 173
- deinstitutionalization
 - due to alternative status, 265, 294–295, 324
 - due to decline in criticism, 299, 302
 - due to overcrowding, 318
- diffusion, 113
- discredit, 107
- distinction from Auburn System, 314
- distinction from pure solitary confinement, 103, 140–141
- efficacy, 151–153
 - failures explained by scapegoating, 165–169
- endorsement by the administrators, 154
- evaluations
 - by scholars, xxxi
 - by the administrators at Eastern, 145–155, 281–282
- experience
 - as described by critics, 202
 - as imagined by supporters, 52–62
 - in reality, 202–203, 229
 - ‘forged in conflict’, 36–37
- goal of prisoner reformation, 174, 203–204
- individual treatment emphasis, 313–318
- initially advised against
 - by Commissioners for the Erection of the Eastern Penitentiary, 49
 - by Commissioners on the Penal Code, 44–48
- institutionalization, *see* personal institutionalization
- legislative committee recommendations, 23
- merits debated, xxv
- more ‘stringent’ form, 144–145
- myths
 - propagated by BPDS (calumnious myths), 107–108
 - efficacy, 112–113, 114–115, 118–123
 - propagated by the administrators at Eastern (creation myths), 174, 181–183
- name changes, 137
- operation, xxiv–xxv, 35–36
- profitability, 149–151
 - failures explained by scapegoating, 160–165
- retention by Eastern State Penitentiary, xxvi–xxvii
 - attributed to religion, xxxii–xxxiii, xxxiii
 - attributed to sunk costs, xxxiii
 - attributed to support at a personal level, xxxiv–xxxv
 - see also* administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary)
 - attributed to unique status, xlii
 - see also* status
- consequences, xxxvii
- difficulties, xxxvi
- historically unaccounted for, xxix–xxxii, xxxiv–xxxv
- role of the Quakers, xxxii–xxxiii, 42
- skepticism of BPDS, 104–105
- suitability for the crime class, 320–321
- two spheres of supporters, 68
- underfunding, 162–163, 271–272, 274
- violations, 203–204, 207–208, 229
 - accounted for, 155, 226–229
 - consequences, 220–221, 309–312
 - see also* double-celling; labor:
 - violations; out-of-cell labor; punishment: violations; visitation of prisons: by family members,
 - see also* solitary confinement

- penologists
 - conflict with administrators at Eastern, 285–291
- penology
 - basis of claims by the administrators at Eastern, 280–285
- personal gain, *see* administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary): baser instincts
- personal institutionalization
 - achieved through administrative violations, 203
 - achieved through neutralization techniques, 137–138, 174, 194–197
 - application to criminal justice organizations, xlv–xlvii
 - as counterforce to challenges of deviance, xlv, xlvii
 - at Eastern State Penitentiary, xlv
 - concept, xliii–xlv
 - conducive organizations, xlv–xlv
- personally institutionalized entities
 - ‘infused’ with value, xliii
 - from status, xliii–xlv
 - see also* status,
- Philadelphia
 - as the birthplace of the penitentiary, 332
 - prisons, *see* Arch Street Prison; Eastern State Penitentiary; Moyamensing Prison; Walnut Street Prison
- Philadelphia Society for Alleviating the Miseries of Public Prisons (PSAMPP)
 - abandonment of Pennsylvania System, 322
 - campaign for Pennsylvania System, 35–36, 49, 50
 - commitment to work, 237
 - conflict with the prison administrators, xl–xli, 265–271
 - control over Walnut Street Prison, 68
 - formal authority over Eastern, 271
 - see also* Board of Public Charities
 - formation, 8–9
 - membership, 73
 - motivations contrasted with administrators, xlviii
 - official visits to Eastern State Penitentiary, 91–95
 - reform of Walnut Street Prison, 8–10
 - inadequately implemented, 18–19
 - request for more than one state prison, 24
 - response to Commissioners on the Penal Code Report, 49
 - success in passing of Commutation Law, 276
 - see also individual members:* Bache; Bradford; Darrach; Rogers; Scattergood; Smith; Townsend; Vaux (Roberts); Wood
- philanthropy, *see* benevolence
- physician
 - ability to interfere with decisions to punish, 84
 - duties, 69–70
 - residence debate, 236–242
 - see also individual physicians:* Bache; Darrach; Given
- Pittsburgh, *see* Western State Penitentiary
- policy, *see* penal policy
- politics of imprisonment, xxx
- Porter, William A. (Inspector (1847–1851)), 72, 377
- Powers, Gershom
 - condemnation of solitary confinement, 31
- Powers, Thomas H. (Inspector (1859–1878)), 337
- practice/theory mismatch, xxxvi
- pragmatism
 - of the administrators at Eastern, xlvii–xlviii, 203, 330
- ‘prison discipline’
 - importance to success or failure, xxiii
- prison science
 - basis of claims by the administrators at Eastern, 280–285
- Prison Society, *see* Philadelphia Society for Alleviating the Miseries of Public Prisons
- prisoners
 - early release, *see* Commutation Law
 - health, 146–149
 - failures explained by scapegoating, 155–160
 - of the crime class, 311–312, 320–321
 - privacy, 332
 - see also* hooding of prisoners
 - rights, 279
 - treatment, 314–315

- prisons
 administration, 66–67
 early uncertainty, xlv–xlvii, 116–123
 histories
 importance of Eastern State Penitentiary, 331–335
 see also Eastern State Penitentiary: histories
 oversight, 88–89
 visitation,
 as a popular activity, 101
 by official visitors, 90–95
 by visiting inspectors, 68
 see also Eastern State Penitentiary: fame
 see also jails (county); penitentiary system; proto-prisons; and *particular prisons such as* Eastern State Penitentiary
 privacy of prisoners, 332–333
 see also hooding of prisoners
 private documents, liii
 professional expertise
 meaning, 280
 of the administrators at Eastern, xlviii, 265, 291–294
 based on experience, 268–270
 based on science, 280–285
 see also annual reports: examination by the legislature encouraged; Pennsylvania: penal code revision: without advice of the administrators at Eastern
 profitability
 of Eastern State Penitentiary, 149–150
 failures explained by scapegoating, 160–165
 of the proto-prisons, 16
 use in evaluating criminal punishment condemned, 186
 violations of Pennsylvania System, 204–206
 progressiveness, *see* benevolence
 proto-prisons,
 costs, 16
 development, 7–8, 11
 failure, 16
 impact on penal reform, 6–7
 gap between theory and practice, 14–16, 17
 see also Arch Street Prison; Walnut Street Prison
 PSAMPP, *see* Philadelphia Society for Alleviating the Miseries of Public Prisons
 public documents, liii
 punishment,
 additional to the whip, 188–189
 plan for a stratified system, 26
 violations, 206–207, 311, 319–320
 see also Eastern State Penitentiary: investigation into wrongdoings at,
 see also capital punishment; corporal punishment
 pure solitary confinement, *see* solitary confinement: relied on continuously: without labor
 Quakers
 credit for the Pennsylvania System, xxxii–xxxiii, 42
 qualifications, *see* professional expertise
 racist beliefs
 as the basis for assigning out-of-cell labor, 218
 as the basis for scapegoating, 158–160, 161–162
 Ragen, Joseph, 97–98
 Raguet, Condé
 bill to create Eastern State Penitentiary, 24
 Randall, Josiah (Inspector (1829)), 232
 rational myths, 107
 recidivism rates, 152–153
 explained by scapegoating, 165–169
 recognition
 need by the administrators at Eastern, 325–326
 explained by uncertainty, 326–327
 redesign of prisons, xxxiii–xxxiv
 reflection
 promotion by solitary confinement, xxxii
 reform, *see* penal reform
 reformers, *see* Boston Prison Discipline Society; gentlemen reformers; Philadelphia Society for Alleviating the Miseries of Public Prisons; Society for the Prevention of Pauperism in the City of New York

- release of prisoners, *see* Commutation Law
 reliability of accounts, lii–liii, 117–118
 see also annual reports: trustworthiness,
 Religious Society of Friends, *see* Quakers
 rendezvous, 222–224
 reputation
 preservation by the administrators at
 Eastern, 257–258
 responsibility denial, 155
 rhetorical strategies, 173
 success, 194–196
 see also characterizations; undermining,
 Rhode Island State Prison
 abandonment of Pennsylvania System,
 xxvi, 122
 adoption of Pennsylvania System, xxv,
 113
 tour by the administrators at Eastern,
 125–126
 Richards, Benjamin W. (Inspector
 (1829–1834)), 235
 rights of prisoners, 279
 Roe, Thomas A., 209, 226–228
 Rogers, Dr. William
 campaign for more than one state prison,
 24
 Roscoe, William
 observations on penitentiary system, 25
 Rothman, David J.
 account of the Eastern State Penitentiary,
 xxviii–xxix
 see also conscience: ‘giving way to
 convenience’
 rule of silence, xxiv, 41, 202
 rules, xxxviii
 Ruth, Rev. John, 270

 ‘saving face’ theory, xxxv
 scapegoating, 155–169
 Scattergood, Thomas (PSAMPP member
 and Warden (1845–1850))
 alliances, 233
 benevolence, 183
 continuation of other activities, 76–77
 election as warden, 245–246
 endorsement of Pennsylvania System,
 154
 experience, 75
 explanation for economic loss, 160
 misconduct, 254–257

 recording of prisoners’ condition,
 128–129
 views on out-of-cell labor, 218–219
 views on trades within the prison,
 205–206
 visit to New Jersey’s prison, 125–126
 science
 basis of claims by the administrators at
 Eastern, 280–285
 Scott, Marvin B., *see* identity switching
 ‘self-abuse’ (masturbation)
 blamed for disease and mortality rates,
 158, 169
 self-aggrandizement, 265
 see also personal institutionalization;
 self-praise
 self-doubt, 123–129
 self-image, *see* reputation
 self-interest, *see* administrators (Eastern
 State Penitentiary): baser instincts
 self-praise, 173
 see also personal institutionalization;
 self-aggrandizement
 Selznick, Philip
 organizational theory, xlii
 version of institutionalization, xliii
 influence by Michels, xliii
 see also personal institutionalization
 sentencing practices
 complaints by the administrators at
 Eastern, 163, 166–167, 272
 ‘separate or solitary confinement’
 ambiguity over meaning, 80–84
 separate system, *see* Pennsylvania System:
 as the ‘separate system’
 separation
 hindrance of out-of-cell labor, 221–224
 rationale, 203–204
 Shaler, Charles, *see* Commissioners on the
 Penal Code
 Shephard, Furman (Inspector
 (1859–1872)), 337
 Schulze, John Andrew
 request for penal code revision, 43
 Shunk, Governor Francis R.
 visits to Eastern State Penitentiary, 92
 silence rule, xxiv, 41, 202
 silent system, *see* Auburn System
 Smith, George Washington
 campaign for Pennsylvania System, 50
 crisis of confidence, 118

- social change, *see* penal reform: exogenous factors
- Society for the Prevention of Pauperism in the City of New York (SPPNY)
 investigation into solitary confinement, 27–28
- Society of Friends, *see* Quakers
- solitary confinement
 ability to promote reflection, xxxii
 as a uniquely effective punishment, 26–27
 as crucial component of penitentiary system, 27
 as solution to reform of criminals, 17–18, 26, 26, 27–28, 139–140
 authorization
 at Auburn State Prison, 28–29, 37
 at Western, and Eastern, state penitentiaries, 20
 early use credited to the Quakers, xxxii–xxxiii
 indispensability, 37
 relied on continuously
 with labor, *see* Pennsylvania System
 without labor (pure solitary confinement)
 at Auburn State Prison (first experiment), 5–6, 29–30, 37, 103
 at Maine State Prison, 30–31, 102–103
 condemnation, 31, 102–103
 distinction from Auburn System, 101, 104
 distinction from Pennsylvania System, 101, 103, 104, 140–141
 initially recommended for Eastern State Penitentiary, 49
 relied on partially (hybrid system), *see* Auburn System
 steadfastly believed in, 34
 use at Western State Penitentiary
 hindered by architectural problems, 31–33, 51–52
 use in proto-prisons, 15
 widespread support, 26, 28
 solitary system, *see* Pennsylvania System
- sources
 trustworthiness, lii–liii
see also reliability of accounts
- SPPNY, *see* Society for the Prevention of Pauperism in the City of New York
- state legislature, *see* legislature (Pennsylvania)
- state-level variation, xxx
- state prisons, *see* Philadelphia: as the birthplace of the penitentiary; prisons
- statistical evaluations (of the Pennsylvania System), 145–155
see also annual reports
- status
 conferring value, xliii–xliv
 connection with organizational theory, xlii
 derived from professional expertise, xlviii
see also administrators (Eastern State Penitentiary): identity as professional experts
 of prison administration, 328–329
 uniqueness of Eastern State Penitentiary, xlii
- straight jacket
 use under Pennsylvania System, 207
see also punishment: additional to the whip
- strategic manipulations, *see* Pennsylvania System: violations
- Strickland, Nimrod (Warden (1854–1856))
 accounts of prisoners, 85–86, 157, 210, 212–213
 experience, 75
 objection to accusations of illicit practices, 226–228
 views on official visits, 93
- suicide, *see* insanity; mortality rates
- ‘sunk costs’ theory, xxxiii–xxxiv
- supermaximum security prisons
 distinction from Eastern State Penitentiary, 119–120, 333–334,
- Sutton, John R.
 study of criminal justice organizations, xlv
- Swift, John (Inspector (1829–1831)), 232
- Sykes, Gresham, *see* denials of injury; denials of responsibility; techniques of neutralization
- techniques of neutralization, 137
see also characterizations; evaluations; name changes; scapegoating; undermining
- Texas State Penitentiary, 114

- theory/practice mismatch, xxxiv
 Thomaston prison, *see* Maine State Prison
 Thompson, George (Warden (1840–1845))
 account of an official visit, 92
 account of prisoner John Billman, 211–212
 alliances, 233, 236
 conflict with Inspector Bradford, 242–247
 debate over resident physician, 238–239
 decline of re-election to warden, 77, 257
 endorsement of Pennsylvania System, 177
 endorsement of Warden Scattergood, 183
 experience, 75
 explanation for disease and mortality rates, 147
 limitation of access to the prisoners, 94–95
 praise of his officers, 184
 recording of prisoners' condition, 127–129
 visits to other prisons, 125, 128
 torture, *see* punishment: violations
 total solitary confinement, *see* solitary confinement: relied on continuously: without labor
 Townsend, Dr. Edward (PSAMPP member and Warden (1870–1881))
 account of an official visit, 91
 account of family visits, 297
 account of fighting amongst the prisoners, 310
 account of out-of-cell labor, 309
 account of overcrowding, 305, 308
 attendance at court, 96
 continuation of other activities, 78
 embarrassment over escapes, 225
 experience, 75
 explanation for economic loss, 165
 feelings on Dickens' criticism, 127
 rejection of prison's reputation for poor health, 146
 views on the crime class, 312
 training, 204
 see also labor
 treatment of prisoners, 314–315
 trustworthiness, *see* reliability of accounts
 turnkeys, 69
 see also Blundin; Merrill; Roe
 uncertainty
 explanation for need for recognition, 326–327
 over administration of Pennsylvania System, 79–83, 88, 123–126, 126–129, 174
 over best prison regime, xlv–xlv, 116–123
 over jurisdiction of Eastern State Penitentiary, 84–88
 undermining, 268
 unreliability, *see* reliability of accounts
 value
 from status, xliii–xlv
 see also status
 'infused' entities, xliii
 values in the penal field
 commitment by the administrators at Eastern, 173
 limit, 231–232
 Vaux, Richard (Inspector (1842–1895))
 alliances, 246–247
 conflict with New York penologists, 287–291
 death, 322
 debate over resident physician, 240–241
 defense of Warden Townsend, 96
 experience, 72, 377
 feelings on Dickens' criticism, 127
 influence in the annual reports, 136
 influence of science on, 282, 287–288
 nomination as President of PSAMPP, 73
 other activities, 78
 role in retention of Pennsylvania System, xxxiv–xxxv
 views on the crime class, 320
 views on the Pennsylvania System, 124
 views on trades within the prison, 205
 Vaux, Roberts (PSAMPP member and Inspector (1829))
 Commissioner for the Erection of the Eastern Penitentiary, 25
 experience, 73–74
 other activities, 77–78
 recognition of the difficult task ahead, 325–326, 327
 recommendations for penal code revision, 43–44
 resignation, 232

- views on best prison regime, 27, 42
- views on the inspectors' oversight, 90
- Velora Elwell, Elizabeth, 216–217, 222–224
- veracity of accounts, *see* reliability of accounts
- violence by prisoners, 309–310
- Virginia
 - proto-prison, 15
- visitation of prisons,
 - as a popular activity, 101
 - by family members, 297–298
 - by official visitors, 90–95
 - see also* Eastern State Penitentiary: fame
- visiting inspectors, 68
- voluntary work
 - by the administrators at Eastern, 77–78
- Walls Unit (Texas), 114
- Walnut Street Prison, 8
 - closure, 21
 - deterioration of conditions, 11–14
 - grand jury investigation, 19–20
 - reform by PSAMPP, 8, 9
 - inadequately implemented, 18, 19
- warden
 - backgrounds, 74–77
 - concern for prisoners, 127–129
 - duties, 69
 - see also individual wardens*: Beckham; Bussinger; Cassidy; Holloway; Scattergood; Strickland; Thompson; Townsend; Wood
- warden's log, liii–liv
- Washington Smith, George
 - campaign for Pennsylvania System, 35–36, 49, 50
 - crisis of confidence, 118
- well being of the prisoners, 146–149
 - failures explained by scapegoating, 155–160
- Western State Penitentiary
 - abandonment of Pennsylvania System, xxvi, 122
 - authorization to be built, 20–21
 - design
 - flaws, 32–33
 - influence by Bentham, 32
 - out-of-cell labor, 214
 - redesign, xxxiii–xxxiv
 - use of hard labor
 - hindered by architectural problems, 33–34
 - use of solitary confinement
 - hindered by architectural problems, 31, 32–33, 51–52
- Wethersfield State Prison, 114
- Wharton, Thomas J., *see* Commissioners on the Penal Code
- whipping
 - use under Auburn System condemned, 48, 186–189
 - see also* punishment
- White, Dr. J. William, 74
- Wines, Enoch C. (NYPA member)
 - call for new 'prison science', 286
 - career, 285–286
 - comparison of the two systems, xxvi, 41, 113, 300
 - conflict with Richard Vaux, 404.1
 - study of the treatment of prisoners, 315
- Wood, Samuel R. (PSAMPP member and Inspector (1829–1840))
 - accounts of official visits, 91–92
 - alliances, 233
 - benevolence, 176, 179, 185
 - campaign for more than one state prison, 24
 - campaign for the Pennsylvania System, 50
 - Commissioner for the Erection of the Eastern Penitentiary, 24–25
 - complaint about the African-American prisoner population, 159, 161
 - conflict with the visiting inspectors, 233–234
 - consultation of inspectors regarding escapees, 88
 - consultation of physician regarding punishment, 84
 - debate over resident physician, 237–238
 - endorsement of Pennsylvania System, 153–155
 - experience, 76–77
 - explanation for recidivism, 165–166
 - explanations for economic loss, 160, 163–164
 - fiscal responsibility, 190–192
 - opposition to pardons, 167–169
 - opposition to short sentences, 163, 166–167

- Wood, Samuel R. (PSAMPP member and Inspector (1829–1840)) (cont.)
 punishments, 188
 recording of prisoners’ condition, 128
 requests for funding, 162
 role in wrongdoings investigated,
 74–75, 97, 230–231, 234–235,
 247–254
 selection, 268
 use of out-of-cell labor, 221
 views on best prison regime, 42
 views on pure solitary confinement,
 140
 views on the Pennsylvania System, 124,
 126
 visit to New York’s Sing Sing prison, 125
work, *see* labor
Work System, 302
workshop-style labor
 profitability, 164–165

young offenders, 301–302
 see also children: education; Huntingdon
 Reformatory

zombie imagery, 324