The Deviant Prison

Early nineteenth-century American prisons followed one of two dominant models: the Auburn System, in which prisoners performed factory-style labor by day and were placed in solitary confinement at night, and the Pennsylvania System, where prisoners faced 24-hour solitary confinement for the duration of their sentences. By the close of the Civil War, the majority of prisons in the United States had adopted the Auburn System – the only exception was Philadelphia’s Eastern State Penitentiary, making it the subject of much criticism and a fascinating outlier. Using the Eastern State Penitentiary as a case study, The Deviant Prison brings to light anxieties and other challenges of nineteenth-century prison administration that helped embed our prison system as we know it today. Drawing on organizational theory and providing a rich account of prison life, the institution, and key actors, Ashley T. Rubin examines why Eastern’s administrators clung to what was increasingly viewed as an outdated and inhumane model of prison – and what their commitment tells us about penal reform in an era when prisons were still new and carefully scrutinized.
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A Brief Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1786–1794</td>
<td>Significant changes to Pennsylvania penal laws and practices underway, especially at the reconstructed Walnut Street Prison, which soon becomes the model for proto-prisons emerging around the country.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1787</td>
<td>Philadelphia Society for Alleviating the Miseries of Public Prisons (PSAMPP) is formed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1810</td>
<td>Multiple efforts to reform the penal laws and practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1818</td>
<td>Construction and planning for new Western State Penitentiary in Pennsylvania authorized under the principle of solitary confinement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1821</td>
<td>Construction and planning for Eastern State Penitentiary is authorized under the principle of solitary confinement. A hybrid system is adopted in New York at Auburn State Prison.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1823</td>
<td>New York ends its reliance on long-term solitary confinement at Auburn State Prison in favor of the Auburn System.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1825</td>
<td>The Boston Prison Discipline Society (BPDS), a penal reform society and supporter of the Auburn System, is founded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1826</td>
<td>Two publications call attention to insanity, illness, and death that resulted from long-term solitary confinement at Auburn State Prison and Maine State Prison. Western State Penitentiary opens and faces implementation difficulties from the beginning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1828</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Commissioners Shaler, King, and Wharton endorse the Auburn System; the Eastern building commissioners endorse pure, continuous solitary confinement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1829</td>
<td>Legislation authorizes the Pennsylvania System in its final form at both Eastern and Western. Eastern receives its first prisoner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1833</td>
<td>New Jersey adopts the Pennsylvania System at its prison.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1834–1835</td>
<td>A legislative committee investigates various charges against Eastern’s administrators, focusing on misuses of power, including fraud and torture.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Timeline

1838  Rhode Island adopts the Pennsylvania System at its prison. Legislation authorizes hiring a paid Moral Instructor at Eastern.
1843  The staff physician at Eastern is required to be a resident physician at the prison.
1844  Rhode Island abandons the Pennsylvania System and joins in criticizing the Pennsylvania System.
1854  Long-time Pennsylvania System critic and BPDS founder Louis Dwight dies.
1858  Pennsylvania State Legislature authorizes a commission to alter the penal code (enacted March 31, 1860). New Jersey passes legislation that begins to depart from the Pennsylvania System at its prison after years of partial implementation. Pennsylvania is the last state to use the Pennsylvania System.
1860s–1870s Eastern’s administrators increasingly focus on their own status as professional penologists and begin to refer to the Pennsylvania System as the “Individual Treatment System.”
1861  The so-called Commutation Law is passed at the behest of PSAMPP.
1862  The Pennsylvania Supreme Court strikes down the 1861 legislation in *The Commonwealth ex rel. Johnson v. Halloway*.
1866  Postwar crime increases bring overcrowding at Eastern and necessitates the double-celling of prisoners. Western’s administrators publicly condemn the Pennsylvania System.
1869  New legislation reauthorizes the commutation law. Pennsylvania legislature creates Board of Public Charities, which is authorized to oversee the state’s prisons and other “charitable” institutions. Pennsylvania legislature authorizes the congregation of prisoners at Western. Eastern officially becomes the last American prison to follow the Pennsylvania System.
1870s  Efforts to reduce overcrowding at Eastern do not keep pace with flood of prisoners; solitary confinement becomes an impossibility for most prisoners.
1911  Cellblock 11 opens at Eastern on the “big house” model.
1913  Legislation authorizes congregation at Eastern, officially ending the Pennsylvania System.
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