

Index

- Abel, Richard, 370–71
 abortion, 245, 254–55, 258–59, 291–92
 Abrams, Jamie, 21
 action vs. inaction, 86, 108; *see also*
 misfeasance–nonfeasance
 distinction
 African Americans, 4–5, 124–25
 Black Lives Matter movement,
 363–64
 incarceration rates and, 321–22
 lack of access to medical care, 130–31
 African-American women, 124–25
 bias against in criminal justice system,
 321–22
 maternal mortality and negative birthing
 outcomes, 130–31
 reproductive interests of, 21, 124–25, 130,
 137–38
 reproductive oppression and, 124–25,
 127–31
 sterilization abuse and, 123–24, 126–31
 Allen, Alena, 21, 123–29, 131–46, 195–98
 Ames, James Barr, 100–01, 103–04, 109–10
 amniocentesis, 249–50, 255, 257–58
 Andrews, William N., 27, 32, 34–35
 anti-discrimination claims and protections,
 169–71, 205–06, 315
 Austin, Regina, 7
 autonomy, 133–34, 198, 212, 244–45, 259–60,
 285–86
 bodily autonomy, 125–27
 constitutional law and, 296
 reproductive autonomy, 254–55, 259–60,
 291–92, 295–99, 302–04
 of women, 22, 222–23, 230–31, 244–46,
 258–59, 270, 272–73
 Banks, Taunya, 20
 battery, 13, 20–21, 121–22, 125–28, 130–31, 141,
 345
 distinctions from negligence claims, 129–30,
 135–37
 medical battery, 133–41
 punitive damage awards and, 139
 Becker, Mary, 99
 Bender, Leslie, 6, 60–61, 221, 230–32, 277–79,
 284–85
 Bernstein, Anita, 7, 8, 366
 bias, 12–13
 class, 20
 correcting for, 17–18
 definitions of, 18
 gender, 5–7, 20–22, 35–37, 223–24, 264–65,
 339–40
 implicit, 15, 17–18, 21, 101–02, 326–27
 male, 223–24, 339–40, 396–98
 racial, 5–6, 69, 321–22, 362
 structural, 8–9
 Bitton, Yifat, 21–22, 221–36
 bodily integrity, 99–100, 123–25
 democracy and, 103–04, 110–11
 protection of, 103–04
 right to, 134, 258–59
 violations of, 129–30, 141
 Bohlen, Francis, 33–34
Boyles v. Kerr, 22
 amicus briefs filed in, 263–64
 breach analysis in, 284–87
 commentary on, 261–73
 damages in, 274
 facts of the case, 273–75
 feminist judgment, 262–63, 273–90
 gender bias in, 263–66, 268–70

- Boyles v. Kerr* (cont.)
 IIED in, 266
 intent vs. negligence in, 270–71, 279–80
 jury verdict in, 286–87
 NIED precedents in, 268–69
 settlements in, 266, 274
- Brandeis, Louis D., 195, 201, 206–07, 210–12
- Broadnax v. Gonzalez*, 22, 249–51, 257–58
 background, 239–40
 commentary on, 237–47
 direct victim analysis in, 251–57
 duty analysis in, 240–49, 251–59
 facts of the case, 247–49
 feminist judgment, 247–60
 physical nature of the harm in, 257–58
 relationship to claims of fetal rights, 258–59
- Bublick, Ellen, 320–21
- Burger, Warren E., 382
- bystanders, as a category for NIED recovery,
 77, 88, 100–01, 103, 252–57, 267–68
 pregnant women as bystanders to their own
 bodies, 237–39, 241–42, 250–57
- Caen, Herb, 194–95, 202, 204–05
- Cain, Patricia, 232–33
- Calabresi, Guido, 234
- Caldwell, Paulette, 381–82
- Cardozo, Benjamin N., 20, 27, 31–38, 41–53, 133
- caregivers' injuries, devaluation of, 7–8
- causation, 31–32, 51, 221, 227
 actual cause, 107
 proximate cause, 31–32
- Chamallas, Martha, 126–27, 157, 166, 187,
 230–31, 259–60, 278, 287–88, 374, 380,
 383–84, 387–88
 on duty of care, 123–24
 on expert testimony, 379–80
 on gendered NIED claims, 265–67
 on gender-related injuries in calculus of
 standard of care, 223–24
 on recovery for emotional harm, 6–7
 on right to procreate, 123
 on use of statistical wage tables to calculate
 lost wages, 363, 378–79
- childbearing; *see also* pregnancy
 costs and burdens of, 296, 298–99
 desire to control, 291–306
- childbirth
 African-American women's experiences of,
 130–31
 gendered understandings of, 240
 medicalization of, 125–26
- child-rearing costs, 293–95, 306–13
 damages for, 298
 limited recovery rule and, 305–06
- children
 with disabilities, 298, 306–13
 romanticization of, 309–10
 stereotypes about, 293, 295–98
- civil liability; *see also* intentional torts;
 negligence; product liability; vicarious
 liability
 absolute liability, 57–58
 derivative liability, 168–69
 employer liability, 147–71
 for intentional acts, 7–8, 13, 107–08
 of medical professionals, 93–118, 126
 negligence, 7–8, 13, 16, 107
 no-fault basis of, 61, 70–72
 purpose of assigning, 276
 strict liability, 7–8, 13, 54–55, 58–59, 61–62,
 66–67, 69, 72, 107–08, 326, 335–37,
 339–40, 342–45, 352, 356–57
 third-party liability for sexual assault, 217–36
 three major theories of, 7–8, 14–15
 vicarious liability, 18–20, 22, 109, 170–71,
 335–40, 342–45, 352, 356–57
 warranty liability, 59
- civil rights, 7
 civil rights law, 111, 147–48, 154–55, 170, 315
 norms of, 18–19
 sterilization laws and, 144–45
- Civil Rights Act of 1871 (Ku Klux Klan Act), 111
- clergy sexual abuse, 17, 23, 395–97, 399–414
- common law, 70–71, 212, 413
 butterfly effect and, 11–12
 duty to act and, 74, 77–78, 117–18
 expansion of, 75–76
 feminist interventions and, 4
 relational–feminist expansion of, 73–92
- comparative negligence, 319–21, 324, 329, 332
- constitutional law, 4, 212–13; *see also* equal
 protection; first amendment
 abortion and, 291–92
 autonomy and, 296
 contraception and, 291–92
 reproductive autonomy and, 296
 right not to have children and, 296, 302–04
- consumer protection, 17, 20, 61–63, 67, 72
- contracts
 breach of, 54
 contract-based doctrines, 59
 contract defenses, 54
- contributory negligence, 22, 330–33

- Copelon, Rhonda, 286–87
 Cornyn, John, 265–67
 cost–benefit analysis, 321, 328
 coverture, 4–5, 99–100, 110–11
 Crenshaw, Kimberlé, 381–82
 Cressy, Sara, 22–23, 371–89
 criminal justice system, racial bias in, 321–22
 criminal law, 19
 vs. tort law, 321–22
 critical race scholarship and theory, 7–8,
 123–24, 129–30
 critical theory, 4, 15
 critical torts scholarship, 3–9
 Cunningham, E. Christi, 20–21
- damage caps, 17–18, 20, 23, 78–79, 390–414;
 see also noneconomic damage caps
 disproportionate impact of, 394–95
 due process and, 394
 equal protection and, 394, 396–97
 exemption of catastrophic physical injuries
 from, 394
 feminist legal scholarship on, 398
 male bias in, 396–98
 noneconomic damage caps, 18, 20, 23,
 391–95, 401
 rational basis review and, 396–97
 sexual assault and, 23
 US Supreme Court and, 410–11
 damages, 107, 129–30; *see also* damage caps;
 noneconomic damage caps
 accuracy in determining, 383–84, 386–88
 benefit–offset rule, 293, 297, 307–12
 compensatory, 129–30, 132
 determination of, 7–8, 20, 361–89, 405
 economic vs. noneconomic, 17
 emotional harm and, 132, 245–49
 ethnicity and, 22–23, 361–89
 vs. injury, 274–75
 life expectancy and, 364–65
 limitations on, 14–15, 390–95
 limited recovery rule for wrongful birth,
 305–06
 for loss of business opportunity, 187–93
 measure of, 11, 13–14, 292–93, 300, 304–06
 punitive, 123–24, 127–30, 132, 139, 145–46,
 183
 race and, 22–23, 361–89
 sex and, 22–23, 361–89
 use of gender, ethnicity, and race-based data
 to determine, 18–19, 361–89
- Davis, Mary, 20
- de Beauvoir, Simone, 117
 Delgado, Richard, 5–7
 deterrence, 58–59, 66, 268–90, 365, 409–10
 Diamond, Bernard, 115
 dichotomies
 gender related, 17
 physical–emotional distinction, 17
 skepticism toward, 8–9
 dignitary injuries, 7, 125–26, 129–30, 140,
 142–43, 145–46, 285–86
 direct victims as basis for NIED recovery,
 237–39, 241–42, 250–57
 Dobbs, Dan T., 383, 412–13
 doctor–patient relationship, 93–118
 duty of care and, 237–60
 as fiduciary relationship, 251–52
 medical paternalism in, 125–26, 130–31, 133–34
 two-patient model for pregnancy, 240–42
 domestic abuse and violence, 7–8, 19, 21, 110,
 172–93
 believing survivors of, 180–81
 control and, 192
 cumulative acts of, 175–76
 emotional injuries stemming from, 17, 21
 spousal immunity and, 179–80
 survivors of, 179–81
 Dooley, Laura, 22, 240–60
 duty/duties of care, 20, 35, 38, 47–48, 74, 86,
 107, 217–36, 241, 251–59, 269, 280–84,
 286; *see also* duty to protect; duty to
 rescue or aid; duty to warn potential
 victims of threats
 affirmative duties, 93, 115
 analysis of, 240–49, 251–59
 business invitee duty, 35, 45–47
 changing standards of, 84–85
 common carrier duty to passengers, 47–48
 contractual relationships and, 234–36
 doctor–patient relationship and, 237–60
 factors for establishing duty, 90–91, 222–23,
 227–36
 gender-related injuries in calculus of, 223–24
 golden rule framework of, 79–80
 liberal approach to, 218–19
 medical professionals and, 123, 237–60
 NIED and, 264–65
 physical harm and, 224–25
 public policy and, 107–09, 283–84
 special relationships and, 74–78, 82, 84–85,
 88–92, 107–08, 114–16
 totality of the circumstances approach,
 218–19

- duty to protect, 103–04, 111, 114–16, 222–23, 234
 duty to rescue or aid, 20–21, 73–92, 108
 discharging of, 87–88
 duty of easy rescue, 109–10, 117–18
 duty to avoid affirmative acts worsening
 situation, 84–85, 89
 duty to act reasonably in rendering aid, 84–85
 exceptions to no duty to rescue rule, 84–85
 imposed by statute or contract, 84–87
 indemnification for rendering aid, 79, 86–87
 as matter of law or not, 82, 90–92
 special relationships and, 77–78, 82, 84–85,
 88–92
 scope of, 82
 technological change and, 88
 traditional bases for finding, 89–90
 duty to warn potential victims of threats, 94–96,
 98, 103–04, 108–18
 Dyson, Maurice, 20, 35–53

 emergency doctrine, 49–50
Emerson v. Magendantz, 22, 304
 background of the case, 293–95
 commentary on, 291–300
 dissenting views in, 295
 feminist judgment, 295–98, 300–13
 implications of, 298–300
 measure of damages and, 292–93, 304–06
 emotional distress, 13, 21, 128, 140, 147–76, 180,
 184–85, 345; *see also* intentional
 infliction of emotional distress (IIED);
 negligent infliction of emotional
 distress (NIED)
 as basis for recovery, 14–15, 17, 179, 250–58,
 270–71, 276–78, 280–81
 evidence of, 121–22
 foreseeability of, 267–69
 medical malpractice and, 247
 minimization of, 123, 395–96
 miscarriage and stillbirth and, 129–30,
 239–40, 244–51, 258, 266–67
 physical injury and, 189, 268–87
 as traditionally uncompensable, 278
 emotional trauma, 323–25
 sexual assault and, 396, 403–04, 408–09,
 413–14
 empathy, 15, 282, 284–85, 318–19, 322
 of judges, 269, 282–83, 318, 366
 employees
 protection for, 17
 tortious acts of, 335–39, 346–56

 employer liability for employees' tortious acts,
 see vicarious liability
 enterprise liability, loss allocating/risk
 distributing bases for, 59–60
 equality, 9–10, 18–19, 21; *see also* equal protection,
 gender equality, racial equality
 constitutional norms of, 18–19
 of opportunity, 61
 principles of, 212–13
 relational, 79
 Title VII norms of, 18–19
 equal protection
 Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection
 Clause, 372, 378, 382, 385, 389, 404–12
 damage caps and, 394, 396, 399–400, 404–14
 intermediate scrutiny and, 381–82
 principles of, 18–19, 23, 199, 213, 380, 404–12
 rational basis review, 396–97
 strict scrutiny and, 365, 382–86
Escola v. Coco-Cola Bottling Co., 20, 234
 commentary on, 54–62
 facts of the case, 63–64
 feminist judgment, 63–72
 lasting impact of, 54–55
 original opinions, 55–60
 res ipsa loquitur in, 64–66
 strict liability in, 66
 ethnicity
 G.M.M. v. Kimpson and, 380–81
 privacy and, 201–02
 ethnicity-based earnings tables, 361–89
ex ante compensation theory, 319–20
 expert testimony, 375–80

 failure to warn, 108, 117–18; *see also* duty to
 warn potential victims of threats
Farwell v. Keaton, 20–21
 commentary on, 73–82
 court of appeals and, 82–84, 92
 duty to rescue in, 84–92
 facts of the case, 82–84
 feminist judgment, 82–92
 impact of, 77–78
 original opinion, 73–78
 social companions as test for duty in, 76–78
 Feinberg, Kenneth, 363
 feminism and feminist theory, 3–4, 6, 10, 15,
 295–96
 anti-subordination feminism, 151–52
 applied feminist scholarship, 7–10
 constitutional law and, 4

- criminal law and, 4
- critical race feminism, 61
- cultural feminism, 7–8, 78–79
- decolonial feminism, 201–02
- definitions of, 10, 366–67
- different voice theory, 78–79
- dominance feminism, 7–8, 98–101, 151–52, 340–41
- intersectional feminism, 7–9, 61, 98–99, 101–02, 123–24, 367–68
- legal reform and, 201
- liberal feminism, 7–8, 200–01, 295–96
- relational feminism, 73–92, 98–99
- right to privacy and, 201
- second wave feminism, 259–60, 315
- statutory civil rights law and, 4
- transnational feminism, 201–02
- women's experiences and perspectives
 - central to, 18, 150–51, 177–78, 296, 339
- feminist judgments projects, 9–11
 - feminist judgments torts project, 9–11, 99
 - launching of, 10
 - methodology of, 10
- feminist legal theory, 4, 6, 129–30, 150–55, 395–96, 398
- feminist torts scholarship, 3–9
- Fenton, Zanita, 20, 60–72
- fertility
 - desire to control, 291–306
 - loss of, 126–27, 142–43
- fetal rights, 22, 240, 245–47, 258–59
- Finley, Lucinda, 230–31, 259–60, 288, 406–08
 - on complex reality of pregnancy, 22
 - on damage caps and access to civil justice system, 681, 408
 - on male bias in tort doctrines, 6, 231–33
 - on undercompensation for death of a child, 78–79
- First Amendment, 208–09, 211–13, 272–73
- Ford, Christine Blasey, 76
- Ford, Gerald, 194–213
- Forell, Caroline, 21
- foreseeability, 14–15, 21–22, 219, 222, 226–33, 326
 - androcentric interpretation of, 223–24, 339–40
 - of emotional distress, 267–69
 - extent of burden on defendant, 222–23, 229, 234–36
 - gender bias and, 339–40
 - gender implications of, 222
 - in *Lisa M.*, 347, 351–53
 - logic of, 233
 - in *McCarty*, 325–26
 - medical malpractice and, 304–05
 - of rape, 231–33, 236
 - reasonable care and, 227–29
 - reliance on, 224–25
 - sexual violence and, 231–33, 236, 341, 357
 - test for, 217–22, 224
 - women's perspectives on, 223–24
- Fourteenth Amendment, *see* equal protection
- framing of facts, 315–17
- freedom of association, 21, 208, 211
- freedom of speech, 209, 272–73
- freedom of the press, 209
- future harm, policy of preventing, 222–23, 229, 234
- gay progressivism, 202
- gender, 3–5, 9–11, 15, 18
 - costs of harms based on, 357
 - damages and, 11
 - informed consent violations and, 133–34
 - privacy and, 201–02
 - reproductive oppression and, 123–24
 - tort doctrines and, 8–9, 11
- gender bias, 5–9, 11, 20–23, 223–24, 339–40, 396–98
 - foreseeability and, 339–40
 - in *Lisa M.*, 339–40
 - in *Palsgraf*, 35–37
- gender blindness, 8–9
- gender correlated dichotomies, 17
- gender equality, 4, 62, 124, 131, 298–99
- gender inequality, 11–12, 230
- gender neutrality, 5–7, 367–68
- gender stereotypes, 15–16, 240–49, 268–70, 274–75
- gender violence, 21, 93–118
- gender wage gap, 408
- Genovese, Kitty (Catherine), 80–82, 88–89, 103
- Gibson, Phil S., 60–61, 63–66
- Gilligan, Carol, 78–79
- G.M.M. v. Kimpson*, 22–23
 - blended wage tables used in, 369–70
 - commentary on, 361–71
 - constitutional analysis, 381–89
 - estimation of damages, 374–78
 - ethnicity and, 380–81
 - expert testimony in, 375–80
 - facts of, 372–73
 - feminist judgment, 366–68, 371–89
 - historical and legal context, 362–64, 373–74

- G.M.M. v. Kimpson* (cont.)
 opinions compared, 366–68
 original opinion, 364–68
 race and, 380–81
 sex-based classifications and, 380–81
 state action and, 378–80
- Good Samaritans, 74–75, 86, 98–99, 103
- Green, Leon, 33, 91, 278
- Guthrie v. Conroy*, 21
 anti-discrimination claims and tort law in,
 169–71
 anti-subordination feminism and, 151–52
 commentary, 147–56
 dominance feminism and, 151–52
 feminist judgment 156–71
 IIED and, 158–64, 166–71
 judicial techniques to exclude feminist
 perspectives, 152–55, 158
 NIED and, 169–71
 original opinion, 149–50
 outrageous behavior test in, 165–66
 slicing and dicing plaintiff's claims and
 evidence, 153–54
 summary judgment in, 171
 summary judgment used to exclude
 women's narratives, 152–53
 gynecological examinations, standard of care
 for, 354–56
- Hand, Learned, and his formula for
 negligence, 328–29
- harassment, 5–6, 12–13, 18, 20–21, 79–80, 147–71
 lived experiences of, 21
 multidimensional, 7
 normalization of, 73–92
 racial, 5–6
 remedies for, 170–71
 sexual harassment, 7–8, 12–13, 18–21, 73–92,
 147–71, 315
 tort law and, 154–55
 vicarious liability and, 170–71
- Hébert, Camille, 21
- Hensler, Deborah, 411
- Hispanics, 15–16, 361, 364, 369–89
- Hoffmann, Anna Lauren, 21
- Holmes, Oliver Wendell, 36–37, 287–88, 307
- homophobia, history of, 200
- honor killings, 101–02
- hook-up culture, 269
- humanitarianism, 73–92
- human rights, 145–46
- humiliation, as injury, 287–89
- husbands, rights of, 4–5
- Hutchinson, Darren Lenard, 381–82
- Hynes, Jessica, 21–22
- inequality, 11–12, 19, 386–88
 reproduction of, 19–20
 tort law as site of, 5–6, 12, 19
- informed consent, 125–26, 128–30, 132–36,
 138–39
- injury, 268–87; *see also* specific kinds of injury
 vs. damages, 296–97, 303–04
 definitions of, 22, 268–87
 emotional harms and, 22, 278
 emotional vs. physical, 268–87
 humiliation as, 287–89
 relational harms and, 22, 278
- insurance
 availability, cost, and prevalence of, 222–23,
 229, 234
 homeowner's insurance, 268–90
 IIED and, 266
 intentional torts exclusion from coverage,
 180
- intent, 270–71, 279–80
 intentional or reckless acts, 172–73, 175, 178,
 180, 184–92
 liability based on, 7–8, 13, 20, 107–08, 180
 vs. negligence, 270–71, 274–75, 279–80
 intentional infliction of emotional distress
 (IIED), 121–22, 128, 130–31, 141–45,
 147–93, 266, 345
 in *Boyles*, 266
 doctrinal elements of, 172–73, 175–82,
 184–92
 extreme and outrageous conduct, 147–48,
 167–68, 175–76, 178–80, 184–87
 in *Guthrie v. Conroy*, 157–64, 166–71
 high standards for proving, 272–75
 improper limits on, 160–64
 intentional or reckless acts, 172–73, 175, 178,
 180, 184–92
 in *Lisa M.*, 345
 in *Lyman v. Huber*, 182–84
 vs. negligent infliction of emotional distress
 (NIED), 268–90
 objective symptomology for severe distress,
 178, 181
 recovery for, 275–77
 revenge porn and, 271–72
 in *Robinson v. Cutchin*, 141–45

- severe emotional distress, 172–81, 184–92
 test for, 172–73, 175–81
 sexual conduct not required for, 166–67
 shock the conscience test for, 78, 80, 82, 85–91
- intentional torts: *see* battery; intent; IIED;
 privacy; vicarious liability
- intersectionality, 201–02; *see also* feminism and
 feminist theory, intersectional
 feminism
 intersectional oppressions, 201
 LGBTQ individuals and, 201
- James, Fleming, 61–62
 Jasen, Matthew, 250, 252–57
 Jim Crow segregation, 68–69
 Johnson, Hannah Brenner, 22, 315–17, 320–34
 judges
 empathy of, 269, 282–83, 318, 366
 lived experiences of, 269
- judicial decision-making, 10, 15–17
 judicial techniques to exclude feminist
 perspectives, 152–55
- jury trials, 324, 338–39, 411–13
 right to, 397–400, 402, 406, 408–09,
 412–14
- jury verdicts, 286–87, 327–28, 330–31
 excessive verdicts, 410–11
- Kagan, Elena, 318–19
 Kalvan, Harry Jr., 330–31
 Kaufman, Eileen, 22, 240–60
 Kaye, Judith, 251
 Kennedy, Anthony, 245
 Kerber, Linda K., 6–7, 265–67, 278, 287–88
 King, Martin Luther Jr., 414
 Koenig, Thomas, 407–08, 412–13
 Ku Klux Klan Act of 1871, 111
 Kukura, Elizabeth, 22
- landowners/landlords
 negligence and, 364
 third-party liability for sexual assault and,
 217–36
- Langdell, Christopher Columbus, 36–37
 Larson, Jane E., 283
 law and economics, 315–22, 328–29
 law enforcement; *see also* campus police,
 police
 accountability of, 21
 implicit bias in, 101–02
- law school curriculum, first year, 9–10, 20
 lead-based paint, exposure to, 364–66, 372–74
 legal formalism, 36–37
 legal realists, 59–60
 LeGrand, Camille E., 324–25, 333
 Lens, Jill Wieber, 21, 23, 412–13
 LGBTQ individuals
 intersectionality and, 201
 lived experiences of, 199–200
 as plaintiffs, 18, 21
 right to privacy and, 194–213
 selective disclosure rights and, 198
- liability limiting doctrines, impact on women
 and people of color, 61–62
- liberal political theory, 195; *see also* feminism
 and feminist theory; liberal feminism
- Lisa M. v. Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial
 Hospital*, 22, 339
 battery in, 345
 commentary on, 335–43
 court of appeals ruling, 345–46
 dissenting views in, 338–39
 emotional distress in, 345
 facts of the case, 344–46
 feminist judgment, 343–57
 foreseeability and, 351–53
 gender bias in, 339–40
 IIED in, 345
 NIED in, 345
 original opinion, 338–39
respondent superior and, 345, 350–56
 risk distribution and, 353–54
 strict liability in, 345, 347, 349
 summary judgment in, 343–46
 vicarious liability in, 345–47
- Locke, John, 110
 Lodhia, Sharmila, 21, 94, 96–102
- loss of business opportunity, 175–77, 179,
 181–82, 184, 187–93
- loss of consortium claims, 5
- lost earning capacity, calculating, 364, 374–76,
 382–86
- Lyman v. Huber*, 21
 the actual case, 174–77
 background, 182–84
 commentary on, 172–81
 feminist judgment, 177–79, 181–93
 IIED in, 181–85
 implications of, 179–81
 intentional or reckless acts, 172–73, 178–80,
 184–86, 188–89

- Lyman v. Huber* (cont.)
 loss of business opportunity, 176–77, 179,
 181–84, 187–93
 ouster as tort theory in, 183–84, 187–93
 severe emotional distress in, 172–81, 184–92
 Lytton, Timothy D., 400–01, 409–10
- McCarty v. Pheasant Run, Inc.*, 22
 background, 314–15, 324–26
 commentary on, 314–22
 contributory negligence and, 330–33
 emotional trauma in, 320, 323–25
 facts, 315–17
 feminist judgment, 315–17, 322–34
 instructions to jury in, 327–28, 330–32, 334
 physical injury in, 320, 323–25
 Posner's opinion in, 315–16
 sexism in, 319–20
- MacKinnon, Catharine, 11–12, 100, 151–52, 315,
 340–41
- Madriz, Esther, 231–32
- male bias; *see also* gender bias
 in damage caps, 396–98
 foreseeability and, 223–24, 339–40
 in reasonable person standard, 177–78, 231–32
- Manian, Maya, 128–29
- manufacturers
 accountability and responsibility of, 70–72
 manufacturer–consumer relationship,
 59–61, 69–70
 obligations of, 58–59, 70
- marital rape, 320
- married women, historical legal status, and
 rights of, 4–5
- maternal mortality rates
 gender bias and, 131
 racial bias and, 130–31
- medical malpractice, 13–15, 19–20, 251–52
 duty of care and, 237–60
 pregnancy loss and, 251–57
 reproductive interests and, 291–306
 sexual assault and, 335–57
 tort reform movement and, 390, 392–95
- medical paternalism, 125–26
 gender and, 133–34
 racial oppression and, 130–31
- medical professionals, 18, 21–22, 115–16, 121–46
 accountability of, 97–98
 deference to, 123–24
 good faith of, 126–29, 134
 liability of, 93–118, 126
- #MeToo Movement, 4, 147, 272–73, 322, 363–64
- Mexican-American women, sterilization abuse
 and, 128–29
- Milk, Harvey, 202, 204
- miscarriages, 22, 237–60, 266–67
- misfeasance–nonfeasance distinction, 74, 79,
 86, 108, 224–25
- misogyny
 gay progressivism and, 202
 Prosser and, 201
- Moore, Sara Jane, 203–04
- moral blame, 222–23, 229, 233–34
- motherhood
 complex reality of, 298
 emotional and economic burdens of, 296,
 298–99
 maternal roles, 268–70
 maternal self-sacrifice, 125–26
 relational aspects of, 299
 stereotypes of, 22, 242, 244–45, 293, 295–99
 stillbirths and, 14–15
- Mosk, Stanley, 96
- negligence, 7–8, 13–15, 20, 22, 74, 107, 121–22,
 125–26, 129–30, 326, 328–29; *see also*
 reasonable care; reasonable person
 standard
 abstract relational concepts of, 51–53
 bases of, 59
 comparative negligence, 319–21, 324, 329,
 332
 contributory negligence, 330–33
 definitions of, 328
 distinguished from battery claims, 135–37
 foreseeability and, 227–28
 gender bias in, 223–24
 informed consent violations and, 126
 vs. intent, 270–71, 274–75, 279–80
 landowners and, 364
 privity limitation in, 56, 58
 proof of, 20
 reasonableness and, 326–27
 third-party liability for sexual assault and,
 217–36
 wrongful birth cases and, 298–99
- negligent infliction of emotional distress
 (NIED), 22, 156–57, 169–71, 183,
 237–38, 261–90, 345
 bystanders and, 267–68
 direct victims and, 267–68
 duty and, 264–65

- evolution of NIED claims, 266–68, 276–78
- gendered nature of claim, 265–67
- vs. intentional infliction of emotional distress (IIED), 268–90
- judicial resistance to recognizing, 272–75
- pregnancy loss and 266–67
- recovery for, 275–81
- revenge porn and, 271–72
- zone of danger test for, 20, 37–38, 41–42, 46, 48–49, 250, 252–54
- no duty to aid or rescue doctrine, 20–21, 84–85, 108–09; *see also* duty
- no fault basis of liability, 59, 61, 70–72
- noneconomic damage caps, 18, 20, 23, 391–95, 401
 - constitutionality of, 18, 391–414
 - disproportionate impact of, 391, 396–98, 405–10
 - due process and, 392–93, 399–400, 410
 - equal protection principles and, 399–400, 404–14
 - exemption of catastrophic physical injuries from, 394
 - male bias in, 396
 - rational basis review and, 396–97, 399–401, 409–10
 - reproductive injuries and, 413–14
 - sexual assault victims and, 413–14
- Noonan, John T., 32, 34
- Obama, Barack, 314, 318–19
- Oberman, Michelle, 240–41
- O'Connor, Sandra Day, 314
- Olsen, Frances E., 277–78, 288
- ouster, 183–84, 187–93
- outrageous behavior, 18, 21, 145–71, 175–76, 189–90; *see also* IIED, extreme and outrageous behavior
 - definitions of, 21
 - determination of, 18–19, 164–68
 - IIED and, 180
 - tests of outrageousness, 142–45
- Palsgraf v. Long Island R.R. Co.*, 20, 256–57
 - business invitee duty in, 45–47
 - in casebooks, 33
 - commentary on, 27–38
 - common carrier duty to passengers in, 47–48
 - common-law doctrine ignored in, 45–48
 - emergency doctrine misapplied in, 49–50
 - factual background, 39–43
 - failure to promote safety or justice in, 38, 50–51
 - feminist judgment, 38–53
 - gender bias in, 35–37
 - reasonable person standard in, 49–50
 - relational concept of negligence in, 51–53
 - zone of danger test in, 48–49
- Paltrow, Lynn, 245–46
- paternalism, 123–26, 245
- people of color; *see also* African Americans, Hispanics, Mexican Americans, racial bias
 - devaluation of harms suffered by, 362–64
 - impact of liability limiting doctrines on, 61–62
- Perry, Twila, 22–23
- personal identity, 3–4, 10–11, 15, 142–43, 212
 - damages and, 11
 - liability and, 11
 - three dimensions of, 61
- personhood, conceptions of, 22, 270, 276
- physical harm, 13–14, 21, 71–72
 - as basis for recovery for IIED and NIED claims, 275–78
 - emotional injury and, 268–87
 - miscarriage and stillbirth as, 237–40, 244–54, 257–58
 - tort law's overemphasis on, 122–23
- police
 - accountability of, 97, 100–01, 116–17
 - campus police, 105–06, 116–17
 - police liability, 96–97, 100–01, 112–13
- Posner, Richard, 22, 286, 314–19, 324–25
 - law and economics approach of, 317–22
 - sex-based bias of his law and economics approach, 317–21
 - view of consent, 540.50m 318–20
- pregnancy, 6–7, 14, 22
 - autonomy of pregnant women, 245–46, 258–59
 - economic burdens of, 296, 298–99, 303, 307, 309–11
 - emotional burdens of, 296, 298–99
 - gendered understandings of, 240
 - health costs of, 296, 303, 305–13
 - interconnectedness of, 243–44, 257–59
 - right to terminate, 18–19, 258–59
 - stereotypes about, 242, 244–45
 - unwanted, 291–306
 - women's lived experiences of, 240, 245–49, 298

- pregnancy loss; *see also*, miscarriages; stillbirths
 differing responses to different forms of, 245
 emotional distress and, 250
 medical malpractice and, 251–57
 miscarriages, 22, 237–60, 266–67
 NIED and, 266–67
 as physical injury, 237–40, 244–54, 257–58
 stillbirths, 22, 237–60, 266–67
 wrongful death and, 250
- privacy, 18–19
 circulation of inaccurate or misleading
 information, 195–96
 ethnicity and, 201–02
 gender and, 201–02
 intrusion as privacy tort, 195–96
 invasion of, 263, 274
 liberal rights and norms and, 200–01
 nonconsensual use of name or likeness,
 195–96
 public disclosure of private information,
 194–213
 constitutional equality principles and,
 212–13
 elements of tort claim for, 205–13
 social context and, 205–06
 race and, 201–02
 religion and, 201–02
 right to, 18, 20, 194–213
 sexual privacy, invasions of, 10–13
 taxonomy of privacy decisions, 195–96,
 201
- products liability, 12–13, 54–72
 privity limitation, 67
 product defects, 67, 71–72
 product failure, 54, 61–62, 67, 71–72
- Prosser, William, 33–34, 61–62, 70, 90–91,
 139–40, 200, 280
 misogyny and, 201
 taxonomy of privacy decisions, 195–96, 201
- proximate cause, 31–32, 34–35, 107, 304–05, 307
- Pruitt, Lisa, 22
- psychotherapists/psychiatrists, 93–118
 accountability of, 97, 101, 112–16
- punitive damages, 123–24, 127–30, 132, 139,
 145–46, 183
- queer and trans theory, 7–8
- race, 4–5, 9, 11, 15, 18, 61, 68–69, 124
 critical race theory, 7–8, 17, 61, 123–24
 damages and, 11, 17, 380–81
 earnings data based on race to calculate
 damages, 361–89
 privacy and, 201–02
 race neutrality, 5, 367–68
 reproductive rights and, 122
 reproductive oppression and, 123–24,
 130–31
 racial bias, 5–6, 69, 110–11, 365
 in criminal justice system, 321–22
 damages and, 17, 362
 dignitary harms and, 129–30
 maternal mortality rates and, 130–31
 racial harassment and insults, 5–6
 racial pay disparities, 365
 racial stereotypes, 5–6, 15–16
 segregation, 5
 structural, 130–31
 violations of bodily integrity and, 129–30
 racial equality, 62, 69, 124, 131
- rape, 7–8, 13–14, 19, 22, 315–17, 324–25; *see also*
 sexual assault
 attempted, 325
 foreseeability of, 231–33, 236
 marital, 320
 minors as victims of, 400–01
 third-party liability for, 14–16, 20–22, 217–36,
 320–21
 underreporting of, 324–25
 victim blaming and, 320–21
- reasonable care, 107, 235–36, 327–28, 333
 cultural norms and, 326–27
 defining, 326–28
 standard of, 6, 74, 107, 109, 284–86, 326,
 354–56
- reasonable person standard, 6, 21, 37,
 49–50, 126, 172–75, 179, 184–85,
 187–89
 adverse gender effect of relying on, 18
 as normatively male, 177–78, 231–32
 reasonable woman standard, 177–78
- reproduction, 12–13
 African Americans and 124–25
 exceptional treatment of in tort law, 14–15
 regulation of, 124
 reproductive health, 254–55
- reproductive autonomy, 22, 123–27, 133–34,
 139–45, 254–55, 259–60, 291–92
 constitutional law and, 296
 impairment of, 296–97
 of women, 254–55, 258–60, 291–92, 295–99,
 302–04
- reproductive harms, 13–15, 18–19, 121–46
 sexual assault and, 396
 undercompensation for, 14
- reproductive justice, 9–10, 122–31

- reproductive rights, 7–8, 10–15, 22, 121–46
 race and, 122
 right not to have children, 291–306, 312
 right to procreate, 123–24, 126, 131, 140
 right to terminate a pregnancy, 258–59
res ipsa loquitur, doctrine of, 54, 56–58, 60–66
respondeat superior, 335–36, 338–39, 345–57
 policy objectives of, 342–44, 353–56
Restatement (First) of the Law of Torts, 172–73
Restatement (Second) of Torts, 172–78, 181,
 186–87, 189–91, 195–96, 205–07,
 209–10, 293, 303–04, 308–09, 326, 336
Restatement (Third) of Torts, 224–25
 revenge porn, 261–90
 right to civil jury, 23, 137–39, 143, 397–400, 402,
 406, 408–09, 412–14
 right to privacy, 20, 194–213; *see also* privacy
 feminism and, 201
 of patients, 115
 right to be let alone, 195, 201
 taxonomy of privacy tort law decisions,
 195–96
 risk distribution, 58–60, 342, 353–54
 Roberts, Dorothy, 124, 137–39, 143
Robinson v. Cutchin, 21
 battery claim in, 133–41
 commentary on, 121–31
 facts, 132
 feminist judgment, 123–29, 131–46
 implications of, 129–31
 IIED in, 141–45
 punitive damages in, 145–46
 summary judgment standard and, 132–33
 Roosevelt, Franklin Delano, 68–69
 Ruda, Lisa M. 408
 Rustad, Michael, 407–08, 412–13
- Sanders, Shaakirrah, 23, 392–414
 Schultz, Vicki, 166
 scope of employment, 336–39, 346–51, 356–57
 Seavey, Warren, 33–34
 separate spheres, family, and market, 5, 277–78
 September 11th Victims' Compensation Fund,
 363
 severe emotional distress, *see* IIED
 sexism, 5–7, 20–23, 35–37, 223–24, 317–22, 335,
 339–40, 396–98
 sexual assault, 4, 10–15, 19–22, 217–36, 325
 by clergy, 17, 23, 395–97, 399–414
 costs of, 342, 357
 duty to protect women from, 222–23, 234
 emotional trauma and, 396, 403–04, 408–09,
 413–14
 foreseeability and, 231–33, 236, 341, 357
 in healthcare setting, 22, 335–57
 minimization of, 325
 minors as victims of, 393–97, 399–414
 noneconomic damage caps and, 23, 391–92,
 398–99
 power imbalance and, 340–41, 348–49
 remedies for, 321, 357
 reproductive injury and, 396
 statistics on, 14, 351–52
 third-party liability for, 21–22, 217–36
 treated differently from other tort claims,
 337–38
 vicarious liability for, 20
 victim blaming and, 16, 320–21
 women's systemic vulnerability to, 21–22
 sexual exceptionalism, 14–15, 19–20, 341–42
 sexual harassment, 7–8, 12–13, 18–21, 79–80,
 147–71, 315
 hostile work environment, 21
 normalization of, 73–92
 remedies for, 170–71
 tort law and, 154–55
 vicarious liability and, 170–71
Sharon P. v. Arman Ltd., 21–22
 background facts and procedure, 225–27
 California Supreme Court ruling, 219–21
 commentary on, 217–25
 factors for duty in, 222–23, 227–36
 feminist judgment, 225–36
 implications of, 223–25
 Siegel, Reva, 11–12
 Silbaugh, Katharine, 22, 295–98, 300–13
*Simpkins v. Grace Brethren Church of
 Delaware, Ohio*, 390–414
 commentary on, 390–99
 equal protection principles and, 404–12
 feminist judgment, 399–414
 original opinion, 392–95
 right to civil jury and, 412–14
Sipple v. Chronicle Publishing Co., 21
 background and context, 195–98
 commentary on, 194–203
 equal protection principles and, 199
 feminist judgment, 198–99, 203–13
 reflections and limits, 199–202
 Skinner-Thompson, Scott, 21, 197–99, 203–13
 socioeconomic class, 61
 class bias, 20
 reproductive oppression and, 123–24
 Sotomayor, Sonia, 318–19
 Spector, Rose, 265
 Sperino, Sandra, 21, 150–56

- spousal immunity, 179–80
 stalking, 21, 73–92
 stereotypes, 5–6, 15–16, 21, 326–27
 gender, 15–16, 22, 240–49, 268–70, 274–75, 385
 of mothering, 22
 public policy and, 124
 racial, 5–6, 15–16, 385
 sterilization, 126
 abuse, 123–30, 137–40, 143–45
 failed, 291–313
 forced, 21, 123, 126–30, 137–40, 143
 measure of damages for failed sterilization, 300, 304–06
 racially motivated sterilization abuse, 126–29, 137–39, 144–45
 stillbirths, 22, 237–60, 266–67
 Story, Joseph, 411
 strategies to correct gender bias in tort law
 ameliorating disparate impact of neutral rules, 17–20
 borrowing constitutional and civil rights norms, 18–19
 correcting underutilization of tort law, 19
 ending sexual exceptionalism, 19–20
 tailoring tort doctrines to lived experience, 18
 strict liability, 7–8, 13, 20, 54–55, 61–62, 66–67, 69, 72, 107–08, 326, 335–40, 342–45, 352, 356–57
 consumer protection and, 62
 gender equality and, 62
 products liability and, 20, 54–55
 public policy and, 58–61, 67–72
 racial equality and, 62
 summary judgment, 158, 226, 345–46
 misuse of, 21
 used to exclude women’s experiences, 152–53, 158, 171
 Swan, Sarah, 20–21, 73–92, 223–24
 systemic injury, 14, 21–22, 232
 Tamer, Christine, 22
Tarasoff v. Regents of Univ. of Calif., 21
 in canon of tort cases, 93
 case background and original opinion, 93–98
 commentary on, 93–102
 culture and gender context, 111–14
 dissenting views, 96
 duty of campus police to warn, 116–17
 duty of easy rescue, 109–10
 duty to protect by warning, 114–16
 factual background, 104–07
 feminist framings of, 93–94
 feminist judgment, 102–18
 impact of, 93–98
 modern relevance of, 98–99
 state responses to, 97–98
 techniques of bias in tort law, 15–17
 devaluation of sexual injuries, 16
 failure to incorporate history of racialized practices, 17
 misunderstanding of pregnancy and reproduction, 16
 strategies to address, 17–20
 use of gender and race stereotypes, 15–16
 use of gender-related dichotomies, 17
 victim blaming, 16
 technological change, 61, 63, 66–67, 69–70, 88, 271–73, 284
 tenant–landlord relationship, 234–35
 Thomas, Jeffrey, 21, 177–81
 Thomas, Leah, 21, 177–81
 Thomas, Suja A., 411
 Tilley, Cristina, 22, 261–63, 268–90
 Title VII, 18–19, 147–48, 154–55, 170, 315
 Title XII, 315
 tort law, 3; *see also* duty; negligence; products liability; strict liability; specific types of tort claims
 anti-discrimination claims and, 169–71
 as blueprint for social relations, 117–18
 changing face of, 4–5
 vs. criminal law, 321–22
 critical scholarship and, 8–9
 evolution of, 54
 facial neutrality of, 10–12, 14–15, 18
 feminist inspired reforms and, 4
 male bias in, 221, 230–31
 marginalized groups and, 18
 overemphasis on physical injury, 122–23
 power to vindicate reproductive arm, 123–24
 revision of canon, 10–11
 sexual harassment law and, 154–55
 strategies to correct gender bias in, 17–20
 as valuable tool for redress for sexual violence victims, 333
 tort litigation
 economic effects of, 390
 role of, 21
 tort reform, 23, 390, 392–95
 Tovino, Stacey, 22, 335, 339–57
 Traynor, Roger, 20, 54–55, 57–72

- Unborn Victims of Violence Act, 246–47
 unwanted pregnancy, 291–306; *see also*
 wrongful birth
 cause of action for, 296, 300–04, 306–13
 as compensable harm, 296
 economic burdens of, 298–99, 303, 305–13
 emotional costs of, 298–99
 health costs of, 303, 305–13
 limited recovery rule and, 305
 measure of damages for, 300, 304–06
- vicarious liability, 13, 18–20, 22, 109, 335–40,
 342–45, 352, 356–57
 in *Lisa M.*, 345–47
 outgrowth of employment test for, 347–51,
 356–57
 risks of the enterprise test for, 336, 338, 346–47
 scope of employment test for, 336–39,
 346–51, 356–57
 for sexual abuse, 20
 sexual harassment claims and, 170–71
 victim blaming, 16, 320–21, 324, 329, 331–33; *see*
 also comparative negligence
 victim's perspective, 9, 21, 180–81
 Vidmar, Neil, 410–11
 violence against women, *see* domestic abuse
 and violence; sexual assault
- Warren, Samuel D., 195, 201, 206–07, 210–12
 Weinstein, Jack B., 158, 361, 364–68
 West, Robin, 78–79
 Wilder, Molly, 22
 Wildman, Stephanie M., 21, 94, 96–102,
 231–32
 Wood, Matthew W., 28–32
 workers' compensation, 61–62, 70–72
 Wriggins, Jennifer B., 22–23, 187, 363,
 371–89
 wrongful birth, 14–15, 18–20, 22, 291–92
 as cause of action, 300–04
 benefit-offset rule applied to, 293, 297,
 307–12
 limited recovery rule for damages, 305–06
 measure of damages for, 292–93, 300
 wrongful death, pregnancy loss and, 250
 wrongful life, 291–306
 cause of action for, 301–04
 measure of damages and, 292–93, 304–06
 wrongful pregnancy, 291–93
- Yoshino, Kenji, 197–98
- Zeisel, Hans, 330–31
 zone of danger test for NIED, 20, 37–38, 41–42,
 46, 48–49, 250, 252–54