

Index

- AAA Investments (Pty) Ltd v. Micro Finance Regulatory Council, 189
- Abdul Aziz Mohammad JCA, 243–246
- Aboriginal rights, in Canadian administrative law, 138
- Act of 1706 (Scotland), 84–85
- adjectival law, English judicial review and, 35
- adjudication
- Administrative Procedure Act 1946 and, 134–136
 - US bureaucracy and role of, 130–131
- Administration of Islamic Family Law (Malaysia), 239–240
- Administration of Justice (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act of 1938 (UK), 206
- Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) (Australia), 312–313, 315–318
- Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1977 (Cth) (ADJR) (Australia), 13–14, 314–315, 317–318, 319–320, 357
- Administrative Justice and the Supremacy of Law in the United States* (Pound), 131–133
- administrative law. *See also* judicial review; specific countries administrative law, e.g., Canadian administrative law
- common law systems, comparative analysis, 4–5, 350–358, 371–373
 - constitutional entrenchment and, 14–16
 - constitutional foundations of, 13–14, 362–363
 - defined, 117–118
 - dualism in, 69–71, 350–351
 - English vs. US jurisprudence, 117–137
 - future research issues, 373
 - international law and, 66–67, 75–76
 - multiple legal orders and, 19–20
 - post-colonial evolution of, 360, 367–371
 - substance-based judicial review and, 46–59, 350–351
 - temporal aspects of, 361
 - trans-Atlantic scholarship concerning, 128–133
 - transitional development and, 365–367
 - typology of systems, 21–23, 358–367
- administrative law judges (ALJs) (US), 126–127
- Administrative Procedure Act 1946 (US), 22, 125, 130–131, 134–136, 352–353
- Administrative Review Council (Australia), 319–320
- Administrator, Transvaal v. Traub*, 188–189
- Advocate-General (South Africa), 175–176
- Affirmation of Faith (*Kalimah Shahadah*), 246–248
- Africa, South African administrative law influence in, 179–181, 354
- Ahmad, Kassim, 249–250
- Ahmed, Farrah, 273–288, 356
- Ahmed, Shahabuddin (Justice), 303
- Ahmed and Others v. HM Treasury*, 334
- Ahrons, Constance, 372
- Airedale N.H.S. Trust v. Bland*, 262–263
- Ajay Hasia v. Khalid Mujib Sehravardi*, 275–276, 284–286
- Akech, Migai, 191–211, 354
- Alex Malikha Wasubwa & 7 others v. Elias Nambkha Wamita & 4 others*, 206–207
- Ali, Imman (Justice), 304
- ambiguity principle
- duality in judicial review and, 63, 68
 - international human rights law and, 75–76
 - New Zealand administrative law abandonment of, 332–333
- Anglo-Boer War of 1899–1902, 173
- Anglo-Irish Treaty 1921, 99–100
- Anisminic Ltd v. Foreign Compensation Commission*. *See also* *Wednesbury* principle
- Australian jurisdictional error and, 316
 - Bangladesh judicial review and, 297–298, 305–306
 - Canadian divergence from, 141–142
 - contested legacy of, 144–145
 - English law and legacy of, 145
 - Hong Kong judicial review and, 264–266
 - Israeli administrative law and, 164–165
 - judicial error and, 53–56, 58–59, 111–112
 - judicial review and, 46–48
 - jurisdictional issues and, 134
 - Malaysian religious conversion cases and, 245
 - New Zealand administrative law and, 343–344
 - Singapore ouster clause enforceability and, 223–228
 - South African administrative law and, 188–189
 - ultra vires doctrine and, 49
- Anwar Hossain Khan v. Speaker Jativa Sangsad (Parliament Boycott)*, 301–306
- anxious scrutiny review, 64–65, 70–71
- Canadian administrative law and, 154
 - Hong Kong judicial review and, 265–266

- apartheid
 - South African judicial review and, 175–176, 354
 - South African legal system and, 171–172, 174–177
 - Union of South Africa formation and, 173–174
- arbitrariness doctrine, Indian administrative law and, 273–274, 275–281, 283–286, 356
- Asmabi J, 250
- Associated Provincial Picture Houses v. Wednesbury Corporation*, 141–142, 244–246. *See also* Wednesbury principle
- A. Taib v. Minister for Local Government*, 202
- Attorney-General v. Ng Yuen Shiu*, 256–258
- Attorney-General (NSW) v. Quin*, 310, 315–318
- audi alteram partem*, 103–104
- Australia
 - common law in, 10–11
 - international-domestic law dualism in, 69–71
 - jurisdiction in law of, 134
 - ratification theory in legitimate expectations doctrine, 63
 - UK public law influence in, 310–311
- Australian administrative law
 - constitutional entrenchment and, 13–16, 124, 309–326, 362–363
 - natural justice and legitimate expectation and, 321–325
 - overview of, 13–14, 357
 - public law and, 310–311, 312–313, 357
 - rise of constitutional review and, 315–318
 - statutory reform of, 314–315
- Awami League (AL) (Bangladesh), 298–300
- AXA General Insurance v. Lord Advocate*, 89–90
- Baker v. Canada*, 66–67, 75–76
- Baker v. Carr*, 166–167
- BALCO Employees Union v. Union of India*, 287
- Bangalore Principles*, 63, 68
- Bangladesh
 - judicial review in, 17, 295–298
 - transitional development in, 365–367
- Bangladesh administrative law, 289–306
 - colonization and, 289–291
 - decolonization and, 300–306
 - historical development, 291–295, 302–306, 356–357
 - jurisdictional reach and, 301–302
- Bangladesh National Party, 298–300
- Bangladesh v. Advocate Asaduzzaman Siddiqui*, 299, 304
- bankruptcy legislation, English law and, 41–42
- Bankrupts Act of 1603 (UK), 41–42
- Barak, Aharon (Justice), 167–168
- Barak-Erez, Daphne, 159–170, 353–354, 363–365
- Barisan Nasional coalition (Malaysia), 238–240
- Barrington J, 112–113
- Barton-Prescott v. Director-General of Social Welfare*, 331–332
- Basic Law (Hong Kong)
 - Article 39, 258–261
 - Chinese power over, 258–261
 - continuity of, 255–261, 355–356
 - divergence from English law in, 266–271
 - international instruments and, 267–268, 269–270
- basic structure doctrine
 - Bangladesh administrative law and, 299, 304
 - Singapore statutory interpretation and, 229–230
- Bastarache J, 142–144
- Bato Star Fishing (Pty) Ltd v. Minister of Environmental Affairs*, 184–185
- Baxi, Upendra, 274–275, 286–287
- Baxter, L. G., 48–49, 175–176, 188–189
- Beetz, Jean, 142
- Beg (Justice), 278
- Beinart, B., 174–177, 180–181
- Bejerano v. Minister of Police*, 163
- Ben Gurion, David, 163
- Berman v. Minister of Interior*, 165
- Bhagwati (Justice), 284–286
- Bharat Bank Ltd Delhi v. The Employees of the Bharat Bank Ltd Delhi*, 244–246
- Bhuwania, Anuj, 281–282
- Bill of Rights Ordinance (BORO) (Hong Kong), 258–261, 267–268, 270–271
- Bingham (Lord), 18–19, 62, 64–65, 75
- Births and Deaths Registration Act (BDRA) (Malaysia), 251–253
- Blackstone, William, 121–122, 128–131
- Board of Education v. Rice*, 188–189
- Boddington v. British Transport Police*, 189
- Boer republics, 173
- Bokhary (Justice), 269–270
- Bradley, A. W., 89–90
- Brennan J, 315–318, 324
- Bridge (Lord), 63
- British Mandate in Palestine, English law influence
 - during, 159–161
- Brodie (Lord), 93–94
- Browne-Wilkinson (Lord), 61
- Bryce, James, 128–131
- Bugdaycay v. Secretary of State for the Home Department*, 63, 358–359
- Bulk Gas Users Group v. Attorney-General*, 343–344
- bureaucracy
 - in colonial Kenya, 194–198
 - politicisation in US of, 127–128
 - US administrative control of, 126–127, 135–136
 - US scholarship concerning, 131–133
- Byrne v. Ireland*, 104–105
- Calvin v. Carr*, 343
- Canada
 - convergence of English and domestic law in, 147–155
 - divergence from English law in, 138–156
 - international human rights law and domestic law in, 66–67
 - judicial reasoning in, 21
 - legal change in, 367
- Canadian administrative law
 - Bangladesh and influence of, 304
 - convergence with English law in, 150–152, 353
 - divergence from English law in, 141–142, 353
 - evolution of, 138–156, 353

- Canadian administrative law (cont.)
 future trends in, 153–155
 legal community criticism of, 145–147
 New Zealand administrative law and, 343
 Parliamentary sovereignty and, 75–76
 substantive review in, 140–141, 145–147
 Supreme Court of Canada complexity concerning, 152–153
 Canadian International Development Agency, 304
Canadian Union of Public Employees v. New Brunswick Liquor Corporation, 142–144
 Cane, Peter, 9–11, 22, 31–32, 117–137, 312–313, 352–353
 caretaker government (Bangladesh), 298–300
 Carnwath (Lord), 58–59, 155, 184–185
Cart v. The Upper Tribunal, 53–56, 58, 155
 case law volume, English judicial review and, 33–34, 35–36
 Centre for Public Law (University of Cambridge), 8
 Certificate of Renunciation, Malaysian religious conversion and, 243–246
 certiorari, writ of
 Australian jurisdictional error and, 318–321
 Bangladesh administrative law and, 293–295
 Canadian administrative law and, 139
 case law volume, 33–34
 in English law, 33–34, 35, 111–112, 119–120
 in Scottish law, 87–88
Chairman, All India Railway Recruitment Board v. K Shyam Kumar, 277–278
Charter Holdings Ltd v. Commissioner of Inland Revenue, 339
 Charter of Rights and Freedoms (Canada), 139
Cheong Chun Yin v. Attorney-General, 224–225
 Cheung (Justice), 269–270
 Chevron doctrine, 22
A Child & Ors v. Jabatan Pendaftaran Negara & Ors, 251–253
*Chiu Teng @ Kallang Pte Ltd v. Singapore Land Authority*¹¹⁴ (*Chiu Teng*), 231–232
*Chng Suan Tze v. Minister of Home Affairs*³¹ (*Chng Suan Tze*), 219–222, 224, 228–231
 Civilian tradition in Scottish law, 95–96
 civil law
 Malaysian *fatwa* administrative fiat and, 248
 Malaysian religious conversion laws and, 246–248
 multi-layered legal systems and, 363–365
 US scholarship concerning, 131–133
 Civil Law Enactment (Malaysia), 235–236
 Civil Law (Extension) Ordinance (Malaysia), 235–236
 Civil Procedure Act (Kenya), 201
 clemency, Singapore judicial review and, 222–223
 Cohn, Margit, 22–23, 349–373
 Coke, Edward (Sir), 52, 132–133
 College of Justice Act 1532 (Scotland), 84–85
 colonization
 Bangladesh administrative law and, 289–291
 common law and, 367–371
 Islam and Malaysian Syariah law and, 237–238
 in Kenya, 191–194
 Malaysian Islamic law and, 239–240
 South African legal system and, 171–173
 US legal system in, 120–122
Commentaries on the Laws of England (Blackstone), 121–122, 128–131
Commissioner for Religious Affairs v. Tengku Mariam, 248–250
 Commissioners of Sewers (UK), 41–42
 commissions
 English administrative law and role of, 39–41
 remedial powers of, 41–42
 Commissions of Plantation of Kirks and Valuation of Teinds (Scotland), 84–85
 common law
 administrative law and framework of, 4–5, 350–358, 371–373
 arbitrariness doctrine and, 284–286
 Australian constitution and, 323–325
 Australian judicial review and, 314–315
 Bangladesh administrative law and, 291–295, 306
 Bangladesh judicial review and, 295–298
 comparative studies of, 5–11, 76–77, 350–358
 constitutionalised systems of, 21–23, 30–31
 constraint of public power and, 128–131
 courts vs. executive and, 16–17
 cultures of development and, 365–367
 diversity in, 11–12
 dualism in English judicial review and, 65–71
 executive power and, 16–17
 future research issues, 373
 government systems and, 10–11
 Hong Kong colonial law and, 255
 Hong Kong judicial review and, 267–268
 Indian administrative law and, 274–275
 Indian judicial review and, 277–278, 356
 indigenous legal systems and, 19–20
 international law dualism with, 60–61
 in Ireland, 99–100
 judicial review and, 3–23
 Kenyan judicial review and, 208–209
 legal change theory and, 367–371
 Malaysian Islamic Law reforms and, 239–240
 multi-layered legal systems and, 10–11, 19–20, 21–23, 363–365
 post-colonialism and, 162–163, 360
 separation of powers in, 16–17
 South African judicial review and, 176–177, 178–179
 substantive review and, 18–19
 transitional development and, 365–367
 typology of systems, 21–23, 358–367
 UK-European relationship and, 72–77
 US Constitution and, 124–126, 136–137
 US scholarship concerning, 131–133
 comparative administrative law studies
 common law systems and, 5–11, 76–77, 350–358
 constitutional vs. administrative law, 12–16
 judicial reasoning styles and, 20–21
 legal change theory and, 367–371
 multiple legal orders and, 19–20
 comparative constitutional law, comparative public law and, 3

- Comptroller of Customs v. Terminals (NZ) Ltd*, 337–338
- Confederation of the Canadian provinces, 138–141
- Congress (US)
- administrative control and, 126–127
 - US Constitution and powers of, 122–124
- Conservation Act (New Zealand), 330
- Constitution Act 1867 (Canada), 138–141
- Constitution Act 1982 (Canada), 139
- constitutional competence, Canadian administrative law and, 153–154
- Constitutional Court (South Africa), 178–179, 183–185
- constitutional law. *See also* specific constitutions, e.g.,
- Constitution of Bangladesh
 - administrative law and, 13–16, 362–363
 - Australian judicial review and, 309–310, 315–318, 362–363
 - Bangladesh administrative law and, 289–291, 293–295, 298–300
 - Bangladesh judicial review and, 295–298
 - in Canada, 138–141
 - common law systems and, 14–16, 21–23
 - dualism and, 66–67, 69–71, 73
 - human rights norms in, 14
 - Indian administrative law and, 273–274, 275–278, 280, 282–283
 - in Ireland, 98–99, 100–110
 - Irish judicial review and, 98–114
 - Islam and Malaysian Syariah law and, 237–238
 - Israeli administrative law and, 169
 - Kenyan constitutional petition jurisdiction, 203–204
 - Kenyan judicial review and, 203–204, 209–211
 - Kenyan parallel constitutions and applications jurisdictions in, 204–207
 - Malaysian administrative law and, 240–253
 - Malaysian Syariah law and, 236–237
 - New Zealand administrative law and, 327–329
 - religious conversion and Malaysian Syariah law and, 242–246
 - in Scotland, 92–96
 - in Singapore, 215–216, 217–218, 220–222
 - in South Africa, 174, 177–179, 362–363
 - transitional development and, 365–367
 - in United States, 124–126, 131–133, 352–353
- Constitution of 1961 (South Africa), 174
- Constitution of 1983 (South Africa), 174
- Constitution of 1996 (South Africa), 177–179
- Constitution of Australia
- judicial review and, 309–310
 - public law and, 310–311
- Constitution of Bangladesh, 289–291, 293–295, 298–300
- Article 102, 293–295, 301–302
 - historical jurisprudence and foreign jurisdiction influence in, 303
 - jurisdictional reach and, 301–302
- Constitution of Canada, 138–141
- Constitution of Kenya 2010, 203–211
- Article 47, 203–204
- Constitution of Malaysia
- Article 121(1A), 240–253
 - fatwa* administrative fiat and, 248–250
 - Malaysian religious conversion laws and, 246–248
- Constitution of the United States
- common law and, 124–126, 136–137, 352–353
 - distribution of power in, 122–124
 - separation of powers in, 130–131
- Controlling Administrative Power: An Historical Comparison* (Cane), 9–11
- control regimes, administrative law and, 9–11
- Convention Against Torture (CAT), 70–71, 265–266
- Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 66–67, 68, 72, 76–77
- Cooke, Robin (Lord), 68, 184–185, 343–344
- Cooper (Lord President), 81–82
- coram non judice*, Bangladesh judicial review and, 305–306
- Corbett CJ, 188–189
- Corder, Hugh, 5–6
- correctness standard, Canadian judicial review and, 150–152
- Costello J, 112–113
- Coughlin* principle, 262–263
- Council for Civil Service Unions & Ors v. Minister for the Civil Service*, 274–275
- Council of Civil Service Unions (CCSU) v. Minister for the Civil Service*, 188–189, 218, 245
- Court of Appeal (Singapore), 220–222
- Court of Final Appeal (CFA) (Hong Kong)
- Basic Law continuity and, 258–261
 - English law relevance and, 264–266
 - judicial review and, 262–263
- Court of First Instance (Hong Kong), 265–266
- Court of Session (Scotland), 84–85
- courts. *See also* Supreme Courts, specific countries, e.g.,
- Supreme Court (Canada)
 - Australian administrative law and, 311–313
 - Australian judicial review and, 314–315
 - Bangladesh judicial review and, 295–298
 - in colonial Kenya, 194–198
 - distrust of administrative law in, 30–31
 - executive power and, 16–17
 - history in English law of, 119–120
 - Hong Kong colonial government and, 255–261
 - Indian administrative law and, 282–287
 - in Ireland, 98–100
 - Irish supervisory jurisdiction and, 101–103
 - judicial reasoning in, 20–21
 - Kenyan democracy movement and, 201–203
 - Malaysian Syariah law and formation of, 235–236, 238–239
 - New Zealand judicial review and access to, 340–342
 - in post-independence Kenya, 199–201
 - rule of law in South Africa supported by, 182–183
 - scope of substantive review in, 18–19
 - South African common-law tradition in, 181–187
 - South African judicial review and role of, 176–177
 - in United States, 124–127
 - Courts of Sewers (UK), 41–42
 - Courts Reform (Scotland) Act 2014, 93, 351–352
 - Craig, Paul, 25–45, 149–150, 350–351, 367
 - Craig v. South Australia*, 316

- Criminal Justice and Courts Act (New Zealand), 340–342
- Criminal Law (Temporary Provision) Act (CLTPA) (Singapore), 219–222, 228–231
- Crown Redress Act 1877, 328–329
- Curia Regis* (Ireland), 102
- custody cases, Malaysian religious conversion laws and, 246–248
- customary law
- of African indigenous peoples, 173
 - legal change theory and, 364
 - in Malaysia, 235–236
- Daly, Paul, 98–114, 138–156, 352, 353
- Dapei Zahav Ltd v. Broadcasting Authority*, 167–168
- Davidson v. Scottish Ministers*, 95–96
- Declaration of Independence, 122–124
- decolonization, Bangladesh administrative law and, 300–306
- de Freitas v. The Permanent Secretary of Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Lands and Housing and Others (Antigua and Barbuda)*, 57
- democracy
- Bangladesh judicial review and, 298–300
 - Canadian administrative law and, 153–155
 - in colonial US, 121–122
 - US administrative law and, 127–128
- Democratic Alliance v. President of the Republic of South Africa*, 185–187
- Denning MR (Lord), 144–145, 192
- Department of Islamic Development (Jabatan Kemajuan Islam Malaysia (JAKIM)), 249–250
- Derryaflafla Horde, 105
- detention orders, Singapore judicial review of, 219–222
- development, administrative law and culture of, 365–367
- Dicey, Albert Venn, 5–6, 13–14, 16–17, 28–32, 128–131, 132–133, 350–351
- on parliamentary sovereignty, 50–53
- Dickinson, John, 131–133
- Dickson, Brian (Justice), 142–144, 145
- Diplock (Lord), 46–48, 111–112, 145, 218, 261–263, 316
- Director General of National Registration (Malaysia), 251–253
- discretionary power, rule of law and, 46–48
- D & J Nicol v. Dundee Harbour Trustees*, 88–92
- domestic law, dualism with international law, 60–61
- Donoughmore Report. *See* Report of the Committee on Ministers' Powers (Donoughmore Report)
- droit administratif*, French principle of, 28–30, 128–131, 350–351
- dualism
- in Australian administrative law, 69–71
 - British origins of, 60–61
 - comparative approaches to, 71–77, 350–351
 - constitutional law and, 66–67, 69–71, 73
 - English administrative law and, 60–61, 64–65, 71–77
 - exportability of, 65–71
 - Hong Kong administrative law, 67
 - Hong Kong judicial review and, 265–266
 - human rights law and, 64–65, 359–362
 - Indian administrative law, 66–67, 69–71
 - international law and, 60–61
 - in Israeli administrative law, 168
 - judicial review and, 60–61, 65–71
 - in New Zealand administrative law, 68
 - parliamentary sovereignty and, 75–76
 - in Scottish administrative law, 69–71
 - in Singapore administrative law, 67
 - in South African administrative law, 66–67
 - sovereignist foundations and, 75–76
 - in Sri Lankan administrative law, 67
 - UK-European legal debate over, 72–77
 - Westminster model and, 358–359
 - in Zimbabwe administrative law, 69–71
- due process, in Fifth Amendment (US Constitution), 130–131
- Dugard, J., 67
- Dumani v. Nair*, 184–185
- Dunedin (Lord), 89–90
- Dunsmuir v. New Brunswick*, 21, 150–152
- Dutch East India Company, 172
- duty to act fairly, South African administrative law and, 188–189
- Dykes, Philip QC, 265–266
- East African Order in Council (1902), 197–198
- East Donegal Co-operative Livestock Mart Ltd v. Attorney General*, 106–107
- efficacy, of English judicial review, 36–37, 64–65
- Elias CJ, 334
- Elliott, Mark, 153–154
- English Administration of Justice (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1938, 198
- English administrative law
- during British Mandate in Palestine, 159–161
 - Canadian law convergence with, 147–155
 - Canadian law divergence from, 138–156
 - classical administrative law, 46–49
 - common law framework and, 4–5
 - complexity of, 152–153
 - constitutional entrenchment and, 14–16
 - constitutional principles and, 13–14
 - control regimes in, 9–11
 - distrust of, 30–31
 - doctrinal features in, 46–48
 - dualism in, 61–65, 71–77, 350–351
 - ECHR and, 64–65
 - evolution of, 4–5, 13–14, 25–45
 - expanding systems of, 4
 - government systems and, 9–11
 - history of, 25–45, 118–120, 350–351
 - human rights and, 14
 - Irish law and, 99–100
 - Israeli administrative law and, 159–170
 - judicial decision-making vs., 141–142
 - judicial review doctrine in, 28–31, 32–39
 - legacy of *Anisminic* case in, 144–145
 - misperceptions about, 44–45
 - multiple legal orders, 19–20

- post-independence Israel and legacy of, 162–163
 regulation and, 31–32
 Scottish judicial review and, 83–87, 88–92
 South African judicial review and, 184–185, 187–189
 substantive review in, 140–141
 threats to, 50–57, 58
 ultra vires doctrine and, 48–49
 US law compared with, 117–137
- English law. *See also* United Kingdom
 Australian Constitution and, 311–313
 Australian judicial review and, 309–310, 314–315, 316
 Australian public law and, 310–311, 357
 Bangladesh administrative law and, 292, 304, 306, 356–357
 British colonial law in US, 118–120
 case law volume in, 33–34
 continued relevance in Hong Kong of, 264–266
 dualism in, 60–61, 65–77
 ECHR and, 61–65
 exportability of, 60–77
 history of, 25–45, 350–351
 Hong Kong administrative law and, 261–263, 355–356
 Hong Kong colonial law and, 255–261
 international law and, 351
 judicial reasoning in, 20–21
 Kenya colonial law and, 191–198
 Kenyan democracy movement and influence of, 202
 legal doctrine in, 34–39
 legislation and, 31–32, 39–41
 Malaysian Syariah law and, 237–238
 misperceptions about, 44–45
 New Zealand administrative law and, 327–329, 357–358
 Scottish administrative law and, 81–83
 Singapore administrative law and, 215–216, 233
 in South Africa, 172–173
 Syariah law and, 234–236
 Westminster model and, 359–362
- Entick v. Carrington*, 162–163
EP Royappa v. State of Tamil Nadu, 273–274
- error of fact
 Irish supervisory jurisdiction concerning, 101–103
 New Zealand administrative law, 338–339
 South African administrative law and, 189
 South African judicial review and, 183–185
- error of law. *See also* jurisdictional error
Anisminic case and, 144–145
 Australian constitutional review and, 315–318
 Australian judicial review and, 314–315, 318–321
 Bangladesh administrative law and, 292
 Irish Constitution and, 111–112
 Irish supervisory jurisdiction concerning, 101–103
 judicial discretion concerning, 53–56, 58–59
 scholarship on, 14
 South African administrative law and, 188–189
- Ershad, Hussain Mohammed, 298–300
- European Communities Act 1972, 147–150
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
 administrative law and, 56–57, 61
 Hong Kong judicial review and, 264–266
 Human Rights Act 1998 (UK) and, 147–150
 Irish law and, 108–109
 judicial effect in, 61–65
 New Zealand administrative law and, 333–335
 UK withdrawal from, 72–77
 Westminster model and, 360
- European Court of Human Rights, 64–65, 267–268, 333–335
- European Union law, 108–109, 147–150, 351–352, 360, 364
- Evans v. Attorney-General*, 51–53, 58
- Evidence Act 1950 (Malaysia), 239–240
- evolutionist legal scholarship, 369–371
- E v. Secretary of State for the Home Department*, 184–185, 262–263, 338–339
- Exchequer Court Act 1856 (Scotland), 84–85
- excise collection, English regulatory policy and, 41–42
- exclusivity principle, Hong Kong judicial review and, 262–263
- executive power
 Bangladesh administrative law and, 292
 Bangladesh judicial review and, 295–298
 in colonial US, 121–122
 common law suspicion of, 16–17
 courts and, 16–17
 Hong Kong colonial law and, 255–261
 Hong Kong under PRC and, 256–258
 Kenyan constitutional law and, 210–211
 Kenyan judicial review and, 200–201
 non-justiciability and, 222–223
 Parliamentary supremacy and, 51–53
 scope of substantive review by courts of, 18–19
 Singapore judicial-executive separation and judicial co-equality and, 219–222
 Singapore judicial review and, 216–218
 Singapore statutory interpretation of, 228–231
 in South Africa, 175–176, 182–183
 suspicion of, 16–17
 US administrative law scholarship on, 131–133
 US suspicion of, 122–124
- extraterritorial asylum screening, EU-UK dualism concerning, 75
- Fair Administrative Act 2015 (FAAA) (Kenya), 180–181, 203–211, 354
- fairness doctrine, substantive review and, 18–19
- Fairuz, Ahmad CJ, 244–246
- Faiza Tamby Chik J, 242–246
- Farid, Cynthia, 289–306, 356–357
- Farikullah, Hanipah J, 250
- fatwa*, Malaysian administrative law and, 236–237, 248–250, 355
- federal common law (US), English law and, 124–126
- federalist governmental system, US adoption of, 122–128
- Federalist Papers*, 122–124
- Federal Territories Religious Department (JAWI) (Malaysia), 249–250, 251–253
- Federation of Malaya, 217–218, 234–236
- Firman, P. L., 188–189
- First Charter of Justice (British South Africa), 173

- First Nations, Canadian administrative law and role of, 138
- flood defences, English law and, 41–42
- Foreign Jurisdiction Act (UK), 192
- foreign jurisdictions, Bangladesh reliance on, 304
- Forsyth, Christopher, 20–21, 46–59, 177, 350–351, 360, 367
- Frankfurter, Felix, 132–133
- Frankfurter J, 319–320
- Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA, UK), 51–53
- Free State (South Africa), 173
- French law, *droit administratif* in, 28–30, 128–131, 350–351
- Freund, Ernst, 132–133
- Gageler, Stephen SC, 317–318, 319–320, 324
- Gardner, John, 280
- Gilbert Hezekiah Miya v. Advocates Disciplinary Committee*, 206–207
- Gledhill, Kris, 327–345, 357–358
- Gleeson CJ, 324
- Glorious Revolution of 1689, 119–120
- Goodnow, Frank, 5–6
- Gopal Sri Ram JCA, 243–246
- Government of Bangladesh and Others v. Sontosh Kumar Shah and Others*, 305
- government systems
- administrative law and, 9–11, 31–32, 130–131
 - in colonial Kenya, 191–194
 - in colonial US, 121–122
 - judiciary tensions with, 58
 - New Deal government scholarship and, 131–133
 - regulation and, 39–41
 - US federal government structure, 122–124
- Greene MR (Lord), 141–142
- green-light theory of administrative law, 30–31, 216–218, 350–351. *See also* red-light theory of administrative law
- Griffiths (Lord), 145
- Griswold v. Connecticut*, 105
- Groves, Matthew, 13–14, 309–326, 357
- Gummow (Justice), 69–71, 325
- habeas corpus
- Bangladesh judicial review and, 297–298
 - Bangladesh jurisdictional reach and, 293–295, 301–302
 - in US law, 124
- Hale (Baroness), 15–16, 55, 59, 73
- Hamburger, Philip, 132–133
- Hamilton, Alexander, 122–124
- Harlow, C., 3, 30–31
- Hawke's Bay Regional Investment Co Ltd v. Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc*, 342–344
- Hazare, Anna, 281–282
- heightened scrutiny, New Zealand administrative law, 336
- Henry VIII clauses, Irish law-making power and, 110
- High Court of Justice (Palestine), 160–161
- high seas, freedom of, New Zealand administrative law and, 332–333
- Himsworth, C. M. G., 93
- Hira v. Booysen*, 188–189
- Hodge (Lord), 64–65
- Hoexter, Cora, 171–189, 354
- Hoffman (Lord), 63, 73, 74
- Hong Kong
- Chinese absorption of, 255, 258–261
 - refugee screening law, 70–71
 - transitional development in, 365–367
 - Westminster model in, 358–359
- Hong Kong administrative law
- common law in, 22–23
 - dualism in laws of, 67
 - evolution of, 355–356
 - executive vs. courts in, 17
 - judicial review in, 14, 355–356
 - substantive review in, 18–19
 - time limit for judicial review in, 91
- Hope (Lord), 85–87, 89–90, 93–95
- Horowitz, Donald, 369–371
- House of Lords (UK)
- administrative law exportability and, 62
 - reshaping of administrative law by, 141–142
- How, Yong Pung, 229–230
- Huang v. The Home Secretary*, 57
- hukum syarak*, (laws of Islam), 242–246, 251–253
- human rights
- constitutional law and norms of, 14
 - Irish constitutional protections for, 105–109
 - New Zealand administrative law and, 333–335, 357–358
 - proportionality doctrine and, 56–57
 - UK-European split on, 73, 358–359
- Human Rights Act 1996 (New Zealand), 18–19
- Human Rights Act 1998 (UK), 18–19
- ECHR and, 64–65, 358–359
 - English administrative law and impact of, 108–109
 - exportability of, 61
 - Hong Kong judicial review and, 264–266
 - judicial reasoning and, 20–21
 - New Zealand administrative law and, 333–335
 - political destiny of, 72–77
 - proportionality doctrine and, 56–57, 147–150
- Hume, David, 41–42
- identity, in Scottish law, 95–96
- immunity. *See* public interest immunity; royal immunity
- HKSAR doctrine of absolute immunity, 258–261
- Imperial British East Africa Company, 192
- incorporation, doctrine of, 64–65
- Independence Constitution of 1963 (Kenya), 198
- India
- common law in, 22–23
 - constitutionalisation of judicial review in, 15–16, 229–230
 - courts vs. executive in, 16–17
 - dualism and constitution of, 66–67, 69–71
 - transitional development in, 365–367
 - Westminster model in, 358–359

- Indian administrative law, 273–288
 access to justice and, 280–281
 arbitrariness doctrine and, 273–274, 275–281, 283–286, 356
 common law and, 274–275
 constitutional law and, 273–274, 275–278, 280, 282–283
 doctrinal uncertainty concerning, 279–280
 indigenisation and, 281–287
 lack of guidance for, 279
 legal norms and, 278–279
 public awareness of, 281–282
 strengthening of, 286–287
Indian Express Newspapers (Bombay) v. Union of India, 286–287
 indigenisation
 common law and, 19–20, 74
 Hong Kong judicial review and, 266–271
 Indian administrative law and, 281–287
 Malaysian judicial review culture and, 240–253, 355
 multi-layered legal systems and, 363–365
 South African administrative law and, 181–187
 indigenous peoples, customary law of, 173, 364
Indira Gandhi a/p Mutho v. Pengarah Jabatan Agama Islam Perak, 246–248, 250, 253
 injunction, writ of, Australian judicial review and, 314–315
Inland Revenue Commissioners v. National Federation of Self-Employed and Small Businesses Ltd, 262–263
 Innes CJ, 173
In re Racial Communications Ltd, 188–189, 316
 institutional competence, Canadian administrative law and, 153–154
 instrumentality of state, Bangladesh administrative law and, 301–302
 Interim Constitution (South Africa), 15–16
 Internal Security Act (Singapore), 219–222
 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
 Art. 25, 64–65
 extraterritorial asylum screening, 75
 Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance and, 258–261
 Hong Kong judicial review and, 267–268, 269–271
 immigration policy and, 68
 New Zealand administrative law and, 333–335
 Optional Protocol of, 67, 68
 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), 267–268, 269–270
 International Criminal Court (ICC), South African defiance of, 182–183
 international human rights law, dualism with common law, 66–67
 international law
 dualism with domestic law, 60–61
 English law and, 60–77, 351
 Israeli administrative law and, 168
 New Zealand administrative law intervention and, 332–333
 Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) (US), 133–134
 invalidity, theory of, New Zealand administrative law and, 343–344
 Ireland, Republic of
 constitutional continuity and change in, 100–110
 constitutional justice, 103–104
 error of law and constitution of, 111–112
 fundamental rights protections in, 105–109
 history of law and justice in, 99–100
 law-making power in, 109–110
 supervisory jurisdiction in, 101–103
 Irish administrative law, 98–99, 352
 fundamental rights protection in, 105–109
 history of, 99–100
 judicial error and, 111–112
 judicial review in, 98–114
 Irish Constitution, 98–99, 110–113
Irish Permanent Building Society v. Caldwell, 112–113
 Irvine (Lord), 62
Is Administrative Law Unlawful? (Hamburger), 132–133
 Islamic Law
 Malaysian administrative law and, 236–237, 253
 Malaysian *fatwa* administrative fiat and, 236–237, 248–250
 Malaysian reforms, 239–240
 Malaysian Syariah law and, 235–236, 237–238
 religious conversion in Malaysia and, 242–248
 Syariah courts resurgence and, 238–239
 Israel
 human rights and constitutional law in, 14
 public and private law in, 162–163, 363–365
 Israeli administrative law, 159–170
 constitutionalization of, 169
 departure from English law, 163–165, 353–354
 future trends in, 169–170
 international law and, 168
 judicial review and, 166–167, 353–354
 post-independence evolution of, 162–163, 364
 privatization of, 169
 substantive review and, 167–168
 US influence in, 164–165
Jabatan Agama Islam Wilayah Persekuthuan v. Berjaya Books Sdn Bhd, 251–253
Jabotinski v. Weitzman, 164–165
 Jackson, Vicki, 66–67
 Jalan, Prateek, 276–277
 Jhaveri, Swati, 3–23, 215–233, 273–288, 349, 355, 356, 358–367, 371–373
Jones (Caldwell) v. First Tier Tribunal, 155
 Jowell, Jeffrey, 153–154
 Judges and Magistrates Vetting Board (Kenya), 210–211
 judicial co-equality, Singapore judicial review and, 219–222, 355
 Judicatur Act (Kenya), 200–201, 209
 Judicature (Ireland) Act 1877, 99–100
 Judicature Amendment Act 1972, 340–342
 Judicial Committee of the Privy Council (Hong Kong), 256–258
 judicial discretion
 law replaced with, 53–56, 58–59
 Singapore statutory interpretation of, 228–231
 judicial error. *See* error of law

- judicial independence, Bangladesh administrative law and, 301–302
- judicial reasoning, cross-national comparisons of, 20–21
- judicial removal, in Bangladesh jurisprudence, 304
- judicial review. *See also* administrative law
 - common law approaches to, 3–23
 - constitutional entrenchment of, 12–16
 - dualism and, 60–61, 65–71
 - English law and origins of, 11–12, 28–31, 32–39, 350–351
 - of executive power, 16–17
 - future research issues, 373
 - international human rights law and, 60–77
 - normative dimension in English law of, 38–39
 - regulation and, 39–41
 - rule of law and, 58–59
 - tribunals and, 53–56
 - Wednesbury* principle and, 46–48
- judicial review (Australia)
 - administrative law and, 13–14, 357
 - common law and, 10–11
 - constitutional entrenchment and, 14–16
 - dualism in, 69–71
 - English law influence in, 309–310
 - jurisdictional error and, 318–321
 - legitimate expectations doctrine and, 63
 - limits of, 312
 - natural justice and legitimate expectation and, 321–325
 - rise of constitutional review and, 315–318
 - statutory reform of, 314–315
- judicial review (Bangladesh), 289–306
 - foreign influences in, 305–306
 - historical jurisprudence and influence of outside jurisdictions, 302–306
 - judicial autonomy and, 298–300
 - post-independence evolution of, 295–298
 - Supreme Court and, 289–306
- judicial review (Canada)
 - convergence with English law in, 150–152
 - divergence from English law in, 141–144
 - English law influence on, 139–140, 144–145
 - renovation of, 152–153
 - substantive review divergence from English law in, 145–147
- judicial review (Hong Kong)
 - in colonial era, 255–261
 - comparative influences on, 261–263
 - divergence from English law in, 266–271
 - English law relevance in, 264–266
- judicial review (India)
 - arbitrariness doctrine and, 275–278
 - unreasonableness doctrine and, 277–278, 356
- judicial review (Ireland), 98–114
- judicial review (Israel), evolution of, 166–167
- judicial review (Kenya), 191–211
 - in colonial era, 193
 - constitutional petition jurisdiction and, 203–204
 - Constitution of Kenya 2010 and, 203–211
 - democracy movement and, 201–203
 - Fair Administrative Action Act 2016 in, 207–209
 - independence and changes to, 198–203
 - irrelevance in colony of, 194–198
 - parallel constitutions and applications jurisdictions and, 204–207
 - post-Constitutional growth of, 209–211
 - in post-independence period, 199–201
- judicial review (Malaysia)
 - constitutional amendments to, 240–253
 - fatwa* fiat and, 236–237, 248–250, 355
 - indigenising culture and, 240–253
 - Indira Gandhi case and, 246–248
 - Syariah law and, 234
- judicial review (New Zealand)
 - human rights and, 333–335
 - liberal access to courts and, 340–342
 - sociopolitical factors in, 334–335
- judicial review (Scotland), 81–97
- judicial review (Singapore)
 - autochthonous administrative law adaptations and, 218–232
 - green-light approach to, 216–218
 - judicial-executive separation and judicial co-equality and, 219–222
 - non-justiciability and, 222–223
 - ouster clause enforceability and, 223–228
 - statutory interpretation in, 228–231
- judicial review (South Africa)
 - apartheid-era evolution of, 174–177
 - constitutionalisation and codification of, 177–179, 362–363
 - courts' approach to, 176–177
 - dominance in post-apartheid era of, 175–176, 182–183
 - expansion of, 183–185
 - legality principle and, 185–187
- judicial review (United Kingdom), 11–12, 28–31, 32–39
 - administrative decision-making vs., 141–142
 - Anisminic* case and, 144–145
 - supremacy of Parliament and threat of, 50–53
- judicial review (United States)
 - Administrative Procedure Act 1946 and, 134–136
 - ascendancy of, 133–134
 - origins of, 122–124
- Judicial Review Procedure Act 2016 (NZ), 340–342
- Judicial Service Commission (JSC) (Kenya), 199–201, 210–211
- Judiciary Act 1903 (Cth) (Australia), 13–14, 314–315, 317–318, 319–320
- jurisdiction. *See also* supervisory jurisdiction
 - Australian judicial review and, 314–315
 - Bangladesh administrative law and, 301–302
 - Bangladesh historical jurisprudence and influence of outside jurisdictions, 302–306
 - Canadian administrative law and, 142–144
 - English common law limits on, 134
 - judicial discretionary power and, 46–48, 53–56, 59
 - judicial review (Malaysia) and, 240–253
 - Kenyan constitutional petition jurisdiction, 203–204
 - Malaysian Constitutional article 121(1A) and, 250–253
 - Malaysian religious conversion jurisprudence and, 242–248

- Scottish judicial review and, 85–87
in US constitutional law, 124–126
- jurisdictional error. *See also* error of law
Australian constitutional review and, 315–318, 357
Australian judicial review and, 318–321
Bangladesh administrative law and, 292, 293–295
Canadian administrative law and, 142–144, 145, 155
English law and, 111–112
Irish administrative law and, 112–113
vs. non-jurisdictional error, 20–21, 141–142, 144–145
in Singapore, 226–227
- Justice of the Peace (JP), English law and, 119–120
- justiciability doctrine, 164–165
Israeli judicial review and, 166–167
- Kadis' courts (Malaysia), 238–239
kaitiakitanga (Māori stewardship concept), 330
Kassim @ Osman bin Ahmad v. Dato' Seri Jamil Khir bin Baharom Menteri di Jabatan Perdana Menteri, 249–250
Kasturilal v. State of J&K, 284–286
Keith, Ken (Sir), 332–333
Kenya
colonial governance in, 191–194
constitutional petition jurisdiction in, 203–204
democracy movement in, 201–203
Fair Administrative Action Act 2016 in, 207–209
independence government in, 193
judicial review in, 15–16, 191–211
parallel constitutions and applications jurisdictions in, 204–207
postcolonial legal system in, 191–194
Kenya administrative law
in colonial era, 197–198
Constitution of Kenya 2010 and, 203–211
evolution of, 180–181, 354
Fair Administrative Action Act 2016 in, 207–209
Kenya African Democratic Union (KADU), 198
Kenya African National Union v. Mwai Kibaki, 202
Kenya National African Union (KANU), 198
Keong, Chan Sek, 217–218, 222–223
Kerr (Lord), 59, 76–77
Kesavannada Bharati v. State of Kerala, 229–230
Khaitan, Tarunabh, 276–277
Khan, Ayub, 293–295
Khan, Yahya, 293–295
Khobesh Agencies Limited & 32 others v. Minister of Foreign Affairs & International Relations & 4 others, 205
Khoisan people, South African law and, 172
Kim v. Minister of Justice of New Zealand, 336
Kioa v. West, 321–325
Kirby J, 319–320
Kirk v. Industrial Court (NSW), 321
Koinange Mbiu v. Rex, 197–198
Kol Ha'am Ltd. Minister of Interior, 164–165
Kruse v. Johnson, 167–168, 188–189
Kumari Shrelekhya Vidyarthi v. State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors, 276–277, 285–286
labour cases, Canadian Supreme Court intervention in, 142
Laskin, Bora CJ, 142, 145
Launder principle, 64–65, 66–67
Law of the Constitution (Dicey), 129–131
Law Reform Act (Kenya), 200–201, 203–204, 205, 208–209
Law Reform (Marriage and Divorce) Act (1976) (Malaysia), 239–240, 246–248
Law Reform Ordinance (Kenya), 198
Laws, John LJ, 62, 63, 73, 148
leave/permission stage, Scottish judicial review, 92
Lee Hsien Loong v. Review Publishing Co Ltd, 222–223
Lee Swee Seng JC, 247
legal change theory, comparative administrative law studies and, 367–371
Legal Control of Government (Schwartz & Wade), 136
legal doctrine, English judicial review and, 34–39
legality, principle of
Australian constitutional law and, 13–14, 323–325, 334–335
Hong Kong judicial review and, 262–263
human rights and, 63
in Israeli administrative law, 162–163
Singapore administrative law and, 218
South African administrative law and, 185–187
legal scholarship, legal change theory and, 369–371
legislation and legislative power
administrative rule-making and, 129–131
Australian judicial review and, 314–315, 317–318
Bangladesh judicial review and, 295–298
in colonial US, 121–122
empirical assumptions concerning, 39–41
English judicial review of, 145
Hong Kong colonial law and, 255–261
Hong Kong under PRC and, 256–258
international human rights law in, 68
Irish law-making powers, 109–110
judicial discretion as replacement for, 53–56
judicial review and, 32–39
New Zealand administrative law and, 333–335
normative dimension in English law of, 41–44
regulation and, 31–32, 350–351
Singapore ouster clause enforceability and, 223–228
Singapore statutory interpretation of, 228–231
South African judicial review and, 178–179, 182–183
threats to supremacy of Parliament and, 50–53
Legislative Council (Hong Kong), 255–261
legislative intent
in Australian constitutional law, 13–14
in Canadian judicial review, 151
legitimate expectations doctrine, 63
Australian judicial review and, 321–325, 357
Bangladesh judicial review and, 297–298
dualism and, 69–71
in Hong Kong, 18–19
Hong Kong judicial review and, 267–268
New Zealand administrative law and, 337–338
Singapore judicial review and, 231–232

- Lett & Co v. Wexford Borough Council*, 113
 Letters Patent 1843 (Hong Kong), 255–261
 L'Heureux-Dube, Claire (Justice), 66–67, 142, 145
 Li (Chief Justice), 70–71
Lina Joy v. Majlis Agama Islam Wilayah Persekutuan, 242–246, 253
Lina Joy v. The Federal Territory Islamic Council & Ors, 19–20
 Lindseth, Peter, 6–7
 Lloyd Jones (Lord), 59
 Loughlin, M., 30–31
- MacCormick v. Lord Advocate*, 81–82
Maharashtra Ekta Hawkers Union, 278–279
mala fide
 Bangladesh judicial review and, 305–306
 South African judicial review and, 176–177, 183–185
 Malanjum, Richard CJ, 19–20, 245
 Malawi, administrative law in, 180–181
 Malaysia
 common law and Islamic Law reforms in, 239–240, 364
 constitutional amendment of 1988 in, 236–237
 history of Syariah law in, 234–236
 Islam and Syariah law in, 237–238
 Singapore administrative law and, 233
 Syariah law and judicial review in, 234
 Westminster model in, 358–359
 Malaysian administrative law, 19–20
 constitutional amendment of 1988 and, 236–237
 fatwa fiat and, 236–237, 248–250, 355
 history of Syariah law and, 234–236, 355
 implementation of Constitutional article 121(1A) and, 250–253
 indigenising judicial review culture and, 240–253
 Indirah Gandhi case and, 246–248
 religious conversion and, 242–246
 Mamdani, Mahmood, 195–197
 Mance (Lord), 64–65, 147–150
Manchester City Council v. Pinnock, 270–271
 mandamus, writ of
 Australian judicial review and, 314–315
 Australian jurisdictional error and, 318–321
 Bangladesh administrative law and, 293–295
 Canadian administrative law and, 139
 case law volume, 33–34
 in English law, 33–34, 35, 119–120
 in Scottish law, 87–88
 in US law, 122–124, 126–127
Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India, 278
 Manji, Irsyad, 251–253
 Māori rights, New Zealand administrative law and, 328–329
Marbury v. Madison, 122–124, 126–127
Marsh v. Alabama, 302
 Mashaw, Jerry, 126–127
 Mason, Anthony (Sir), 268–271
 Mason J, 321–322
 Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 (UK), 239–240
McDonald v. Bord nag Con, 103–104
 McHarg, Aileen, 95–96
 McHugh (Justice), 69–71, 325
 mercantilism, English legislative policy and, 41–42
 Merrill, T.W., 135–136
Meshack Ageng'o Omondi v. Eldoret Municipal Council and another, 206–207
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co v. International Union of Operating Engineers, 142, 145
M. H. Chowdhury v. Titas Gas Transmission and Distribution Company Ltd, 301–302
Michael Mungai v. Attorney General, 204
 migration law, Australian judicial review and, 314–315
 military intervention, Bangladesh administrative law and, 293–295
Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs v. Teoh, 63, 69–71, 72, 75–76, 267–268, 324
Ministry of Transport v. Noort; Police v. Curran, 333–335
 Misuse of Drugs Act (Singapore), 220–222, 224–225, 230–231
 Mitchell, J. D. B., 29, 87–88
Mohammad Faizal bin Sabtu v. PP, 224
 Mohan J, 284–286
Mohd Faizal Musa v. Minister of Home Affairs, 249–250
Mohini Jain v. State of Karnataka, 279–280
 monarchy, English law under, 119–120
 Montesquieu, 122–124
Moohan v. Lord Advocate, 64–65
Moonen v. Film and Literature Board of Review, 333–335
mora, taciturnity and acquiescence, Scottish common law rules on, 90–92
Muhammad Ridzuan bin Mohd Ali v. Attorney-General, 224–225, 226–227
 multi-layered legal systems
 common law and, 21–23
 comparative analysis of, 10–11, 19–20, 21–23, 363–365
 multi-party consensus, common law and, 10–11
 multiple legal orders, administrative law, 19–20
- Nagaenthran a/l K Dharmalingam v. Attorney-General*, 226–227
 Namibia
 administrative law in, 180–181
 constitution in, 177
 Natal, native courts in, 173
 National Fatwa Council (Malaysia), 249–250, 251–253
 National Registry Department (NRD) (Malaysia), 242–246, 251–253
Nation Media Group Limited v. Cradle – the Children's Foundation Suing through Geoffrey Maganya, 205
 natural justice
 Australian judicial review and, 310, 321–325, 357
 Bangladesh judicial review and, 297–298
 Indian judicial review and, 277–278
 Irish constitutional law and, 103–104
nemo iudex in sua causa, 103–104
 Neuberger (Lord), 52
 Newbold, Charles (Sir), 200–201
 New Deal government, administrative law scholarship concerning, 131–133
New Horizons Ltd v. Union of India, 284–286
 new institutionalism, legal change theory and, 368–369

- New Zealand
 common law vs. indigenous legal systems in, 19–20
 dualism in law of, 68
 legal change in, 367
 Ombudsman office in, 313
 Parliamentary sovereignty in, 75–76
 substantive review in, 18–19
- New Zealand administrative law, 327–345
 conservatism and substantive review in, 339–340
 doctrinal relaxation in, 342–344
 error of fact and, 338–339
 heightened scrutiny in, 336
 historical background and constitutional structure, 327–329, 357–358
 human rights legislation and, 333–335
 international law and interventionist approaches in, 332–333
 substantive legitimate expectations and, 337–338
 substantive review and, 335–340
 Treaty of Waitangi and, 329–332
- New Zealand Association for Migration and Investments Inc v. Attorney-General*, 337–338
- New Zealand Bill of Rights 1990, 18–19, 333–335
- New Zealand Maori Council v. Attorney-General (Lands)*, 330
- Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki v. Minister of Conservation*, 330
- Nicholls v. Registrar of the Court of Appeal*, 343
- Nicholson v. Haldimand-Norfolk Regional Police Commissioners*, 142
- non-delegation doctrine, Irish law-making power and, 109–110
- non-jurisdictional error, vs. jurisdictional error, 20–21, 141–142, 144–145
- non-justiciability, Singapore judicial review and, 222–223
- non-refoulement principle, 70–71, 262–263, 265–266
- Novak, William, 136–137
- Nyali Ltd v. Attorney-General*, 192
- O'Donnell J, 113
- O'Donnell v. Corporation of Du'n Laoghaire*, 112–113
- Oireachtas (Irish Parliament), 100–110
 Irish courts and, 101–103
 law-making power of, 109–110
- Okoth-Ogendo, H. W. O., 193
- Ombudsman (Australia), 313
- Om Kumar v. Union of India in Delhi Development Authority*, 284–286
- Orange River Colony, 173
- Order 53 of the Civil Procedure Rules (Kenya), 201–211, 354
 constitutional petition jurisdiction and, 203–204
 parallel constitutions and applications jurisdictions under, 204–207
- O'Regan J, 184–185
- O'Reilly v. Mackman*, 112–113, 262–263
- Osborne v. Worksafe New Zealand*, 343
- Ottoman Empire, law during, 160–161, 363–365
- Oudekraal Estates (Pty) Ltd v. City of Cape Town*, 189
- ouster clauses, Singapore judicial review and enforceability of, 223–228
- Padfield v. Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food*, 46–48, 141–142, 262–263
- Palestine, British Mandate in, 159–161
- Palestine Order in Council 1922, 159–161
- Parliament (UK)
 regulation by, 39–41
 threats to supremacy of, 50–53, 58–59
- parliamentary sovereignty
 Australian judicial review and, 311–313, 323–325
 British scholarship concerning, 129–131
 in Canada, 139
 in colonial Kenya, 194–198
 dualism and, 75–76
 formation of United Kingdom and, 81–82
 Irish parliamentary sovereignty, 100–110
 judicial review and, 50–53
 New Zealand administrative law and, 334–335, 339–340
 Singapore judicial review and, 217–218
 in South Africa, 175–176
 Union of South Africa formation and, 173–174
- Parti Islam se-Malaysia (PAS), 238–239, 253
- Partition of India and Pakistan, 289–291
- patent unreasonableness, 150–152
- pedigree, in Scottish judicial review, 94–96
- Pension Rules 1972 (India), 277–278
- People's Republic of China (PRC)
 Hong Kong Basic Law and takeover by, 256–258, 271
 sovereignty over Hong Kong of, 258–261
- Pepcor Retirement Fund v. Financial Services Board*, 183–185
- Per Ah Seng & Another v. Housing Development Board & Another*, 224
- Peter Muchiri Muhura v. Teachers Service Commission*, 206–207
- Pham v. Home Secretary*, 148
- Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association of SA: In re Ex parte President of the Republic of South Africa*, 185–187
- Plaintiff M61/2010E v. Commonwealth (Offshore Processing Case)*, 322–323
- Plaintiff S157/2002 v. Commonwealth*, 321
- Plasket, C. M., 188–189
- Policy on Urban Street Vendors 2009 (India), 278–279
- political patronage, administrative law and, 127–128
- political question doctrine, 164–165, 305–306
- political science, legal change theory and, 368–369
- polycentricity, Canadian administrative law and, 154
- popular sovereignty
 in colonial US, 121–122
 in Irish Constitution, 100–110
 prerogative power in Irish law and, 104–105
- Port FJ, 130–131
- post-colonialism
 administrative law evolution and, 162–163, 360, 367–371
 Bangladesh administrative law and, 295–298
 Hong Kong administrative law and, 258–261
 Kenyan administrative law and, 191–194, 199–201

- Pound, Roscoe, 117–118, 131–133
- Practice and Procedure Rules, Kenyan constitutional petition jurisdiction and, 203–204
- Practice Direction to CPR Part 54 (New Zealand), 333–335
- prerogative writs and powers. *See also* specific writs, e.g., mandamus, writ of
- English judicial review and, 35
- history of, 119–120
- Irish constitutional law and, 98–99, 104–105
- Kenyan judicial review and, 204–207
- Scottish judicial review and, 87–88
- South African administrative law and, 187–189
- Presidency, US Constitution and powers of, 122–124
- principal officials accountability system (POAS), 256–258
- Printing Presses and Publications Act 1984 (Malaysia), 249–250
- Privacy International, R (on the application of) v. Investigatory Powers Tribunal & Ors* [2019] UKSC 22, 58–59
- private law
- Irish judicial review and, 113
- Israeli administrative law and, 169
- public law and, 363–365
- title and interest test and, 89–90
- Privy Council (Singapore), 218
- Privy Council (UK), 121–122
- Australian public law and, 310–311
- New Zealand administrative law and, 328–329
- procedural exclusivity
- in Irish constitutional law, 112–113
- US administrative law and, 135–136
- procedural fairness
- Australian judicial review and, 321–322
- Canadian *vs.* English law on, 139
- in Irish constitutional law, 98–99, 103–104
- Kenyan judicial review and, 208–209
- Singapore administrative law and, 218
- Singapore ouster clause enforceability and, 226–227
- South African administrative law and, 185–187, 188–189
- procedural rationality, South African administrative law and, 185–187
- prohibition, writ of
- Australian judicial review and, 314–315
- Australian jurisdictional error and, 318–321
- case law volume, 33–34
- in English law, 33–34, 35, 119–120
- in Scottish law, 87–88
- in US law, 124
- Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 (2000) (PAJA, South Africa), 15–16, 178–179, 180–181, 182, 184–187, 354
- proportionality, doctrine of
- Bangladesh judicial review and, 297–298
- Canadian substantive review and, 140–141
- court review of, 18–19
- English judicial review and, 34–39
- European Union law and, 360
- Hong Kong judicial review and, 266–271
- human rights and, 56–57
- Indian judicial review and, 277–278
- Irish law and, 108–109
- Israeli substantive review and, 167–168
- judicial review and, 63
- Kenyan judicial review and, 208–209
- New Zealand administrative law and, 334–335, 336
- normative values and, 153–154
- reasonableness standard and, 186–187
- Wednesbury* principle *vs.*, 147–150
- prosecutorial discretion, Singapore judicial review and, 220–222
- protective costs orders, 262–263
- public interest immunity
- administrative law and, 36
- Singapore ouster clause and, 227–228
- public interest litigation (PIL)
- Bangladesh administrative law and, 301–302
- courts *vs.* executive and, 16–17
- English law and, 63
- in Hong Kong, 262–263
- Indian administrative law and, 281–282
- Irish judicial review and, 102
- Kenyan constitutional petition jurisdiction and, 203–204
- legal doctrine and, 34–39
- New Zealand judicial review and, 340–342
- in Singapore, 218–232
- South African administrative law and, 184–185
- public law
- Administrative Procedure Act (US) and, 136
- in Australia, 310–311, 312–313, 357
- British human rights law and, 64–65, 359–362
- common law systems and, 8
- Irish judicial review and, 113
- in Israel, 162–163
- normative dimension in English judicial review and, 38–39
- postwar decline in, 46–48
- public-private law and, 363–365
- Scottish judicial review and, 83–87
- in South Africa, 171–172, 354
- title and interest test and, 89–90
- in US, 124
- Public Protector (South Africa), 182–183
- Pushpanathan v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)*, 142–144
- Queen v. Burah*, 292
- quo warranto writ
- Bangladesh administrative law and, 293–295, 301–302
- Canadian administrative law and, 139
- case law volume and, 33–34
- Rahman, Ziaur, 298–300
- Rai, Ritin, 276–277
- Rajan v. Minister of Immigration*, 341
- Rajeevan Edakalavan v. Public Prosecutor*, 229–230
- Ramalingam Ravinthran v. Attorney-General*, 220–222

- Ramsden, Michael, 60–77, 255–272, 351, 355, 359–362
- Rand J, 142
- ratification theory, legitimate expectations doctrine and, 63
- rationality
- Singapore administrative law and, 218
 - US administrative law and, 135–136
- Rawlings, R., 3, 30–31
- real likelihood test, Bangladesh judicial review and, 297–298
- reasonableness standard
- Bangladesh administrative law and, 292
 - Canadian administrative law and, 140–141, 153–155
 - Canadian judicial review and, 150–152
 - in Israeli administrative law, 165
 - Israeli substantive review and, 167–168
 - New Zealand administrative law, 336
 - proportionality and, 186–187
- red-light theory of administrative law, 30–31, 38–39, 350–351
- reduction remedy, in Scottish judicial review, 87–88
- Reed (Lord), 59, 89–90
- reformist approach, legal change theory and, 369–371
- refugee screening, dualist approach in laws concerning, 70–71
- Registrar of Muallafs (Malaysia), 246–248
- Regulating Act 1773 (British India), 303
- regulation. *See* legislation and legislative power
- Reid (Lord), 31–32, 36, 316
- Religion of Islam Enactment 2004 (Perak Enactment), 248
- religious conversion
- Malaysian administrative law and, 242–246
 - Malaysian Indira Gandhi case and, 246–248
- religious law
- Malaysian administrative law and, 242–248
 - multi-layered legal systems and, 363–365
- remedy-driven case law
- Canadian vs. English law on, 139
 - English judicial review and, 35–36
 - Irish judicial review and, 113
 - Kenyan constitutional petition jurisdiction and, 203–204
 - Scottish judicial review and, 81–83, 87–88, 94–95
 - South African judicial review and, 176–177
- Re Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs; ex parte Lam*, 69–71, 325
- Report of the Committee on Ministers' Powers (Donoughmore Report), 130–131, 313
- Report of the Scottish Civil Courts Review of 2009, 81–83, 89–92
- Republic v. Kahindi Nyafula & 3 others ex parte Kilifi South East Farmers Cooperative*, 205
- Resource Management Act 1991, 329–331
- Ressler v. Minister of Defense, 166–167
- reviewability, test of, Scottish law and, 93–94
- Ribeiro PJ, 261
- Ridge v. Baldwin*, 46–48, 141–142, 164–165
- rights-based judicial review, proportionality and, 56–57
- Right to Information Act 2005 (India), 281–282
- Ririnui v. Landcorp Farming Ltd*, 338–339
- Rodger (Lord), 64–65
- Roman-Dutch law
- South African judicial review and, 176–177, 362–363
 - South African legal system and, 171–172, 354
- Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, 142
- Roosevelt, Franklin Delano, 131–133
- Rose-Ackerman, Susan, 6–7
- royal immunity, 100–110, 119–120, 328–329. *See also* immunity
- rule-making
- Administrative Procedure Act 1946 and, 134–136
 - British scholarship on, 129–131
 - in colonial Kenya, 195–197
 - in US administrative law, 126–127
- rule of law
- in Australian constitutional law, 13–14
 - as common law value, 76–77
 - judicial discretionary power and, 46–48, 58–59
 - South African courts and, 182–183
 - US scholarship concerning, 132–133
- Russell (Lord), 188–189
- Russell v. Duke of Norfolk*, 103–104, 188–189
- R v. Chief Constable of Sussex, ex parte International Trader's Ferry Ltd*, 154, 184–185
- R v. Criminal Injuries Compensation Board, Ex parte A*, 184–185
- R (Corner House Research) v. Director of the Serious Fraud Office*, 64–65
- R v. Gough*, 297–298
- R v. Hansen*, 334–335
- R v. Home Secretary, ex parte Pierson*, 48–49
- R v. Hull University Visitor, ex p Page*, 145
- R (European Roma Rights Centre) v. Immigration Officer at Prague Airport*, 75
- R v. Lambert*, 334–335
- R v. Ministry of Defence, Ex p. Smith*, 358–359
- R v. North and East Devon Health Authority ex p Coughlan*, 337–338
- R v. Oakes*, 57
- R v. Panel on Take-overs and Mergers, ex parte Datafin plc*, 93–94, 189, 302
- R v. Pora*, 334
- R (Miller) v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union*, 50–53, 75–76
- R (Keyu) v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs*, 64–65
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex p Brind*, 62, 72–77, 332
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex p Launder*, 63–64
- R (Daly) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department*, 148
- R (Mahmood) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department*, 148
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department; Ex parte Simms*, 323–325
- R v. The Home Secretary ex parte Daly*, 57

- Saeed v. Minister for Immigration and Citizenship*, 322–323, 324
- Saunders, Cheryl, 6, 8
- Scarman LJ, 62, 184–185
- Schwartz, Bernard, 136
- Sci-tex Corporation Ltd. v. Minister of Commerce and Industry*, 165
- Scotland, administrative law in
- distinctiveness of law in, 92–96
 - dualism in laws of, 69–71
 - judicial review in, 81–97
 - legal system evolution in, 171–172, 351–352, 364
 - procedural convergence in judicial review of, 88–92
 - public/private distinction in judicial review of, 83–87
 - remedies in public law in, 87–88
 - scope and availability of judicial review in, 83–87
- Sebongkah Batu di Kuala Berang, Karbala, Tiga Kali Seminggu, and Ingin Jadi Nasrallah*, 249–250
- second actor theory, South African administrative law and, 189
- Second Charter of Justice (Malaysia), 235–236
- Secretary, Ministry of Finance v. Md. Masdar Hossain and Others*, 17, 300, 302–306
- Secretary for Security v. Prabakar*, 70–71, 75–76
- Secretary of State for Education and Science v. Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council*, 184–185
- Sedition Act in 1798 (US), 124
- Sedley LJ, 62
- Seidman, Robert B., 196
- Selangor Fatwa Council, 250
- Sellers v. Maritime Safety Inspector*, 68, 332–333
- Senior Courts Act 1981 (UK), 94–95, 340–342
- separation of powers
- Administrative Procedure Act 1946 and, 134–136
 - in common law, 16–17
 - Singapore ouster clause enforceability and, 224
 - in Treaty of Waitangi, 331–332
- Service Employees' International Union, Local No 333 v. Nipawin District Staff Nurses Association*, 142
- Shah, Dian A., 234–254, 364
- Shamala a/p Sathiyaseelan v. Dr Jeyaganesh a/l C Mogarajah*, 246–248
- Sheib v. Minister of Defense*, 163
- Sheikh Brothers Limited v. Hotels Authority*, 197–198
- Shia Islam, Malaysian administrative law and, 249–250
- Singapore
- common law in, 22–23
 - divergence from English law in, 233
 - dualism in laws of, 67
 - judicial reasoning in, 21
 - judicial review in, 16–17
 - substantive review in, 18–19
- Singapore administrative law, 215–233, 355
- autochthonous adaptations in, 218–232
 - green-light for judicial review in, 216–218, 355
 - judicial-executive separation and judicial co-equality and, 219–222, 355
 - ouster clause enforceability and, 223–228
 - statutory interpretation in, 228–231
 - substantive review expansion and, 231–232
- Sino-British Joint Declaration of 1984, 256–258, 259
- Siracusa Principles*, 267–268
- SIS Forum (M) v. Jawatankuasa Fatwa Negeri Selango*, 250
- Sisters in Islam (SIS), 250
- SLADE project, 366
- Slynn (Lord), 154, 184–185
- Smith, Adam, 41–42
- social science, legal change theory and, 368–369
- societal change, legal change theory and, 369–371
- South Africa
- British colonial rule in, 172–173
 - common law in, 22–23
 - constitution of, 66–67
 - courts and judicial review in, 176–177
 - dualism in laws of, 67
 - human rights and constitutional law in, 14
 - judicial review constitutionalisation in, 15–16
 - legal system evolution in, 171–172
 - ultra vires doctrine in, 49
- South Africa Act, 174
- South African administrative law
- apartheid-era evolution of, 174–177, 354
 - constitutional entrenchment and, 14–16, 174, 177–179, 362–363
 - English law influence in, 187–189
 - indigenised system and, 181–187
 - international influence of, 179–181
 - judicial review in apartheid era, 175–176
 - legality review and, 185–187
 - in post-apartheid era, 5–6
 - Union of South Africa formation and, 173–174
 - VOC rule and, 172
- South African Republic, 173
- Srikrishna, BN, 275–278
- Sri Lanka
- dualism in laws of, 67
 - legal system evolution in, 171–172
- standing, barrier of
- Australian judicial review and, 314–315
 - Israeli judicial review and, 166–167
 - Kenyan constitutional petition jurisdiction and, 203–204
 - South African judicial review and, 176–177
- State (Abenglen Properties Ltd) v. Dublin Corporation*, 112–113
- State Information Technology Agency Soc Ltd v. Gijima Holdings (Pty) Ltd*, 186–187
- State (Lynch) v. Cooney*, 107
- State of UP v. Vijay Kumar Tripathi*, 277–278
- state-owned enterprises (SOEs), Treaty of Waitangi and, 330
- State-Owned Enterprises Act 1986 (New Zealand), 330
- Statute of Sewers (UK), 41–42
- Statute of Westminster 1947 (UK), 327–329
- statutory compatibility, court review of, 18–19
- statutory provision, purpose of
- in English vs. Canadian law, 141–142
 - New Zealand administrative law and, 333–335, 341
- Stephens, Robert, 117–118, 136–137

- Stewart, Richard, 135–136
- Steyn (Lord), 48–49, 148, 149–150
- Stininato v. New Zealand Boxing Association Inc.*, 341
- Straits Settlements, 235–236
- strict positivistic approach to judicial review, Singapore
- statutory interpretation and, 228–231
- Subashini a/p Rajasingam v. Saravanan a/l*
- Thangathoray and Other Appeals*, 246–248
- substantive legitimate expectations. *See* legitimate expectations doctrine
- substantive rationality, South African administrative law and, 185–187
- substantive review
- in Canada, 21, 140–141
- in common law, 18–19
- divergence between English and Canadian law on, 145–147
- in Hong Kong, 265–266
- Israeli administrative law and, 167–168
- New Zealand administrative law, 335–340
- in Singapore, 231–232
- sub-*Wednesbury* review, 148
- sufficient interest test
- Hong Kong judicial review, 262–263
- Scottish judicial review and, 88–92
- Sumption (Lord), 59, 73, 149–150
- Sunni Islam, Malaysian administrative law and, 249–250
- supervisory jurisdiction. *See also* jurisdiction
- Australian judicial review and, 309–310, 315–318
- in Irish Constitution, 101–103
- in Scottish law, 84–85, 86, 89–90, 91, 94–95
- super-*Wednesbury* review, 148
- Supreme Court (Bangladesh), 303, 306, 356–357
- Supreme Court (Canada), 66–67, 142
- convergence with English law and, 150–152
- divergence from English law by, 142–144
- legal community criticism of, 145–147
- renovation of judicial review and, 152–153
- Supreme Court (India)
- administrative law and, 16–17, 284–286
- instrumentality of state and, 302
- Supreme Court (Zimbabwe), 221–222
- Supreme Court (Israel), post-independence establishment of, 162–163
- Supreme Court (Pakistan), 293–295
- Supreme Court (UK), judicial discretion and, 53–56
- Supreme Court (US), 124–126
- administrative law and role of, 134–136
- Supreme Court Act 1981, 261–263
- Supreme Court of Mandatory Palestine, 164–165
- Survey Nelson Ltd v. Maritime New Zealand*, 341
- Syariah Criminal Offences (Federal Territories) Act 1997 (Act 559), 249–250, 251–253
- Syariah law (Malaysia)
- administrative law and, 19–20, 355
- constitutional amendment of 1988 and, 236–237, 253
- fatwa* administrative fiat and, 236–237, 248–250
- historical background, 234–236
- indigenising judicial review culture and, 240–253
- Islam and role of, 237–238
- Malaysian Constitutional article 121(1A) and, 250–253
- religious conversion and, 242–248, 364
- Syariah Technical Committee, 239–240
- symptomatic unreasonableness, South African judicial review and, 176–177
- Tan, Kevin Y. L., 234–254, 364
- Tan Seet Eng v. Attorney-General* 28 (*Tan Seet Eng*), 219–223, 228–231
- Tata Cellular v. Union of India*, 284–286
- Tavita v. Minister for Immigration*, 68, 72, 75–76
- Taylor v. The Chief Executive of the Department of Corrections*, 339
- Teo Soh Lung v. Minister for Home Affairs*, 224, 229–230
- Thio, Li-ann, 241–248
- Thomas J, 69–71
- Thomson, Stephen, 81–97, 351–352, 364
- time limits, in Scottish judicial review, 90–92
- Tipping J, 333–335
- title and interest test, Scottish judicial review and, 88–92
- Tormey v. Attorney General*, 102
- Toulson (Lord), 75
- transitional systems, common law in, 21–23
- transmission-belt theory, US administrative law and, 135–136
- Transvaal Colony, 173
- treaty law
- mandatory consideration and consistency presumption in, 331–332
- non-justiciability and, 222–223
- Treaty of Waitangi and, 329–331
- Treaty of Union 1707 (United Kingdom), 81–83, 93
- Treaty of Waitangi (New Zealand), 19–20, 69–71, 357–358
- English law and, 327–329
- mandatory consideration and consistency presumption on, 331–332
- statutory references in, 329–331
- Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975, 329–331
- Tribunal and Inquiries Act 1958 (UK), 313
- tribunals
- Australian administrative law and, 312
- Australian judicial review and, 314–315
- Bangladesh administrative law and, 305
- in Canadian administrative law, 138–141
- Canadian judicial review of, 142–144
- English administrative law and role of, 39–41
- impact on common law of, 53–56
- Malaysian administrative law and, 235–236
- Supreme Court of Canada intervention in, 145
- Tribunals, Courts and Enforcement Act 2007 (UK), 54
- tripartite relationship test, Scottish judicial review and, 85–87
- Trudler v. Elections Officer to the Agricultural Committees*, 164–165
- Tucker LJ, 103–104
- two-party competition, common law and, 10–11
- UDF case (South Africa), 49
- Uganda, administrative law in, 180–181

- ultra vires doctrine
 - in Australian constitutional law, 13–14, 315–318
 - Australian judicial review and, 314–315
 - Australian jurisdictional error and, 318–321
 - Bangladesh administrative law and, 292
 - Bangladesh judicial review and, 297–298
 - classical administrative law and, 48–49
 - Irish human rights protections and, 108–109
 - in Israeli administrative law, 162–163
 - jurisdictional error and, 319–320
 - Kenyan judicial review and, 193
 - natural justice and, 323–325
 - Scottish judicial review and, 86, 89–90
 - South African judicial review, 175–176
- uncertainty, proportionality and human rights and, 56–57
- Unfederated Malay States, 235–236
- Union of South Africa, 173–174
- United Kingdom. *See also* English law
 - administrative law and regulation in, 31–32
 - British colonial rule in South Africa, 171–173
 - classical administrative law in, 46–49
 - common law in, 10–11
 - comparison of US administrative law with, 117–137
 - constitutional foundation of judicial review in, 15–16
 - dualism in law of, 351–352
 - Handover of Hong Kong to China by, 255
 - Hong Kong post Handover law and, 258–261
 - judicial review influence in Scotland from, 81–97
 - misperceptions of administrative law in, 44–45
 - politicisation of bureaucracy in, 127–128
 - public law of, influence in Australia, 310–311
 - trans-Atlantic administrative law scholarship and, 128–131
 - ultra vires in, 323–325
- United States
 - administrative power in nineteenth century in, 126–127
 - ascendancy of judicial review in, 133–134
 - Australian Constitution and law of, 311–313
 - colonial-era legal system in, 120–122
 - common law in, 10–11, 22
 - comparison of UK administrative law with, 117–137
 - constitutional influence on, 105, 352–353
 - distribution of power in, 122–124
 - English colonial law in, 118–120
 - federal system in, 122–128
 - Israeli administrative law and influence of, 164–165
 - trans-Atlantic administrative law scholarship in, 131–133
- United States administrative law
 - administrative power in nineteenth century, 126–127
 - Administrative Procedure Act 1946 enactment and, 134–136
 - colonial development of, 121–122
 - comparison of UK law with, 117–137, 352–353
 - democracy and, 127–128
 - positivist vs. process tradition concerning, 135–136
- unreasonableness
 - Canadian administrative law and, 150–152, 154
 - Indian judicial review and, 277–278, 356
 - Kenyan judicial review and, 208–209
 - South African administrative law and, 188–189
 - South African judicial review and, 176–177, 183–185
 - utilitarian legal scholarship, 369–371
- Vakil, Raeesa, 279–280
- Varuhas, Jason, 29
- Vellama d/o Marie Muthu v. AG*, 230–231
- Vetting of Judges and Magistrates Act of 2011 (Kenya), 210–211
- Viran Nagapan v. Deepa Subramaniam and Other Appeals*, 246–248
- Wade, William, 30–31, 136
- Waitangi Tribunal, 329–331
- War of Independence (Ireland), 99–100
- Warren, Earl (Chief Justice), 105
- Waters, M., 66–67
- Wauing (Justice), 267–268
- Webb, Beatrice, 41–42
- Webb, Sidney, 41–42
- Wednesbury* principle, 46–48. *See also* *Associated Provincial Picture Houses v. Wednesbury Corporation*
- Bangladesh judicial review and, 297–298
- Canadian divergence from, 145–147
- Canadian vs. English law and, 141–142, 145, 147–155
- ECHR and, 62
- Hong Kong judicial review and, 264–266
- Indian administrative law and, 284–286
- Indian judicial review and, 277–278
- Irish human rights protections and, 108–109
- Israeli substantive review and, 167–168
- Malaysian religious conversion law and, 244–246
- normative values and, 153–154
- post-colonial administrative law and, 361
- proportionality as alternative to, 147–150
- reasonableness principle and, 155
- South African judicial review and, 184–185
- Weeks, Greg, 13–14, 309–326, 357
- Westminster model
 - Bangladesh administrative law and, 289–291
 - modification of common law and, 21–23, 359–362
 - Singapore statutory interpretation and, 230–231
 - Union of South Africa formation and, 173–174
- West v. Secretary of State for Scotland*, 85–87, 89–90
- white supremacy, in colonial Kenya, 195–197
- Wilberforce (Lord), 94–95, 144–145
- Wilberg, Hanna, 327–345, 357–358
- Wilson (Lord), 52, 59
- Wilson, Bertha (Justice), 142
- Wolf v. Minister of Immigration*, 336
- Woolf (Lord), 62, 262–263, 267–268
- World Bank, 365–367
- Wyman, Bruce, 117–118
- Yehoshua v. Appeals Tribunal Under the Invalids (Pensions and Rehabilitations) Law*, 165
- Yes Minister*, 127–128

Yew, Lee Kuan, 215–216	<i>Zenit Mataplas</i> , 285–286
<i>Yong Vui Kong v. Attorney-General</i> , 222–223	<i>Zerubavel v. Appeals Tribunal under the Families of</i>
<i>Yong Vui Kong v. Public Prosecutor</i> , 230–231	<i>Fallen Soldiers Law</i> , 165
<i>Y.Srinivasa Rao v. J Veeraiah</i> , 276–277	<i>Zestra Asia Ltd v. Commissioner for Transport</i> , 265–266
	Zimbabwe
Zainun Ali FJ, 247	administrative law in, 180–181
<i>Zaoui v. Attorney General</i> , 68	dualism in law of, 69–71
<i>Zaoui v. Attorney-General (No 2)</i> , 332–333	Zuma, Jacob, 182–183