

Contents

| | | |
|---|---|---------------|
| Introduction: The Emergence of an Individual Right to Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict | | |
| <i>Christian Marxsen</i> | | <i>page</i> 1 |
| I. | Reparation in International Law | 1 |
| II. | Developments towards an Individual Right to Reparation | 5 |
| III. | The Trialogue on Reparation | 10 |
| 1. | The Right to Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict: The Intertwined Development of Substantive and Procedural Aspects | |
| <i>Shuichi Furuya</i> | | 16 |
| I. | Introduction | 16 |
| II. | A State-Centred Right to Reparation: Historical Developments until the 1990s | 20 |
| A. | The Situation before World War II | 20 |
| B. | A Framework for Settling the Consequences of World War II | 22 |
| C. | Lump-Sum Settlements before the 1990s | 27 |
| III. | A Victim-Oriented Perspective of the Right to Reparation: A Turning Point | 28 |
| A. | A Growing Victim Focus in the United Nations | 29 |
| B. | Advancement in the International Criminal Tribunals and Court | 34 |
| C. | <i>Ad Hoc</i> Reparation Mechanisms Created since the Early 1990s | 39 |
| D. | New Cases on Past Violations: Evaluating the Findings of Domestic Courts | 46 |

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| IV. | Victims to Be Redressed | 51 |
| | A. The Nature of Armed Conflict | 52 |
| | B. Applicable Law in Armed Conflict | 53 |
| | C. Harms Caused by Violations of International Law | 55 |
| | D. The Nature of the Person to Be Redressed | 60 |
| V. | The Obligation to Make Reparation | 62 |
| | A. Duty-Bearers of Reparation | 62 |
| | B. Forms of Reparation | 65 |
| | C. Waiver or Limitation of Reparation Claims | 67 |
| VI. | The Procedural Right to Reparation | 71 |
| | A. The Right to Access an Effective Mechanism | 71 |
| | B. Developing the Right to Be Heard | 75 |
| | C. The Right to Equal Treatment without Discrimination | 76 |
| VII. | Common Principles of <i>Ad Hoc</i> Reparation Mechanisms | 77 |
| | A. Collecting, Registering, and Processing Claims | 78 |
| | B. Valuing and Verifying Claims | 81 |
| | C. The Victim's Choice of an Appropriate Mechanism | 84 |
| | D. The Financial Basis of the Mechanism | 86 |
| VIII. | Conclusions | 89 |
| 2. | Operationalising the Right of Victims of War to Reparation | |
| | <i>Cristián Correa</i> | 92 |
| | I. Introduction | 92 |
| | II. The Possible Legal Foundations for an Individual Right to Reparation | 94 |
| | A. The Right of Victims to Obtain Reparation under International Human Rights Law | 95 |
| | B. The Right of Victims to Obtain Reparation under International Humanitarian Law | 103 |
| | C. The Application of International Human Rights Law to Armed Conflict and the Right of Victims to Reparation | 110 |
| | III. The Practical Realisation of the Right to Reparation in Armed Conflict | 114 |
| | A. Reparation under International or Inter-State <i>Ad Hoc</i> Mechanisms | 116 |
| | B. Reparation through State Administrative Processes | 126 |

| <i>Contents</i> | | vii |
|-----------------|--|-----|
| | C. Lessons for Designing Reparation Policies for Armed Conflict | 163 |
| | IV. Conclusions | 174 |
| 3. | International Human Rights Adjudication, Subsidiarity, and Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict | |
| | <i>Clara Sandoval</i> | 179 |
| | I. Introduction | 179 |
| | II. Subsidiarity in International Human Rights Law | 182 |
| | III. The Inter-American Court of Human Rights and Its Jurisprudence on Reparation | 187 |
| | A. Gross Human Rights Violations, Victims, and Domestic Reparation Programmes in Guatemala, Peru, and Colombia | 190 |
| | B. The Jurisprudence of the Court on Domestic Reparation Programmes: From Rectification to Deference | 197 |
| | C. The Judgments in <i>Yarce</i> and <i>Vereda La Esperanza v. Colombia</i> : Is the Court Revisiting Its Approach to Subsidiarity? | 209 |
| | D. The Reasoning of the Court behind Deference in Relation to Domestic Reparation Programmes: Problems and Consequences | 214 |
| | E. The Court's Shift towards Subsidiarity: What Explains This Approach? | 216 |
| | IV. The European Court of Human Rights and Its Jurisprudence on Reparation | 222 |
| | A. The Court's Standard Approach to Subsidiarity and Reparation | 222 |
| | B. Changes in the Court's Treatment of Subsidiarity and Reparation | 226 |
| | C. The Court's Jurisprudence on War-Related Large-Scale Human Rights Violations and Domestic Reparation Programmes | 236 |
| | D. What Explains the Approach of the Court to Subsidiarity when Dealing with Reparation? | 244 |
| | E. The Reasoning of the Court on Remedial Measures: Problems and Consequences | 250 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| V. | What Should Regional Human Rights Courts Do When Faced with Challenges to Domestic Reparation Programmes? | 252 |
| A. | The International Law Test | 253 |
| B. | The Public Policy Test | 257 |
| VI. | Conclusions | 260 |
| | Conclusion: Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict – At the Interface of International and National Law | |
| | <i>Anne Peters</i> | 265 |
| I. | Introduction | 265 |
| II. | Regime Interaction and Cross-Fertilisation | 268 |
| III. | The Impact of Human Rights | 270 |
| IV. | The Interaction between International and Domestic Law | 273 |
| V. | ‘Transformative Reparation’? Between Law and Politics, Courts and Legislators, the Past and the Future | 277 |
| VI. | Outlook | 280 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 285 |