

## REPARATION FOR VICTIMS OF ARMED CONFLICT

Are victims of armed conflict entitled to reparation, which legal rules govern the question, and how can reparation be implemented? These key questions of transitional justice are examined by three scholars whose professional, theoretical, and methodological backgrounds and outlooks differ greatly. They discuss how regional human rights case law, international criminal law, the practice of *ad hoc* international bodies, and domestic practice give rise to a right to reparation. This right emerges out of the interplay between international and domestic law. The problems of mass claims, fragile statehood, and the high risk of marginalisation of particular groups of victims are addressed. The analysis is alert to the current backlash against international legal institutions, and to the practical constraints in making post-conflict law work. The multiperspectivism of the triological setting exposes the divergence and complementarity of the authors' approaches and leads to a richer understanding of the law of reparation.

Cristián Correa is a senior expert at the International Center for Transitional Justice. He works on how to address the consequences of massive human rights violations in countries such as Côte d'Ivoire, Kenya, Sri Lanka, Colombia, Mexico, and Peru. Before, he faced similar challenges as an advisor at the Presidency of Chile and at the National Commission on Political Imprisonment and Torture.

Shuichi Furuya is a professor at Waseda Law School, and a member of the UN Human Rights Committee and of the International Humanitarian Fact-Finding Commission under the 1st Additional Protocol to the Geneva Conventions. He was a Co-Rapporteur of the ILA Committee on Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict (2004–14). From the perspective of both international human rights law and humanitarian law, he is an expert on reparations issues.

Clara Sandoval is a professor at the School of Law and Human Rights Centre at the University of Essex. She also teaches at the Geneva Academy. Clara has served as an expert on reparation before international tribunals such as the ICC and the Inter-American Court. She litigates before the Inter-American System, and has worked as a consultant for various UN bodies on reparation questions.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-48095-6 — Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict  
Cristián Correa , Shuichi Furuya , Clara Sandoval  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

#### MAX PLANCK TRIALOGUES ON THE LAW OF PEACE AND WAR

In a *Max Planck Trialogue*, three authors discuss one topic within the international law surrounding armed conflict. Each trio is composed so as to engage different modes of legal thinking, intellectual paradigms, regional backgrounds, and professional specialisation. By bringing the pluralism of premises and methods to the fore, the *Trialogues* facilitate the emergence and global refinement of common legal understandings.

#### Series Editors

Professor Anne Peters  
*Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and  
International Law*

Dr Christian Marxsen  
*Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and  
International Law*

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.

# Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict

**CRISTIÁN CORREA**

International Center for Transitional Justice, New York

**SHUICHI FURUYA**

Waseda University, Tokyo

**CLARA SANDOVAL**

University of Essex, Colchester, UK



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-48095-6 — *Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict*  
Cristián Correa, Shuichi Furuya, Clara Sandoval  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom  
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,  
New Delhi – 110025, India  
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108480956](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108480956)  
DOI: 10.1017/9781108628877

© Cristián Correa, Shuichi Furuya and Clara Sandoval 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

ISBN 978-1-108-48095-6 Hardback  
ISBN 978-1-108-70364-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

## Contents

<b>Introduction: The Emergence of an Individual Right to Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict</b>		
<i>Christian Marxsen</i>		<i>page 1</i>
I.	Reparation in International Law	1
II.	Developments towards an Individual Right to Reparation	5
III.	The Trialogue on Reparation	10
<b>1.</b>	<b>The Right to Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict: The Intertwined Development of Substantive and Procedural Aspects</b>	
<i>Shuichi Furuya</i>		16
I.	Introduction	16
II.	A State-Centred Right to Reparation: Historical Developments until the 1990s	20
A.	The Situation before World War II	20
B.	A Framework for Settling the Consequences of World War II	22
C.	Lump-Sum Settlements before the 1990s	27
III.	A Victim-Oriented Perspective of the Right to Reparation: A Turning Point	28
A.	A Growing Victim Focus in the United Nations	29
B.	Advancement in the International Criminal Tribunals and Court	34
C.	<i>Ad Hoc</i> Reparation Mechanisms Created since the Early 1990s	39
D.	New Cases on Past Violations: Evaluating the Findings of Domestic Courts	46

IV.	Victims to Be Redressed	51
	A. The Nature of Armed Conflict	52
	B. Applicable Law in Armed Conflict	53
	C. Harms Caused by Violations of International Law	55
	D. The Nature of the Person to Be Redressed	60
V.	The Obligation to Make Reparation	62
	A. Duty-Bearers of Reparation	62
	B. Forms of Reparation	65
	C. Waiver or Limitation of Reparation Claims	67
VI.	The Procedural Right to Reparation	71
	A. The Right to Access an Effective Mechanism	71
	B. Developing the Right to Be Heard	75
	C. The Right to Equal Treatment without Discrimination	76
VII.	Common Principles of <i>Ad Hoc</i> Reparation Mechanisms	77
	A. Collecting, Registering, and Processing Claims	78
	B. Valuing and Verifying Claims	81
	C. The Victim's Choice of an Appropriate Mechanism	84
	D. The Financial Basis of the Mechanism	86
VIII.	Conclusions	89
2.	<b>Operationalising the Right of Victims of War to Reparation</b>	
	<i>Cristián Correa</i>	92
	I. Introduction	92
	II. The Possible Legal Foundations for an Individual Right to Reparation	94
	A. The Right of Victims to Obtain Reparation under International Human Rights Law	95
	B. The Right of Victims to Obtain Reparation under International Humanitarian Law	103
	C. The Application of International Human Rights Law to Armed Conflict and the Right of Victims to Reparation	110
	III. The Practical Realisation of the Right to Reparation in Armed Conflict	114
	A. Reparation under International or Inter-State <i>Ad Hoc</i> Mechanisms	116
	B. Reparation through State Administrative Processes	126

<i>Contents</i>		vii
	C. Lessons for Designing Reparation Policies for Armed Conflict	163
	IV. Conclusions	174
3.	<b>International Human Rights Adjudication, Subsidiarity, and Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict</b> <i>Clara Sandoval</i>	179
	I. Introduction	179
	II. Subsidiarity in International Human Rights Law	182
	III. The Inter-American Court of Human Rights and Its Jurisprudence on Reparation	187
	A. Gross Human Rights Violations, Victims, and Domestic Reparation Programmes in Guatemala, Peru, and Colombia	190
	B. The Jurisprudence of the Court on Domestic Reparation Programmes: From Rectification to Deference	197
	C. The Judgments in <i>Yarce</i> and <i>Vereda La Esperanza v. Colombia</i> : Is the Court Revisiting Its Approach to Subsidiarity?	209
	D. The Reasoning of the Court behind Deference in Relation to Domestic Reparation Programmes: Problems and Consequences	214
	E. The Court's Shift towards Subsidiarity: What Explains This Approach?	216
	IV. The European Court of Human Rights and Its Jurisprudence on Reparation	222
	A. The Court's Standard Approach to Subsidiarity and Reparation	222
	B. Changes in the Court's Treatment of Subsidiarity and Reparation	226
	C. The Court's Jurisprudence on War-Related Large-Scale Human Rights Violations and Domestic Reparation Programmes	236
	D. What Explains the Approach of the Court to Subsidiarity when Dealing with Reparation?	244
	E. The Reasoning of the Court on Remedial Measures: Problems and Consequences	250

V.	What Should Regional Human Rights Courts Do When Faced with Challenges to Domestic Reparation Programmes?	252
A.	The International Law Test	253
B.	The Public Policy Test	257
VI.	Conclusions	260
	<b>Conclusion: Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict – At the Interface of International and National Law</b>	
	<i>Anne Peters</i>	265
I.	Introduction	265
II.	Regime Interaction and Cross-Fertilisation	268
III.	The Impact of Human Rights	270
IV.	The Interaction between International and Domestic Law	273
V.	‘Transformative Reparation’? Between Law and Politics, Courts and Legislators, the Past and the Future	277
VI.	Outlook	280
	<i>Index</i>	285