

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>page</i>	<i>ix</i>
Introduction		1
1. Preliminaries		4
1.1 The Syntactic Typology of Relative Clauses		4
1.2 The Semantic Typology of Relative Clauses		5
1.3 A Unified Double-Headed Analysis		6
1.4 The Pre-nominal Origin of Relative Clauses If Linear Order Is Part of Narrow Syntax		7
1.5 Pre-nominal Merge, Antisymmetry, and a Unified Double-Headed Structure for ‘Raising’ and ‘Matching’		14
2. Deriving the Cross-Linguistically Attested Types of Restrictive and Maximalizing Relative Clauses from a Double-Headed Structure		20
2.1 Externally Headed Post-nominal Relative Clauses		20
2.2 Externally Headed Pre-nominal Relative Clauses		65
2.3 Internally Headed Relative Clauses		73
2.4 Double-Headed Relative Clauses		90
2.5 ‘Headless’ or Free Relative Clauses and Light-Headed Ones		97
2.6 Correlative Relative Clauses		125
2.7 Adjoined Relative Clauses		141
3. Deriving the Other Types of Relative Clauses from a Double-Headed Structure		143
3.1 Finite Non-restrictive Relative Clauses		143
3.2 Kind(-Defining) Relative Clauses		184
3.3 Infinitival Relative Clauses		191
3.4 Participial Relative Clauses		203

viii	<i>Contents</i>	
3.5	The Different External Merge Positions of the Different Types of Relative Clauses	221
3.6	A Note on Chinese Relative Clauses	234
4.	‘Strategies’ for the Realization of the Internal Head	242
4.1	The Gap Strategy with Overt or Silent Invariant Relativizers	242
4.2	The Gap Strategy with Relative Pronouns/Adjectives	242
4.3	The Resumptive Pronoun/Epithet Strategy	244
4.4	The PRO Strategy	248
4.5	The Non-reduction Strategy	248
4.6	The Verb-Coding Strategy	249
4.7	A Note on Bantu Relative Clauses	251
4.8	On the Putative Gapless Strategy of East Asian Relative Clauses	253
5.	Some Residual Questions	256
5.1	Coordinated DP Heads: ‘Hydras’	256
5.2	Relative Clauses with Split Antecedents	258
5.3	Single Head Followed by a Relative Clause with Multiple Relative Pronouns	263
5.4	‘Double Dependence’	266
5.5	Selective Extraction from Certain Relative Clauses	267
5.6	Residual Points and Puzzles	276
	Conclusion	281
	<i>Appendix: Possible Evidence for the Existence of Non-‘raising’ Derivations</i>	285
A1	Relative Clauses Failing to Display Reconstruction of the Head	286
A2	Relative Clauses Failing to Display Movement – Hence ‘Raising’	288
A3	Possible Advantages of an Analysis Countenancing Both ‘Matching’ and ‘Raising’	290
A4	Three Phenomena Discriminating between ‘Matching’ and ‘Raising’ – Extraposition, Stacking, and Weak Island Sensitivity – and Some Apparent Exceptions	293
	<i>References</i>	314
	<i>Author Index</i>	380
	<i>Language Index</i>	388
	<i>Subject Index</i>	392