

Advances in Experimental Political Science

Experimental political science has changed. In two short decades, it evolved from an emergent method to an accepted method to a primary method. The challenge now is to ensure that experimentalists design sound studies and implement them in ways that illuminate cause and effect. Ethical boundaries must also be respected, results interpreted in a transparent manner, and data and research materials must be shared to ensure others can build on what has been learned. This book explores the application of new designs; the introduction of novel data sources, measurement approaches, and statistical methods; the use of experiments in more substantive domains; and discipline-wide discussions about the robustness, generalizability, and ethics of experiments in political science. By exploring these novel opportunities while also highlighting the concomitant challenges, this volume enables scholars and practitioners to conduct high-quality experiments that will make key contributions to knowledge.

James N. Druckman is the Payson S. Wild Professor of Political Science at Northwestern University. He was elected to the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and, with Donald Green, helped found the Experimental Research section of the American Political Science Association. He also is currently the co-Principal Investigator for Time-sharing Experiments in the Social Sciences, and co-authored the book *Who Governs? Presidents, Public Opinion, and Manipulation*.

Donald P. Green is the J.W. Burgess Professor of Political Science at Columbia University. He was elected to the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and, with James Druckman, helped found the Experimental Research section of the American Political Science Association. He also co-founded the scholarly consortium of experimental researchers, Evidence in Governance and Politics, and co-authored the textbook *Field Experiments: Design, Analysis, and Interpretation*.

Advances in Experimental Political Science



Edited by

JAMES N. DRUCKMAN

Northwestern University

DONALD P. GREEN

Columbia University



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-47850-2 — Advances in Experimental Political Science
Edited by James Druckman, Donald P. Green
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108478502
DOI: 10.1017/978110877919

© Cambridge University Press 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ Books Limited, Padstow, Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Druckman, James N., 1971– editor. | Green, Donald P., 1961– editor.
TITLE: Advances in experimental political science / edited by James N. Druckman, Donald P. Green.
DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2021. | Includes bibliographical references and index.
IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2020022763 (print) | LCCN 2020022764 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108478502 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108745888 (paperback) | ISBN 978110877919 (epub)
SUBJECTS: LCSH: Political science—Methodology. | Political science—Research. | Political science—Experiments.
CLASSIFICATION: LCC JA71 .A388 2021 (print) | LCC JA71 (ebook) | DDC 320.072/4—dc23
LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020022763>
LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020022764>

ISBN 978-1-108-47850-2 Hardback
ISBN 978-1-108-74588-8 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>page</i> viii
<i>List of Figures</i>	x
<i>List of Boxes</i>	xii
<i>Contributors</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv
1 A New Era of Experimental Political Science	1
<i>James N. Druckman and Donald P. Green</i>	
PART I: EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS	
2 Conjoint Survey Experiments	19
<i>Kirk Bansak, Jens Hainmueller, Daniel J. Hopkins, and Teppei Yamamoto</i>	
3 Audit Studies in Political Science	42
<i>Daniel M. Butler and Charles Crabtree</i>	
4 Field Experiments with Survey Outcomes	56
<i>Joshua L. Kalla, David E. Broockman, and Jasjeet S. Sekhon</i>	
5 How to Tame Lab-in-the-Field Experiments	79
<i>Catherine Eckel and Natalia Candelo Londono</i>	
6 Natural Experiments	103
<i>Rocío Titiunik</i>	
7 Virtual Consent: The Bronze Standard for Experimental Ethics	130
<i>Dawn Langan Teele</i>	

PART II: EXPERIMENTAL DATA

- 8** Experiments, Political Elites, and Political Institutions 149
Christian R. Grose
- 9** Convenience Samples in Political Science Experiments 165
Yanna Krupnikov, H. Hannab Nam, and Hillary Style
- 10** Experiments Using Social Media Data 184
Andrew M. Guess
- 11** How to Form Organizational Partnerships to Run Experiments 199
Adam Seth Levine

PART III: EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS AND MEASURES

- 12** Improving Experimental Treatments in Political Science 219
Diana C. Mutz
- 13** Beyond Attitudes: Incorporating Measures of Behavior in Survey Experiments 239
Erik Peterson, Sean J. Westwood, and Shanto Iyengar

PART IV: EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS AND PRESENTATION

- 14** Advances in Experimental Mediation Analysis 257
Adam N. Glynn
- 15** Subgroup Analysis: Pitfalls, Promise, and Honesty 271
Marc Ratkovic
- 16** Spillover Effects in Experimental Data 289
Peter M. Aronow, Dean Eckles, Cyrus Samii, and Stephanie Zonszein
- 17** Visualize as You Randomize: Design-Based Statistical Graphs for
 Randomized Experiments 320
Alexander Coppock

PART V: EXPERIMENTAL RELIABILITY AND GENERALIZABILITY

- 18** Transparency in Experimental Research 339
Cheryl Boudreau
- 19** Threats to the Scientific Credibility of Experiments: Publication Bias
 and *P*-Hacking 354
Neil Malhotra
- 20** What Can Multi-Method Research Add to Experiments? 369
Jason Seawright
- 21** Generalizing Experimental Results 385
Erin Hartman
- 22** Conducting Experiments in Multiple Contexts 411
Graeme Blair and Gwyneth McClendon

PART VI: USING EXPERIMENTS TO STUDY IDENTITY

- 23 Identity Experiments: Design Challenges and Opportunities for Studying Race and Ethnic Politics 431
Amber D. Spry
- 24 The Evolution of Experiments on Racial Priming 447
Ali A. Valenzuela and Tyler Reny
- 25 The Evolution of Experiments on Gender in Elections 468
Samara Klar and Elizabeth Schmitt
- 26 Gender Experiments in Comparative Politics 485
Amanda Clayton and Georgia Anderson-Nilsson

PART VII: USING EXPERIMENTS TO STUDY GOVERNMENT ACTIONS

- 27 Experiments on and with Street-Level Bureaucrats 509
Noah L. Nathan and Ariel White
- 28 The State of Experimental Research on Corruption Control 526
Paul Lagunes and Brigitte Seim
- 29 Experiments on Political Activity Governments Want to Keep Hidden 544
Jennifer Pan
- 30 Experiments in Post-Conflict Contexts 562
Aila M. Matanock
- 31 Experiments on Problems of Climate Change 592
Mary C. McGrath
- 32 A Constant Obsession with Explanation 616
Lynn Vavreck
- Author Index* 621
Subject Index 628

Tables

2.1	The list of possible attribute values in the Democratic primary experiment.	<i>page</i> 22
2.2	Topical classification of the 124 published articles using conjoint designs identified in our literature review for the years 2014–2019.	36
4.1	Potential benefits of and complementarities between four methodological practices.	65
4.2	Notation and values used in the examples.	66
4.3	Variances and variable costs of alternative designs.	72
6.1	Typology of randomized experiments and observational studies.	117
7.1	Three standards of ethics and experiments.	135
10.1	Effect of exposure to a friend's tweet.	193
12.1	Partial counterbalancing in within-subject experimental designs.	235
15.1	Coverage of 90% uncertainty intervals by subgroup.	282
15.2	Subgroup effects from audit experiment.	283
15.3	Split-sample estimates from conjoint analysis.	285
16.1	Comparing Horvitz–Thompson to Hajek estimators using approximate exposure probabilities.	300
16.2	Misspecifying exposure conditions.	301
16.3	Comparing unit to cluster randomization.	305
18.1	Recommended information for preregistration and pre-analysis plans in experimental research.	348
18.2	Gerber et al.'s (2015) checklist of reporting items for experimental research.	349
21.1	Subgroup estimates within the experiment in the CDR example.	398
21.2	PATE estimation in the CDR example.	399
21.3	Simulation results using different adjustment sets for estimating the PATE.	399
21.4	Estimation with common population data types.	401

Tables

ix

22.1	Trade-offs across the three types of multi-context approaches.	417
23.1	Racial and ethnic identity experiments.	441
28.1	Summary of experimental research on electoral accountability (2008–2015).	535
28.2	Summary of experimental research on electoral accountability (2016–2020).	536

Figures

1.1	<i>APSR</i> experimental articles by decade.	<i>page</i> 2
1.2	Experimental Trends.	7
2.1	An example conjoint table from the Democratic primary experiment.	21
2.2	Outcome variables in the Democratic primary experiment.	23
2.3	Average marginal component effects of candidate attributes in the Democratic primary conjoint experiment (forced choice outcome).	30
2.4	Average marginal component effects of candidate attributes in the Democratic primary conjoint experiment (rating outcome).	33
2.5	Conditional average marginal component effects of candidate attributes across respondent party.	34
4.1	Comparing costs of different designs.	59
4.2	“The traditional design.”	60
4.3	Applying the framework when placebo is not possible: mail example.	73
4.4	Example results: variable costs for studying public health intervention in Liberia.	74
5.1	Risk preference elicitation.	84
9.1	Use of various samples in political science journals.	168
10.1	Effect of exposure to Promoted Tweets, direct messages, or both on signing an online petition.	189
12.1	Sample “screener” or attention check question.	227
14.1	Graphical depiction of direct and indirect (through <i>M</i>) effects of <i>A</i> on <i>Y</i> .	258
14.2	Directed acyclic graph depicting the key identification criteria for the single experiment.	264
15.1	Subgroup estimates.	282
16.1	Causal graph illustrating interference mechanisms and confounding mechanisms when treatment (<i>Z</i>) is randomized.	290

	<i>Figures</i>	xi
16.2	Figure from Sandefur (2018) displaying long-term spillover effects from an unconditional cash transfer program, as reported in Haushofer and Shapiro (2018).	291
16.3	Example of an interference network with 10 units. Each edge (link) represents a possible channel through which spillover effects might transmit.	295
16.4	From left to right, the distribution of the point estimates for 3000 simulations when the exposure mapping ignores interference, assumes first-degree interference, and assumes second-degree interference, respectively.	302
16.5	The distribution of point estimates for 3000 simulations given different proportions of missing ties for a case of positive spillover.	304
16.6	The distribution of point estimates for 3000 simulations with partial interference specified at the level of groups only.	312
17.1	ATE simulated two-arm trial.	327
17.2	A simulated block-randomized experiment.	328
17.3	The same simulated block-randomized experiment.	329
17.4	A simulated cluster-randomized experiment.	330
17.5	Covariate adjustment.	330
17.6	Simulated experiment with a continuous pretreatment covariate.	331
17.7	Simulated experiment encountering two-sided noncompliance.	333
17.8	A simulated experiment encountering attrition.	333
21.1	Simplified example of a field experiment.	388
24.1	News attention to racial issues.	456
25.1	Number of women in American Congress over time.	469
26.1	Total number of gender articles and experimental gender articles in three leading general interest political science journals (<i>American Political Science Review</i> , <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , and <i>Journal of Politics</i>).	487
26.2	Total number of gender articles and experimental gender articles in three leading comparative politics journals (<i>World Politics</i> , <i>Comparative Political Studies</i> , and <i>Comparative Politics</i>).	487
28.1	Risk vs. reward of corruption control experiments.	531
31.1	Hypothesized relationships structuring the political problem of climate change.	593

Boxes

8.1	A guide for scholars using experiments to study political institutions.	<i>page</i> 150
11.1	Steps in an organizational partnership.	205
11.2	Helpful relationship-building techniques.	206
11.3	What should be put in writing ahead of time?	210

Contributors

GEORGIA ANDERSON-NILSSON
Vanderbilt University

PETER M. ARONOW
Yale University

KIRK BANSAK
University of California, San Diego

GRAEME BLAIR
University of California, Los Angeles

CHERYL BOUDREAU
University of California, Davis

DAVID E. BROOCKMAN
University of California, Berkeley

DANIEL M. BUTLER
University of California, San Diego

AMANDA CLAYTON
Vanderbilt University

ALEXANDER COPPOCK
Yale University

CHARLES CRABTREE
Dartmouth College

JAMES N. DRUCKMAN
Northwestern University

CATHERINE ECKEL
Texas A&M University

DEAN ECKLES
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

ADAM N. GLYNN
Emory University

DONALD P. GREEN
Columbia University

CHRISTIAN R. GROSE
University of Southern California

ANDREW M. GUESS
Princeton University

JENS HAINMUELLER
Stanford University

xiv

Contributors

ERIN HARTMAN
 University of California, Los Angeles

ERIK PETERSON
 Texas A&M University

DANIEL J. HOPKINS
 University of Pennsylvania

MARC RATKOVIC
 Princeton University

SHANTO IYENGAR
 Stanford University

TYLER RENY
 Washington University in St. Louis

JOSHUA L. KALLA
 Yale University

CYRUS SAMII
 New York University

SAMARA KLAR
 University of Arizona

ELIZABETH SCHMITT
 University of Wisconsin–Platteville

YANNA KRUPNIKOV
 Stony Brook University

JASON SEAWRIGHT
 Northwestern University

PAUL LAGUNES
 Columbia University

BRIGITTE SEIM
 University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

ADAM SETH LEVINE
 Johns Hopkins University

JASJEET S. SEKHON
 Yale University

NATALIA CANDELO LONDONO
 Queens College, City University
 of New York

AMBER D. SPRY
 Brandeis University

NEIL MALHOTRA
 Stanford University

HILLARY STYLE
 Stony Brook University

AILA M. MATANOCK
 University of California, Berkeley

DAWN LANGAN TEELE
 University of Pennsylvania

GWYNETH McCLENDON
 New York University

ROCÍO TITIUNIK
 Princeton University

MARY C. McGRATH
 Northwestern University

LYNN VAVRECK
 University of California, Los Angeles

DIANA C. MUTZ
 University of Pennsylvania

SEAN J. WESTWOOD
 Dartmouth College

H. HANNAH NAM
 Stony Brook University

ARIEL WHITE
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

NOAH L. NATHAN
 University of Michigan

TEPPEI YAMAMOTO
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

JENNIFER PAN
 Stanford University

STEPHANIE ZONSZEIN
 New York University

Acknowledgments

In 2011, we coedited, along with Jim Kuklinski and Skip Lupia, the *Cambridge Handbook of Experimental Political Science*. The broad scope of that volume helped convince a skeptical discipline that experiments had arrived in political science. A decade later, experiments have quickly evolved from being an accepted method to being a primary method. The substantive, methodological, and epistemological advances are apparent in every subfield. This volume covers those advances.

We are indebted first and foremost to the authors, who not only contributed superb essays, but also served as reviewers for one another. The quality of the chapters reflects both the authors' command of their subject matter and the many constructive exchanges between the authors. This process of scholarly exchange reflects an extraordinary conference held at Northwestern University on May 21–22, 2019. We thank the generous sponsors of that conference: the National Science Foundation (SES-1822286), the Ford Motor Company Center for Global Citizenship at the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University

(directed by David Austen-Smith), and the Department of Political Science in the Weinberg College of Arts and Sciences at Northwestern University. We also thank the Ford Motor Company Center for Global Citizenship and the Institute for Policy Research at Northwestern University (directed by Diane Schanzenbach) for administrative support. We are especially appreciative of the help of Sheila Duran, Cynthia Kendall, Cindy Mydlach, and Patricia Reese. Adam Howat and Andrew Thompson – who were then advanced PhD students at Northwestern – also provided invaluable support. A number of graduate students who attended the conference generously provided comments on drafts of chapters; for that, we thank Robin Bayes, Amanda d'Urso, Daniel Encinas, Sam Gubitz, Katie Harvey, Adam Howat, Suji Kang, Bo Won Kim, Irene Kwon, Jeremy Levy, Ivonne Montes, Matt Nelsen, Jake Rothschild, Richard Shafranek, and Andrew Thompson. We also thank others who attended the conference and provided valuable feedback, including Tabitha Bonilla, Margaret Brower, Maria Carreri, Jean

Clipperton, Dan Galvin, Jordan Gans-Morse, Laurel Harbridge-Yong, Lenka Hrbkova, John Lee, Reuel Rogers, and Edoardo Teso. Special thanks go to John Bullock for attending and serving as a discussant at the conference. We thank our superb editor at Cambridge, Robert Dreesen, not only for attending the conference, but also for

his constant support and advice throughout the process.

Our hope is this volume spurs another decade, if not more, of important advances in experimental political science.

– James N. Druckman and Donald P. Green