Research from the neurosciences and behavioural sciences highlights the importance of individual differences in explaining human behaviour. Individual differences in core psychological constructs, such as intelligence or personality, account for meaningful variations in a vast range of responses and behaviours. Aspects of chess have been increasingly used in the past to evaluate a myriad of psychological theories, and several of these studies consider individual differences to be key constructs in their respective fields. This book summarizes the research surrounding the psychology of chess from an individual-differences perspective. The findings accumulated from nearly forty years' worth of research about chess and individual differences are brought together to show what is known – and still unknown – about the psychology of chess, with an emphasis on how people differ from one another.
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PREFACE

A considerable body of research within several fields of neurosciences and behavioural sciences has highlighted the crucial importance of individual differences in explaining human behaviour. Individual differences in core psychological constructs such as intelligence or personality account for meaningful variations in a vast diversity of responses and behaviours. Some aspects of the game of chess have been used in the past to evaluate a myriad of psychological theories. Several of these studies consider individual differences as key constructs in their respective fields of research. This book summarizes the latest research about the psychology of chess from an individual differences approach. The volume provides a comprehensive overview of the findings accumulated through nearly forty years of research into chess and individual differences. This volume, *Chess and Individual Differences*, organizes a complete perspective in terms of what is already known and what remains unknown about the psychology of chess, with an emphasis on individual differences.
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