

## Index

- Adoption  
   by homosexual(s), 8, 33, 37, 44, 129, 134, 144, 157, 161, 166  
   non-consensual, 74, 85–91, 108, 165  
   of a surrogacy-born child, 64  
   second-parent, 18, 129, 134, 144–151, 161, 163  
   single-parent, 18, 33, 44, 129, 134, 144, 157
- Adultery, 96
- Ahrens v Germany*, 27, 28, 37, 91, 104–105
- Alexandru Enache v Romania*, 114
- Anayo v Germany*, 27, 76
- Article 14 ECHR  
   additional rights and, 34, 115, 119, 120, 126, 140, 150  
   anti-stereotyping and, 25, 34, 79  
   magnifying effects of, 25, 32–34, 115, 121, 126, 137, 140, 154  
   substantive equality under, 32  
   suspect ground(s) of discrimination and, 39, 116, 124, 134, 142, 145, 162  
   very weighty reasons test under, 39, 83, 117, 134, 142, 162, 164
- Article 8 ECHR  
   applicability of, 25, 52, 53, 67, 90, 137  
   close personal ties and, 26, 27, 75, 139, 146  
   decision to/not to become a parent, 28, 52, 59, 62  
   expansion of, 23, 28, 33–34, 52, 114, 135  
   family life under, 26, 28, 29, 44, 55, 67, 75–76, 133, 135  
   married heterosexual family and, 27  
   positive obligations under, 29–32  
   private life under, 26, 28, 94  
   procedural requirements, 32, 38, 82–83  
   two-stage review, 24–26
- Artificial insemination, 6, 17, 49, 51, 54, 59, 60
- Assisted reproductive technologies  
   advent of, 5, 22, 68, 156  
   fragmenting effects of, 6, 17, 48, 49  
   heterologous, 6, 49  
   homo-parenthood through, 8, 49–50, 129  
   prisoners and, 59, 60
- B.B. and F.B. v Germany*, 31
- Balbontin v UK*, 79
- Berrehab v the Netherlands*, 26
- Best interests of the child, 31, 80, 81, 84, 87, 106, 137, 141, 144, 148, 156, 159
- Biological evidence, 65, 77, 91, 94, 157
- Biological link. *See* Biology
- Biology  
   as a ground of legal fatherhood, 11, 13, 45, 56, 61, 64, 65, 66–68, 70, 75, 151, 160, 162, 165  
   family life under Article 8 and, 67  
   indispensability of, 56, 68, 156  
   sufficiency of, 61, 68, 75, 82, 88, 101, 107
- Birth certificate, 8, 10, 64
- Blanket rule, 60, 63
- Boeckel and Gessner-Boeckel v Germany*, 133
- Bonnaud and Lecoq v France*, 134
- Braun v France*, 63
- Breadwinning, 8, 14, 16, 35, 46, 98, 100, 109, 115, 116, 143, 157
- Breastfeeding, 117
- Burden v the United Kingdom*, 27, 76
- C. and L.M. v UK*, 132
- Care/ing  
   anti-stereotyping and, 35, 77, 80, 81

- as a ground of legal fatherhood, 14, 55, 69, 85, 89, 90, 93, 98, 101, 104, 105, 135, 139, 151, 158, 164, 165, 167
- as maternal task, 4, 61, 109, 122, 130, 153
- family life under Article 8 and, 67, 76–77, 81, 85, 93, 98, 158
- public, 30
- Carvalho Pinto de Sousa Morais v Portugal*, 34
- Charron and Merle-Montet v France*, 134
- Chepelev v Russia*, 90
- Childcare
  - related benefits, 18, 115, 120, 125
  - shared responsibilities, 8, 110, 112, 117, 121, 123, 125
- Christianity, 44
- Christine Goodwin v UK*, 58
- Civil partnership, 128, 131, 134, 145
- Cohabitation
  - family life under Article 8 and, 55, 67, 76, 77, 86, 102
  - outside marriage, 5, 7, 76, 78, 80, 157
- Commitment. *See* Care/ing
- Consent
  - rules on, 59, 63
  - to adoption, 85, 148
  - to DNA testing, 97
  - withdrawal of, 59
- Costa and Pavan v Italy*, 52
- Cross border reproduction, 64
- D. and Others v Belgium*, 64
- Darmon v Poland*, 97
- Dazin v France*, 79
- Decision making, 28, 31, 35, 38, 43, 82
- Deportation, 89
- Dickson v UK*, 28, 51, 52, 58–63, 69–70, 157, 160
- Diligence, 92, 97, 103, 106, 107, 157, 160
- Disability, 148
- Discrimination
  - between married and unmarried fathers, 80, 83
  - between unmarried heterosexual and homosexual couples, 148
  - on the grounds of sex, 39, 83, 116, 122
  - on the grounds of sexual orientation, 33, 39, 132, 133, 144
  - positive, 124
- Divorce/separation
  - child contact after, 10, 30, 31, 78, 136
  - child custody after, 7, 12, 30, 31, 78, 80, 84, 86, 87
  - child support after, 12, 97
  - fragmenting effects of, 6–8
- DNA testing, 5, 7, 11, 45, 64, 73, 91, 93, 96, 97
- Doctrinal tools. *See* Doctrines of interpretation
- Doctrines of interpretation
  - consistent application of, 68, 71, 127, 162, 166
  - ECtHR's construction of fatherhood
    - productive of, 17, 19, 36, 63, 85, 116, 125, 141, 151, 161
    - subservient to, 17, 19, 63, 70, 85, 108, 141, 143, 150, 151, 161, 163, 165
  - inconsistent application of, 43, 77, 91, 135, 149, 154, 161
  - moral reading of ECHR and, 43, 108, 140, 154
- Donor insemination, *See* Artificial insemination
- Dynamic interpretation, *See* Living instrument doctrine
- E.B. v France*, 28, 33, 134, 139–144, 153, 157
- Elsholz v Germany*, 31, 38, 82
- Embryo(s)
  - frozen, 59
  - implantation of, 61
- Emonet and Others v Switzerland*, 147, 153
- Employment
  - women's participation in, 5, 8, 18, 45, 109, 125
- Eriksson v Sweden*, 30
- Eski v Austria*, 89, 90
- European consensus
  - as trend among Contracting States, 58, 104
  - comparative data and, 41, 57, 65, 70, 84, 95, 103, 104, 116, 118, 122, 142, 149, 163, 165
  - criticism of, 40–41
  - ECtHR's construction of fatherhood and, 58, 115, 125
  - evidence of, 41, 57, 163
  - method of identification and application of, 40–41, 58, 84, 118, 122, 149, 163, 164
  - moral reading of ECHR and, 44, 84, 150
  - selective use of, 41, 44, 150, 162
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
  - moral reading of, 35, 140
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
  - anti-stereotyping approach of, 34, 79, 82, 95, 106, 114, 116, 118, 121, 122, 123, 126, 137, 138, 143, 161, 164
  - definition of family life, 26–27, 44
  - interpretative role of, 21, 166
  - judicial activism of, 41, 42, 84, 163
  - judicial creativity of, 20

- European Court of Human Rights (cont.)  
 judicial self-restraint of, 24, 54, 79  
 legitimacy issues, 20, 37, 166  
 social change and, 2, 21, 22, 126, 166  
*Evans v UK*, 28, 37, 51, 52, 58–63, 69, 157, 160  
 Evolutive interpretation, *See* Living instrument doctrine
- Face-veil, 43
- Family law  
 common trends, 9–13  
 dominant ideology of the family in, 5, 10  
 evolving, 9  
 introverted subject, 21–22  
 reform, 9  
 social change and, 10, 166
- Family/ies  
 ‘the sexual family’, 4  
 bi-parental, 10, 129, 151  
 breakdown, 7, 26–27, 31, 45, 73  
 dominant ideology of, 4–5, 7, 8, 14, 27  
 foster, 31, 87, 165  
 fragmentation of, 6  
 homosexual, 8, 22, 46, 128  
 legal regulation of, 21, 30  
 marital, 5  
 mono-parental, 103  
 social change and, 6, 132, 146, 160  
 unmarried, 7, 11, 132, 151  
 –work reconciliation, 18, 109, 110, 125, 159, 161
- Fatherhood  
 ‘new’, 15–16, 62, 69, 82, 85, 86, 90, 101, 115, 121, 125, 155, 161, 163  
 as direct/autonomous relationship, 13, 75, 89, 93, 98, 158  
 as mediated relationship, 7, 27, 62, 72, 76, 82, 98, 101, 107, 156  
 as unitary status, 6, 14, 61, 62, 69, 70, 89, 107, 159, 160  
 biological, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 97, 162  
 care and, 16, 85, 89, 99, 100, 155  
 construction of, 2, 17, 19, 25, 46–47  
 conventional, 13–14, 48, 54, 55, 61, 63, 69, 76, 82, 89, 90, 107, 110, 116, 125, 126, 127, 131, 139, 141, 143, 152, 155, 163, 167  
 ECtHR’s moral views on, 63, 71, 108, 119, 135, 139, 143, 154, 161, 163, 166  
 employment and, 109  
 fragmenting, 1, 6, 8, 10, 14–15, 45, 73–74, 75, 110, 126, 158  
 geneticisation of, 10, 12, 75  
 heteronormativity and, 14, 49–50, 55, 56, 128, 160  
 heterosexual, 136, 141, 157  
 legal regulation of, 9, 13, 15, 35, 49  
 marital, 14, 82, 86, 90, 97, 101, 106, 108, 157, 160, 165  
 multi-, 10, 73  
 post-separation, 31, 74, 152, 156, 159  
 social change and, 1, 15, 17–18, 46, 123  
 unmarried, 11, 12, 98  
*Fretté v France*, 28, 37, 41, 134, 139–144, 149, 152, 157
- Gas and Dubois v France*, 133, 135, 144–151, 158
- Gay, 130, 134, 137, 138, 143, 152
- Gendered division of labour, 4, 8, 16, 18, 35, 61, 109, 115, 116, 119, 121, 122, 125, 134, 139, 141, 143, 154, 157, 158
- Görgülü v Germany*, 31, 38, 87, 88, 89, 90
- Hämäläinen v Finland*, 133
- Heteronormativity, 14, 49, 55, 56, 128, 129, 130, 132, 142, 144, 147, 152, 157, 160, 165
- Heterosexuality  
 as a ground of legal fatherhood/parenthood, 14, 18, 35, 46, 49, 130, 135, 138, 143, 145, 147, 151, 153, 154, 157  
 marriage and, 8
- Hoffmann v Germany*, 39, 82
- Hokkanen v Finland*, 30, 31
- Homo-parenthood, 6, 8, 18, 49–50, 130, 131, 160, 166
- Homophobia, 43, 136
- Household, 6, 8, 15, 72, 133, 146
- Identity  
 biological parentage as part of, 38, 66, 68  
 father’s relationship with his putative child as part of, 94  
 gender, 55, 56  
 personal, 57  
*Ignaccolo-Zenide v Romania*, 31
- Illegitimacy, 39, 78
- In vitro fertilisation, 17, 53, 59
- Infertility, 128
- Inheritance, 57
- Intentionality

- assisted reproductive technologies and, 6, 48
- family life and, 27
- legal fatherhood and, 1, 51, 59, 62, 69, 89, 135, 160, 165
- Interdependence, 159, 160
- Islam, 44
- Islamophobia, 43
- Iyilik v Turkey*, 97
- J.R.M. v the Netherlands*, 54
- Johansen v Norway*, 38
- Johnston and Others v Ireland*, 29, 75
- K. and T. v Finland*, 26, 32, 75
- K.A.B. v Spain*, 89, 90
- Kautzor v Germany*, 27, 28, 37, 76, 91, 104–105
- Keegan v Ireland*, 26, 86, 88, 90
- Kerkhoven, Hinke and Hinke v the Netherlands*, 135
- Kinship, 50, 142
- Kroon and Others v the Netherlands*, 22, 30, 76, 99, 103
- Labassee v France*, 66
- Lebbink v the Netherlands*, 26, 82
- Legal certainty, 60, 91, 96, 97
- Lesbian, 18, 33, 42, 49, 50, 54, 129, 134, 153
- Living instrument doctrine
  - Article 8 and, 23, 78
  - assisted reproductive technologies and, 53
  - criticism of, 41
  - European consensus and, 24, 41, 84, 144, 163, 164
  - moral reading of ECHR and, 43, 150
  - positive obligations and, 23
- M.B. v UK*, 79
- Maillard and Others v France*, 63
- Male breadwinner model, 4, 8, 45, 110, 112, 143
- Mandet v France*, 159
- Marckx v Belgium*, 29, 55, 75, 86, 99, 165
- Margin of appreciation
  - assisted reproductive technologies and, 53–54, 56–57
  - badge of discrimination and, 38, 83, 124
  - conventional fatherhood and, 36, 53, 58
  - criticism of, 39, 42
  - European consensus and, 24, 37, 42, 53–54, 56–57, 62, 70, 117, 122, 126, 141, 161, 163
  - homosexuality and, 37, 42
  - inconsistent application of, 39, 40, 63, 78
  - intensity of review and, 36, 62, 63, 94, 102
  - legal diversity and, 23
  - moral reading of ECHR and, 42, 63
  - nature of rights at stake and, 38
  - seriousness of the interference and, 37
  - transsexuality and, 37
  - variable effects of, 40, 63, 78
  - vis-à-vis contact-related claims, 38, 85, 88
  - vis-à-vis custody-related claims, 38, 84
  - vis-à-vis ethical and moral issues, 36–37, 53–54, 62, 65, 67, 70, 103
  - vis-à-vis identity-related claims, 38, 65, 67
  - width and influencing factors of, 39, 99, 117, 126
- Marital presumption, 11, 30, 72, 73, 93, 100, 101, 103
- Markin v Russia*, 34, 114, 115, 121–125, 126
- Marriage
  - ‘special status’ of, 76, 146, 149, 150, 153, 158
  - as a ground of legal fatherhood, 35, 49, 61, 151
  - as father–child connector, 1, 7, 17, 45, 72, 158
  - decline of, 1, 5, 7, 11, 17, 73
  - for same-sex couples, 131
  - heteronormative view of, 135, 151, 154, 158, 162
- Masculinities, 16
- Mata Estevez v Spain*, 132
- Maternity
  - allowance, 119
  - leave, 111, 112, 117
  - rights, 111
- McMichael v UK*, 31, 32
- Mennesson v France*, 37, 38, 51, 63–71, 156, 160, 162
- Military, 121
- Minority/ies, 43
- Mizzi v Malta*, 34, 95
- Morality, 22, 42
- Nazarenko v Russia*, 34
- Niedzwiecki v Germany*, 114
- Nurture, *See* Care/ing
- Nylund v Finland*, 76, 100–101
- Okpiz v Germany*, 114
- Oliari and Others v Italy*, 133
- Olsson v Sweden* (No. 2), 30
- Origins
  - right to know one’s, 12

- Ostace v Romania*, 96  
Ova donation, 53
- Paradiso and Campanelli v Italy*, 51, 63–71, 156, 160, 162
- Parental leave  
allowance, 112, 117  
legislation, 112, 118, 123  
right to, 114, 117, 121, 124, 157, 159  
take-up, 112, 115, 125
- Parental responsibility, 8, 10, 31, 57, 74, 78, 79, 86, 134, 136, 144, 152
- Paternity  
acknowledgment of, 76, 81, 165  
disestablishment of, 28, 73, 92, 98, 107, 157, 159  
establishment of, 28, 30, 37, 73, 106, 108, 159, 161, 162  
European consensus and, 37, 91, 95, 103  
judicial declaration of, 93, 95, 96  
leave, 111, 112  
misattributed, 74  
proceedings, 32, 73, 77, 158, 165  
testing, 11, 12, 73, 74, 105  
time limits to bring proceedings, 94, 95
- Paulík v Slovakia*, 28, 95
- Petrovic v Austria*, 39, 114, 115, 116–119, 123, 125, 126
- Positive obligation(s)  
assisted reproductive technologies and, 53  
conventional fatherhood and, 55  
inconsistent application of, 44–45, 90, 91–92, 99, 162, 165  
moral reading of ECHR and, 44–45, 91, 102, 108, 164  
to ensure child's integration in his family  
from childbirth, 29–30, 56, 86, 99, 103  
to facilitate reunion of parent with child, 31, 88, 162, 164  
to grant same-sex couples access to marriage, 133, 145  
unconventional family ties and, 56, 66, 91, 164
- Pregnancy, 76, 100, 105, 111, 121
- Pre-implantation genetic diagnosis, 52
- Promptness, 77, 88, 92, 95, 157
- Proportionality, 25, 36, 59, 60, 62, 79, 82, 93, 99, 101, 106, 145, 146, 150, 158
- R.L. and Others v Denmark*, 97
- Rasmussen v Denmark*, 28, 94, 95
- Religion, 37, 44, 103
- Róžariski v Poland*, 76, 102
- S.H. and Others v Austria*, 37, 52, 53
- Saenz and Saenz Cortes v France*, 63
- Sahin v Germany*, 31, 33, 38, 76, 80, 82, 83, 116
- Salgueiro da Silva Mouta v Portugal*, 134, 135–139, 151, 157, 160
- Schalk and Kopf v Austria*, 132, 145
- Schneider v Germany*, 27, 34, 81, 84
- Secularism, 44
- Separation, *See* Divorce/separation
- Shavdarov v Bulgaria*, 37, 91, 99, 102
- Shofman v Russia*, 41
- Social security, 113, 114, 120
- Söderbäck v Sweden*, 88, 89, 90
- Sommerfeld v Germany*, 31, 38, 81
- Sperm donation, 49, 50, 53, 54, 134, 144
- Stereotype/ing  
best interests of the child and, 81, 84  
compounded, 130, 137  
conventional fatherhood and, 35, 79  
definition of, 34, 118  
dominant ideology of the family and, 5  
gender, 113, 123, 124, 126, 137  
human rights and, 32, 34, 79, 94, 113, 123  
man-breadwinner/woman-homemaker, 35, 114, 115, 118, 122, 124, 126, 137  
sex role, 113, 118, 123, 137, 143
- Subsidiarity  
principle of, 20, 21
- Surrogacy  
contract of, 64  
European consensus and, 37  
for gays, 129  
immigration restrictions and, 65  
lawfulness of, 37, 64, 68  
national legal checks and, 65  
recognition of family ties created abroad  
through, 37, 38, 51, 63, 129  
travel authorisation and, 64

<i>Tavli v Turkey</i> , 97	<i>X and Others v Austria</i> , 133, 135, 144–151, 162, 163
Transsexuality	<i>X, Y and Z v UK</i> , 37, 51, 54–58, 65, 69–70, 156, 160, 163, 164, 165
fatherhood and, 51, 54–58, 156, 163	
<i>Van der Heijden v the Netherlands</i> , 27, 76	<i>Yousef v the Netherlands</i> , 101–102
<i>Weller v Hungary</i> , 114, 115, 119–121, 126	<i>Zaunegger v Germany</i> , 34, 80, 83, 85, 163, 164