

## THE FINANCIAL COURTS

In *The Financial Courts*, Jo Braithwaite analyses thirty years of cases involving the global derivatives markets, exploring the nature of these legal disputes and assessing their impact on financial markets and on commercial law more broadly. Weaving together this substantial body of cases with theoretical insights drawn from the growing literature on the internationalisation of financial law, Braithwaite offers readers a detailed and highly original contribution to the debate about the role of private law in international financial markets. This important work should be read by lawyers, economists and regulators in the field.

Jo Braithwaite is an Associate Professor of International Commercial Finance Law at the London School of Economics and an Associate Academic Fellow of the Honourable Society of the Inner Temple. Her areas of expertise relate to the use of private law in international financial markets, including the post-crisis reform of the OTC derivatives markets. She has published widely on such topics, winning awards for both her research and teaching in this field. Before undertaking her doctorate, Jo practised as a solicitor in a City of London law firm, where she specialised in litigation.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47479-5 — The Financial Courts  
Jo Braithwaite  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

# The Financial Courts

ADJUDICATING DISPUTES IN DERIVATIVES  
MARKETS

**JO BRAITHWAITE**

London School of Economics and Political Science



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47479-5 — The Financial Courts  
Jo Braithwaite  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,  
New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108474795](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108474795)

DOI: 10.1017/9781108647434

© Jo Braithwaite 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

NAMES: Braithwaite, Jo, 1972– author

TITLE: The financial courts : adjudicating disputes in derivatives markets / Jo Braithwaite, London School of Economics and Political Science.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2020. | Series: International corporate law and financial market regulation | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2020046960 (print) | LCCN 2020046961 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108474795 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108647434 (ebook)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Commercial courts – Great Britain. | Arbitration and award – Great Britain. | Derivative securities – Law and legislation – Great Britain. | Commercial law – Great Britain.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC KD7182 .B73 2020 (print) | LCC KD7182 (ebook) |

DDC 346.42/0922–dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020046960>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020046961>

ISBN 978-1-108-47479-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

## Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxvi
<b>Introduction</b>	1
1 Thirty Years of Derivatives Litigation	4
2 The Financial Courts	7
3 The Capacity of the Financial Courts	11
<b>1 The Modern Derivatives Markets</b>	14
1.1 Introduction	14
1.2 ‘Over-the-Counter’ Derivatives: Definitions and Uses	14
1.3 From Glossary to Alchemy: OTC Derivatives since Hazell	17
1.3.1 The Size of the OTC Derivatives Markets	18
1.3.2 Concentration and Diversification	21
1.4 Regulation of the OTC Derivatives Markets before the Financial Crisis	25
1.4.1 Public Sector Regulation	25
1.4.2 Self-Regulation by Contract	30
1.4.3 The Dominance of the ISDA Master Agreement	33
1.5 OTC Derivatives and the Global Financial Crisis	41
1.5.1 OTC Derivatives and the Causes of the Crisis	41
1.5.2 Regulatory Reform of the OTC Derivatives Markets	43
<b>2 Global Markets and the English Courts</b>	50
2.1 Introduction	50
2.2 Drivers of Derivatives Litigation	50
2.3 ISDA Master Agreement: Choice of Law and Jurisdiction	60
2.4 Policy and the English Courts	62

2.4.1	Litigation Involving the UK's 'Strategic Industries'	62
2.4.2	An Analogy with Macroprudential Regulation	67
2.5	Conclusion	75
<b>3</b>	<b>Interpreting The ISDA Master Agreement</b>	<b>76</b>
3.1	Introduction	76
3.2	Case Studies	79
3.2.1	Section 2(a)(iii), ISDA MA 1992 Version	79
3.2.2	The Definitions of 'Loss' and 'Market Quotation', ISDA MA 1992 Version	85
3.2.3	Credit Events in the ISDA Credit Derivatives Definitions	93
3.3	The Modern Approach to Interpreting Commercial Contracts	97
3.3.1	Literal v Contextual?	98
3.3.2	The Investors Compensation Scheme Re-statement	99
3.3.3	Standard Form Contracts: from Ships to Swaps	105
3.4	The 'Correct Approach' to Interpreting the ISDA MA	107
3.4.1	'Clarity, Certainty and Predictability'	108
3.4.2	Sources of Contextual Information	112
3.4.3	1992 and 2002 Versions of the ISDA MA	116
3.5	Conclusion	119
<b>4</b>	<b>The Regulatory Framework for Mis-selling Claims</b>	<b>120</b>
4.1	Introduction: The Regulatory Context	120
4.1.1	The UK Regulatory Framework	122
4.1.2	A COBS Case Study	125
4.2	Statutory Routes to Redress	127
4.2.1	Internal Complaints Procedures	128
4.2.2	The Financial Ombudsman Service	129
4.2.3	Section 138D of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000	131
4.3	The 'Interest Rate Hedging Products' Compensation Scheme	141
4.3.1	IRHP Mis-selling	142
4.3.2	Features of the IRHP Review	144
4.3.3	Legal Challenges to the IRHP Scheme	147
4.4	Conclusion	152
<b>5</b>	<b>Private Law Claims for Mis-selling</b>	<b>154</b>
5.1	Introduction	154
5.2	Mis-selling Litigation	155
5.2.1	Defining Mis-selling Litigation	155
5.2.2	Concurrent Claims	156
5.3	Contract	158
5.4	Misrepresentation	160
5.4.1	Fraud	161

5.4.2	Section 2(1) Misrepresentation Act 1967	164
5.4.3	Negligent Misrepresentation at Law	165
5.4.4	Representations: Express and Implied	166
5.4.5	Inducement	171
5.4.6	The Role of Appellate Courts	174
5.5	Banks' Duties of Care	175
5.5.1	Establishing a Duty of Care	175
5.5.2	Breach	187
5.5.3	Quantum	188
5.6	Fiduciary Duties: The 'Moral Standards of the Vicarage'?	191
5.6.1	Banks' Fiduciary Duties	191
5.6.2	Fiduciary Duties and Equitable Remedies	193
5.6.3	Reform	196
<b>6</b>	<b>Defensive Drafting</b>	<b>200</b>
6.1	Introduction	200
6.2	Categorising Defensive Drafting	201
6.2.1	Typical Defensive Drafting	202
6.2.2	Exclusion or 'Basis' Clauses?	203
6.3	Exclusion Clauses and UCTA Controls	205
6.3.1	UCTA Controls	205
6.3.2	Reasonableness	207
6.4	'The Contract Itself Gives Rise to an Estoppel'	211
6.5	Effects in Mis-selling Litigation	217
6.5.1	The 'Disclaimer Effect' of Standardised Drafting	218
6.5.2	Swaps Litigation	220
6.5.3	Beyond the Financial Markets	224
6.5.4	A High Water Mark?	225
6.6	Conclusion	239
<b>7</b>	<b>Challenging Decision-making</b>	<b>241</b>
7.1	Introduction	241
7.2	Express Standards of Decision-making	242
7.2.1	The 1992 MA	244
7.2.2	The 2002 MA	250
7.2.3	Conclusion	252
7.3	Regulating Contractual Discretion	254
7.3.1	Implied Terms	254
7.3.2	Reviewability	258
7.3.3	Standards	262
7.3.4	Significance	267
7.4	The Potential for Judicial Review	269
7.4.1	Amenability	269
7.4.2	Grounds of Review	274

7.4.3	Remedies	276
7.5	Conclusion	277
<b>8</b>	<b>Challenging Choice of Jurisdiction</b>	<b>279</b>
8.1	Introduction	279
8.2	ISDA MA: Choice of Law and Jurisdiction	282
8.3	Challenging the Jurisdiction of the English Courts	283
8.3.1	Multiple Contracts	284
8.3.2	Parallel Proceedings	291
8.3.3	Legal Capacity	294
8.4	Insolvency	297
8.4.1	The English Courts as the Contractual ‘Courts of Record’	299
8.4.2	Conflicting Judgments	302
8.4.3	The Jurisdictional Puzzle	307
<b>9</b>	<b>Challenging Choice of Law</b>	<b>312</b>
9.1	Introduction	312
9.2	Default Position	313
9.3	Mandatory Rules	315
9.4	Applying Foreign Law	321
9.5	The New Capacity Claims	323
9.5.1	Applicable Law	324
9.5.2	Determining Capacity	328
9.5.3	Redress	334
<b>10</b>	<b>The Future of the Financial Courts</b>	<b>337</b>
10.1	Introduction	337
10.2	Fragmentation Since the Financial Crisis	338
10.2.1	OTC Derivatives Market Reform	338
10.2.2	Brexit	339
10.2.3	‘Deglobalisation’	346
10.3	New Choices	347
10.3.1	New Master Agreements	347
10.3.2	New Developments in Dispute Resolution	348
10.4	English Responses	357
10.4.1	The Business Property Courts	357
10.4.2	The Financial List	358
10.5	Concluding Remarks	362
	<b>Appendix: Legislative Framework for Contractual Jurisdiction Provisions</b>	<b>365</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>369</b>



## Preface

This book seeks to explain the role of the courts in the modern derivatives markets. In doing so, it explores three decades of cases in detail, showing how wide-ranging decisions have evolved in line with the markets themselves, growing more complex, more international and more technically challenging over time. It identifies how, after a notorious start, these cases have become a source of robust rules for a systemically significant sector of the global financial markets and have helped to shape commercial law more broadly. The study also exposes the limits to the capacity of the courts, however, in particular in light of the diversification of users of these types of financial contracts.

This study is book-ended by two landmark events. The thirty-year window opens with the notorious litigation involving Hammersmith and Fulham London Borough Council and closes with the United Kingdom's departure from the European Union in January 2020. As the first chapter of the book shows, over these three decades, nascent, opaque and relatively tiny derivatives markets evolved into markets of staggering size, global reach and dense interconnections. This transformation was poorly understood by regulators at the time, but since the global financial crisis, it has been subjected to intense scrutiny. Accordingly, we now understand much more clearly the roles of legal standardisation, globalisation, private law techniques, legal and regulatory reform, private and public actors and the dominant trade association in this remarkable transformation. What has been missing, however, is a detailed understanding of the role of the courts in this story, not only through certain high-profile decisions such as *Hazell* itself, but in terms of a comprehensive, contextualised account, built up from a detailed analysis of the cases themselves. To provide such an understanding is the purpose of this book.

One global crisis is integral to this study; another looms as it goes to press. Given its time-frame, a major theme of this study is the significance of the spike of litigation which followed the global financial crisis that broke out in 2007–8. Several chapters of this book explore and evaluate this deep seam of important cases in order to demonstrate the enduring legal and regulatory implications. During the publication

process, however, the world was struck by another crisis, in the form of the most devastating global health emergency in living memory. The coronavirus pandemic led to lockdown measures being imposed around the world. At the time of writing, schools, universities and offices are closed, travel restricted, gatherings banned and public events cancelled or postponed far into the future. In dozens of countries, the order is to stay at home. Normal life has become impossible and economic activity has suffered a sudden, devastating shock. As the President of the European Central Bank, Christine Lagarde, put it, '[e]ssentially, for a temporary period, a large part of the economy is being switched off'.<sup>1</sup> As a result, there has already been extreme volatility in the prices of financial assets and a sharp downgrading of the economic outlook around the world.<sup>2</sup>

At the time of writing, the 'quiet is disquieting'.<sup>3</sup> In the short, medium and long term, many aspects of life are deeply uncertain. The financial system itself has, thankfully, proven resilient to the economic shocks so far;<sup>4</sup> however, there seems little reason to doubt that, as in the aftermath of other types of crises, litigation in one form or another is likely to arise as a result of this economic collapse and the accompanying economic conditions (in the form of negative oil prices, or negative interest rates, for example). What little we know of the nature of this crisis so far suggests that elements of such litigation as arises may well track some of the patterns carved out in the wake of the last global financial crisis, as outlined in the core chapters this book. For example, where commercial contracts are contested by parties seeking to end obligations that are no longer viable, contractual interpretation will be the pivotal legal issue, and the approach applied by the courts decisive. Where contractual events of default are triggered by insolvency or non-payment, it seems likely that, as in the wake of the 2008 global financial crisis, most contracts will terminate early on lines provided for therein, but also that certain defaults will become contested in the ways outlined in this book, including, potentially on the grounds of a misuse of contractual discretion in the asset valuation process. Where government or regulators intervene in the economy, the corollary is, inevitably, the heightened prospect of judicial review, as it was in relation to 2008 nationalisation of Northern Rock, for example.<sup>5</sup> Where international parties are pulled into foreign courts, there may once again be litigants who seek to challenge contractual choices of law and jurisdiction in an attempt to bring their dispute 'home'. In the course of handling high volumes of crisis-related cases, we might also expect national courts to fall back on some of the valuable lessons learnt from the aftermath of the global

<sup>1</sup> C. Lagarde, 'Our response to the coronavirus emergency' The ECB Blog, 19 March 2020.

<sup>2</sup> See, for example, the Bank of England Financial Policy Committee, *Interim Financial Stability Report*, May 2020.

<sup>3</sup> As described in K. Russell, 'How the coronavirus has infected our vocabulary' (*The New Yorker*, 13 April 2020) available at [www.newyorker.com/magazine/2020/04/13/a-temporary-moment-in-time](http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2020/04/13/a-temporary-moment-in-time).

<sup>4</sup> One of the happier findings in the Bank of England Financial Policy Committee, *Interim Financial Stability Report*, May 2020.

<sup>5</sup> See further Chapter 7.

financial crisis, for example, around the value of intervenors in litigation, and of streamlining large volumes of related disputes.

If there were to be a significant wave of litigation triggered by the global pandemic, this study potentially offers three insights. First, and most importantly, it provides a detailed account of the principal areas of private law that will be the starting point for the court's analysis in significant types of financial markets and commercial cases, where the parties have selected, or are otherwise subject to, English law and the English courts. As this book clearly shows, it has been a driving concern of the English courts over the last thirty years that commercial parties should 'know where they stand' in terms of their rights and obligations under English law. Accordingly, parties subject to English law will approach those legal questions arising in relation to this new crisis armed, in many of the relevant areas of law, with robust rules burnished over the course of recent financial markets cases. Moreover, in relation to any novel points of law, this study suggests that, in times of serious and widespread economic disruption, the English courts are particularly inclined to tackle legal questions with a market-minded, or 'macro' approach. Put another way, uncertainty in markets has tended to increase the prominence of certainty in judicial reasoning. In turn, this suggests that novel cases decided against a backdrop of economic crisis are unlikely to disrupt the track record of English law in significant ways.

Second, this study suggests that if there were to be a new wave of commercial litigation associated with the current emergency, particular attention should be paid to the effects of legal and regulatory rules that cut across different types of entities. An analogy between categories of litigants who may become caught up in a new wave of litigation, and the position of individuals and small businesses in the derivatives markets which are the subject of this study may not be exact; nonetheless, this study strongly suggests that there needs to be meaningful access to redress for individuals and small businesses that does not place undue reliance on rules and on processes better suited to more sophisticated parties. Moreover, this concern about the coverage of legal and regulatory rules may be particularly pressing, given that this crisis threatens to take a devastating toll on the corporate sector, the self-employed and the real economy more broadly. Looking further ahead, it is possible that there will be areas of private law that are re-shaped as new litigation works its way through the court system, potentially shifting the legal rules that are also applicable to major financial institutions. Indeed, Chapter 6 closes by considering how non-financial disputes may already be reshaping aspects of the rules of private law around defensive drafting relied upon by banks that were hardened by decisions handed down in the wake of the 2008 crisis. This trend could be one that is catalysed by a high volume of new cases involving the real economy.

Third, where any litigation linked to this emergency spans multiple jurisdictions, international co-ordination between regulators, including the courts and relevant trade associations, should carefully build on what worked well after the 2008 crisis,

and attempt to address what did not. Chapters 8 and 9 of this book explore the international dimension to post-2008 crisis litigation further, and observe that national courts interpreting the same contractual provisions should make more meaningful and express use of each other's decisions. As noted in Chapter 3, there are already a few signs of this type of cross-referencing in the context of contractual interpretation, providing some precedent for a more closely co-ordinated approach. The final chapter of this book explains why the answer to such complex challenges is not as simple as shifting dispute resolution from public to private forums, while acknowledging that there may be greater fragmentation of financial litigation in the future. Drawing on the work set out in this chapter on the supply-side of the market in dispute resolution, it would be interesting to compare the take-up of various new private forums, specialist courts and out-of-court redress schemes, should significant volumes of litigation arise from this crisis. While much remains uncertain at the time of writing, it therefore seems likely that there will be much scope for new research seeking to apply and develop the arguments set out in this book in a constructive and valuable way.

On a personal note, it was my great fortune to have worked on this book in a period when I had the luxury of 'in person' conversations with colleagues, financial law scholars, family and friends. Ever since joining the LSE Department of Law, I have greatly benefited from its wonderful and supportive scholarly community. I owe a particular debt to those colleagues, past and present, working in the areas of financial, commercial and corporate law, including Joanna Benjamin, Michael Bridge, Hugh Collins, Ross Cranston, Elizabeth Howell, David Kershaw, Eva Micheler, Niamh Moloney, Sarah Paterson, Philipp Paech, Edmund Schuster and Visiting Professor in Practice David Murphy. I am also very grateful to my LSE cohort including Jacco Bomhoff, Jan Kleinheisterkamp and Jo Murkens for their generosity, books and for setting the bar so high, as well to my departmental mentors including, especially, Neil Duxbury. I would also like to thank Diamond Ashiagbor (of Kent) and Lizzie Barmes and Kate Malleison (of QMUL) who have been a limitless source of wisdom and crystal clear advice. I am lucky enough to teach as well as research law at LSE, and the energy, intelligence and international perspectives that my students bring to their studies have also benefited me enormously. This book would not have been possible without any of the above.

This book has been the product of several years of thinking about and researching the role of private law in financial markets, during which I had the benefit of a term's sabbatical leave from the LSE Law Department, for which I am very grateful. I developed this project with the benefit of innumerable conversations with colleagues on these topics. Specifically, I presented a paper about the Hazell swaps saga at University College London in January 2018 as part of the Current Legal Problems lecture series, and an earlier version of the paper at the European Forum for Securities Regulation organised by Dr Edmund Schuster of LSE and Professor Martin Winner of WU Wien. Thank you to the organisers and participants on

those and other occasions for the opportunities to present my work and for the valuable feedback. All of the usual disclaimers apply as regards any errors in this book.

The work that has gone into this project would not have been possible without the patient encouragement of my friends and family. I am very grateful to my sister Sue and her wife Anne for their wonderful example, for their incredible hard work and for their joy for life. I have also had immeasurable support from my four parents. I particularly appreciate Dad and Yvonne's good humour and support for this project even while they have had far more important things going on, even by current standards. Mum and Graham have always shown us how to do things both properly and kindly. I am also fortunate to have a wonderful mother-in-law in June, who is a great source of inspiration. I wish everyone a flawless, lifelong friend like Claire; not least, telling someone with her NHS job that you have been struggling with footnotes all day certainly helps to snap things back into perspective. In total, three people in this paragraph of thank yous are currently working on the frontline of the response to the coronavirus pandemic. Their bravery and stamina are extraordinary. Thank you.

Finally, this book is dedicated to my wonderful husband Richard. Unfailing in his patience, good humour and warmth, he has managed to say all the right things at all the right times in the course of this work. No mean feat. This book is for him.

## Table of Cases

### United Kingdom

- Abu Dhabi National Tanker Company v. Product Star Shipping Ltd [1993] 1 Lloyd's Rep 397 . . . 255, 259, 263, 264
- R (Aegon Life Association Ltd) v. Insurance Ombudsman Bureau [1994] CLC 88 . . . 271, 273
- R (Aga Khan) v. Jockey Club [1993] 1 WLR 909 . . . 271
- AIB Group (UK) v. Martin [2001] UKHL 63 . . . 116
- AIC Ltd v. ITS Testing Services UK Ltd (The Kirti Palm) [2006] EWCA Civ 1061 . . . 162
- R (Albatros Warehousing BV) v. The London Metal Exchange, (Unreported 20 March 2000) . . . 277
- Alfred Street Properties v. National Asset Management Agency [2020] EWHC 397 (Comm) . . . 77
- Anthracite Rated Investments (Jersey) Ltd v. Lehman Brothers Finance SA [2011] EWHC 1822 (Ch) . . . 88, 89–91, 109, 111, 116, 118, 245, 247
- Armitage v. Nurse [1998] Ch 241 . . . 162, 192
- Arnold v. Britton [2015] UKSC 36 . . . 102, 103, 104
- Assicurazioni Generali SpA v. Arab Insurance Group [2002] EWCA Civ 1642 . . . 173, 174
- Associated Provincial Picture Houses Ltd v. Wednesbury Corporation [1948] 1 KB 223 . . . 242–3, 244
- Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd v. Société Générale [2000] CLC 833 . . . 89, 90, 114
- Avon Insurance v. Swire Fraser Ltd [2000] 1 All ER (Comm) 573 . . . 160, 173
- AWB (Geneva) SA v. North America Steamships Ltd [2007] EWCA Civ 739 . . . 54, 71, 299–301
- AXA Sun Life Services Plc v. Campbell Martin Ltd [2011] EWCA Civ 133 . . . 160, 201
- Bailey v. Barclays Bank [2014] EWHC 2882 . . . 123, 125–6, 137, 138

- Banco Santander Totta SA v. Cia Carris de Ferro de Lisboa SA [2016] EWHC 465 (Comm) . . . 59, 280, 315–320, 330, 333, 360
- Banco Santander Totta SA v. Companhia de Carris de Ferro de Lisboa SA [2016] EWCA Civ 1267 . . . 30, 32, 58–59, 111, 315–20, 333
- Bank of Credit and Commerce International v. Ali [2001] UKHL 8 . . . 102
- Bankers Trust Co v. PT Jakarta International Hotels and Development [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep 910 . . . 62, 279, 283
- Bankers Trust International plc v. PT Dharmala Sakti Sejahtera [1996] CLC 518 . . . 51, 139, 156, 160, 162, 167, 168, 172, 177, 179
- Banque Bruxelles Lambert SA v. Eagle Star Insurance Co [1997] AC 191 . . . 157, 189
- Barclays Bank plc v. Quincecare Ltd [1992] 4 All ER 363 . . . 192
- Barclays Bank plc v. Svizera Holdings [2014] EWHC 1020 (Comm) . . . 220, 222
- Barclays Bank plc v. Unicredit Bank AG [2014] EWCA Civ 302 . . . 257, 260, 264
- R (Beer) v. Hampshire Farmers Markets Ltd [2003] EWCA Civ 1056 . . . 271
- Belmont Park Investments PTY Ltd v. BNY Corporate Trustee Services Ltd and Lehman Brothers Special Finance Inc [2011] UKSC 38 . . . 33, 53, 302–6
- BNP Paribas v. Wockhardt EU Operations Swiss AG [2009] EWHC 3116 . . . 72
- BNP Paribas SA v. Trattamento Rifiuti Metropolitan SpA [2019] EWCA Civ 768 . . . 285, 287–9, 322, 361
- BNY Mellon Corporate Trustee Services v. LBG Capital No 1 [2016] UKSC 29 . . . 102
- Bonhams 1793 Ltd v. Cavazzoni [2014] EWHC 682 (Ch) . . . 224
- Braganza v. BP Shipping Ltd [2015] UKSC 17 . . . 255, 264
- Bristol and West Building Society v. Mothew [1998] Ch 1 (CA) . . . 191, 192
- Britannia Bulk plc (in liquidation) v. Bulk Trading SA [2011] EWHC 692 (Comm) . . . 52, 80, 88, 89
- R (British Bankers' Association) v. Financial Services Authority [2011] EWHC 999 (Admin) . . . 132, 133, 135, 169
- Brogden v. Investec Bank plc [2014] EWHC 2785 (Comm) . . . 258, 260
- Brown v. Raphael [1958] 1 Ch 636 . . . 168
- Calico Printers Association v. Barclays Bank Ltd (1931) 39 Ll L Rep 51 . . . 158
- Calyon v. Wytownia Sprzetu Komunikacyjnego PZL Swidnik SA [2009] EWHC 1914 (Comm) . . . 288
- Camerata Property Inc v. Credit Suisse Securities (Europe) Ltd [2011] EWHC 479 (Comm) . . . 190, 202, 204, 210
- Camerata Property Inc v. Credit Suisse Securities (Europe) Ltd [2012] EWHC 7 (Comm) . . . 133, 137
- Cassa di Risparmio della Repubblica di San Marino SpA v. Barclays Bank Ltd [2011] EWHC 484 (Comm) . . . 159, 160, 163, 164, 165, 168, 172, 173, 201, 219
- CGL Group Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2016] EWHC 1360 (Comm) . . . 158

- CGL Group Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2017] EWCA Civ 1073 . . . 139,  
 147, 148, 150–2, 176
- Chartbrook Ltd v. Persimmon Homes Ltd [2009] 1 AC 1101 . . . 97, 101–2, 104–5
- Charter Reinsurance Co Ltd v. Fagan, QBD (Comm), 28 June 1995, unreported  
 . . . 98
- Charter Reinsurance Co Ltd v. Fagan [1995] CLC 1345 (CA) . . . 98
- Charter Reinsurance Co Ltd v. Fagan [1997] AC 313 . . . 99, 110, 242
- Cheltenham BC v. Laird [2009] EWHC 1253 . . . 165
- Citigroup Global Markets Ltd v. Amatra Leveraged Feeder Holdings Ltd [2012]  
 EWHC 1331 (Comm) . . . 301
- Cleaver v. Schyde Investments Ltd [2011] EWCA Civ 929 . . . 208
- Commissioners of Customs and Excise v. Barclays Bank [2006] UKHL 28 . . . 151
- Credit Suisse Financial Products v. Société Générale [1997] CLC 168 . . . 288
- Credit Suisse First Boston (Europe) Ltd v. MLC (Bermuda) Ltd [1999] CLC 579  
 . . . 284
- Credit Suisse International v. Stichting Vestia Groep [2014] EWHC 3103 (Comm)  
 . . . 57, 213, 328, 330, 331, 332, 334
- Crestsign v. National Westminster Bank plc, The Royal Bank of Scotland plc [2014]  
 EWHC 3043 (Ch) . . . 137, 166, 176, 179–81, 186, 188, 202, 208, 211, 221
- R (Cukurova Finance International Ltd) v. HM Treasury [2008] EWHC 2567  
 (Admin) . . . 270
- CVG Siderurgicia del Orinoco SA v. London Steamship Owners Mutual Insurance  
 Association Ltd (The Vainqueur Jose) [1979] 1 Lloyd's Rep 557 . . . 255
- Dadourian Group International Inc v. Paul Francis Simms & Ors [2009] EWCA  
 (Civ) 169 . . . 174
- Dallman v. King (1837) 4 Bing NC 105 . . . 254
- R (Datafin) v. Panel on Take-Overs and Mergers [1987] QB 815 . . . 149, 271
- Davy v. Garrett (1878) 7 Ch D 473 . . . 162
- Deiulemar Shipping SpA v. Transfield ER Futures Ltd [2012] EWHC 928 (Comm)  
 . . . 84, 85
- Depfa Bank v. Provincia di Pisa [2012] EWHC 687 (Comm) . . . 291
- Derry v. Peek (1889) 14 App Cas 337 . . . 162
- Deutsche Bank AG v. Comune di Savona [2017] EWHC 1013 (Comm) . . . 289
- Deutsche Bank AG v. Comune di Savona [2018] EWCA Civ 1740 . . . 110, 285, 286,  
 287, 288, 289, 322
- Dexia Crediop SpA v. Comune di Prato [2015] EWHC 1746 (Comm) . . . 17, 24,  
 315–7
- Dexia Crediop SpA v. Comune di Prato [2016] EWHC 2824 (Comm) . . . 57
- Dexia Crediop SpA v. Comune di Prato [2017] EWCA Civ 428 . . . 57–8, 318–  
 20, 323
- Dexia Crediop SpA v. Provincia Di Brescia [2016] EWHC 3261 (Comm) . . .  
 284, 289



- Diamantides v. JP Morgan Chase Bank [2005] EWHC 263 (Comm) . . . 138
- Dinsdale Moorland Services Ltd v. Evans [2014] EWHC 2 (Ch) . . . 224
- Doyle v. Olby (Ironmongers) Ltd [1969] 2 QB 158 . . . 163
- DPP v. Ray [1974] AC 370 . . . 168
- EA Grimstead v. McGarrigan [1999] EWCA Civ 3019 . . . 208
- Edgington v. Fitzmaurice (1885) 29 Ch D 459 . . . 173
- Egon Oldendorff v. Libera Corp (No 2) [1996] CLC 482 . . . 312
- ENE Kos v. Petroleo Brasileiro SA [2009] EWHC 1843 (Comm) . . . 106
- Equitable Life Assurance Society v. Hyman [2002] 1 AC 408 . . . 256
- Esso v. Mardon [1976] 1 QB 801 . . . 159
- Etihad Airways PJSC v. Flother [2019] EWHC 3107 (Comm) . . . 290
- Europa Plus SCA SIF v. Anthracite Investments (Ireland) plc [2016] EWHC 437 (Comm) . . . 97
- Euroption Strategic Fund Ltd v. Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB [2012] EWHC 584 (Comm) . . . 263, 265, 266, 269
- FAGE UK Ltd v. Chobani UK Ltd [2014] EWCA Civ 5 . . . 323
- Family Mosaic Home Ownership Ltd v. Peer Real Estate [2016] EWHC 257 (Ch) . . . 360
- First Subsea Ltd v. Balltec Ltd [2014] EWHC 866 (Ch) . . . 224
- First Tower Trustees Ltd v. CDS (Superstores International) Ltd [2017] EWHC 891 (Ch) . . . 160
- First Tower Trustees Ltd v. CDS (Superstores International) Ltd [2018] EWCA Civ 1396 . . . 204, 217, 231–6
- Foley v. Hill (1848) 2 HLC 28 (HL) . . . 192
- Fondazione Enasarco v. Lehman Brothers Finance SA, Anthracite Rated Investments (Cayman) Ltd [2014] EWHC 34 (Ch) . . . 61, 298, 299, 302, 367
- Fondazione Enasarco v. Lehman Brothers Finance SA [2015] EWHC 1307 (Ch) . . . 241, 243, 247
- FoodCo UK LLP (t/a Muffin Break) v. Henry Boot Developments Ltd [2010] EWHC 358 (Ch) . . . 208, 217, 232
- Första AP-fonden v. Bank of New York Mellon SANV [2013] EWHC 3127 (Comm) . . . 126
- Gan Insurance Co Ltd v. Tai Ping Insurance Co Ltd [2001] EWCA Civ 1047 . . . 256, 259
- Geest plc v. Fyffes plc [1999] 1 All ER (Comm) 672 . . . 168, 170
- Geys v. Société Générale [2012] UKSC 63 . . . 256, 257
- Goldcorp Exchange Ltd, Re [1995] 1 AC 74 . . . 192
- Governor & Company of the Bank of Scotland v. A Ltd [2001] EWCA Civ 52 . . . 192
- Graiseley Properties Ltd v. Barclays Bank plc [2013] EWCA Civ 1372 . . . 170
- Grant v. WDW 3 Investments [2017] EWHC 2807 (Ch) . . . 98

- Grant Estates Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2012] CSOH 133 . . . 126, 127,  
 136–7, 162, 202, 205, 218–9
- Green v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2013] EWCA Civ 1197 . . . 139, 152, 178, 180,  
 187–8
- R (Greenpeace Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs  
 [2016] EWHC 55 (Admin) . . . 273
- GSO Credit v. Barclays Bank plc [2016] EWHC 146 (Comm) . . . 78, 98
- Hardy v. Griffiths [2014] EWHC 3947 (Ch) . . . 224, 232
- Harrison Ex parte Jay, Re (1879) 14 Ch D 19 . . . 304
- Haugesund Kommune v. Depfa ACS Bank [2009] EWHC 2227 (Comm) . . . 55–6,  
 321, 323, 325–6, 328
- Haugesund Kommune v. Depfa ACS Bank [2010] EWCA Civ 579 . . . 6, 51, 55–6,  
 324–8, 336
- Haugesund Kommune v. Depfa ACS Bank [2011] EWCA Civ 33 . . . 327, 334
- Hayes v. Willoughby [2013] UKSC 17 . . . 263, 264
- Hazell v. Hammersmith and Fulham London Borough Council [1990] 2 QB 697  
 (CA) . . . 4–5, 330, 331
- Hazell v. Hammersmith and Fulham London Borough Council [1990] 2 WLR 17  
 (QBD) . . . 4, 17
- Hazell v. Hammersmith and Fulham London Borough Council [1992] 2 AC 1 (HL)  
 . . . 1, 4–5, 17, 330, 331
- Higinbotham v. Holme (1812) 19 Ves 88 . . . 304
- Hockin v. The Royal Bank of Scotland, National Westminster Bank Plc [2016]  
 EWHC 925 (Ch) . . . 257, 360
- R (Holmcroft Properties Ltd) v. KPMG LLP [2015] EWHC 1888 (Admin) . . .  
 144, 149
- R (Holmcroft Properties Ltd) v. KPMG LLP [2016] EWHC 323 (Admin) . . . 145,  
 146, 147, 149–50, 271, 272, 274
- HSBC Life (UK) Ltd v. Stubbs (HM Inspector of Taxes) [2002] STC (STD) 9; [2001]  
 STI 1667 . . . 133
- HSH Nordbank AG v. Intesa Sanpaolo SpA [2014] EWHC 142 (Comm) . . .  
 202, 220
- IFE Fund SA v. Goldman Sachs International [2006] EWHC 2887 (Comm) . . .  
 168, 202, 208, 212, 214
- IFE Fund SA v. Goldman Sachs International [2007] EWCA Civ 811 . . . 167
- Impact Funding Solutions Ltd v. Barrington Support Services Ltd [2016] UKSC 57  
 . . . 204
- Inntrepreneur Pub Company Ltd v. East Crown Ltd [2000] 2 Lloyd's Rep 611  
 . . . 160
- Interfoto Picture Library Ltd v. Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd [1989] QB 433  
 . . . 262
- International Fina Services AG v. Katrina Shipping [1995] CLC 1335 (CA) . . . 104

- Investors Compensation Scheme v. West Bromwich Building Society [1998] 1 WLR 896 (HL) . . . 98–101
- JEB Fasteners v. Marks Bloom & Co (a firm) [1983] 1 All ER 583 . . . 173
- Jetivia SA v. Bilta (UK) Ltd (in liquidation) [2015] UKSC 23 . . . 194
- Joint Stock Co “Aeroflot Russian Airlines” v. Leeds [2017] EWHC 150 (Ch) . . . 321
- JP Morgan v. Berliner Verkehrsbetriebe (BVG), Anstalt des Öffentlichen Rechts [2010] EWCA Civ 390 . . . 54, 55, 284, 294–6, 325
- JP Morgan Chase Bank National Association; Depfa Bank plc; UBS Ltd; Deutsche Bank AG v. The Director of the Serious Fraud Office; The Secretary of State for the Home Department v. Public Prosecutor of Milan [2012] EWHC 1674 (Admin) . . . 277
- Kleinwort Benson Ltd v. Glasgow City Council [1999] 1 AC 153 . . . 294, 324, 325
- Kuwait Rocks Co v. AMN Bulk Carriers Inc (The Astra) [2013] EWHC 865 (Comm) . . . 106
- Lambe v. AIB Group (UK) Plc [2018] NIQB 107 . . . 288
- Larussa-Chigi v. CS First Boston Ltd [1998] CLC 277 . . . 139
- Las Mercedes v. Owners of the Abidin Daver (The Abidin Daver) [1984] AC 398 . . . 293
- LBIEHF v. Raiffeisen Bank International AG [2018] EWCA Civ 719 . . . 78, 112, 116
- Lehman Brothers Bankhaus AG v. CMA CGM [2013] EWHC 171 (Comm) . . . 301
- Lehman Brothers Finance AG (in liquidation) v. Klaus Tschira Stiftung GmbH [2019] EWHC 379 (Ch) . . . 85, 88, 90, 112, 114, 115, 118, 249, 293, 360
- Lehman Brothers Finance SA v. Sal. Oppenheim JR & Cie [2014] EWHC 2627 (Comm) . . . 79, 87–8, 90, 91, 243, 249
- Lehman Brothers International (Europe), Re [2009] EWHC 2545 (Ch) (the ‘MCF’ litigation) . . . 38
- Lehman Brothers International (Europe), Re [2011] EWCA Civ 1544, on appeal from [2010] EWHC 2914 (the ‘Rascals’ litigation) . . . 38
- Lehman Brothers International (Europe), Re [2012] EWHC 2997 (Ch) (the ‘Extended liens’ litigation) . . . 158
- Lehman Brothers International (Europe) (in administration) v. Lehman Brothers Finance SA [2012] EWHC 1072 (Ch) . . . 92, 250–2
- Lehman Brothers International (Europe) (in administration) v. Lehman Brothers Special Finance SA [2013] EWCA Civ 188 . . . 77, 92, 115, 117, 118
- Lehman Brothers International (Europe), Re [2016] EWHC 2417 . . . 107, 108, 109, 111, 114, 115, 116, 254, 269
- Lehman Brothers International (Europe) (in administration) v. Exxonmobil Financial Services BV [2016] EWHC 2699 (Comm) . . . 78, 261
- Lehman Brothers Special Financing v. Carlton Communications [2011] EWHC 718 (Ch) . . . 80

- Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc v. National Power Corporation [2018] EWHC 487 (Comm) . . . 54, 107, 108, 109, 114, 115, 116, 243, 250, 251–2, 360
- L'Estrange v. Graucob [1974] 2 KB 394 . . . 288
- Littlewoods Retail Ltd v. The Commissioners for HMRC [2014] EWHC 868 (Ch) . . . 224
- Lloyd v. Browning [2014] 1 P&CR 11 . . . 208, 217, 232
- Lomas v. JFB Firth Rixson [2010] EWHC 3372 (Ch) . . . 34, 52, 53, 65, 80, 81–2, 108–9, 111
- Lomas v. JFB Firth Rixson [2012] EWCA Civ 419 . . . 35, 52, 80, 83, 87, 89, 90, 91, 109, 255, 262, 305
- London Executive Aviation Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2018] EWHC 74 (Ch) . . . 184–5, 361
- Loosemore v. Financial Concepts [2001] Ll Rep PN 235 . . . 139, 188
- Lornamead Acquisition Ltd v. Kaupthing Bank HF [2011] EWHC 2611 (Comm) . . . 299
- LSREF III Wight v. Millvalley [2016] EWHC 466 (Comm) . . . 105, 117
- Ludgate Insurance Co Ltd v. Citibank NA [1998] EWHC 1144 (Comm) (CA); [1998] Lloyd's Rep IR 221 . . . 255, 263, 264, 268
- MacDonald v. Longbottom 1 E & E 977 (1859) . . . 99
- Macmillan Inc v. Bishopsgate Investment Trust Plc (No 3) [1996] 1 WLR 387 (CA) . . . 328
- Marex Financial Ltd v. Fluxo-Cane Overseas Ltd SA [2010] EWHC 2690 (Comm) . . . 39
- Marine Trade SA v. Pioneer Freight Futures Co Ltd BVI [2009] EWHC 2656 (Comm) . . . 81
- Marme Inversiones 2007 SL v. NatWest Markets PLC & Ors [2019] EWHC 366 (Comm) . . . 40, 50, 52, 78, 109, 114, 168–71, 173, 174, 196
- Marz v. Bank of Scotland [2017] EWHC 3618 (Ch) . . . 77, 210, 221
- MCI WorldCom International Inc v. Primus Telecommunications Inc [2004] EWCA Civ 957 . . . 167
- Mercuria Energy Trading PTE Ltd v. Citibank NA [2015] EWHC 1481 (Comm) . . . 257
- Merrill Lynch International Bank Ltd v. Winterthur Swiss Insurance Co [2007] EWHC 893 (Comm) . . . 101, 298
- MHB-Bank AG v. Vendart Ltd [2015] EWHC 408 (Comm) . . . 79, 108, 109, 181
- Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust v. Compass Group UK and Ireland Ltd (t/a Medirest) [2013] EWCA Civ 200 . . . 256, 257, 261
- Monde Petroleum SA v. Westernzagros Ltd [2015] EWHC 67 (Comm) . . . 286
- Morgan Grenfell v. Welwyn Hatfield DC [1995] 1 All ER 1 . . . 29
- Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York v. Lothian Regional Council 1995 SLT 299 . . . 324

- Morgan Stanley & Co International Plc v. China Haisheng Juice Holdings Co Ltd [2009] EWHC 2409 (Comm) . . . 282–3, 284
- MSC Mediterranean Shipping Co SA v. Cottonex Anstalt [2016] EWCA Civ 789 . . . 262
- Munroe K Ltd v. Bank of Scotland plc [2019] EWHC 129 (Ch) . . . 158
- Myers v. Kestrel Acquisitions Ltd [2015] EWHC 916 (Ch) . . . 257
- Nextia Properties Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2013] EWHC 3167 (QB) . . . 132, 137, 160
- Nomura International plc v. Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena SpA [2013] EWHC 3187 (Comm) . . . 61, 284, 290, 366
- Nuova Safim SpA v. Sakura Bank Ltd [1999] CLC 1830 . . . 36, 107
- Panatlantic Insurance Co Ltd v. Pine Top Insurance Co Ltd (1995) 1 AC 501 . . . 173
- Paragon Finance plc v. Nash [2001] EWCA Civ 1466 . . . 259, 263
- Parmar v. Barclays Bank Plc [2018] EWHC 1027 (Ch) . . . 133, 182, 183, 185, 186–7, 238, 239
- Peekay Intermark Ltd v. Australia and New Zealand Bank Ltd [2005] EWHC 830 (Comm) . . . 172
- Peekay Intermark Ltd v. Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd [2006] EWCA Civ 386 . . . 172, 211–2
- Peregrine Fixed Income Ltd v. Robinson Department Store Public Co Ltd [2000] CLC 1328 . . . 51, 86, 89, 109, 244–6, 248
- Perpetual Trustee Co Ltd v. BNY Corporate Trustee Services Ltd and another [2009] EWHC 2953 (Ch) . . . 298, 303, 307
- Perpetual Trustee Co Ltd v. BNY Corporate Trustee Services Ltd [2009] EWCA Civ 1160 (appealed in ‘Belmont Park Investments’) . . . 303, 305
- Philipps Products Ltd v. Hyland Electronics [1987] 1 WLR 659 (CA) . . . 228
- Photo Productions v. Securicor Ltd [1980] AC 827 (HL) . . . 204, 207
- Pioneer Freight Futures Co Ltd v. TMT Asia Ltd [2011] EWHC 778 (Comm) . . . 89, 118
- Pioneer Freight Futures Co (in liquidation) v. TMT Asia Ltd [2011] EWHC 1888 (Comm) . . . 72, 81, 109–11, 301
- Pioneer Freight Futures Company v. Cosco Bulk Carrier Company [2011] EWHC 1692 (Comm) . . . 80, 81
- Pioneer Shipping v. BTP Tioxide (The Nema) [1982] AC 724 . . . 107
- Police and Crime Commissioner for Greater Manchester v. Butterworth (unreported) 10 November 2016 . . . 224
- Premium Nafta Products Ltd v. Fili Shipping Co (sub nom Fiona Trust and Holding Corp v. Privalov) [2007] UKHL 40 . . . 286, 287
- Prenn v. Simmonds [1971] 1 WLR 1381 (HL) . . . 98–9, 102
- Property Alliance Group Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2016] EWHC 207 (Ch) . . . 359, 360

- Property Alliance Group Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2016] EWHC Civ 3342 (Ch) . . . 170, 223
- Property Alliance Group Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2018] EWCA Civ 355 . . . 161, 167–71, 175, 176, 181, 182, 221, 256, 259
- Prudential Plc v. HM Revenue and Customs [2009] EWCA Civ 622 . . . 24
- Raiffeisen Zentralbank Österreich AG v. The Royal Bank of Scotland plc [2010] EWHC 1392 (Comm) . . . 164, 166, 167, 168, 170, 173, 179, 208, 215–6
- Rainy Sky SA v. Kookmin Bank [2011] UKSC 50 . . . 104, 106
- Reardon Smith Line v. Yngvar Hansen-Tangen [1976] 1 WLR 989 (HL) . . . 98, 99
- Regione Piemonte v. Dexia Crediop SpA [2014] EWCA Civ 1298 . . . 57–8, 221, 329, 330
- Richards v. Wood and Wood [2014] EWCA Civ 327 . . . 254
- The Ritz Hotel Casino Ltd v. Noora Al Daher [2014] EWHC 2847 (QB) . . . 29
- Röhlig UK Ltd v. Rock Unique Ltd [2011] EWCA Civ 18 . . . 208
- Rolled Steel Products (Holdings) Ltd v. British Steel Corp [1986] Ch 246 . . . 327
- Royal Bank of Canada v. Cooperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank BA [2004] EWCA Civ 07 . . . 282, 291–3
- Royscot Trust v. Rogerson [1991] 2 QB 297 . . . 164–5
- Rubenstein v. HSBC [2012] EWCA Civ 1184 . . . 133, 139, 182–3, 188, 189, 190–1
- Sans Souci v. VRL Services [2012] UKPC 6 . . . 77
- Scandinavian Trading Tanker Co v. Flota Petrolera Ecuatoriana (The Scaptrade) [1983] 1 QB 529 (CA) . . . 65–6, 109
- Scandinavian Trading Tanker Co v. Flota Petrolera Ecuatoriana (The Scaptrade) [1983] 2 AC 694 (HL) . . . 65, 106
- Sears v. Minco Plc [2016] EWHC 433 (Ch) . . . 162, 222
- Sebastian Holdings Inc v. Deutsche Bank AG [2010] EWCA Civ 998 . . . 39, 285, 286, 287, 290
- Seymour v. Ockwell [2005] PNLR 758 . . . 139, 188
- Shearson Lehman Hutton Inc v. Maclaine Watson & Co Ltd [1989] 3 CMLR 429 . . . 258
- Shore v. Sedgwick Financial Services Ltd [2007] EWHC 2509 . . . 188
- Sigma Finance Corp, Re [2009] UKSC 2 . . . 78, 98, 102, 103, 104, 109, 290
- Sivagnanam v. Barclays Bank [2015] EWHC 3985 (Comm) . . . 138
- Sixteenth Ocean GmbH & Co KG v. Société Générale [2018] EWHC 1731 (Comm) . . . 161, 163
- Smith v. Eric Bush [1990] 1 AC 831 (HL) . . . 204, 228–9
- Smith v. Land and House Property Corporation (1884) 28 Ch D 7 . . . 168
- Smith v. Wilson (1832) 8 B & Ad 728, 110 ER 266 . . . 256
- Smith New Court Securities Ltd v. Scrimgeour Vickers (Asset Management) Ltd [1997] AC 254 . . . 163, 174
- SNCB Holding v. UBS AG [2012] EWHC 2044 (Comm) . . . 262

- Socimer International Bank Ltd v. Standard Bank London Ltd [2008] EWCA Civ 116 . . . 255, 258, 259, 260, 263–8, 276–7
- Spar Shipping AS v. Grand China Logistics Group Co Ltd [2015] EWHC 718 (Comm) . . . 106
- Springwell Navigation Corp v. JP Morgan Chase Bank [2008] EWHC 1186 (Comm) . . . 158, 159, 175, 177, 182, 183–4, 192, 202, 207, 212, 218
- Springwell Navigation Corp v. JP Morgan Chase Bank [2010] EWCA Civ 1221 . . . 51, 200, 207, 209, 213, 217, 224, 225, 226, 229, 232
- Standard Chartered Bank v. Ceylon Petroleum Corporation [2011] EWHC 1785 (Comm) . . . 56–7, 164, 165, 167, 168, 173, 185, 204, 208, 209, 210, 219
- Standard Chartered Bank v. Ceylon Petroleum Corporation [2012] EWCA Civ 1049 . . . 56–7, 177–8, 203, 329, 332–3
- The State of the Netherlands v. Deutsche Bank AG [2019] EWCA Civ 1771 . . . 17, 77–8, 115, 360
- R (SRM Global Master Fund LP) v. Treasury Commissioners [2009] EWCA Civ 788 . . . 242, 270, 274–5
- Supershield Ltd v. Siemens Building Technologies FE Ltd [2010] EWCA Civ 7 . . . 190
- SwissMarine Corp Ltd v. OW Supply & Trading A/S (in bankruptcy) [2015] EWHC 1571 (Comm) . . . 61–2, 114, 115, 299, 302, 368
- Tael One Partners Ltd v. Morgan Stanley and Co International plc [2015] UKSC 12 . . . 78
- Tai Hing Cotton Mill Ltd v. Liu Chong Hing Bank Ltd [1986] AC 80 . . . 157
- Thomas v. Triodos Bank NV [2017] EWHC 314 (QB) . . . 166, 180–1, 186, 221
- Thornbridge v. Barclays Bank [2015] EWHC 3430 (QB) . . . 181, 183, 185, 186, 221, 222, 229
- Titan Steel Wheels Ltd v. The Royal Bank of Scotland [2010] EWHC 211 (Comm) . . . 34, 132–6, 185, 186, 209, 210, 218, 229, 332
- Toomey v. Eagle Star Insurance Co [1994] 1 Lloyd's Rep 516 . . . 79
- Trust Risk Group SpA v. AmTrust Europe Ltd [2015] EWCA Civ 437 . . . 284, 287
- UBS AG v. HSH Nordbank [2009] EWCA Civ 585 . . . 282, 283, 285, 286, 287, 290–1
- UBS AG v. Kommunale Wasserwerke Leipzig GMBH [2014] EWHC 3615 (Comm) . . . 219–220
- UBS AG v. Kommunale Wasserwerke Leipzig GMBH [2017] EWCA Civ 1567 . . . 163, 193–6
- UCP Plc v. Nectrus Ltd [2018] EWHC 380 (Comm) . . . 368
- R (United Company Rusal plc) v. The London Metal Exchange [2014] EWCA Civ 1271 . . . 272, 275–6
- R (United Company Rusal plc) v. The London Metal Exchange [2014] EWHC 890 (Admin) . . . 270, 272

- Videcon Global Ltd v. Goldman Sachs International [2016] EWCA Civ 130 . . .  
 54, 77, 78, 108, 109
- Vita Food Products Inc v. Unus Shipping Company Ltd [1939] AC 277 . . . 314
- Vizcaya Partners Ltd v. Picord [2016] UKPC 5 . . . 288
- VTB Capital plc v. Nutritek International Corp [2013] UKSC 5 . . . 279
- Walford v. Miles [1992] 2 AC 128 . . . 262
- Wasa International Insurance Co Ltd v. Lexington Insurance Co [2009] UKHL 40  
 . . . 63
- Watford Electronics v. Sanderson CFL [2001] 1 All ER (Comm) 696 . . . 208
- Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale v. Islington London Borough Council  
 [1996] AC 669 (HL) . . . 335
- WestLB AG v. Nomura Bank International plc [2010] EWHC 2863 (Comm)  
 . . . 266
- WestLB AG v. Nomura Bank International plc [2012] EWCA Civ 495 . . . 259, 260,  
 261–2, 266
- White and Carter (Councils) Ltd v. McGregor [1962] AC 413 . . . 262
- R (Christopher Willford) v. Financial Services Authority [2013] EWCA Civ 677  
 . . . 270
- Wood v. Capita Insurance Services [2017] UKSC 24 . . . 78, 99
- Yam Seng Pte Ltd v. International Trade Corporation Ltd [2013] EWHC 111 (QB)  
 . . . 262

### European Court of Justice

- Berliner Verkehrsbetriebe (BVG) Anstalt des Öffentlichen Rechts v. JP Morgan  
 Chase Bank NA, Case (Case C-144/10) [2011] 1 WLR 2087 . . . 55, 295, 296
- Kotnik and others v. Državni zbor Republike Slovenije (Case C-526/14) [2017] 1  
 CMLR 26 . . . 274
- Schmidt v. Schmidt (Case C-417/15) . . . 335

### European Court of Human Rights

- Grainger v. The United Kingdom (2012) 55 EHRR SE13 . . . 242, 274

### United States

- HSH Nordbank AG v. UBS AG, 941 N.Y.S.2d 59 (App. Div. 2012) . . . 213
- In re Lehman Bros Holdings Inc.*, No. 08–13555 SCC, 2015 WL 7194609 (Bankr. S.D.  
 N.Y. Sept. 16, 2015) . . . 112
- In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.*, No. 08–013555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Sept.  
 15, 2009) (the ‘Metavante’ litigation) . . . 83
- In re Lehman Bros. Holdings Inc.*, 422 B.R. 407 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010) . . . 306
- In re Lehman Bros. Holdings Inc.*, 553 B.R. 476 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2016), *aff’d*, No 17  
 CIV. 1224 (LGS), 2018 WL 1322225 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 14, 2018) . . . 307
- Utica City Nat. Bank v. Gunn, 222 N.Y. 204, 118 N.E. 607 (1918) . . . 99
- M/S Bremen v. Zapata Off-Shore Co., 407 U.S. 1 (1972) . . . 281



**Hong Kong**

Chang Piu Yin v. Bank of Singapore Ltd [2017] HKCA 290; [2017] 4 HKLRD 458  
 . . . 227, 230

DBS Bank (Hong Kong) Ltd v. San-Hot HK Industrial Co Ltd [2013] HKCFI 387;  
 [2013] 4 HKC 1 . . . 225–6, 229

**Singapore**

Als Memasa & anr v. UBS AG [2012] SGCA 43 . . . 229

Beam Technology (Mfg) Pte Ltd v. Standard Chartered Bank [2002] SGCA 53;  
 [2003] 1 SLR 597 . . . 225

Deutsche Bank AG v. Chang Tse Wen [2013] SGCA 49; [2013] 4 SLR 886 . . .  
 227–30

Go Dante Yap v. Bank Austria Creditanstalt AG [2011] SGCA 39 . . . 226

Orient Centre Investments Ltd v. Société Générale [2007] SGCA 24 . . . 226, 227

**Australia**

Enron Australia v. TXU Electricity [2003] NSWSC 1169 . . . 80

## Table of Legislation

### United Kingdom

Arbitration Act 1996	
Section 69 . . .	106
Banking Act 2009	
Sections 89B–89F . . .	270
Banking (Special Provisions) Act 2008	
Section 5 . . .	275
Civil Evidence Act 1972	
Section 4(2) . . .	321
Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments Act 1982	
Section 1(3) . . .	365–6
Section 3 . . .	295
Companies Act 2006	
Section 39 . . .	323
Consumer Rights Act 2015	
Section 2 . . .	237
Section 61 . . .	237
Section 62 . . .	237
Section 64 . . .	237
Section 67 . . .	237
Schedule 3 . . .	237
Gambling Act 2005 . . .	29
Gaming Act 1845 . . .	29
Financial Services Act 2012 . . .	132
Financial Services and Markets Act 2000	
Section 19 . . .	122–3
Sections 22, 23, 26, 26A, 27, 29 . . .	123
Section 138(D) (formerly Section 150) . . .	131–41, 151
Section 166 . . .	271

Section 225(1) . . .	129, 130
Section 404 . . .	141
Section 412 . . .	29
Schedule 2 . . .	123
Human Rights Act 1998	
Section 6 . . .	273, 274
Insolvency Act 1986	
Paragraph 63 of Schedule 1 . . .	53
Limitation Act 1980	
Section 2 . . .	158
Section 5 . . .	158
Section 14A . . .	148, 158
Section 32 . . .	163
Localism Act 2011	
Section 1(1) . . .	323
Misrepresentation Act 1967	
Section 2 . . .	160, 164–5
Section 3 . . .	205–6, 231
Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	
Section 1 . . .	205
Section 3 . . .	206
Section 11 . . .	207
Section 13 . . .	206
Schedule 2 . . .	207–8
Value Added Tax Act 1994 . . .	225

### UK Statutory Instruments

Civil Procedure (Amendment No 4) Rules 2015/1569 . . .	359
Consumer Contracts (Information, Cancellation and Additional Charges) Regulations 2013/3134 . . .	237
Cross-Border Insolvency Regulations 2006/1030 . . .	307
Financial Collateral Arrangements (No 2) Regulations 2003/3226 . . .	270
Reg 3 . . .	39
Reg 4 . . .	39
Reg 8 . . .	39
Reg 16 . . .	39
Financial Services Act 1986 (Restriction of Right of Action) Regulations 1991/489 (now repealed) . . .	135
Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Regulated Activities) Order 2001/544 . . .	123
Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Rights of Action) Regulations 2001/2256 . . .	133, 134

Northern Rock Plc Compensation Scheme Order 2008/718 . . . 275

### European Union

Brussels Convention on jurisdiction and the enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters of 27 September 1968 [1972] OJ L 299 ('Brussels Convention') . . . 61, 62, 343, 365, 367

Convention 80/943/EEC on the law applicable to contractual obligations [1980] OJ L 266/1 ('Rome Convention') . . . 314

Article 3(3) . . . 316–21

Directive 98/26/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 1998 on settlement finality in payment and securities settlement systems [1998] OJ L 166/45 ('Settlement Finality Directive') . . . 29

Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters [2001] OJ L 12/1 ('Brussels Regulation') . . . 366

Article 22(2) . . . 294–6

Article 23 . . . 286

Directive 2002/47/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 June 2002 on financial collateral arrangements ('Financial Collateral Directive') [2002] OJ L 168/43 . . . 29, 38

Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on markets in financial instruments amending Council Directives 85/611/EEC and 93/6/EEC and Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directive 93/22/EEC [2004] OJ L 145/1 ('MiFID I') . . . 121, 123, 127

Regulation (EC) No 1393/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 November 2007 on the service in the Member States of judicial and extrajudicial documents in civil or commercial matters (service of documents), and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1348/2000 [2007] OJ L 324/79 . . . 344

Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 on the law applicable to contractual obligations (Rome I) [2008] OJ L 177/6 . . . 313

Article 1(1) . . . 316

Article 2 . . . 342

Article 3(1) . . . 316

Article 3(3) . . . 316

Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories [2012] OJ L201/1 ('European Market Infrastructure Regulation' or 'EMIR') . . . 44, 48, 160

Recital 4 . . . 273

Recital 7 . . . 120

- Article 2 . . . 45, 121  
 Article 4 . . . 45, 273  
 Article 12 . . . 273  
 Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters [2012] OJ L 351/1 ('Recast Brussels Regulation') . . . 342, 366, 368  
 Article 7(1) . . . 335  
 Article 24 . . . 294–5, 335  
 Article 25(1) . . . 286  
 Articles 33; 34 . . . 343  
 Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 148/2013 of 19 December 2012 supplementing Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories with regard to regulatory technical standards on the minimum details of the data to be reported to trade repositories [2013] OJ L 52/1 . . . 44  
 Directive 2013/11/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2013 on alternative dispute resolution for consumer disputes and amending Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 and Directive 2009/22/EC [2013] OJ L 165/63 . . . 127  
 Directive 2014/59/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 establishing a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms and amending Council Directive 82/891/EEC, and Directives 2001/24/EC, 2002/47/EC, 2004/25/EC, 2005/56/EC, 2007/36/EC, 2011/35/EU, 2012/30/EU and 2013/36/EU, and Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010 and (EU) No 648/2012, of the European Parliament and of the Council [2014] OJ L173/190  
 Recital 5 . . . 270  
 Chapters IV and V . . . 271  
 Article 55(1) . . . 345  
 Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on markets in financial instruments and amending Directive 2002/92/EC and Directive 2011/61/EU [2014] OJ L173/349 ('MiFID II') . . . 44, 47, 121–6  
 Article 16 . . . 128  
 Articles 69, 70 . . . 127  
 Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on markets in financial instruments and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 [2014] OL L173/84 ('MiFIR') . . . 44, 47, 121–3, 345  
 Regulation (EU) 2015/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015 on insolvency proceedings [2015] OJ L 141/19 . . . 298  
 Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on indices used as benchmarks in financial instruments and financial contracts or to measure the performance of investment funds and amending

- Directives 2008/48/EC and 2014/17/EU and Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 [2016] OJ L171/1 . . . 169
- Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/2251 of 4 October 2016 supplementing Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories with regard to regulatory technical standards for risk-mitigation techniques for OTC derivative contracts not cleared by a central counterparty [2016] OJ L340/9 . . . 47, 48–9
- Regulation (EU) 2019/834 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2019 amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 as regards the clearing obligation, the suspension of the clearing obligation, the reporting requirements, the risk-mitigation techniques for OTC derivative contracts not cleared by a central counterparty, the registration and supervision of trade repositories and the requirements for trade repositories [2019] OJ L 141/42 ('EMIR Refit') . . . 25, 45, 46

**United States**

- Banking Act 1933, 12 U.S.C. § 227 (2018) ('Glass-Steagall Act') . . . 27
- Bankruptcy Code
- 11 U.S.C. § 362(b) . . . 29
- 11 U.S.C. § 365(e)(1) . . . 306
- 11 U.S.C. § 541(c)(1)(B) . . . 306
- 11 U.S.C. § 560 . . . 306
- Commodity Futures Modernization Act of 2000, 7 U.S.C. § 1 (2018) . . . 29–30

**Hong Kong**

- Control of Exemption Clauses Ordinance Cap. 71 [1 December 1990] L.N. 38 of 1990 . . . 226, 230

**Singapore**

- Unfair Contract Terms Act Cap. 369; 1994 Rev Ed . . . 227

**Other Instruments (International)**

- United Nations Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York, 10 June 1958) ('New York Convention') . . . 349–50
- Convention on jurisdiction and the enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters – Done at Lugano on 16 September 1988 [1988] OJ L 319/9 ('Lugano Convention'); Convention on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters [2009] OJ L 47/5 . . . 61, 293, 366–368
- Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements, concluded on 30 June 2005 . . . 342, 367

**Other Instruments (United Kingdom)**

- Civil Procedure Rules
- Rule 1.1(1) . . . 156

*Table of Legislation*

xxxi

Part 6; 6B . . .	344
Rule 33.7 . . .	321
Part 51 M . . .	362
Rule 54.1 . . .	269
Rule 54.5 . . .	273
Part 63A . . .	359
Rule 63A.1 . . .	359
Rule 63A.4(1) . . .	359
FCA Handbook	
COBS 2 . . .	125, 187, 239
COBS 3 . . .	124
COBS 9 . . .	126, 239
COBS 10 . . .	126
COBS 11 . . .	126
DISP 1 . . .	128
DISP 2 . . .	130, 131
DISP 3 . . .	130
PERG 13.5 . . .	121
PRIN 3.4.4 R . . .	132

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47479-5 — The Financial Courts  
Jo Braithwaite  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---