

#### SYNTACTIC CHANGE IN LATE MODERN ENGLISH

Late Modern English presents a stability paradox to linguists; despite the many social changes that took place between 1700 and 1900, the language appeared to be structurally stable during this period. This book resolves this paradox by presenting a new, idiolect-centred perspective on language change, and shows how this framework is applicable to change in any language. It then demonstrates, through the use of four original case studies, how an idiolect-centred framework can be reconciled with corpus-linguistic methodology. These case studies concern colloquialization (the process by which oral features spread to writing) and densification (the process by which meaning is condensed into shorter linguistic units), two types of change that characterize Modern English. The case studies also shed light on the role of genre and gender in language change and contribute to the discussion of how to operationalize frequency in corpus linguistics. This study will be essential reading for researchers in historical linguistics, corpus linguistics, and sociolinguistics.

Erik Smitterberg is Senior Lecturer in English Linguistics in the Department of English at Uppsala University. Recent publications include *Late Modern English* (co-edited, 2020).



#### STUDIES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE

General Editor Merja Kytö (Uppsala University)

Editorial Board
Bas Aarts (University College London)
John Algeo (University of Georgia)
Susan Fitzmaurice (University of Sheffield)
Christian Mair (University of Freiburg)
Charles F. Meyer (University of Massachusetts)

The aim of this series is to provide a framework for original studies of English, both present-day and past. All books are based securely on empirical research, and represent theoretical and descriptive contributions to our knowledge of national and international varieties of English, both written and spoken. The series covers a broad range of topics and approaches, including syntax, phonology, grammar, vocabulary, discourse, pragmatics and sociolinguistics, and is aimed at an international readership.

Already published in this series:

Haruko Momma: From Philology to English Studies: Language and Culture in the Nineteenth Century

Raymond Hickey (ed.): Standards of English: Codified Varieties around the World Benedikt Szmrecsanyi: Grammatical Variation in British English Dialects: A Study in Corpus-Based Dialectometry

Daniel Schreier and Marianne Hundt (eds.): English as a Contact Language
Bas Aarts, Joanne Close, Geoffrey Leech and Sean Wallis (eds.): The Verb Phrase in
English: Investigating Recent Language Change with Corpora

Martin Hilpert: Constructional Change in English: Developments in Allomorphy, Word Formation, and Syntax

Jakob R. E. Leimgruber: Singapore English: Structure, Variation, and Usage Christoph Rühlemann: Narrative in English Conversation: A Corpus Analysis of Storytelling

Dagmar Deuber: English in the Caribbean: Variation, Style and Standards in Jamaica and Trinidad

Eva Berlage: Noun Phrase Complexity in English

Nicole Dehé: Parentheticals in Spoken English: The Syntax-Prosody Relation

Jock O. Wong: *The Culture of Singapore English* Marianne Hundt (ed.): *Late Modern English Syntax* 



> Irma Taavitsainen, Merja Kytö, Claudia Claridge and Jeremy Smith (eds.): Developments in English: Expanding Electronic Evidence

> Arne Lohmann: English Coordinate Constructions: A Processing Perspective on Constituent Order

Nuria Yáñez-Bouza: Grammar, Rhetoric and Usage in English: Preposition Placement 1500–1900

Anita Auer, Daniel Schreier and Richard J. Watts (eds.): Letter Writing and Language Change

John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest: Signalling Nouns in English: A Corpus-Based Discourse Approach

Jeffrey P. Williams, Edgar W. Schneider, Peter Trudgill and Daniel Schreier (eds.): Further Studies in the Lesser-Known Varieties of English

Jack Grieve: Regional Variation in Written American English

Douglas Biber and Bethany Gray: Grammatical Complexity in Academic English: Linguistics Change in Writing

Gjertrud Flermoen Stenbrenden: Long-Vowel Shifts in English, c. 1050–1700: Evidence from Spelling

Zoya G. Proshina and Anna A. Eddy (eds.): Russian English: History, Functions, and Features

Raymond Hickey (ed.): Listening to the Past: Audio Records of Accents of English

Phillip Wallage: *Negation in Early English: Grammatical and Functional Change*Marianne Hundt, Sandra Mollin and Simone E. Pfenninger (eds.): *The Changing* 

English Language: Psycholinguistic Perspectives

Joanna Kopaczyk and Hans Sauer (eds.): Binomials in the History of English: Fixed and Flexible

Alexander Haselow: Spontaneous Spoken English: An Integrated Approach to the Emergent Grammar of Speech

Christina Sanchez-Stockhammer: English Compounds and Their Spelling

David West Brown: English and Empire: Language History, Dialect, and the Digital Archive

Paula Rodríguez-Puente: The English Phrasal Verb, 1650–Present: History, Stylistic Drifts, and Lexicalisation

Erik. R. Thomas (ed.): Mexican American English: Substrate Influence and the Birth of an Ethnolect

Thomas Hoffmann: English Comparative Correlatives: Diachronic and Synchronic Variation at the Lexicon-Syntax Interface

Nuria Yáñez-Bouza, Emma Moore, Linda van Bergen and Willem B. Hollmann (eds.): Categories, Constructions, and Change in English Syntax

Raymond Hickey (ed.): English in the German-Speaking World

Axel Bohmann: Variation in English World-Wide: Registers and Global Varieties



Raymond Hickey (ed.): English in Multilingual South Africa: The Linguistics of Contact and Change

Jeremy J. Smith: Transforming Early English: The Reinvention of Early English and Older Scots

Tobias Bernaisch: Gender in World Englishes

Lorena Pérez-Hernández: Speech Acts in English: From Research to Instruction and Textbook Development

Elisabeth Reber: Quoting in Parliamentary Question Time: Exploring Recent Change

Earlier titles not listed are also available



# SYNTACTIC CHANGE IN LATE MODERN ENGLISH

Studies on Colloquialization and Densification

ERIK SMITTERBERG

Uppsala University





## **CAMBRIDGE**HINLYERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108474221 DOI: 10.1017/9781108564984

© Erik Smitterberg 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Smitterberg, Erik, 1973— author

TITLE: Syntactic change in late modern English: studies on colloquialization and

densification / Erik Smitterberg.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, UK; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2021. | Includes

bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2021024718 (print) | LCCN 2021024719 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108474221

(hardback) | ISBN 9781108564984 (ebook)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: English language — 18th century — Syntax. | English language — 19th century — Syntax. | English language — Grammar, Historical. | BISAC: LANGUAGE ARTS & DISCIPLINES / Linguistics / Historical & Comparative

CLASSIFICATION: LCC PEI361 .S65 2021 (print) | LCC PEI361 (ebook) |

DDC 427.009/033–dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021024718

LC lebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021024/16

ISBN 978-I-108-47422-I Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



#### Contents

	t of Figures t of Tables	<i>page</i> viii ix
	knowledgements	xi
I	Introduction	I
2	Sociocultural and Linguistic Change in Late Modern English	n II
3	Aspects of Language Change	42
4	Methodological Framework	77
5	Colloquialization I: Not-Contraction	127
6	Colloquialization II: Co-ordination by And	160
7	Densification I: Nouns as Premodifiers in Noun Phrases	187
8	Densification II: Participle Clauses as Postmodifiers in Nour	1
	Phrases	222
9	Concluding Discussion	257
1 1	pendix	269
References		271
Index		299



## **Figures**

2.I	Lexical innovation in the <i>OED</i> by twenty-year period (data from 2018)	page 24
5.1	BE, DO, HAVE, CAN, and WILL in Drama, Fiction, and Trials	136 s
5.2	(not-negated clauses) Not-negation and no-negation in Drama, Fiction, and Trials by period (frequencies per 1,000 words in the S-coefficient subcorpus)	144
5.3	Not-contraction by finiteness and period in Drama, Fiction, and Trials	151
7 <b>.</b> I	Common nouns in CNNE premodified by common, genitive temporal, and proper nouns (frequencies per 1,000 words)	, 195
7.2	Common nouns in the S-coefficient subcorpus premodified by common nouns by period and genre (frequencies per 1,000 words)	200
7.3	Common nouns in the S-coefficient subcorpus premodified by proper nouns by period and genre (frequencies per 1,000 words)	7 204
7.4	Common nouns in CNNE premodified by proper nouns by semantic field and period (frequencies per 1,000 words)	217
8.1	Participle clauses in CNNE by period (frequencies per 1,000 words)	238
8.2	Restrictive present-participle clauses by genre and period in the S-coefficient subcorpus (frequencies per 1,000 words)	242
8.3	Restrictive past-participle clauses by genre and period in the S-coefficient subcorpus (frequencies per 1,000 words)	243
8.4	Non-restrictive present-participle clauses by genre and period in the S-coefficient subcorpus (frequencies per 1,000 words)	l 245
8.5	Non-restrictive past-participle clauses by genre and period in the S-coefficient subcorpus (frequencies per 1,000 words)	248

viii



### Tables

4.1	of CONCE	page 109
4.2	Word counts for period and genre subsamples of the	IIO
	S-coefficient subcorpus	
4.3	Newspapers included in CNNE	122
	Not-contractions, operator contractions, and uncontracted	133
	forms in Drama, Fiction, and Trials by period (not-negated	[
	clauses)	
5.2	Not-contractions, operator contractions, and uncontracted	135
	forms in Drama, Fiction, and Trials by operator and verb	
	(not-negated clauses)	
5.3	Not-contracted and uncontracted forms in Drama, Fiction,	140
	and Trials by verb function	
5.4	Not-negation and no-negation in Drama, Fiction, and Tria	ls 144
	by period (raw frequencies in the S-coefficient subcorpus)	
5.5	The final glm for Drama, Fiction, and Trials	149
6.1	Co-ordination by period in CNNE	169
6.2	Co-ordination by period in CONCE (periods 1 and 3)	169
6.3	Co-ordination by genre in CONCE (periods 1 and 3)	170
6.4	Co-ordination by period in Debates (periods 1 and 3)	172
6.5	Co-ordination by period in women's letters (periods 1 and 3	3) 173
6.6	Co-ordination by period in men's letters (periods 1 and 3)	175
6.7	Sentence-initial and by period and genre in CONCE (period	ls 181
	1 and 3; raw frequencies and percentages of all relevant toker	ıs
	in each sample)	
7 <b>.</b> I	Common nouns in CNNE premodified by common,	195
	genitive, temporal, and proper nouns by period (raw	
	frequencies)	



X	List of Tables	
7.2	Common nouns in the S-coefficient subcorpus premodified by common, genitive, temporal, and proper nouns by genre and period (raw frequencies)	198
7.3	Common nouns in the Letters genre in the S-coefficient subcorpus premodified by common nouns by period and letter writer (raw frequencies, word counts, and frequencies per 1,000 words)	203
7.4	Semantic relations between premodifying common nouns and heads in CNNE by period (raw frequencies, normalized frequencies, and percentages)	209
7.5	Semantic relations between premodifying common nouns and heads in the S-coefficient subcorpus by period (raw frequencies, normalized frequencies, and percentages)	210
7.6	Common nouns in CNNE premodified by proper nouns by semantic field and period (raw frequencies and percentages)	216
8.1	Restrictive present-participle clauses and restrictive active relative clauses in CNNE by period	233
8.2	Restrictive past-participle clauses and restrictive passive relative clauses in CNNE by period	234
8.3	Restrictive present-participle clauses and active relative clauses in the S-coefficient subcorpus by period and genre	235
8.4	Restrictive past-participle clauses and passive relative clauses in the S-coefficient subcorpus by period and genre	237
8.5	Restrictive and non-restrictive participle clauses in CNNE by period	238
8.6	Restrictive and non-restrictive participle clauses in the S-coefficient subcorpus by genre and period	241
A.ı	Co-ordination by period in Drama (periods 1 and 3)	269
A.2	Co-ordination by period in Fiction (periods 1 and 3)	269
	Co-ordination by period in History (periods 1 and 3)	270
	Co-ordination by period in Science (periods 1 and 3)	270
A.5	Co-ordination by period in Trials (periods 1 and 3)	270



## Acknowledgements

This book is the result of several years of thinking about Late Modern English, analysing data, and writing chapters. Given the long time it has been in the making, I have incurred a large number of debts along the way, and it is my pleasure to acknowledge them here.

The project was begun during my five-year position as a Royal Swedish Academy of Letters, History and Antiquities Research Fellow. I want to express my sincere gratitude to the Academy for giving me a rare opportunity to focus on research for such a prolonged period of time. Further invaluable support in the form of one-term sabbaticals was given by the Faculty of Languages at Uppsala University and by Riksbankens Jubileumsfond. The Department of English at Uppsala University has provided a very pleasant working environment during and since my fellowship, and I am very grateful to my colleagues, the administrative staff, and the librarians in Uppsala. I also gratefully acknowledge funding for conference trips from Hilda Kumlins resestipendium and the Royal Society of Arts and Sciences of Uppsala, which enabled me to present my research at international conferences.

The seminar series in English Linguistics at Uppsala University, organized by Merja Kytö, has provided me with a valuable forum for discussing several chapter drafts; some findings have also been presented at Stockholm University, in the seminar series organized by the late Nils-Lennart Johannesson. I am very grateful to present and former colleagues at these universities for their feedback. I would also like to thank the organizers of the Nineteenth International Conference on English Historical Linguistics in Essen for giving me the opportunity to present my ideas there in the form of a plenary paper in 2016, and to the conference participants for their feedback. I am additionally indebted to Mats Rydén and Terry Walker for valuable comments on several chapters, to Tove Larsson for her expert help on statistical matters for Chapter 5, to Birte Bös for helping me to access relevant previous research, and to Claudia Claridge, Peter J. Grund, and



xii

#### Acknowledgements

Stefan Mähl for helpful discussions of the framework of the book at an early stage. I am very grateful to several people at Cambridge University Press for all their help during my work on the book: to Merja Kytö, the series editor, for supporting the inclusion of the book in the Studies in English Language series and for her very helpful clearance reading; to an anonymous expert reader for valuable comments on the book proposal; and to Helen Barton and Isabel Collins for all their kind assistance throughout the process.

Finally, it is my pleasure to thank my family for their help and support: my parents, Ann-Christin and Gunnar, who have always supported my choices; Oscar and Henry, who have accepted their stepdad's unusual passion for Late Modern English with admirable tolerance and occasional interest; and, of course, Sarah. As a linguist, you helped me to hone my arguments and improve the manuscript in more places than I can remember; as my wife, you provided encouragement, love, and support every step of the way. I love you.

Any remaining errors are my own responsibility.