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Abbreviations used in the index
AA (Association Agreement)
AG (Advocate General/Attorney General)
AP I (Geneva Conventions (1949), First Additional Protocol (international armed conflicts) (1977))
AP II (Geneva Conventions (1949), Second Additional Protocol (non-international armed conflicts) (1977))
BIT (bilateral investment treaty)
BOE (Boletín Oficial del Estado (Spanish))
CFR (European Charter of Fundamental Rights (2000))
CIL (customary international law)
CJEC (Court of Justice of the European Communities)
CJEU (Court of Justice of the European Union/CJEU Statute)
CRC (Child Rights Convention (1989))
CSFR (Czech and Slovak Federative Republic)
DSB (WTO) Dispute Settlement Body)
DSU ([WTO] Dispute Settlement Understanding (1994))
EAB (European American Investment Bank AG)
FPA (Fisheries Partnership Agreement (EC–Morocco) (2006))
GC (1949) (Geneva Conventions (1949))
GG (Basic Law (FRG))
GVG (Courts Constitution Act (FRG))
HIK (Heidelberg Institute for International Conflict Research)
HR (Hague Regulations (1907))
IAC (international armed conflict)
ICC (International Criminal Court/ICC Statute)
ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
ICTY (International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia/ICTY Statute)
IDF (Israel Defense Forces)
IHL (international humanitarian law)
IHRL (international human rights law)
ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Articles on State Responsibility)
ISDS (investor–State dispute settlement)
LIEPS (Ley del Impuesto Especial sobre Producción y Servicios (Law on the Special Tax on Production and Services))
NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement (1992))
NC (Nuremberg Charter (1945))
NIAC (non-international armed conflict)
NTGL (National Transitional Government of Liberia)
OEPC (Occidental Exploration and Production Company)
Palmer/Uribe Commission (Panel of Inquiry of the UN Secretary-General)
SADR (Saharawi Arab Democratic Republic)
SRM/San Remo Manual (San Remo Manual on International Law Applicable to Armed Conflicts at Sea (1994))
StGB (Criminal Code (FRG))
TEC (Treaty establishing the European Community) (Treaty of Rome as updated by the Treaties of Amsterdam and Nice)
TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))
TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))
TRC (Truth and Reconciliation Commission)
Turkel Commission (Israeli Commission of Inquiry into the Gaza Flotilla Incident (2011/2013))
UNC (UN Charter (1945))
UNHRC (UN Human Rights Council)
VCCR (Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963))
VCCR (OP) (Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963), Optional Protocol on the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes)
VStGB (Crimes against International Law Code)
WIM (International Crimes Act 2003 (Netherlands))
WOS (Wartime Offences Act 1952 as amended 1990 and 2003 (Netherlands))
WSC (Western Sahara Campaign UK)
ZPO (Civil Procedure Code (FRG))

AB (ECHR 3/ECHR 5: holding of child in immigration detention centre)
   alleged violation of ECHR 3 (inhuman or degrading treatment) (Court’s assessment)
      benchmark/threshold (relevant factors) 413, 415
      duration 415
      Court’s conclusion 415
      ECHR 3 as fundamental/non-derogable right 413
   alleged violation of ECHR 3 (inhuman or degrading treatment) (parties’ submissions)
      applicants 411-12
      respondent Government 412-13
   alleged violation of ECHR 5(1)(f ) (detention with a view to deportation)/“lawful procedure prescribed by law” requirement (ECHR 5(1)) (Court’s assessment)
      417-18
      Court’s conclusion 418
      “with a view to deportation or extradition” as test of lawfulness 417
      detention of minor distinguished/measure of last resort requirement 417-18
      lawfulness of decision to expel, relevance 417
      “reasonably necessary” requirement, relevance 417
   alleged violation of ECHR 5(1)(f ) (detention with a view to deportation)/“procedure prescribed by law” requirement (ECHR 5(1)) (parties’ submissions)
      applicants 416
      respondent Government 416-17
   background (facts)
      arrest and detention of applicants for alleged theft 407-10
      rejection of applicants’ request for asylum 406-7
      rejection of intervention on behalf of the detained child 408-9
background (procedure) 406
Court’s decision 418

Achmea: see Achmea (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-EU BITs with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (AG Wathelet’s Opinion); Achmea (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-EU BITs with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (Grand Chamber); Achmea/Slovak Republic Arbitration; Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991)

Achmea (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-EU BITs with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (AG Wathelet’s Opinion)

AG’s conclusion 248
background
cases in which the European Commission has intervened as amicus curiae 184
importance of question 184
procedural history
action for reversal of Final Award 191-2
Award on Jurisdiction, Arbitrability and Suspension (26 October 2010) 190-1
CJEU proceedings 192-3
Final Award (6 March 2018) 191
stay of proceedings/request for preliminary ruling 192
proceedings leading to request for preliminary ruling 184
relevant law (German Civil Procedure Code (ZPO) by article)
1040 (compétence de la compétence) 189
1059 (reversal of arbitral award) 189
relevant law (treaties)
Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991) (extracts) 186-9
TFEU 18 (non-discrimination on nationality grounds) (text) 185
TFEU 267(1)-(3) (preliminary ruling) (text) 185
TFEU 344 (exclusive jurisdiction in dispute over interpretation or application of the Treaties) (text) 186
preliminary observations (diversity of views on compatibility of BITs with TEU/TFEU)
coexistence of belief in incompatibility with maintenance of BITs/jurisprudence 194-5
Commission’s views/evolution of 195-6
inconsistencies 195-7
comparative frequency of intervening Member States’ appearance as respondents in investment arbitrations 193-4
Energy Charter Treaty (1997) 196
“so far incompatible” (VCLT 59(1)(b)) and 197-8
uniformity and effectiveness of EU law, risk to 196-7
Question 1[1]: TFEU 344 preclusion of intra-EU BIT (applicability of TFEU 344 to BIT 8-type disputes) 216-21
disputes between Member States and the EU or between Member States and disputes between individuals/initiated by individuals distinguished 217-21
Question 1[2]: TFEU 344 preclusion of intra-EU BIT (dispute “concern[ing] the interpretation or application of the Treaties”) 221-4
arbitration as essential BITs provision 233-4
limitation of arbitral tribunal’s jurisdiction to breaches of BIT, relevance 224-39
“same subject matter” requirement
BIT rules not having an EU law equivalent 232-4
BITs protection and CFR/TFEU protection distinguished 225-39
expropriation (BIT 5/CFR 17(1)) 235-7
fair and equitable treatment 235-7
Achmea (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-EU BITs with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (AG Wathelet’s Opinion) (cont.)

"full protection and security" (BIT 3(2)/EC internal market rules) 235
MFN clause 232
partial overlap between BIT and TFEU/TFEU provisions 234-9
relevant BIT provisions 226
scope of BIT and TFEU/TFEU distinguished 227-31
sunset clause 232
umbrella clause (BIT 3(5)) 232
treaties to which the EU is not party, whether part of the European legal order 222-3

Question 1[3]: TFEU 344 preclusion of intra-EU BIT (risk to TFEU/TFEU allocation of powers/autonomy of the EU legal order) 239-48
mutual trust principle 215, 240, 246-8
national courts’ role in protecting EU legal order 241-6
preliminary ruling option (TFEU 267) 241-4
risk posed by choice of ICSID/extra-EU seat of arbitration or enforcement of award proceedings 244-6
sincere cooperation principle (TEU 4(3)) 240

Question 2: admissibility of arbitration clause such as BIT 8/status of arbitral tribunal as “a court or tribunal of one of the Member States” (TFEU 267) (criteria) 205-14
AG’s conclusion 214
applicability of rules of law/exclusion of ex aequo et bono jurisdiction 213
compulsory jurisdiction 210-12
availability of alternative dispute settlement options, relevance 212
BIT 8(2) (consent to jurisdiction) 212
BIT 8(7) (final and binding effect of decisions) 211
establishment by law 206-8
inter partes procedure (UNCITRAL 15(1)) 212-13
permanency 209-10
examples of institutionalization 210

Question 3: compatibility of BIT 8 (arbitration clause) with TFEU 18 (non-discrimination on nationality grounds) 192
admissibility (relevance to main proceedings) 198
AG’s conclusion 205
BITs and double taxation agreements compared 202-5
limitation of TFEU 18 to situations within the scope of EU law for which there is no specific prohibition of discrimination 199
MFN treatment distinguished 201-2
TFEU 49 (freedom of establishment)/TFEU 63 (free movement of capital) as alternatives to TFEU 18 199
treatment of own nationals as benchmark/acceptability of more favourable treatment as between Member State nationals 199-202

Achmea (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-EU BITs with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (Grand Chamber)

background
arbitration proceedings/proceedings leading to request for preliminary ruling 251-2
referring Court’s concerns 252-4

Question 1: TFEU 344 preclusion of intra-EU BIT (applicability of TFEU 344 to BIT 8-type disputes) 252, 254

Question 2: admissibility of arbitration clause such as BIT 8/status of arbitral tribunal as “a court or tribunal of one of the Member States” (TFEU 267) 252-4
Question 3: compatibility of BIT 8 (arbitration clause) with TFEU 18 (non-discrimination on nationality grounds) 253-4

relevant law
- German Civil Procedure Code (ZPO), article 1059(2) (reversal of arbitral award) 250
- Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991) (extracts) 249-50
- request for reopening of oral procedure/AG’s role 254-5
- Slovak health system 251
- costs 261

Court’s analysis (Questions 1 and 2) 255-61
- autonomy of EU legal order
- common values (TEU 2)/mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)) as underlying principles 256-7
- exclusive jurisdiction (TFEU 344) and judicial system, role in preservation of specific characteristics of EU law 257
- preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267) as means of protecting 257
- Court’s conclusion 261
- EU law as applicable law (BIT 8(6)), relevance 257-8
- Member States’ duty to “ensure that in the interpretation and application of the Treaties the law is observed” (TEU 19(1)) 259-61
- “contractual” and BITs/treaty-based arbitration distinguished 259-60
- status of BIT 8 arbitral tribunal as “a court or tribunal of one of the Member States” (TFEU 267) 258
- Court’s analysis (Question 3) 261
- Court’s ruling 261-2

Achmea/Slovak Republic Arbitration: see also Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991)

background (historical and factual)
- CSFR relations with EU and CoE (1989-91) 78-9
- Slovak political developments (2010-11) 94-6
- Slovak Republic’s independence (1993) including treaty succession and EU accession 79-80

background (procedural matters)
- claim (parties’ positions)
  - claimant 62
  - respondent 62-3
- definitions 56-60
- issues (jurisdiction ratione materiae/alleged violations of treaty/alleged damage) 63
- parties (claimant (Achmea/Eureko)) 61
- parties (respondent (Slovak Republic)) 61-2
- costs (UNCITRAL 38-40) 170-3
  - allocation of costs: unsuccessful party/reasonable apportionment (UNCITRAL 40(1)) 172-3
- Arbitration Act (Germany) 172-3
- novelty/importance of issue 173
- Tribunal’s discretion 172-3
- decision of Tribunal 173-4
- interpretation of BIT
  - applicable law (international law/VCLT 31-3) 113-14
  - Tribunal’s role 113-14
**Achmea/Slovak Republic Arbitration** (cont.)

jurisdiction (Tribunal’s decision)
- 1991 BIT as basis/applicability to Slovakia 110
- applicable law (BIT 8(6))
- EU law, applicability 152-3
decision 159
expropriation (BIT 5) (including effect of Constitutional Court decision of 26 January 2011) 156-8
fair and equitable treatment (BIT 3(1))
- ban on profits/transfer of portfolio as violation 154-5
date of violation 155
- full protection and security (BIT 3(2)) as inseparable from 155 unreasonable or discriminatory measures 155
intra-EU jurisdictional objection/binding effect of Eureko Award 110
ratione materiae jurisdiction (future investments) 116-17
ratione materiae jurisdiction (“in accordance with the law of the host State” requirement) 112-16
- attitude of respondents to alleged breaches of Slovak law 115-16
denial of protection on grounds of breach of host-State law 116 omission of “in accordance with the law of the host State” from BIT 1(a) (definition of investment), relevance 112-16
ratione materiae jurisdiction (“investment” (BIT 1(a))) 111-12
- “asset” (BIT 1(a)(iv)) 111-12
ratione personae jurisdiction 111
liability and merits (Tribunal’s analysis)
- transfer of payments restrictions (BIT 4) 156
parties’ positions (claimant)
- 2004 reform
  - characterization of 117-18
  - Eureko’s investment/assurances that 2004 reform would remain essentially unchanged 120-2
- 2007 reform, characterization 124-8
Constitutional Court decision of 26 January 2011, significance 128
- damages
  - causation, mitigation and Eureko’s “hibernation” strategy 159
  - measure 161-4
  - mitigation duty 161
expropriation (BIT 5) 132-6
- fair and equitable treatment (BIT 3(1)) 141-3
- full protection and security (BIT 3(2)) 148-9
jurisdiction ratione materiae 105-7
jurisdiction and the scope of EU law 109-10
request for relief
- Notice of Arbitration 101-2
  - post-hearing brief 102
  - statement of claim/memorial/reply 102
  - summary of submissions/arguments 97-8
- transfer of payments restrictions (BIT 4) 150-1
unreasonable and discriminatory treatment (BIT 3(1)) 146-7
parties’ positions (respondent)
- 2004 reform
  - characterization 118-20
  - Eureko’s investment/assurances that 2004 reform would remain essentially unchanged 122-4
INDEX

2007 reform, characterization 125-8
Constitutional Court decision of 26 January 2011, significance 128-9
damages
causation, mitigation and Eureko’s “hibernation” strategy 159-60
measure 164-6
mitigation duty 161
expropriation (BIT 5) 136-40
fair and equitable treatment (BIT 3(1)) 143-5
full protection and security (BIT 3(2)) 149-50
jurisdiction ratione materiae 103-5
investment “in accordance with the law of the host State” requirement 112-13
jurisdiction and the scope of EU law 107-9
request for relief
counter-memorial 103
statement of defence 102
summary of submissions/arguments 98-101
transfer of payments restrictions (BIT 4) 151-2
unreasonable and discriminatory treatment (BIT 3(1)) 147-8
procedural history
commencement of arbitration 64
constitution of Tribunal, language and place of arbitration 64-5
consent to publication of award 67
German Court proceedings (2012) (application for declaration of invalidity of Eureko decision) 77-8
oral hearing (damages) 74-6
oral hearing (merits) 72-4
post-hearing proceedings 76-7
preliminary jurisdictional phase/intra-EU jurisdictional objection (Eureko award) 63, 65-7
scheduling 67-8
written proceedings (damages) 71-2
written proceedings (merits (liability)) 68-71
reparation/damages (Tribunal’s decision)
burden/standard of proof 167-8
Eureko’s “hibernation” strategy/mitigation of damages 166-7
“reasonable approximation” to the cost of the hibernation approach 268-70
requested order for future compliance, rejection 170
restitutio in integrum/measure of compensation (ILC(SR) 36/Chorzów Factory principle) 167
witness testimony, Tribunal’s observations on 129-31

aiding and abetting/complicity or association (crimes against humanity, war crimes and other international crimes)
definition (“complicity”) 680
incitement distinguished 680
Kouwenhoven 680
mens rea (subjective element) 680-5
intent to help 680-5

equal treatment/non-discrimination, right to 617
legality under international law
war crimes/crimes against humanity, obligation to seek out and prosecute 615-16
legitimate expectation 617-18

arbitration clause/agreement, separability

Achmea 203
Anglia Auto 203
Binder 203
Busta 203
Eastern Sugar 203
Gas Natural 203
Maffezini 203
Oostergetel & Laurentius 203
Opinion 2/15 203
Suez 203
WNC Factoring 203

armed conflict

definition/requirements
as factual existence of a conflict of a certain intensity and duration using armed force 535
“even if the state of war is not recognized between them” (GC common Article 2) 535
Gaza Flotilla Incident 535-9
possible examples
Hamas–Israel (Gaza Strip) 535-7
termination
ceasefire distinguished 536-7
“general conclusion of peace”/“peaceful settlement” (Tadić) 536-7

belligerent occupation (jus in bello)

human rights/humanitarian law, obligation to respect 366-7
“occupation”/“occupied territory”
effective control, need for
“actually placed under the authority”/“can be exercised” (HR 42) 368-70
“occupying power” 369-70
occupying power, rights and obligations, jurisprudence
MSC 370-3
WSC 374-6
public property (HR 55)
lex specialis, whether 375-6
occupying power as administrator and usufructuary 373-5
justified “exploitation” 374-5
permanent sovereignty over natural resources and 375-6
of territorial waters 370
treaty-making power 370-3
explicit exercise as occupying power 371-3

BITs (bilateral investment treaties)

comparative frequency of intervening Member States’ appearance as respondents in investment arbitrations 193-4
dispute settlement provisions
as essential feature 233-4
double taxation agreements compared 202-5
EU treaties, compatibility with/termination of BIT consequent on conclusion of later
EU Treaty (VCLT 59(1)): see also Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991), termination consequent on Slovak Republic’s accession to EU treaties (VCLT 59(1))
INDEX 713

applicability of TFEU 344 to BIT arbitration clause 216-21
disputes between Member States and the EU or between Member States and disputes between individuals/initiated by individuals distinguished 217-21
limitation of arbitral tribunal’s jurisdiction to breaches of BIT, relevance 224-39
coeexistence of belief in incompatibility with maintenance of BITs 194-5
Commission’s views/evolution of 195-6 inconsistencies 195-7
Energy Charter Treaty (1997) 196
multilateral BIT, possibility of 204
risk to uniformity and effectiveness of EU law/autonomy of EU legal order 196-7, 239-48, 255-61
BITs protection and TEU/TFEU protection distinguished 225-39
partial overlap between BIT and TEU/TFEU provisions 234-9
“same subject matter” requirement
BIT rules not having an EU law equivalent 232-4
“so far incompatible” (VCLT 59(1)(b)) and 197-8
dispute “concern[ing] the interpretation or application of the Treaties”, relevance 221-4
EU Treaties, compatibility with/termination of BIT consequent on conclusion of later EU Treaty (VCLT 59(1)), jurisprudence (intra-EU cases)
AES 184
Anglia Auto Accessories 185, 225, 233
Binder 185, 225, 233
Bustat 185, 225, 233
Charanne 185, 217
EAIB 185, 225
Eastern Sugar 184, 233
Eiser 185, 217
Electrabel 185, 217
Eurekol/Achmea 110, 182-262
Isolux 185
Oostergetel & Laurentius 185, 225, 233
REEF 185, 217
WNC Factoring 185, 225, 233
“fork in the road” (“bifurcation clause”)
availability of different dispute settlement options distinguished 212
individual investor’s right to invoke 219-21

casefore/cessation of hostilities: see armed conflict, termination
Child Rights Convention (1989) (CRC)
“all actions concerning children” (CRC 3(1)) 432-3
“best interests of child . . . a primary consideration” (CRC 3(1))
“a primary consideration” 431-3
liberty and security of person, right to (CRC 37(b)): see liberty and security of person, right to (CRC 37(b))
“as a measure of last resort” (CRC 37(a)) 433-4
“for the shortest appropriate period of time” (CRC 37(b)) 433-4
child rights, jurisprudence (“best interests of the child”): see also inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), jurisprudence relating in particular to detention of a minor; liberty and security of person, right to, exceptions (ECHR 5(1)), lawful arrest or detention to prevent unauthorized entry or with a view to deportation/ extradition of alien (ECHR 5(1)(f)), “with a view to deportation or extradition” as test of lawfulness, detention of minor distinguished/measure of last resort requirement

Huseini 431-4
Kanagaratnam 413-14
Rahimi 413-14

civilian population, protection against consequences of war (with particular reference to GC IV:13-26/AP I and ICRC Rules)

“attack” as “any act of violence against the adversary, whether in offence or in defence” 541
“civilian” (AP I:50(1))
applicability to non-international conflict 549-50
civilian objects (AP I:52-7)
“civilian objects are all objects which are not military objects” (AP I:52(1)) 542-3
as customary international law/applicability to non-international armed conflict 542-3
civilian population and combatants, need to distinguish (AP I:48/AP II:13(2)/CIL)
(VStGB 11(1)(1) compared 541-2)
legitimate targets (AP I:52(2)): see also civilians taking direct part in hostilities, loss of protection against consequences of war (AP I:51(3))
“military objectives” (AP I:52(2)) 542-3
civilians taking direct part in hostilities, loss of protection against consequences of war (AP I:51(3))
“direct part” knowing and purposeful participation in breach of blockade 552
Gaza Flotilla Incident 550-2

CJEU Rules of Procedure (2012)
83 (opening/reopening of oral proceedings) on grounds of disagreement with AG’s opinion 254-5
CJEU/ECJ/CJEC, advocate general’s role (TFEU 252) 254-5
CJEU/ECJ/CJEC competence (TFEU 19(1)/TFEU 19(3))
individual’s right of direct action against Member State, absence of provision for 233
Member States’ duty to “ensure that in the interpretation and application of the Treaties the law is observed” (TEU 19(1)) 259-61
Achmea 259-61
Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses 259-60
Eco Suisse 259-60
Montaza Clar 259-60

combatant status
Gaza Flotilla Incident 552
command responsibility (war crimes/crimes against humanity)
effective control test (Kouwenhoven) 632-3

compensation/damages
burden/standard of proof 167-8

competences (EC/EU), jurisprudence
Oelmühle and Schmidt Söhne 240
Opinion 1/09 (Agreement on the Creation of a Unified Patent Litigation System) 218, 239-41, 260-1

Opinion 1/91 (First Opinion on the EEA Agreement) 217-19, 239-41, 260-1

competences (TEU/TFEU)
ECHR accession, effect 217
sincere cooperation obligation (TEU 4(3) [TEC 5] [EC 10]) 240

consular access (VCCR 36)
Consular Assistance 20-7
individual’s right, whether 20-7
as a human right 27-8
“humanization” of international law and 30-2

costs (UNCITRAL Rules (1976))
allocation of costs (UNCITRAL 40(1))
tribunal’s discretion “if apportionment is reasonable [in the] circumstances of the case” 172-3
novelty/public importance of issues 173

countermeasures (including ILC Articles on)
conditions/requirements
as inter-State concept 453-5
Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages: see Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages
object and purpose (“to induce State to comply with its obligations”) 454

crimes against humanity, definition/classification as/requirements
serious crimes against civilian population 667-8
widespread or systematic policy
Gaza Flotilla Incident 560
single act as 560

deporation/forcible transfer from occupied territory, prohibition (GC IV:49)
transfer of population into occupied area/settlements (GV IV:49(6)/AP I.85(4)(a)/AP II:17(1)/ICC 8(1)(6)) 379
as war crime (ICC 8(2)(b)(viii)) 378, 555
applicability to NIAC 555

double criminality
sanctions legislation 619

double taxation agreements
BITs compared 202-5

due process/procedural fairness (general considerations)
standard of duty, relevant factors
gross violation/intentional disregard of defendant’s interests violating right to fair trial 621-2

EC Association Agreements
Brita 275

Index


- compliance with international law
  - EC–Morocco Association Agreement compared 340-1
  - interpretation in conformity with 398-401
  - obligation not to recognize or aid illegal situation 341, 352-60
  - respect for free pursuit of economic development/free disposal of natural resources 341-52, 373-82
  - respect for right to self-determination/obligation not to recognize or assist in maintenance of illegal situation 340-73
  - conclusion of agreement other than as assertion of Moroccan sovereignty over Western Sahara 360-73
  - Decision 2013/785 (approval of 2013 Protocol) 308
  - object and purpose (Preamble, FPA 1 and FPA 3) 388, 398
  - Regulation 764/2006 (approval of the Fisheries Partnership Agreement (FPA)) 308
  - Regulation 1270/2013 (allocation of fishing opportunities under the 2013 Protocol) 304, 308-9
  - territorial scope/applicability to Western Sahara 317-23


- Preamble (object and purpose) 305, 388, 398
  - 1 (object and purpose) 305, 388
  - 2 (definitions (“Moroccan fishing zone”)) 305
  - 2(a) (definitions: “Moroccan fishing zone”) 317-23, 397-402
  - 3 (object and purpose) 305, 388
  - 5 (access by Community vessels to Moroccan fisheries) 305, 388-9, 397-400
  - 5(4) (compliance with UNCLOS) 397
  - 6 (conditions governing fishing activities) 388-9
  - 7 (financial contribution) 305-6, 376-80
  - 11 (area of application: “to the territory of Morocco and to the waters under Moroccan jurisdiction”) 276-7, 306, 317-23, 389, 397-400
  - sufficiency to preclude de jure recognition of Moroccan sovereignty over Western Sahara/UNCLOS 55 and UNCLOS 56 355-8
  - 13 (dispute settlement) 306
  - absence of independent and impartial court with compulsory jurisdiction 325-6
  - 16 (Protocol, annexes and appendices thereto as integral part of FPA) 306, 389
  - 17 (entry into force) 388


- 1 (general principles . . . democratic principles and fundamental human rights) 306-7, 332-7
  - Protocol and FPA as part of EC–Morocco Association Agreement 398
  - 2 (period of application, duration and fishing opportunities) 307
  - 3 (financial contribution) 307
  - 3(1) (division of financial contribution into two parts) 377
  - 3(4) (payment of financial contribution into Public Treasury of Morocco account) 377-8
  - 3(5) (Moroccan authorities’ full discretion as to use of financial contribution) 378
  - 5(6) (monitoring mechanism: role) 378
  - 6 (support for sectoral fisheries policy in Morocco) 307-8, 378
  - 6(2) (joint EC–Morocco monitoring mechanism) 378

Annex appendices 2 and 4 (areas of applicability)/charts showing 319-20, 401

ECtHR Rules of Court (2016)

- 47(4) (non-disclosure of applicants’ names) 406
enemy merchant ships, visit, search, capture and destruction
London Declaration on Naval Warfare (1909) 543-4
San Remo Manual (1994) (SRM 135-40) 543-4

**erga omnes obligations**
jurisprudence
  *Construction of a Wall* 332
  *East Timor* 332
  WSC 332-7, 339
self-determination, right of 332-7

**EU law**, characteristics/autonomy of 256

**EU legal order**: see also EU law; UN Charter (1945) (UNC), primacy (UNC 103)
autonomy/independence 255-61
autonomy/characteristics of EU law as basis 256
exclusive jurisdiction of CJEU/ECJ (TFEU 344/TEC 292) and 256
judicial system, role in preservation of specific characteristics of EU law 257
jurisprudence: see also *Achmea* (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-EU BITs with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (AG Wathelet’s Opinion); *Achmea* (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-EU BITs with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (Grand Chamber)
*Achmea* 239-48, 255-61
Opinion 1/09 239-41, 260-1
Opinion 1/91 (First Opinion on the EEA Agreement) 239-41, 260-1
Opinion 2/13 239-41, 255-61
Opinion 2/15 239-41
national courts’ role in protecting 241-6
preliminary ruling (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 267) as means of protecting 240-1, 257-8
risk posed by BITs arbitration clauses 196-7, 239-48, 255-61
risk posed by choice of ICSID/extra-EU seat of arbitration or enforcement of award proceedings 244-6
common values (TEU 2)/mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)) as underlying principles 215, 240, 246-8, 256-7
international law, compliance obligation (TEU 3(5))
customary international law as benchmark for determining/requirements (*ATA* principles)
cases concerning competence and cases concerning substance distinguished 327-8
identity of requirements with those for agreement serving as benchmark 326-7
international humanitarian law (IHL) 338-9, 366-8
manifest error of assessment, limitation of review to 282-3, 328
nature and broad scope of customary international law principle appropriate to confer right on individuals to take legal proceedings 328, 335-9
permanent sovereignty over natural resources 337-8
principle of international law binding on EU 332-3, 339, 366-7
self-determination as *erga omnes* obligation 332-7
self-determination as human right 329-31
international agreements as benchmark for determining/requirements (*ATA* principles)
provisions 328-9, 333-5, 339
“international law in its entirety” 395
interpretation of EU treaties with third parties in conformity with 398-400
EU legal order (cont.)
principles and provisions of international law capable of being relied upon for
assessing the validity of contested acts 323-39
responsibility for determining
court designated by treaty for settlement of disputes as to interpretation and
application 325-6
WSC 325-6
international law, compliance obligation (TEU 3(5)), jurisprudence (general)
ATA 333, 395
Brita 397
Kadi 395
Poulsen and Diva Navigation 395
WSC 323-39, 394-6
treaties to which the EU is not party, status 222-3
validity of treaties (VCLT 46-53) as sole grounds for declaration of invalidity 314
EU legislative acts

treaties concluded by the EU as (TFEU 289(3)) 395
EU Treaties, interpretation or application (TFEU 344/TEC 292) (exclusive
jurisdiction): see also Achmea (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-
EU BITs with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (AG Wathelet’s
Opinion); Achmea (preliminary ruling) (compatibility of intra-EU BITs
with TFEU 18, TFEU 267 and TFEU 344) (Grand Chamber); preliminary
ruling (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 267 [TEC 234]), interpretation of EU
Treaties (TFEU 267(a))
EU accession to ECHR and
individual’s right of access to the ECtHR (ECHR 34), relevance 219
intra-EU disputes and investor–State disputes distinguished 77-8
preservation of autonomy of EU legal order as object 256
‘sincere cooperation’/loyalty obligation (TEU 4(3)) and 217, 256-7
EU treaties with third parties (“international agreements” (TFEU 216-19))
compatibility with international law: see EU legal order, international law, compliance
obligation (TEU 3(5))
“compatibility” test (compliance with competence rules and EU procedures for
concluding treaties) 395
as integral part of EU legal order 314, 395: see also preliminary ruling/compatibility with
the Treaties (TFEU 218(11) reference) below
interpretation, applicable law
customary international law as reflected in the VCLT 397
jurisprudence
WSC 313-17
preliminary ruling/compatibility with the Treaties (TFEU 218(11) reference): see also as
integral part of EU legal order above
admissibility
after signature 315
Court’s jurisdiction 314-15
annulment/declaration of invalidity 316
any question in relation to doubts about compatibility of the treaty with EU law
314-15
review of Council act approving conclusion of treaty in the light of the content of
the treaty at issue 315-16, 396
declaration of invalidity/annulment, consequences 316
“envisaged” agreement 315
jurisprudence

Haegeman 395
Opinion 1/75 (OECD Understanding on a Local Cost Standard) 395-6

purpose
avoidance of complications of treaty compatible with international law but not EU law 314-15

EU treaties with third parties ("international agreements" (TFEU 216-19)), jurisprudence: see also specific aspects under EU treaties with third parties ("international agreements" (TFEU 216-19))

ATA 315-16
Commission v. Ireland (C-459/03) 217
European American Investment Bank 217
Kadi and Al Barakaat International Foundation 217, 315, 395
Opinion 1/15 (EU–Canada PNR Agreement) 314-15, 395
Opinion 1/75 (OECD Understanding on a Local Cost Standard) 395-6
Opinion 2/13 (accession of the EU to the ECHR) 217, 260-1
WSC: see WSC

European citizenship (TFEU 18-25 [TEC 17-22])
nationality, non-discrimination (TFEU 18 [TEC 12])
limitation to situations within the scope of EU law for which there is no specific prohibition of discrimination 199
MFN treatment distinguished 201-2
treatment of own nationals as benchmark/acceptability of more favourable treatment as between Member State nationals 199-202

evidence of war crimes/crimes against humanity
admissibility
Kouwenhoven 633-42: see also Kouwenhoven (Netherlands Appeal Court proceedings (2017))

expropriation/nationalization, classification as/de facto (including “measure tantamount to nationalization or expropriation” (NAFTA 1110))
Achmea Arbitration 156-8
permanent deprivation of ownership requirement/date for determining permanency 156-8
profits ban 156-8

fair and equitable treatment of alien (BITs): see also Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991), termination consequent on Slovak Republic’s accession to EU treaties (VCLT 59(1)), BIT and EU treaty provisions compared ("same subject matter” requirement)
ban on profits/transfer of portfolio as violation 154-5

family/private life, respect for, justified restrictions/interference by public authority (ACHR 17, ECHR 8(2), ICCPR 17)

grounds/requirements (ECHR 8(2))
“proportionate to the legitimate aim”
disproportionate response to unsubstantiated risk 429-31
jurisprudence
AB 430-1
AM 430
Huseini 429-31
RC and VC 430
France

minors: see also AB (ECHR 3/ECHR 5: holding of child in immigration detention centre)
deporation, absence of provision for 417

GATT (1947)

GATT XX exceptions
burden of proof 450
compliance with both a particular exception and GATT XX chapeau requirement
(US – Gasoline) 449-50

GATT XX(d) (measures necessary to secure compliance with GATT-consistent measure): see also Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages
Dominican Republic – Imports and Sales of Cigarettes 455
EEC – Parts and Components 452-3
Korea – Various Measures on Beef 450, 453, 479-83
US – Gambling 450, 456-7, 482-3, 485-6
US – Gasoline 449-50, 453, 479
US – Section 337 450, 479
US – Wool Shirts and Blouses 450, 479

Gaza Flotilla Incident (background)
complaints
complaint I (MPs IH, AG and NP) 498-500
complaint II (journalist MGD) 500-1
complaint III (journalist MF) 502
facts: see also Gaza Strip
imposition of naval blockade 520-4
“Gaza Flotillas” phenomenon 520-2
order of 3 January 2009 522-3
purpose of the naval blockade 523-4
incident of 31 May 2010
capture of the flotilla 528-32
events after the capture of the flotilla 532-4
German participants 527-8
goals and motivation 525-7
participating vessels and persons 524-5
preparation of the flotilla 524
sources of information
additional information including materials from public sources 513
Goldstone Report (September 2010)
adoption by UNHRC 504
applicability of GC common Article 2(2), GC IV:4, ICCPR 6, 7, 9, 10, 14 and 19
and GC IV:147 504-5
findings 504
interviews 503-4
ICC Preliminary Examination Report (insufficiency of information) 512-13
Palmer/Uribe Commission
membership and methodology 510-11
Turkel Commission (2011/2013) 505-9
conclusions (compatibility of Israeli actions with IHL) 506-7
Eiland investigation into Israeli army’s handling of the incident 508
interviews 506
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Israeli investigations of flotilla participants 508
Lindenstrauss investigation into Israeli Government’s handling of incident 508-9
membership/powers 505-6
Turkish National Commission of Inquiry Reports (2010/2011) (Israeli action as violation of GC IV:33) 509-10
UNHRC Resolution 14/1 of 2 June 2010 (appointment of fact-finding mission) 503-4
dissenting statements 511-12
finding (compatibility of Israeli action with UNC 51) 511

Gaza Flotilla Incident (legal assessment) 534-67

applicability of IHL

convergence between principles and norms applicable in IAC and NIAC 538
nexus with armed conflict 539-40
applicability to personal effects of persons detained during the Gaza Flotilla incident 558-60
armed conflict: see also armed conflict; international armed conflict (IAC); non-international armed conflict (NIAC)
“even if the state of war is not recognized between them” (GC common Article 2) 535
as factual existence of a conflict of a certain intensity and duration using armed force 535
Hamas–Israel (Gaza Strip) as 534-7
crimes to the detriment of complainant MF 564
crimes to the detriment of German nationals 540-64
crimes to the detriment of other citizens of third-party States

criminal liability under StGB 7(1) 567
criminal liability under the VStGB/international criminal law 564-5
dispensing with prosecution of criminal offences under the VStGB (StPO 153f) 565-7
criminal offences under the StGB by section 560-4

223(1) (bodily injury: physical maltreatment or harm to health of another person) 563-4
239(1) (deprivation of liberty: imprisonment) 562-3
240(1) (coercion: imprisonment) 562-3
249 ([aggravated] robbery) 562
250(1) (serious robbery: imprisonment) 562
316(c) (assaults on air and sea traffic) 562
criminal offences under the VStGB by section 540-60

7(1) (crimes against humanity: “as part of a widespread or systematic attack”) 560
8(1)(3) (torture, cruel or inhumane treatment of internationally protected person), classification as 554
8(1)(6) (deportation or transfer of internationally protected person) 555
8(1)(9) (gravely humiliating or degrading treatment of internationally protected person), failure to meet “objectively sufficient gravity” requirement 555-6
8(3)(1) (unlawfully holding as a prisoner or unjustifiably delaying the return home of internationally protected person) 556-8
applicability to NIAC 556
discretion of detaining State 556-7
9(1) (pillaging or unlawfully destroying, appropriating or seizing property of the adverse party) 558-60
property of opposing party to the conflict (“adverse party”), limitation to 558-9
10(1)(1) (attacks against humanitarian operations: protected persons/peacekeeping missions), applicability 553-4
Gaza Flotilla Incident (legal assessment) (cont.)

11(1)(1) (attack against civilian population/civilians) 540-64
absence of Israeli intention to attack or harm civilians 542
“attack” as “any act of violence against the adversary, whether in offence or in
defence” 541
attack with disproportionate collateral damage (VS/GB 11(1)(1)(3)) 553
“civilian” (AP I:50(1) (applicability to non-international conflict) 549-50
civilians taking direct part in hostilities, loss of protection (AP I:51(3)) 550-2
civilians taking direct part in hostilities, participants in the Flotilla Incident as
551-2
combatants or enemy fighters, Mavi Marwana passengers as 552
merchant vessels as military objects 543-4
naval blockade, conditions 547-8
naval blockade, conditions (Israel’s compliance with) 548-9
naval blockade, right of 544-7
“principle of distinction” (AP I:48/AP II:13(2)/CIL) requirement 541-2
vessels of flotilla as merchant vessels (San Remo Manual) 543
vessels of flotilla as military objects (AP I:52(2)) 542-3

IAC vs NIAC, classification 534-9
legal status of parties to the conflict (AP I:1(4)), inconsistency of State practice 538-9
immunity of public officials under customary international law 563-4
jurisdiction of the Federal Public Prosecutor 534-5
acts to the detriment of German nationals and non-German nationals distinguished
540
applicability of German criminal law 540
offences under the StGB 561-2
NIAC, requirements: see also non-international armed conflict (NIAC)
“exercise [of] such control over a part of its territory” (AP II:1(1)) 537
intense, protracted violence 535-6
organized command (AP II:1(1)) 535-7

Gaza Strip
Hamas leadership and structure 518-19
Hamas–Israeli conflict
as armed conflict 536-7
as international vs non-international armed conflict 534-9
Construction of a Wall 538-9
FRG views 538
Goldstone Report 538
Israeli practice 537
“national war of liberation” (AP I I(4)) distinguished 538-9
Palmer Commission 538
Targeted Killings 537
as non-international armed conflict 535-7

key events in chronological order
1967-82 (Israeli occupation and administration) 513-14
1967-2009 (Gaza conflict) 513-19
1987 (First Intifada) 513-14
1993 (Oslo I Accord) 513-14
1994 (Gaza–Jericho Agreement on partial Palestine autonomy) 514
1995 (assassination of Israeli Prime Minister Rabin) 515
1995 (Interim Agreement on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip (Oslo II Accord)) 514
INDEX

2000 (Camp David Summit) 515
2000 (outbreak of Second Intifada) 514-15
2004 (Disengagement Plan) 515-16
2006 (January) (Hamas/List of Change and Reform win elections for the Palestinian Legislative Council) 516
2006 (June) (Hamas attack on Kerem Shalom/Israeli reprisals) 516
2007 (Hamas/Fatah split/Israeli enclosure of the Gaza Strip) 517
2008 (February) (Hamas rocket attack on Ashkelon/IDF “Hot Winter” operation) 517
2008 (December) (IDF “Cast Lead” operation) 517-18
2009 (18 January) (Israeli ceasefire) 517-18
2009-10 (Israeli–Hamas conflict) 519-20

General Assembly resolutions in number/date order
1514 (XV) (Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples) 270-1
1541 (XV) (Obligation to transmit information under Art. 73(e) (non-self-governing territories)) 333-4

Geneva Convention (Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War) (GC IV) (1949)
applicability
occupied territory (GC IV:2) 367-8
state of war, relevance 367-8

Germany, Federal Republic (FRG)
Basic Law (GG) (including 2009 amendments) by article
25 (general rules/principles of international law as integral part of federal law) 563-4
96(5)(3) (Länder courts: exercise of federal jurisdiction: war crimes) 561-2
104(3)(1) (detention: time limits for bringing before a court) 557-8

Civil Procedure Code (ZPO) by section
1040 (compétence de la compétence) 189
1059 (reversal of arbitral award) 189, 250

Courts Constitution Act (GVG) by section
120(1) (allocation of competences) 561-2
142a (duties of the Public Prosecutor) 561-2

Crimes against International Law Code (VStGB): see also Gaza Flotilla Incident
armed conflict for purposes of 535-7

Crimes against International Law Code (VStGB) (Part 1 (general))
1 (scope of application including jurisdiction over crimes committed abroad/universal jurisdiction) 540
2 (applicability of general criminal law) 535

Crimes against International Law Code (VStGB) (Part 2, Chapter 2 (war crimes))
7(1) (crimes against humanity: “as part of a widespread or systematic attack”) 560
8(1)(3) (torture, cruel or inhumane treatment of internationally protected person) 554
8(1)(6) (deportation or transfer of internationally protected person) 555
8(1)(9) (gravely humiliating or degrading treatment of internationally protected person) 555-6

actions of detained person, relevance 557-8
international armed conflict, need for 556
justified exceptions (customary international law) 556-7
justified exceptions (GC IV:42/GC IV:43) 556-7
justified exceptions (ICC/GC III/GC IV) 556-7
Germany, Federal Republic (FRG) (cont.)
8(3)(1) (unlawfully holding as a prisoner or unjustifiably delaying the return home of internationally protected person) 556-8
applicability to NIAC 556
9(1) (pillaging or unlawfully destroying, appropriating or seizing property of the adverse party) 558-60
“property of the adverse party”, significance 558-9
10(1)(1) (attacks against humanitarian operations/peacekeeping missions: protected persons) 553-4
11(1)(1) (attack against civilian population/civilians) 540-64
“not taking direct part in hostilities” 550-2
11(1)(1)(3) (military attack in anticipation of disproportionate civilian deaths/damage to civilian property) 553
Criminal Code (StGB)
immunity of public officials under customary international law 563-4
VStGB, interrelationship/primacy 560-1
Criminal Code (StGB) by section
7(1) (offences committed abroad against a national) (passive personality principle) 540
223(1) (bodily injury: physical maltreatment or harm to health of another person) 563-4
239(1) (deprivation of liberty: imprisonment) 562-3
240(1) (coercion: imprisonment) 562-3
249 ([agrava]ted robbery) 562
250(1) (serious robbery: imprisonment) 562
316(c) (assaults on air and sea traffic) 562
Criminal Procedure Code (StPO) by section
153f (dispensing with prosecution of criminal offences under the VStGB) 565-7
absence of offence against German national 565
absence of suspect resident in Germany 565-6
events being investigated elsewhere 566
object and purpose 566-7
offences committed abroad 565
offences committed abroad, summary of provisions 565

Hamas, status: see Gaza Flotilla Incident
humiliating or degrading treatment of internationally protected person as war crime
(ICC 8(2)(b)(xxi))
jurisprudence
Gaza Flotilla Incident 555-6
Katanga 555-6
Sesay 555-6
“objectively sufficient gravity” requirement 555-6
relevant factors 555-6
Huseini (alleged breaches of ECHR 3, ECHR 5, ECHR 8 and CRC 3: holding of child in immigration detention centre)
alleged breach of CRC 3(1) (“best interests of the child”)
“all actions concerning children” (CRC 3(1)) 432-3
Court’s conclusion 433
“a primary consideration” 431-3
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alleged breach of CRC 37(a) (torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment) 433
alleged breach of CRC 37(b) (liberty and security of person, right to) 433-4
“as a measure of last resort” 433-4
“for the shortest appropriate period of time” 433-4
alleged breach of ECHR 3 (inhuman or degrading treatment)
review of the jurisprudence
AB 422, 425-6
AM 424-5
RC and Others 423-4
RC and VC 424
alleged breach of ECHR 8 (respect for family/private life, justified restrictions (ECHR 8 (2))) 429-31
Court’s conclusion 430
review of the jurisprudence
AB 430-1
AM 430
RC and VC 430
compensation for non-material injury 434
conditions of treatment (Court’s evaluation) 426-8
Court’s conclusion 428
duration of impugned treatment, relevance 428
Court’s conclusion 435

ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule
73 (request for interim protection) 6
73(2) (request: required information) 7
74 (request for interim measures) 6
74(3) (interim protection: date for oral hearing) 7
74(4) (request to parties to “act in such a way” pending meeting of Court) 6-7
75 (provisional measures) 6

ICJ/PCIJ jurisdiction, basis ([ICJ 36(1)/PCIJ 36] (“matters specially provided for … in treaties and conventions in force”): see Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963) (VCCR), Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes (VCCR (OP))

ICJ/PCIJ status and functions ([ICJ 36(1) and ICJ 38/PCIJ 36(1) and PCIJ 38], appeal court distinguished
Avena 18
Jadhav 18
LaGrand 18

IHL (international humanitarian law) ([jus in bello])
applicability
convergence with principles and norms applicable to international armed conflict 538
non-international armed conflict: see non-international armed conflict (NIAC), applicability of IHL
Western Sahara 338-9, 366-8
belligerent occupation and 338-9
customary international law (CIL)
GC (1949) and 339
Hague Regulations (1907) 339
erga omnes principle and 339, 366-7
IHL (international humanitarian law) (jus in bello) (cont.)
judicial review of EU legal acts allegedly in breach of jus cogens/peremptory norm
precise and unconditional obligations
IHL/IHRL, parallel applicability/IHL as lex specialis
jurisprudence
Construction of a Wall 374-5
Legality of Nuclear Weapons 374-5
WSC 375-6
permanent sovereignty over natural resources and 374-6
individual responsibility (war crimes/crimes against humanity) (with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7/ICC 25-8)
customary international law 667
Kouwenhoven 666-8
military status, relevance 667
relationship to one of parties to conflict, relevance 677-8
position of authority, relevance 667
treaty basis 667
inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7)
benchmark/threshold
individualization 413
relevant factors 413, 415
detention of a minor as 413-15
factors of possible relevance
duration of impugned treatment 415, 428
as fundamental/non-derogable right 413
inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), jurisprudence relating in particular to detention of a minor
AB 411-15, 422, 425-6, 429
AM 424-5
Huseini 422-8
Kanagaratnam 413-14
Mubilanzila Mayeka 413-14
Muskhadzhiyeva 413-14
Popov 414
Rahimi 413-14
RC and Others 423-4
RC and VC 424
inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), jurisprudence relating in particular to qualification as/threshold
AB 411-15
Raninen 413
international armed conflict (IAC)
classification as
difficulties of 537-9
Hamas–Israeli conflict: see Gaza Flotilla Incident
Gaza Flotilla Incident 535-9
international armed conflict (IAC) (AP I (1977))
applicability
liberation movements (AP I 1(4)) 367-8
Western Sahara 367-8
customary international law and
legal status of parties to the conflict (AP I:1(4)), inconsistency of State practice 538-9
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**international humanitarian law (IHL) (jus in bello):** see IHL (international humanitarian law) (jus in bello)

**intervention of third State (ICJ 62)** (with “interest of a legal nature which may be affected by the decision”)

- Monetary Gold 316-17

**“investment”/“foreign investment”**

- accordance with the laws and regulations of host country requirement
  - Achmea/Slovak Republic Arbitration 112-16
  - Inceya Vallisoletana 113
  - Phoenix Action 113-15
- definition/classification as shareholdings 111

**investor/individual rights under investment treaties**

- ADM 219-21
- Loewen 219-21
- OEPC 220

#### Jadhav Case (Provisional Measures)

- background (Application (India))
- alleged violation of VCCR 5
- requested measures 6-8
- requested reliefs 6

- background (factual) 8-9
- Court’s decision (order not to execute pending decision on merits) 18-19
- binding force 18-19
- jurisdiction on the merits as a separate question 19

- jurisdiction (ICJ 36(1)/VCCR (OP) 1) 9-13
- Court’s analysis
  - 2008 Agreement, effect (VCCR 73) 13
  - “[d]isputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the [Vienna] Convention” 12-13
  - ICJ 36(2) reservations, relevance 12
  - jurisdiction ratione materiae (alleged breach of VCCR 36(1)) 12-13
  - “matters provided for . . . in treaties and conventions in force” (ICJ 36(1))/relevance of ICJ 36(2) reservations 12
  - prima facie jurisdiction, sufficiency 9
  - terrorism/espionage, applicability of VCCR 13

- Court’s conclusion 13
- parties’ arguments (India) 9-11
- parties’ arguments (Pakistan) 11

- provisional measures (measures requested/requirements)
- Court’s analysis
  - connection with dispute before Court 14, 16
  - object and purpose of VCCR 36(1) 14
  - plausibility of requested rights 13-16
  - urgency requirement 16-18
  - parties’ positions (India) 14-15, 17
  - parties’ positions (Pakistan) 15, 17

- separate declaration (Bhandari J (concurring)) 32-49
  - 2008 Agreement, relevance 37-9
  - conclusion 49
**Jadhav Case (Provisional Measures) (cont.)**

- facts/India's arguments 32-7
- provisional measures, requirements
  - connection of requested measures with dispute before the Court 48-9
  - plausibility of requested rights 43-4
  - prima facie jurisdiction 9-13
  - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 44-8
- separate opinion (Cançado Trindade J (concurring)) 19-32
- protection of human right as object and purpose 27-8
- provisional measures as autonomous regime 28-9
- rights of States/individuals 21-7

**judicial review/justiciability (EU law) (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 263 [TEC 230]), CJEU’s responsibility for determining validity of EU acts**

ATA 315-16
WSC 313-17

**Kouwenhoven (Netherlands Appeal Court proceedings (2017))**

- Court’s decision 703-4
- issue A: admissibility of the prosecution 612-20
  - Court’s conclusion (A.7) 620
- issue A.1: admissibility of the prosecution (Liberian Amnesty Scheme) (Court’s analysis)
- amnesty (TRC recommendations (2009))
  - absence of reference in the TRC-Act to the TRC report 614-15
  - exclusion in case of violation of IHL/crimes against humanity in conformity with international laws and standards (TRC-Act VII) 614-15
- timing of the Scheme 612
- Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) (TRC-Act 2005), establishment 614-15
- issue A.1: admissibility of the prosecution (Liberian Amnesty Scheme) (Court’s conclusions)
  - effect on jurisdiction of Liberian and Netherlands courts distinguished 615-16
  - validity of Liberian Amnesty Scheme after signing of CPA 615
  - war crimes/crimes against humanity, obligation to seek out and prosecute, compatibility of amnesty with 615-16
- issue A.2: Liberian Amnesty Scheme and the principles of equality and legitimate expectation (Court’s analysis and conclusions) 617-18
  - balance between importance of prosecution and principles of equality and legitimate expectations 617
  - equal treatment/non-discrimination, right to 617
- issue A.3: war crimes jurisdiction (Court’s analysis and conclusions)
  - legislative basis (WOS 8 and 9) 618
  - universal jurisdiction/1949 Geneva Conventions 618
  - verification of statutory provisions against treaties and international organizations (Netherlands Constitution 94) 618
issue A.4 (Dutch Prosecution Service’s jurisdiction over Dutch national in respect of war crimes) (Court’s conclusion) 618-19
issue A.5 (jurisdiction regarding violation of Sanctions Act 1977: double criminality) 619
  double criminality, relevance 619
  nationality principle (jurisdiction over national for acts committed abroad) 619
issue A.6 (amnesty as procedural bar) 620
issue B: conditional request for hearing witnesses under the Liberian Amnesty Scheme 620
issue C: equality of parties/due process—plea to declare the prosecution inadmissible on grounds of breach of Netherlands Criminal Procedure Code 359a 620-32
  Court’s conclusion (issue C.5) 631-2
issue C.1: equality of parties/due process, standard of duty 621-2
  obligation of defence to indicate clearly alleged statutory failings 621-2
issue C.2: collection of evidence as alleged violation of principles of due diligence, proportionality and presumption of innocence 623-4
issue C.3: alleged unilateral, incomplete and otherwise insufficient investigation 624-8
  defence counsel’s claims 624-5
issue C.3.1: alleged problems relating to collection of the evidence (Court’s analysis and conclusions) 625-8
  compensation for procedural defects, sufficiency 626-7
  Court’s evaluation of investigation methods 627-8
  Court’s conclusion 628
  mutual/judicial assistance, inter-State nature of obligation/individual’s right to invoke 625
  reimbursement of expenses, relevance 625
  transparency issues 625-6
issue C.4: other defence pleas
  alleged breach of lawyer/client confidentiality 629
  alleged presentation of false and untrue witness statements 629-31
  examining magistrate’s incomprehensible disallowance of certain questions 629, 631
  failure to invite defence to attend taking of evidence 629-30
issue D.1: acquittal of complicity and incitement to commit war crimes and crimes against humanity 632
issue D.2: acquittal of command responsibility and civil leadership in relation to war crimes and crimes against humanity (effective control test) 632-3
issue D.3: acquittal on counts 1A primarily and alternatively, 1B, 2A primarily and alternatively, 2B, 3A primarily and alternatively and 3B 633
issue E: equality of arms/parties—exclusion of all evidence 633-6
  Court’s approach 636
  E.1.1: lapse of time 636-7
  E.1.2: Liberian society 636-7
  E.1.3: trauma and memory 639
  E.1.4: collusion 640-1
issue G.1: general considerations with regard to the appreciation of the evidence 636-41
  Court’s approach 636
  G.1.1: lapse of time 636-7
  G.1.2: Liberian society 636-7
  G.1.3: trauma and memory 639
  G.1.4: collusion 640-1
Kouwenhoven (Netherlands Appeal Court proceedings (2017)) (cont.)
issue G.2: assessment of witness statements 641-2
issue H: weapons and ammunition 642-51
defence arguments 642
H.1: established facts and circumstances 642-3
H.2: defendant’s involvement in the Royal Timber Company (RTC) and Oriental Timber Company (OTC) 643
H.2.1: Court’s conclusion 644
H.3: relationship between defendant’s companies and Taylor regime 644-6
H.3.1: Court’s conclusion 646
H.4: delivery of weapons and ammunition by the [ship 1] 646-8
H.4.1: defendant’s presence in the port of Buchanan 648
H.4.2: evidence of defendant’s presence in the port of Buchanan/Court’s conclusion 649
H.4.3: defendant’s role in import of weapons/Court’s conclusion 649-50
H.5: sanctions (UNSCR 1343 (2001) and UNSCR 1408 (2002)/Sanctions Regulations (Netherlands)) 650-1
H.6: Court’s conclusions regarding counts 4 and 6 (violation of the Sanctions Act 1977) 651
issue I: defences seeking acquittal of complicity in war crimes
Court’s approach 652-3
defence’s arguments 651-2
issue J: general considerations regarding the parties to the conflict 653-4
J.1: armed conflict in (the vicinity of) Guéckédou 654-7
J.2: armed conflict in (the vicinity of) Voinjama 657-9
J.3: armed conflict in (the vicinity of) Kolahun 659-61
J.4: atrocities in the surrounding villages 659-61
J.5: plea with regard to the existence of RTC camp Bomi Wood 662
J.5.1: Court’s conclusion regarding the existence of RTC camp Bomi Wood 662-3
J.6: plea with regard to the identity of “Bassaboy” and/or “Kpellehboy” 664
J.6.1: Court’s conclusion with regard to the identity of “Bassaboy” and/or “Kpellehboy” 664-5
issue K: war crimes—legal framework and international legal sources 665-6
existence of armed conflict 653-4, 671-2
“laws and customs of war” under Geneva Conventions and Additional Protocols I and II 666
Wartime Offences Act/International Crimes Act 665-6
issue K.1: individual criminal liability 666-8
K.1.1: Court’s conclusion on individual criminal liability 668-9
military status/position of authority, relevance 667
non-international conflict and 668
serious crimes against civilian population requirement 667-8
treaty/customary international law basis 667
issue K.2: requirements and frameworks for war crimes 669-79
armed conflict (including non-international)/nexus with 669
issue K.2.1: existence and nature of armed conflict 669-72
issue K.3: nexus 672-5
relationship of perpetrator with one of the parties to the conflict, relevance 677-8
issue K.3.1: Court’s assessment of the nexus 675-6
issue K.4: protected persons as defined in GC common Article 3/AP II 676-8
“persons taking no active part in the hostilities” 677
necessary and proportionate violence 677
issue K.4.1: Court’s conclusion regarding attacks aimed against civilians in particular
678
issue K.5: Court’s conclusion regarding fulfilment of requirements for establishment of
war crimes 678-9
issue L: considerations regarding the evidence for the defendant’s involvement in the war
679-80
L.1: acquittal of co-committing and inciting to commit war crimes 680
L.2: complicity, dual intent 680-5
L.2.1: intent regarding the provision of means for the promotion of the armed
680-1
conflict 680-1
L.2.2: (conditional) intent concerning war crimes 681
L.2.3: framework of conditional intent 681-2
L.2.4: established facts and circumstances 682-5
L.2.5: Court’s conclusions regarding conditional intent with respect to war crimes
685
issue M: Court’s conclusions regarding counts 1685
issue N: (conditional) requests for hearing witnesses 685-7
N.1: proven charges 686-7
punishability of proven charges 693-7
issue O: punishability of proven facts—material unlawfulness and/or appeal to
(unreasonable or improper) self-defence and/or force majeure 693-7
O.1: right to self-defence
Criminal Code 41(1) (offence in defence of physical or sexual integrity or property
against immediate, unlawful attack) 695
force majeure/state of emergency 695-6
ICC 8(3) (responsibility of government to maintain law and order/defend unity
and territorial integrity) 694-5
UNC 51 (war crimes/crimes against humanity, exclusion) 693-4
issue P: punishment to be imposed—modification of legislation with regard to war
697-8
P.1: modification of legislation with regard to violations of the Sanctions Act 1977
(WIM) 697-8
WOS 8(1) and (3) (old) 697
P.2: conclusions with regard to the maximum penalty in Kouwenhoven 698
issue Q: grounds for sentence 698-701
issue R: fitness for detention 701-2
issue S: provisional detention 702-3
applicable statutory provisions 702-3
procedural background
2006 (District Court judgment) 574
2008 (Court of Appeal’s judgment setting aside the District Court’s judgment)
574
2010 (Supreme Court’s judgment setting aside the Appeal Court’s 2008 judgment
and order for retrial in the Appeal Court) 574-5
indictment
count 1A in the alternative 578-82
count 1A in the further alternative 582-6
count 1A primarily 576-8
count 1B 586-9
count 2A in the alternative 590-3
count 2A in the further alternative 593-6
count 2A primarily 589-90
Kouwenhoven (Netherlands Appeal Court proceedings (2017)) (cont.)

- count 2B 596-8
- count 3A in the alternative 600-4
- count 3A in the further alternative 604-7
- count 3A primarily 598-600
- count 3B 607-10
- count 4 610-11
- count 5 611-12

Parties’ positions (AG’s requests)
- acquittal on counts 1A primarily and in the alternative, 1B, 2A primarily and in the alternative, 3B, 3A primarily and in the alternative and 3B 575-6
- imprisonment on counts 1A, 2A and 3A in the further alternative, 4 and 5 575-6
- setting aside of contested judgment 575-6

Parties’ positions (defendant’s pleas)
- acquittal on all counts 575-6
- avoidance of imprisonment 575-6
- declaration of inadmissibility of Public Prosecution Service 575-6

Scope of the appeal 575

Legal dispute
- political dispute and legal dispute with political aspects distinguished 304

Legitimate expectation
- requirements
  - balance between importance of prosecution and principles of equality and legitimate expectations 617
  - clear and unambiguous promise 617-18
  - Kouwenhoven 617-18

Liberia
- Amnesty Scheme (7 August 2003): see also Kouwenhoven (Netherlands Appeal Court proceedings (2017))
  - absence of reference in the TRC-Act to the TRC report 614-15
  - immunity from civil and criminal proceedings against persons within the jurisdiction of Liberia for acts during the civil war 612
  - timing 613-15
  - validity after signing of CPA 615

Amnesty (TRC recommendations (2009))
- eligible offences 614
- exclusion in case of violation of IHL/crimes against humanity in conformity with international laws and standards (TRC-Act VII) 614-15

- general amnesty, recommendation for consideration of (CPA XXXIV) 613
- restoration of suspended laws on election of elected government/transfer of NTGL obligations 613
- suspension of the Constitution and laws inconsistent with CPA (CPA XXXV) 613

Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) (CPA XIII) 613
  - amnesty recommendations: see amnesty (TRC recommendations (2009)) above
  - establishment 614-15

Liberty and security of person, right to (CRC 37(b))
- “child’s best interests” and 434
- costs 435
- “as a measure of last resort” 433-4
- “for the shortest appropriate period of time” 433-4
liberty and security of person, right to, exceptions (ECHR 5(1))
lawful arrest or detention to prevent unauthorized entry or with a view to deportation/extradition of alien (ECHR 5(1)(f))
jurisprudence
AB 415-18
Huseini 429
Popov 417
“with a view to deportation or extradition” as test of lawfulness 417
detention of minor distinguished/measure of last resort requirement 417-18
lawfulness of decision to expel, relevance 417
“reasonably necessary” requirement, relevance 417

looting/pillage, prohibition (HR 47/GC IV:33)
property of opposing party to the conflict (“adverse party”), limitation to 558-9

Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages; see Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages (AB Report); Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages (Panel Report)

Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages (AB Report)
issues 465-6
AB’s findings and conclusions 486-7
issue 1: jurisdiction (AB’s analysis) 466-75
AB’s conclusion 475
adjudication of non-WTO disputes, exclusion 474-5
clarification of Mexico’s position 468-9
competence (panels/AB)
authority to decline jurisdiction 470-3
compétence de la compétence 469-70
determination of violation of non-WTO agreement, exclusion 485
discretion to exercise judicial economy 469-70
refusal to exercise as diminishment of rights and obligations of Member States (DSU 3.2/DSU 19.2) 472-3
jurisprudence
Argentina – Poultry Anti-Dumping Duties 473-4
Australia – Salmon 466-7, 469-70
Canada – Aircraft 471-2
EC – Bananas III 472
EC – Export Subsidies on Sugar 471-2
EC – Hormones 469-72
India – Patents (US) 470
Mexico – Corn Syrup 469-70, 471
US – 1916 Act 469-70
US – Corrosion-Resistant Steel Sunset Review 472
US – FSC (Article 21.5 – EC) 469-70
US – Wool Shirts and Blouses 469-70
link to broader NAFTA Chapter 20 dispute as basis for Mexico’s request 473-4
standard of review (panels) (DSU 11)
to address relevant provisions in covered agreement(s) cited by parties (DSU 7.2) 471
DSU, obligatory recourse to (DSU 23) 472
impairment of benefits by measures taken by another Member, prompt settlement (DSU 3.3) 472
“such other findings as will assist the DSB” (DSU 7.1/DSU 11) 471-2
terms of reference of panels (DSU 7)
Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages (AB Report) (cont.)
to address relevant provisions in covered agreement(s) cited by parties (DSU 7.2) 471
to examine entirety of the claim 470-1
issue 1: jurisdiction (background)
Panel’s preliminary ruling 466-7
parties’ positions
Mexico 467-8
US 468
issue 2: merits: measures necessary to secure compliance with GATT-consistent measure
(GATT XX(d)) (AB’s analysis) 475-86
AB’s conclusion 486
burden of proof 479
“laws or regulations” forming part of domestic law 479-81
GATT XX(d) illustrative list as evidence of domestic nature 480-1
“intergovernmental commodity agreements” (GATT XX(h)) distinguished 481
international obligations of another WTO Member under an international
agreement, exclusion 479-80, 483-6
rules derived from international treaties incorporated into domestic law 479-80,
485-6
use of terms elsewhere in GATT 1994/WTO Agreements, relevance 481
“necessity” test 485-6
“to secure compliance” 482-6
coercion/certainty of success, relevance 482-3, 485-6
“enforce compliance” as preferred reading/justification for 482
as limitation on GATT XX(d) 482
issue 2: merits: measures necessary to secure compliance with GATT-consistent measure
(GATT XX(d)) (background)
Mexico’s position 475-6
Panel’s analysis 476-7
Panel’s conclusion 477
parties’ positions
Mexico 478
US 478
Panel proceedings
challenge to the jurisdiction/preliminary ruling 464
measures challenged by US 463-4
Notice of Appeal (DSU 16.4) 465
Panel’s conclusion/recommendation to DSB 464-5
Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages (Panel Report)
Jurisdiction (Mexico’s request that Panel decline jurisdiction in favour of a NAFTA
Chapter 20 arbitral panel) (preliminary ruling) 444-8
advantages of preliminary ruling 445
link to broader NAFTA Chapter 20 dispute as basis for Mexico’s request 447-8
absence of evidence for authorization of Mexico’s tax measures under NAFTA 448
absence of impediments to bringing the case to the WTO 447
“complaints and counter-complaints in relation to distinct issues should not be
linked” (DSU 3.10) 448
confirmation of existence of NAFTA dispute 447
importance of limiting relevant factors to those related to particular dispute 448
subject matter and parties’ positions in the two disputes distinguished 447-8
Panel’s conclusion/rejection of request 444, 449
reasons
Panel’s function (“to assist the DSB”/“make an objective assessment”) (DSU 11) 445-7
Panel’s non-discretionary obligation to exercise its jurisdiction (DSU 11) 445-7
Panel’s obligation not to add to or diminish the rights and obligations of WTO members (DSU 3.2/DSU 19.2) 446-7
merits (measures necessary to secure compliance with GATT-consistent measure (GATT XX(d))) (Panel’s analysis)
burden of proof 450
compliance with both a particular exception and GATT XX chapeau requirement (US – Gasoline) 449-50
“designed to secure compliance” 450-60
“compliance” 452
“to secure compliance” 450-5
“enforce compliance” as preferred reading/justification for 452-4, 462-3
“enforcement” as key element in measures cited 452
reference to “laws or regulations” 452
travaux préparatoires 452-3
WTO jurisprudence 453
ILC(SR) 49 (object and limits of countermeasures) distinguished 454
“is designed” [to secure US compliance with NAFTA obligations] 455-7
uncertainty of outcome of international countermeasures as counter-indication 455-7
“laws or regulations” international agreements distinguished 457-60
international obligations of another WTO Member under an international agreement, exclusion 453-5
use of terms elsewhere in GATT 1994/WTO Agreements, relevance 459
necessity test 460-1
as otiose consideration in view of Panel’s findings on “designed to secure compliance” defence 461
Panel’s conclusion 461
text (GATT XX(d)) 449
merits (measures necessary to secure compliance with GATT-consistent measure (GATT XX(d))) (parties’ arguments)
Mexico 450-1, 458, 460-1
US 451-2, 457-8, 461
relevant measures
LIEPS as amended 2002, 2003 and 2004 443-4
summary of provisions 443
minors: see also AB (ECHR 3/ECHR 5: holding of child in immigration detention centre) deportation, possibility of 417
mutual/judicial assistance
inter-State nature of obligation/individual’s right to invoke 625

NAFTA Chapter 20 dispute settlement (State–State dispute relating to interpretation or application of Agreement)
arbitral panel, rejection of proposal for 444-8: see also Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages (Panel Report), jurisdiction (Mexico’s request that Panel decline jurisdiction in favour of a NAFTA Chapter 20 arbitral panel) (preliminary ruling)
nationality principle (jurisdiction over national for acts committed abroad)
sanctions legislation, applicability 619

natural resources
non-self-governing territory’s rights
UNC 73/UNCLOS III, Resolution III 373
sovereignty over
as customary international law principle 337-8
judicial review of EU legal acts allegedly in breach of 337-8
UNGA resolution 1803 (XVII) (Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources)
as customary international law 337-8

naval blockade
conditions 547-9
London Declaration (1909) 544-5
Paris Declaration (1856) 544-5
right of (international armed conflict)
customary international law 544-5
right of (NIAC) 545-7: see also Gaza Flotilla Incident
examples
Algerian War 546-7
American Civil War 546-7
Chinese Civil War 546-7
Lebanon Civil War (2006) 546-7

Netherlands
amnesty
equal treatment/non-discrimination, right to 617
legitimate expectation 617-18
Constitution 1948/1956/1972 by article
94 (compatibility of the law with treaties and decisions of international organizations) 618
Criminal Code by article
7 (double criminality) 619
41(1) (offence in defence of physical or sexual integrity or property against immediate, unlawful attack) 695
48 (aiding and abetting) 680-5
49(2) (complicity: life imprisonment (term not exceeding 20 years)) 698
57(2) (concurrent offences: maximum sentence) 698
Criminal Procedure Code, admissibility of prosecution
balance between importance of prosecution and principles of equality and legitimate expectations 617
decision against, need for reasons 617
Criminal Procedure Code by article
167 (Prosecutor’s responsibility for determining on prosecution) 617
359a(1)(c) (irremediable failure to meet procedural requirements/principles of due process as bar to prosecution) 621-3
359a(2) (applicability of Article 359a(1): relevant factors) 621-3, 633
due process, standard of duty
gross violation/intentional disregard of defendant’s interests violating right to fair trial 621-3
Economic Offences Act 1950 as amended, applicability of provisions in force at time of offences 697-8, 702-3
International Crimes Act 2003 (WIM) by article: see also Wartime Offences Act (WOS) (1952 as amended 1990 and 2003) by article below
5 (grave breach of Geneva Conventions, liability to imprisonment) 665-6
6 (breach of GC common Article 3, liability to imprisonment) 665-6
7 (violation of laws and customs of war other than as referred to in WIM 5 or 6, liability to imprisonment) 665-6

Index

INDEX 737

6 (breach of GC common Article 3, liability to imprisonment) 665-6
7 (violation of laws and customs of war other than as referred to in WIM 5 or 6, liability to imprisonment) 665-6

legitimate expectation
requirements
  clear and unambiguous promise 617-18
mutual/judicial assistance
inter-State nature of obligation/individual’s right to invoke 625
Sanctions Act 1977 650-1, 702-3
double criminality requirement 619
Economic Offences Act 1950, punishment in accordance with 697-8
universal jurisdiction, requirements
war crimes/crimes against humanity (WOS 3) 618
Wartime Offences Act (WOS) (1952 as amended 1990 and 2003) by article: see also International Crimes Act 2003 (WIM) by article above
  8 (violation of the laws and customs of war: imprisonment) (1990/“old” version) 665-6, 697
applicability in respect of offences committed prior to modification of legislation 697, 702-3
Geneva Conventions (in particular common Article 3) and Additional Protocols I and II as basis 618, 666

Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991): see also Achmea/Slovak Republic

Arbitration
applicable law (interpretation of BIT)
  international law including VCLT 113-14
authentic text (English) 186
individual investor’s rights under 219-21
termination consequent on Slovak Republic’s accession to EU treaties (VCLT 59(1))
  risk to TEU/TFEU allocation of powers/autonomy of the EU legal order 239-48
  “so far incompatible” (VCLT 59(1)(b)) and 197-8
  arbitration clause (BIT 8) and 198-205
termination consequent on Slovak Republic’s accession to EU treaties (VCLT 59(1)), BIT and EU treaty provisions compared (“same subject matter” requirement) 225-39
BIT rules not having an EU law equivalent 232-4
  MFN treatment (BIT 3(2)) 232
  sunset clause (BIT 13(3) vs TFEU 50(3)) 232
  umbrella clause (BIT 3(5)) 232
equal treatment and non-discrimination (BIT 3(1) and 3(3) vs TFEU 18 and TFEU 49) 145-8, 155
fair and equitable treatment (BIT 3(1)) 140-5, 154-5, 221, 235-7
  “full protection and security” (BIT 3(2)/EC internal market rules) 62-3, 97-8, 148-50, 190-1, 202, 235
more favourable treatment under existing or later provisions of national or international law (BIT 3(5)), relevance 223
movement of capital (TFEU 65(1)) 228-30
proprietary rights/protection from expropriation (BIT 5/freedom of movement, freedom of establishment, free movement of capital and ECHR 17(1)) 132-40, 156-8, 235-7
relevant BIT provisions 226
  partial overlap between BIT and TEU/TFEU provisions 234-9
  scope of BIT and TEU/TFEU distinguished 225, 227-31
taxation (TFEU 110-13) 230-1
Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991) by article

1(a) (“investment”)
  “in accordance with the law of the host State”, omission 112-16
1(a)(ii) (“shares, bonds and other kinds of interests … as well as rights derived therefrom”) 111
1(a)(iv) (assets: intellectual property rights)
  insurance portfolio/goodwill as 111-12
3 (fair and equitable treatment): see Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991), termination consequent on Slovak Republic’s accession to EU treaties (VCLT 59(1)), BIT and EU treaty provisions compared (“same subject matter” requirement)
4 (transfer of payments) (including text) 150-2, 156
5 (expropriation): see Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991), termination consequent on Slovak Republic’s accession to EU treaties (VCLT 59(1)), BIT and EU treaty provisions compared (“same subject matter” requirement)
8 (arbitration clause)
  compatibility with EU treaties/TFEU 18 (non-discrimination on nationality grounds) 192, 198-205
  compulsory nature of jurisdiction 210-12
  as essential feature 233-4
  fork in the road provision, absence 212
  Member States’ duty to “ensure that in the interpretation and application of the Treaties the law is observed” (TEU 19(1)) and 259-61
  separability 203
  status of arbitral tribunal as “a court or tribunal of one of the Member States” (TFEU 267) 205-14, 258
  text 64, 249-50
8(2) (consent to jurisdiction)
  compulsory nature of jurisdiction 212
8(5) (determination of own procedure/UNCITRAL Rules) 64, 210, 212-13, 259-61
8(6) (applicable law) 152-3
  applicability of rules of law/exclusion of ex aequo et bono jurisdiction 213
  EU law, relevance 152-3, 224-39, 257-8
  text 152
8(7) (final and binding effect of decisions) 211, 259-61
10(7) (applicable law: inter-State disputes) 221
neutral merchant ships (visit, search and detention)
San Remo Manual (1994) (SRM 98) 543-4
non-international armed conflict (NIAC): see also armed conflict
definition/requirements
  “exercise [of] such control over a part of its territory” (AP II:1(1)) 535-7
  intense, protracted violence 535-6, 669-71
jurisprudence
  Akayesu 669-71
  Čelebić 669-71
  Kouwenboven 669-71
  Kunarac 536-7, 669-71
  Milošević 669-71
  Rutaganda 536-7, 669-71
  Tadić 536-7, 669-71
organized command (AP II:1(1)) 535-6, 669-71
examples
Liberia (late 1900s-early 2000s) 653-4, 671-2
termination, requirements
“peaceful settlement” (Tadić) 536-7
non-international armed conflict (NIAC), applicability of IHL
convergence with principles and norms of IAC 538
customary international law (CIL) 668
detention in multinational/internationalized NIAC, including implied power of CIL 668
Gaza Flotilla Incident: see Gaza Flotilla Incident
GC common Article 3/AP II:5 665-6
non-recognition of State/government, effect on laws and acts
deprivation of advantages from international cooperation (Namibia principle) 380-2
trade agreements, eligibility 380-2
non-self-governing territories (UNC 73-4)
administering power
appointment/recognition as UNGA prerogative 363-4
definition (UNC 73) 361-5
“de facto administering power”, exclusion of concept 361-4
definition (UNC 73) 361
jurisprudence
East Timor 370-1
WSC 361-5
natural resources, entitlement 373-82
HR 55 (exploitation of public property), applicability 373-5
treaty-making power 365
administering power 370-3
Norway
Child Rights Convention (1989) (CRC): see also Huseini (alleged breaches of ECHR 3,
ECHR 5, ECHR 8 and CRC 3: holding of child in immigration detention centre)
“all actions concerning children” (CRC 3(1)) 432-3
“best interests of child . . . a primary consideration” (CRC 3(1)) 431-3
torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (CRC 37(a))
and (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7 equivalent) 433
liberty and security of person, right to (CRC 37(b)) 433-4
“child’s best interests” and 434
torture (CRC 37(a)) 433
preliminary ruling (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 267 [TEC 234])
admissibility/requirements
questions of EU law arising out of an arbitral award 241-4
relationship of interpretation of EU law sought to main action 198
“any court or tribunal of a Member State”, criteria/classification as 205-14
applicability of rules of law/exclusion of ex aequo et bono jurisdiction 213
compulsory jurisdiction 210-12
availability of alternative dispute settlement options, relevance 212
establishment by law 206-8
“contractual” and BITs/treaty-based arbitration distinguished 206-8, 259-60
independence and impartiality (including UNCITRAL 9 (1976)/UNCITRAL 11 (2010/2013)) 213
preliminary ruling (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 267 [TEC 234]) (cont.)
inter partes procedure (UNCITRAL 15(1)) 212-13
mechanism ensuring the full effectiveness of EU rules 258
permanency of tribunal (institutionalization of arbitration principle vs composition of particular tribunal) 209-10
examples of institutionalization 210
"any court or tribunal of a Member State", jurisprudence
Achmea 205-14, 258
Allianz 206
Ascendi Beiras Litoral e Alta 205-6, 208-12, 258
Belov 205
Conorci Sanitari del Mareme 211
Denuit and Cordenier 206-7, 208
Eco Swiss 206
Gazprom 206
Genentech 206
Handels- og Kontorfunktionærernes Forbund i Danmark 205-6, 211
Merck Canada 205-6, 208-11
Miles 259
Mostaza Claro 206
Nordde 205-8
Opinion 1/09 258, 260-1
Parfums Christian 214, 258
Rich 206
cost of proceedings 261, 402
EU legal order, as means of protecting 240-1
interpretation of EU treaties with third parties ("international agreements"); see EU treaties with third parties ("international agreements") (TFEU 216-19)
preliminary ruling/compatibility with the Treaties (TFEU 218(11) reference), jurisprudence (general)
Achmea 182-262
"validity and interpretation of acts of the institutions . . . of the Union" (TFEU 267(b)), jurisprudence
Ahlström 314
Andersson 313-14
Brita 313-14, 395
Demirel 313-14
Florescu 395
Grimaldi 314, 395
Haegen 313-14
Racke 395
Ronnefj 314
WSC 313-17, 394-6
prisoners of war, release and repatriation after cessation of hostilities customary international law 556-7
discretion of detaining State 557-8
property rights/peaceful enjoyment of possessions (CFR 17) 237-9
"protected persons", classification as (GC common Article 3/AP II)
jurisprudence
Kouwenhoven 676-8
Rutaganda 676-8
Semanza 676-8
Tadić 676-8
“persons taking no active part in the hostilities” 677
necessary and proportionate violence (ICRC Interpretive Guidance on the Notion of
Direct Participation in Hostilities under International Humanitarian Law) 677
provisional measures (including ICJ 41/PCIJ 41), features/possibilities: see also Jadhav
Case (Provisional Measures)
as autonomous regime 28-9
binding force/compliance obligation
Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case 13-14
Jadhav 18-19
LaGrand 18-19
suspension of execution of death sentence as 18
provisional measures, purpose/requirements
connection with dispute before Court 14, 16, 48-9
Certain Activities Carried Out by Nicaragua in the Border Area 48-9
Jadhav 48-9
Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite 48-9
plausibility of requested rights 13-16, 43-4
prima facie jurisdiction/admissibility on the merits
jurisdiction on provisional measures and merits distinguished 19
protection of a human right/right to life 27-8
urgency
jurisprudence
Avena 18, 45-6
Breard 44-5
CERD 46
Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD 16
Immunities and Criminal Proceedings 44
Jadhav 16-18, 44-8
LaGrand 45
Timor-Leste v. Australia 44
real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice requirement 44-8
restitution/restitutio in integrum (Chorzów Factory principle) 167-70

San Remo Manual on Armed Conflict at Sea (1994)
Note: unless otherwise indicated, material indexed under this heading also reflects
customary international law.
attacks, limitation to military objects/exclusions from attack (SRM 38-41) 543-4
enemy merchant vessels (SRM 40/SRM 59/SRM 60) 543-4
enemy passenger vessels carrying only civilian passengers (SRM 47(e)) 543-4
neutral merchant vessels (SRM 67) 543-4
attacks, limitation to military objects/liability to attack
enemy or neutral merchant vessels breaching blockade (SRM 67(a)/SRM 98) 543-4
capture of enemy vessels and goods (SRM 135-40) 543-4
London Declaration (1909) 543-4
as customary international law 543
merchant vessels
as military objects 543-4
non-international armed warfare (NIAC), applicability to (SRM 1) 545-7
warships
definition 543
State auxiliary vessels and merchant ships distinguished (SRM 13(g), (h), (i)) 543
Security Council resolutions (UNSCRs) by number and year
1674 (2006) (protection of civilians in armed conflict) 615-16
self-defence (UNC 51)
Kouwenhoven 693-4
war crimes/crimes against humanity as means, exclusion 693-4
self-determination, right of
doctrine and practice
\textit{erga omnes} obligation 332-7
as general principle of international law 332-7
Helsinki Final Act (1975) 330-1
as human right 329-31
ICCPR 1 330, 332-4
ICESCR 1(3) 330, 332-4
judicial review of legal acts allegedly in breach of 335-7
obligation not to recognize or aid illegal situation 341, 352-60
precise and unconditional nature of right 333-5
respect for free pursuit of economic development/free disposal of natural resources 341-52
UNC 1(2) 332-3
jurisprudence
Construction of a Wall 332-3
East Timor 332
Front Polisario 333
Opinion No 2 (Badinter Commission) 331
South West Africa 333-4
Unilateral Declaration of Independence in respect of Kosovo 332-3
WSC 332-7, 340-82
UNGA Resolution 1514 (XV) (Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples) 333-4
UNGA Resolution 1541 (XV) (Obligation to transmit information under Art. 73(c) (non-self-governing territories)) 333-4
Principle IX(b) (integration of an independent State) 334
UNGA Resolution 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States) 333-5
Slovakia, Republic of (1993–)
Constitution (1992) by article
40 (right to free health care) 80
establishment of mandatory and universal health insurance scheme 80
EU accession 79-80
health insurance sector
Constitutional Court decision of 26 January 2011 128-9
Eureko’s entry to market 82
political developments (2010-11) and 94-6
reforms (2004-6) (liberalization) 80-2, 250-1
characterization of 2004 reform 117-20
Eureko’s investment/political developments 120-4
reforms (2006-9) 82-92
reforms (2007)
characterization of 124-8
Eureko’s response 92-3
judicial challenges (Constitutional Court) 93-4, 251
treaty succession 110
standard of treatment of alien (with particular reference to foreign investment): see also
Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991), termination consequent on Slovak Republic’s accession to EU treaties (VCLT 59(1)), BIT and EU treaty provisions compared (“same subject matter” requirement)
BIT’s protection and TEU/TFEU protection distinguished 225-39
fair and equitable treatment requirement and 155

torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (CRC 37(a) (“no child shall be subjected to torture”))
as ECHR 3/ICCPR 7 equivalent 433
torture or inhuman treatment as war crime (ICC 8(2)(a)(iii))
“wilfully causing great suffering”/“serious injury to body or health” as standard 554
travails préparatoires as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32)
GATT XX(d) 452-3
treaties
conclusion (VCLT 6-18)
capacity of State to conclude (VCLT 6)
sovereignty and 353-4
jurisprudence
Wimbledon 353
WSC 353-5
individuals’ rights and obligations
LaGrand 220
termination and suspension (VCLT 54-64) (including denunciation/abrogation and withdrawal)
by subsequent treaty “relating to the same subject matter” (VCLT 59(1)); see also
BITs (bilateral investment treaties), EU treaties, compatibility with/termination of BIT consequent on conclusion of later EU Treaty (VCLT 59(1));
Netherlands–Czech and Slovak Republic BIT (1991), termination consequent on Slovak Republic’s accession to EU treaties (VCLT 59(1)), BIT and EU treaty provisions compared (“same subject matter” requirement)
“so far incompatible” (VCLT 59(1)(b)) 197-8
territorial scope (VCLT 29)
extension to colonial/dependent territory 275
treaty interpretation
points to be taken into account together with context (VCLT 31(3))
subsequent agreement between the parties regarding interpretation or application of treaty (VCLT 31(3)(a))
subsequent practice in application of treaty establishing agreement between the parties regarding its interpretation (VCLT 31(3)(b))
WSC 318
protocol to treaty as instrument made between one or more of the parties in connection with the conclusion of the treaty (VCLT 31(2)(b)) 318
special meaning of term by agreement of parties (VCLT 31(4))
WSC 322-3

UN Charter (1945) (UNC), primacy (UNC 103)
TEU 3(5) 332-7
TEU 21(1) 332-7
UN Charter (1945) (UNC), primacy (UNC 103) (cont.)
TEU 21(2)(b) 332-7
TEU 21(2)(c) 332-7
TEU 23 332-7
TFEU 205 332-7
WSC 332-7

UNCITRAL Rules (1976 with amendments)
9 (disclosure obligation) 213
15(1) (arbitrary tribunal’s right to determine procedure) *inter partes* nature of proceedings 212-13
32(2) (final and binding effect) 110
33(2) (arbitrary tribunal as amiable compositeur/ex aequo et bono jurisdiction) 113-14
38 (costs) 170-1
38(a)-(c) 172
40(1) (costs: allocation of: unsuccessful party/reasonable apportionment) 171-3

UNCITRAL Rules (2010)
11 (disclosure obligation) 213

UNCITRAL Rules (2013)
11 (disclosure obligation) 213

UNCLOS (1982), modalities
relationship with other international agreements (UNCLOS 311)
“shall prevail, as between States parties, over [the 1958] Conventions on the Law of the Sea” (UNCLOS 311(1)) 355-8

United Kingdom
preliminary ruling (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 267 [TEC 234]) 267-8: see also WSC
(UK High Court proceedings)
timeliness requirement 267-8

Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963) (VCCR)
bilateral agreement, precedence (VCCR 73) 13

Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963) (VCCR), Optional Protocol
concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes (VCCR (OP))
 compulsory submission of disputes to ICJ (VCCR (OP))
 “[d]isputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the [Vienna] Convention” 12-13
 ICJ 36(2) reservations, relevance 12
 jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (alleged breach of VCCR 36(1)) 12-13
 “matters provided for . . . in treaties and conventions in force” (ICJ 36(1))/relevance
 of ICJ 36(2) reservations 12
 terrorism/espionage, applicability to 13

war
existence/state of
“war or armed conflict between two or more contracting parties . . . even if not
recognized by one of them” (GC common Article 2) 535
termination: see armed conflict, termination

war crimes
definition/classification as
deportation/forcible transfer from occupied territory as (ICC 8(2)(b)(viii)) 555
jurisdiction: see war crimes/crimes against humanity, jurisdiction
requirements
armed conflict (in general) 669
nexus with armed conflict (including non-international) 669
as flexible concept 539-40
relationship of perpetrator with one of the parties to the conflict, relevance 677-8
protected status of victims 669
requirements (jurisprudence)
Blaškić 672-3
Celebić 672-3
Gaza Flotilla Incident 539-40
Kamuhunda 673-5
Kayishema and Ruzindana 672-3
Kouwenhoven 672-6
Kunarac 672-5
Mrkić 673-5
Nadetlić and Martinović 673-5
Ntagerura, Bagambiki and Imanishimwe 673-5
Rutaganda 673-5
Semanza 673-5
Stakić 673-5
Vasiljević 673-5
responsibility of government to maintain law and order/defend unity and territorial integrity (ICC 8(3)) and 694-5

war crimes/crimes against humanity
jurisdiction
jurisprudence
Kouwenhoven: see Kouwenhoven (Netherlands Appeal Court proceedings (2017))
Margui 615-16
Ould Dab 615-16
obligation to seek out and prosecute
amnesty, exclusion 615-16
universal jurisdiction 618
Geneva Conventions (1949) 618
legislation providing for 618
responsibility for: see individual responsibility (war crimes/crimes against humanity)
(with particular reference to NC 6/ICTY 7/ICC 25-8)

warships
definition/classification as 543

Western Sahara, history and status 341-52
Morocco’s status, possibilities
administering power 272, 361-5
occupying power/belligerent occupation 272, 365-73
as non-self-governing territory (UNC 73(e)) 271, 273-4
occupied territory, whether 338-9, 368-70
IHL, applicability 366-8
Spain’s status as Administering Power post-1976 272

Western Sahara, history and status in date order
1884 (colonization by Spain) 270-1
1960 (UNGA Resolution 1514 (XV)) 270-1
1963 (inclusion on list of non-self-governing territories (UNC 73(e))) 271
1966 (UNGA Resolution 2229 (XXI) (Ifni and the Spanish Sahara) 342
1973 (creation of Front Polisario) 271-2
Western Sahara, history and status in date order (cont.)
1974 (proposed referendum) 271
continuing failure to hold 271
1975 (Green March) 271, 342-5, 353-4
1975 (Madrid Accords) 344-5
1975 (UNGA Resolution 3458 A (XXX)) 345-6
“Saharans originating in the Territory” 379
1975 (UNGA Resolution 3458 B (XXX)) 345-6
“Saharan populations originating in the Territory” 379
1975 (UNSCR 377 (1975), UNSCR 379 (1975) and UNSCR 380 (1975)) 343-4
1975 (Western Sahara) 271, 342-3
1976 (“consultation” with the people of Western Sahara (Yema’a meeting of 26 February 1976)) 347-9
1976 (Mauritania–Morocco border agreement) 349
1976 (proclamation of SADR) 271-2
1976 (Spanish withdrawal/relinquishment of administering power status) 346-7
1977 (Morocco–Spain Fisheries Agreement) 344-5
1979 (Mauritania’s renunciation of claims to Western Sahara) 271-2, 349-50
1979 (UNGA Resolution 34/37 (right to self-determination)) 350
1979/80 (“Trading Fish or Human Rights in Western Sahara” (Dawidowicz)) 272, 285-6
1982 (admission of SADR to the OAU/Moroccan withdrawal from) 271-2
1985- (UN peace-making efforts) 272
1988 (ceasefire/establishment of MINURSO) 350
1991 (UN-brokered ceasefire) 271-2
2002 (Corell Report) as basis of Commission response to European Parliament 276-7
limitations of 283-7
Corell’s comments on/2002 letter 285-6, 364-5
Corell’s comments on (“The legality of exploring and exploiting natural resources in Western Sahara” (2010)) 379-80
Corell’s comments on (“Western Sahara—status and resources” (2010)) 368-9
Corell’s status 286
failure to address State responsibility considerations including ILC(SR) 284-6, 364-5
focus on commercial contracts 284
inconsistent terminology 337-8
as legal opinion vs judicial decision 283
omission of entitlement to benefits of exploitation of Western Sahara’s resources 283
omission of UNCLOS considerations 284
summary 274-5
2018 (WSC case) 289-403
WSC (background) disputes in the main proceedings 309-12, 391-4
WSC’s claims (UK High Court) 309-11
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parties’ arguments (UK Government) 310-11, 392
parties’ arguments (WSC) 309, 311-12
procedural history 384-5
procedure (CJEU)
oral hearing 312
parties/interveners’ comments on *Front Polisario* decision 312
referring Court’s withdrawal of Questions 1 and 2 312, 393
written observations 312
questions referred for preliminary ruling (text)
Question 1: interpretation of “Morocco” in the Association Agreement 311
Question 2: is the Association Agreement valid? 311
Question 3: is the FPA valid? 311
Question 4: is WSC entitled to challenge the validity of EU acts based on alleged breach of international law? 311-12
EC–Morocco Association Agreement (FPA) 388-9
2013 Protocol 389-90
territorial scope (AA 94) 388
EU acts implementing the FPA and 2013 Protocol 390-1
relevant law (international)
UNC 1 (purposes of UN) 273-4
UNC 1(2) (respect for equal rights and self-determination) 385
UNC 73 (non-self-governing territories) 273-4, 385
UNCLOS 2 (legal status of the territorial sea) 386
UNCLOS 55 (EEZ regime) 386
UNCLOS 56(1) (coastal State’s rights, jurisdiction and duties in the EEZ) 386
VCLT 3(b) (applicable law in respect of treaties not covered by VCLT) 387
VCLT 31 (general rule of interpretation) 387
AG’s conclusion
Question 3(a) (compatibility of FPA with TEU 3(5), TEU 21(1) (para. 1), TEU 21 (2)(b), TEU 21(2)(c), TEU 23 and TFEU 205) 383-4
Question 4 (reliance on the rules of international law)
*Monetary Gold* principle (examination of conduct of absent third party) 383
requirements 383
applicability of FPA/2013 Protocol “to the territory of Morocco and to the waters under Moroccan jurisdiction” (FPA 11)/“waters falling within the sovereignty or jurisdiction of . . . Morocco” (FPA 2(a)), interpretation/evidence of 317-23
catch per fishing zone 320-1
charts 319-20
context including any agreement between all the parties in connection with the conclusion of the treaty (VCLT 31(2))/2006 Protocol 318
financial contribution (2013 Protocol 3(1)(a)(ii)) 321
FPA/2013 Protocol as benefit to the people of Western Sahara 321
jurisprudence
*Ahlström* 321-2

Pescados Congelados 321-2

"Moroccan courts" (2013 Annex) 321

Spain–Morocco treaties prior to Spain’s accession to the EU 321-2

special meaning by agreement of the parties (VCLT 31(4)) 322-3

subsequent agreement between the parties (VCLT 31(3)(a))/2013 Protocol 318

Council’s request to limit in time the effects of the declaration of invalidity 382-3

jurisdiction (question 3) (AG’s analysis)

CJEU’s responsibility for determining validity/judicial review of EU acts (TFEU 267 (b)) 313-17

EU treaties with third parties as integral part of the EU legal order 314

preliminary ruling (TFEU 218(11)), purpose 314-15

validity of treaties (VCLT 46-53) as sole grounds for declaration of invalidity 314

jurisdiction (question 3) (Council’s arguments) 313

jurisdiction (question 4) (Monetary Gold principle (examination of conduct of absent third party)) 316-17

preliminary remarks 304

interrelationship between third and fourth questions/joint consideration 312-13

legal dispute with political aspects, admissibility 304

novelty of issue (Court’s jurisdiction to rule on validity of EU international agreements) 304

principles and provisions of international law capable of being relied upon for assessing the validity of contested acts (ATA principles) 323-9

cases concerning competence and cases concerning substance distinguished 327-8

erga omnes principle and 332-7, 339, 366-7

identity of requirements with those for agreement serving as benchmark 326-7

international humanitarian law (IHL) 338-9, 366-8

judicial review of unilateral internal secondary law acts vs treaty secondary law acts 324-5

manifest error of assessment, limitation of review to 328

nature and broad scope of customary international law principle appropriate to confer right on individuals to take legal proceedings 328, 335-9

precise and unconditional provisions 328-9, 333-5, 339

principle of international law binding on EU 332-3, 339

responsibility for determining EU compliance with international law (CJEU/court designated by treaty) 325-6

self-determination

EU’s obligation to respect principle 332-3

summary of principles 324

principles and provisions of international law capable of being relied upon for assessing the validity of contested acts (ATA principles) (parties’ arguments)

UK, interested parties and Council/Commission 324

WSC 323-4

validity of Regulation 764/2006, Decision 2013/785 and Regulation 1270/2013 and the compatibility of the FPA and 2013 Protocol with international law (TEU 3(5)) 340-82

EC–Morocco Association Agreement and FPA compared 340-1
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validity of Regulation 764/2006, Decision 2013/785 and Regulation 1270/2013 and the compatibility of the FPA and 2013 Protocol with international law (TEU 3(5)) (obligation not to recognize or aid illegal situation) 341, 352-60
AG’s conclusions 359-60, 382
application of IHL including 2000 Hague Regulations to Morocco 366-8
conclusion of agreement other than as act of Moroccan sovereignty over Western Sahara 360-73
as act of occupying power 365-73
Morocco as de facto administering power 361-5
parties’ arguments 360-1
conclusion of treaty as act of sovereignty 353-4
limitations on obligation not to recognize illegal situation (Namibia principle) 380-2
negotiation and conclusion of treaty applicable to Western Sahara and waters adjacent to as de jure recognition of Morocco’s annexation of and sovereignty over Western Sahara 353-5
priority of UNCLOS over 1958 Geneva Conventions on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS 311(1)) 355-8
“waters under Moroccan jurisdiction” (FPA 11), sufficiency to preclude de jure recognition of Moroccan sovereignty over Western Sahara/UNCLOS 55 and UNCLOS 56 355-8
validity of Regulation 764/2006, Decision 2013/785 and Regulation 1270/2013 and the compatibility of the FPA and 2013 Protocol with international law (TEU 3(5)) (respect for free pursuit of economic development/free disposal of natural resources) 341-52, 373-82
AG’s conclusions 350-2, 376-80, 382
exploitation for the benefit of the people of Western Sahara principle, compliance of FPA/2013 Protocol 376-80
counter-indications (FPA 7/2013 Protocol 3) 376-80
history and status of Morocco reviewed 341-52
HR 55 (exploitation of public property), applicability 373-80
justified “exploitation” 374-5
lex specialis, whether 375-6
looting/pillage (HR 47/GC IV:33) distinguished 375-6
occupying power as administrator and usufructuary 373-5
principle of permanent sovereignty over natural resources (UNC 73/UNCLOS III, Resolution III) 373
transfer of own civilian population into occupied territory (GC IV:49(6)/AP I:85(4) (a)/ICC 8(2)(b)(viii)) 379
costs 402
Court’s ruling 402-3
jurisdiction (“validity and interpretation of acts of the institutions . . . of the Union” (TFEU 267(b))) 394-6
“compatibility” test (compliance with competence rules and EU procedures for concluding treaties) 395
compliance with international law “in its entirety” 395
review of Council act approving conclusion of treaty in the light of the content of the treaty at issue 396
treaties as “act” 395
treaties as integral part of the EU legal order 395

Question 1: territorial scope of FPA and 2013 Protocol/applicability to Western Sahara

applicability of FPA/2013 Protocol “to the territory of Morocco and to the waters under Moroccan jurisdiction” (FPA 11)/“waters falling within the sovereignty or jurisdiction of . . . Morocco” (FPA 2(a)), interpretation/evidence of 397-400

interrelationship between FPA, 2013 Protocol and EC–Morocco Association Agreement, consistency of interpretation 397-8

Court’s conclusions 400

FPA provisions (FPA 2(5) and FPA 11) 397

interpretation of EU treaties in conformity with international law 398-400

special meaning by agreement of the parties (VCLT 31(4)) 397-8

subsequent agreement between the parties (VCLT 31(3)(a))/2013 Protocol 398

“Moroccan fishing zone” (2013 Protocol 2(a)) 400-2

WSC (UK High Court proceedings: request for TFEU 267 preliminary ruling)

claims (WSC) 266-7

Court’s conclusions 283-7

EU agreements 275-7


2013 Protocol 277

international law, alleged conflict of EU agreements with

claimant’s contentions 277-9

Court’s analysis

Brita distinguished 279

East Timor distinguished 282

manifest error of assessment, limitation of review to 282-3

Monetary Gold principle (examination of conduct of absent third party) 282

Odigitria distinguished 280-2

preliminary ruling reference (TFEU 267), relevant considerations

CJEU justiciability considerations 268-70

CJEU’s exclusive competence to determine legality of EU measures 267

early submission/suspension of proceedings, Court’s preference for 267-8

risks of delay 268

status of Western Sahara 270-5: see also Western Sahara, history and status


WTO dispute settlement (DSU): see Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages