

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

# INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 180

Cambridge University Press  
 978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
 Edited by Christopher Greenwood, Karen Lee  
 Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

*Volumes published under the title:*

### ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES

Vol. 1 (1919-22)	}	Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, KC, and H. Lauterpacht, LLD
Vol. 2 (1923-24)		
Vol. 3 (1925-26)	}	Edited by Arnold D. McNair, CBE, LLD, and H. Lauterpacht, LLD
Vol. 4 (1927-28)		
Vol. 5 (1929-30)	}	Edited by H. Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA
Vol. 6 (1931-32)		
Vol. 7 (1933-34)		
Vol. 8 (1935-37)		
Vol. 9 (1938-40)		
Vol. 10 (1941-42)		
Vol. 11 (1919-42)		
Vol. 12 (1943-45)		
Vol. 13 (1946)		
Vol. 14 (1947)		
Vol. 15 (1948)		
Vol. 16 (1949)		

*Volumes published under the title:*

### INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

Vol. 17 (1950)	}	Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA
Vol. 18 (1951)		
Vol. 19 (1952)		
Vol. 20 (1953)		
Vol. 21 (1954)		
Vol. 22 (1955)		
Vol. 23 (1956)		
Vol. 24 (1957)		Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA, and E. Lauterpacht
Vol. 25 (1958-I)	}	Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC
Vol. 26 (1958-II)		
Vols. 27-68 and Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1-35 and 36-45		Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC
Vols. 69-150 and Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties to Vols. 1-80, Vols. 81-100 and Vols. 1-125		Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC, and Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC
Vols. 151-68 and Consolidated Index and Consolidated Table of Treaties to Vols. 1-160		Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC, LLD, Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC, and K. L. Lee
Vols. 169-80		Edited by Sir Christopher Greenwood, GBE, CMG, QC, and K. L. Lee

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

*Lauterpacht Centre for International Law  
University of Cambridge*

# INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

**VOLUME  
180**

*Edited by*

SIR CHRISTOPHER GREENWOOD, GBE, CMG, QC

*Member of the Iran–United States Claims Tribunal*

*Bencher of Middle Temple*

*Formerly Judge of the International Court of Justice*

*and*

KAREN LEE

*Fellow of the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge*

*Vice-Mistress and Fellow of Girton College, Cambridge*



**CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood, Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108473569](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108473569)

DOI: 10.1017/9781108562454

© Cambridge University Press 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd. Padstow Cornwall

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

ISBN 978-1-108-47356-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

## CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 180	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxxvii
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	729
CONSOLIDATED TABLES OF CASES, VOLUMES 126-180	785

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## PREFACE

The present volume is devoted to cases concerning State immunity and diplomatic immunity, including those concerning the right of access to court for civil claims under Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 and embassy employment disputes. It contains judgments of the European Court of Human Rights (*Cudak*, *Sabeh El Leil*, *Wallishauser (No 1)* and *(No 2)*, *Oleynikov*, *Radunović*, *Naku* and *Naït-Liman*) as well as decisions from Australia (*Firebird*), England (*Abusabib*, *Harrington*, *Nolan*, *Ogelegbanwei*, *Reyes* and *Benkharbouche*), France (*Mohamed X*), Germany (*Pfarr*), Norway (*A v. Republic of B*) and the United States (*Gonzalez Paredes*, *El-Hadad*, *Sabbithi*, *Montuya* and *Fun*).

The Editors are most grateful to the many people whose work has made this volume possible. Dr Stephen Tully prepared the summary of *Firebird*. Mr Max Dowbenko summarized *Nolan* and Ms Natalie Jones wrote the summary of *Ogelegbanwei*. The German case was translated and summarized by Dr Monika Vlad and the French case by Ms Cl  a Le Thuc. The Norwegian case was translated by Dr Tore Henriksen. Ms Maria Netchaeva, the ILR Editorial Assistant, prepared the Tables of Cases and Digest. Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG, compiled the Table of Treaties and Index. Mrs Diane Ilott checked the copy and Mrs Jenny Macgregor read the proofs. Ms Karen Lee summarized *Cudak*, *Sabeh El Leil*, *Wallishauser (No 1)* and *(No 2)*, *Oleynikov*, *Radunović*, *Naku*, the Norwegian case, *Abusabib*, *Harrington*, *Benkharbouche* and the cases from the United States, and saw the volume through the press.

The Editors also wish to thank the European Court of Human Rights and the High Court of Australia for kindly permitting these *Reports* to use the electronic files posted on their official websites. The decisions from England published in this volume carry Crown copyright and contain public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v.3.0; the electronic files were sourced from the United Kingdom Supreme Court, Government and British and Irish Legal Information Institute websites.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

viii

PREFACE

Finally, our thanks go to all the others who have worked to complete this volume, particularly our publishers, Cambridge University Press, and typesetters, SPi, and their staff.

C. J. GREENWOOD

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE  
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,  
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

K. L. LEE

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE  
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,  
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE  
*July 2018*

## EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

### DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, for example the International Court of Justice, or ad hoc arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of Justice of the European Union). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

*Human rights cases.* The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Human Rights Committee. Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point

of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public international lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

*International arbitrations.* The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Albert Jan van den Berg, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration).) But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

#### DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

#### EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editors is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

### PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

For reproduction of material in this volume, electronic files have been used wherever possible and their source acknowledged in the Preface. Citations of the reports in which the case may be found are listed in the “Report” section in square brackets at the end of the case; the language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text indicate the pagination of the original report where included.

### NOTES

*Footnotes.* Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

*Other notes.* References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

### DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at p. xvii.

### CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and a Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 were published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996. A Consolidated Index, a Consolidated Tables of Cases and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-125 were published in 2004. A Consolidated Index and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-160 were published in 2017. Volume 180 contains Consolidated Tables of Cases for volumes 126-180.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

## ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

A <i>v.</i> Republic of B 433	Naït-Liman <i>v.</i> Switzerland (Application No 51357/07) 211
Abusabib and Another <i>v.</i> Taddese 441	Naku <i>v.</i> Lithuania and Sweden (Application No 26126/07) 160
Benkharbouche <i>v.</i> Embassy of the Republic of Sudan (Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Others intervening) 575	Nolan Case 477
Benkharbouche <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs 575	Ogeleghanwei and Others <i>v.</i> President of Nigeria and Others 524
Cudak <i>v.</i> Lithuania (Application No 15869/02) 1	Oleynikov <i>v.</i> Russia (Application No 36703/04) 86
El-Hadad <i>v.</i> United Arab Emirates and Embassy 689	Pfarr <i>v.</i> Anonymous (Case No 17 SA 1468/11) 422
Firebird Global Master Fund II Ltd <i>v.</i> Republic of Nauru and Another 343	Radunović and Others <i>v.</i> Montenegro (Application Nos 45197/13, 53000/13 and 73404/13) 138
Fun <i>v.</i> Pulgar and Albergrin 722	Reyes <i>v.</i> Al-Malki and Another 535
Gonzalez Paredes <i>v.</i> Vila and Nielsen 678	Sabbithi and Others <i>v.</i> Al Saleh and Another 703
Harrington <i>v.</i> United States of America 454	Sabeh El Leil <i>v.</i> France (Application No 34869/05) 30
Janah <i>v.</i> Libya (Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Others intervening) 575	Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Libya <i>v.</i> Janah 575
Mohamed X and Another <i>v.</i> Fettouma Z (Case No 11/01255) 416	United States of America <i>v.</i> Nolan 477
Montuya <i>v.</i> Chedid and Another 714	Wallishauser <i>v.</i> Austria (No 1) (Application No 156/04) 53
	Wallishauser <i>v.</i> Austria (No 2) (Application No 14497/06) 112

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS AND  
 TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES) AND  
 COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

### I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

<i>European Court of Human Rights</i>	
2010	Wallishauser <i>v.</i> Austria (No 2) (Application No 14497/06) 112
Cudak <i>v.</i> Lithuania (Application No 15869/02) 1	2016
2011	Naku <i>v.</i> Lithuania and Sweden (Application No 26126/07) 160
Sabeh El Leil <i>v.</i> France (Application No 34869/05) 30	Radunović and Others <i>v.</i> Montenegro (Application Nos 45197/13, 53000/13 and 73404/13) 138
2012	2018
Wallishauser <i>v.</i> Austria (No 1) (Application No 156/04) 53	Naït-Liman <i>v.</i> Switzerland (Application No 51357/07) 211
2013	
Oleynikov <i>v.</i> Russia (Application No 36703/04) 86	

### II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<i>Australia</i>	<i>Norway</i>
2015	2004
Firebird Global Master Fund II Ltd <i>v.</i> Republic of Nauru and Another 343	A <i>v.</i> Republic of B 433
<i>France</i>	<i>United Kingdom, England</i>
2012	2012
Mohamed X and Another <i>v.</i> Fettouma Z (Case No 11/01255) 416	Abusabib and Another <i>v.</i> Taddese 441
<i>Germany, Federal Republic of</i>	2015
2011	Benkharbouche <i>v.</i> Embassy of the Republic of Sudan (Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Others intervening); Janah <i>v.</i> Libya (Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Others intervening) 575, 586
Pfarr <i>v.</i> Anonymous (Case No 17 SA 1468/11) 422	

Harrington <i>v.</i> United States of America 454	<i>United States of America</i>
United States of America <i>v.</i> Nolan 477	2007
2016	El-Hadad <i>v.</i> United Arab Emirates and Embassy 689
Ogelegbanwei and Others <i>v.</i> President of Nigeria and Others 524	Gonzalez Paredes <i>v.</i> Vila and Nielsen 678
2017	2009
Benkharbouche <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs; Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Libya <i>v.</i> Janah 575, 632	Sabbithi and Others <i>v.</i> Al Saleh and Another 703
Reyes <i>v.</i> Al-Malki and Another 535	2011
	Montuya <i>v.</i> Chedid and Another 714
	2014
	Fun <i>v.</i> Pulgar and Albergrin 722

## DIGEST OF CASES

### List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics.  
 For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. xi.)

Air	International Organizations
<i>Aliens</i>	International Tribunals
Arbitration	<i>Jurisdiction</i>
Canals	Lakes and Landlocked Seas
Claims	Nationality
<i>Comity</i>	Recognition
Conciliation	<i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i>
Consular Relations	Reprisals and Countermeasures
Damages	Rivers
<i>Diplomatic Relations</i>	Sea
<i>Economics, Trade and Finance</i>	Sources of International Law
Environment	Space
Evidence before International Courts and Tribunals	<i>State Immunity</i>
Expropriation	State Responsibility
Extradition	State Succession
General Principles of International Law	States
Governments	Territory
<i>Human Rights</i>	Terrorism
International Court of Justice	<i>Treaties</i>
International Criminal Law	War and Armed Conflict

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 180

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Aliens</b>	
Refugees — Rights of settled refugees — Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, 1951 — Article 16 — Access of refugee to courts — Whether requiring courts of one State to take jurisdiction over claims relating to torture allegedly committed in another State — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)	
<i>Naït-Liman v. Switzerland (Application No 51357/07)</i>	211
<b>Comity</b>	
Diplomatic relations — Diplomatic immunity enhancing relations among nations — Balancing rights of individual against benefits of diplomatic immunity — Policy decision — Whether appropriate for courts to intervene — Whether Court having jurisdiction — United States District Court, District of Columbia	
<i>Gonzalez Paredes v. Vila and Nielsen</i>	678
Diplomatic relations — Diplomatic immunity enhancing relations among nations — Balancing rights of individual against benefits of diplomatic immunity — Policy decision — Whether appropriate for courts to intervene — Whether Court having jurisdiction — United States District Court, District of Columbia	
<i>Montuya v. Chedid and Another</i>	714
<b>Diplomatic Relations</b>	
Diplomatic agents — Immunity from jurisdiction — Action by domestic servant employed at residence of diplomatic agent — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961, Article 31(1) — Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, 1963, Article 43 — Whether employment within scope of official functions — Whether commercial activity — Whether diplomat and wife immune from suit — Whether domestic courts competent to hear dispute — France, Court of Appeal of Montpellier (Labour Chamber)	
<i>Mohamed X and Another v. Fettouma Z (Case No 11/01255)</i>	416

### Diplomatic Relations (*cont.*)

Diplomatic agents — Immunity from jurisdiction — Object and purpose — Action by domestic servant employed at residence of diplomatic agent — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961, Article 31(1) — Whether employment of domestic servant a commercial activity — Relationship between Articles 31(1)(c) and 42 — Domestic servant a victim of trafficking — Diplomatic agent leaving position before hearing — Residual immunity under Article 39 — Immunity limited to acts performed in the exercise of official functions — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*Reyes v. Al-Malki and Another*

535

Diplomatic agents — Immunity from jurisdiction — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 31(1)(c) — Action by domestic servant against former employers — Certification of diplomatic status of former employers — Exceptions to immunity — Whether employment of domestic servant a commercial activity — Weight to be given to Statement of Interest filed by United States — Whether pursuit of academic studies a professional activity under Vienna Convention — Whether fraud recognized as an exception in Vienna Convention — Whether Court lacking jurisdiction — Whether defendants enjoying diplomatic immunity — United States District Court, District of Columbia

*Gonzalez Paredes v. Vila and Nielsen*

678

Diplomatic agents — Immunity from jurisdiction — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 31(1)(c) — Action by domestic servant alleging that she had been trafficked and forced to work by former employers — Certification of diplomatic status of former employers — Whether diplomatic immunity continuing despite subsequent termination of diplomatic status — Whether commercial activity exception applicable to hiring of domestic servant — Whether subsequent attempts at service defective — Whether Court lacking jurisdiction — United States District Court, District of New Jersey

*Fun v. Pulgar and Albergrin*

722

Diplomatic agents — Immunity from jurisdiction — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 31(1)(c) — Action by domestic servant employed at residence of diplomatic agent — Certification of diplomatic status —

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxi

Whether employment of domestic servant a commercial activity — Whether outside diplomat's official function — Immunity limited to acts performed in exercise of official functions — Whether Court lacking jurisdiction — United States District Court, District of Columbia

*Montuya v. Chedid and Another*

714

Diplomatic agents — Immunity from jurisdiction — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 31(1)(c) — Action by domestic servants against former employers — Certification of diplomatic status of former employers — Exceptions to immunity — Whether employment of domestic servants a commercial activity — Weight to be given to Statement of Interest filed by United States — Whether plaintiffs' constitutional slavery claim giving way to diplomatic immunity — Whether defendants' conduct constituting human trafficking — Whether *jus cogens* exception to diplomatic immunity — Whether Vienna Convention being overridden by statute — Diplomatic agent leaving post — Residual immunity under Article 39 — Immunity limited to acts performed in exercise of official functions — Whether Court lacking jurisdiction — Whether defendants enjoying diplomatic immunity — United States District Court, District of Columbia

*Sabbithi and Others v. Al Saleh and Another*

703

Diplomatic immunity — Employment contract — Dispute between embassy and private individual — Whether dispute could come before courts of State where embassy located — Foreign Service Act — Principles of general international law on State immunity — Embassy staff members — Whether position held relevant — Whether activities of embassy governmental acts — Whether Norwegian courts having jurisdiction — Norway, Supreme Court (Appeal Committee)

*A v. Republic of B*

433

Diplomatic immunity — Immunity from jurisdiction — Action by domestic servant seeking compensation for alleged acts by diplomat — Whether diplomat protected by diplomatic immunity — Whether immunity absolute — Whether immunity from both civil and criminal jurisdictions — Purpose of immunity — Whether immunity applicable in case of grave human rights violations — Whether diplomat deciding upon own immunity — Whether application of German law incorporating Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations,

### Diplomatic Relations (*cont.*)

1961 violating constitutional rights under Basic Law for Federal Republic of Germany — Whether diplomat exempt from jurisdiction of German courts — Federal Republic of Germany, Regional Labour Court (Berlin — Brandenburg) (*LAG*)

*Pfarr v. Anonymous* (Case No 17 SA 1468/11) 422

Embassy — Organ of State — Civil proceedings against foreign State for repayment of debt arising under loan agreement — Application of doctrine of absolute immunity — Whether courts of forum State failing to apply international law — Whether right of access to courts violated — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Oleynikov v. Russia* (Application No 36703/04) 86

Immunity from jurisdiction — Embassy employment disputes — Domestic staff employed locally — Whether members of mission — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961, Article 1 — Whether Section 16(1)(a) of State Immunity Act 1978 applicable to claimants — Whether employment of domestic staff of diplomatic mission an act *jure gestionis* — Whether State entitled to State immunity in proceedings against employer embassies — United Kingdom, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court

*Benkharbouche v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs* 575

Locally employed staff — Dismissal — Inability of State to rely on State immunity for certain categories of employment contracts — Whether meaning that judgment against foreign State could be enforced in same way as against ordinary employer — Section 53(3)(a) of General Social Security Act — Underlying assumption that not possible to enforce obligation to pay social security contributions against employer enjoying extraterritorial status — Whether assumption still valid under international law — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Wallishauser v. Austria* (No 2) (Application No 14497/06) 112

Locally employed staff — Dismissal — Whether sending State entitled to State immunity in proceedings for compensation after dismissal from employment at embassy — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Wallishauser v. Austria* (No 1) (Application No 156/04) 53

Locally employed staff — Dismissal — Whether sending State entitled to State immunity in proceedings for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy — European Court of Human Rights (Fourth Section)

*Naku v. Lithuania and Sweden (Application No 26126/07)* 160

Locally employed staff — Dismissal — Whether sending State entitled to State immunity in proceedings for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Cudak v. Lithuania (Application No 15869/02)* 1

Locally employed staff — Dismissal — Whether sending State entitled to State immunity in proceedings for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Sabeh El Leil v. France (Application No 34869/05)* 30

Locally employed staff — Dismissal — Whether sending State entitled to State immunity in proceedings for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy — European Court of Human Rights (Second Section)

*Radunović and Others v. Montenegro (Application Nos 45197/13, 53000/13 and 73404/13)* 138

Privileges and immunities — Scope — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 39(2) — Former diplomat — Residual diplomatic immunity — Immunity continuing to subsist in respect of acts performed in exercise of functions as member of mission — Claims of domestic servant — Whether act of employing domestic servant in exercise of functions of mission — Whether acts of discrimination being carried out in exercise of functions of mission — Whether diplomatic immunity applicable — England, Employment Appeal Tribunal

*Abusabib and Another v. Taddese* 441

## Economics, Trade and Finance

Treaties — Trade with foreign States — Annex to Treaty on Trade and Navigation, 1960 — Trade representations — Constituent part of embassy of State — Commercial transactions — Whether absolute or restrictive immunity

### **Economics, Trade and Finance** (*cont.*)

applicable — Courts of forum State applying absolute immunity — Courts of forum State failing to apply international law — Whether failure to examine applicant's claim impairing essence of right of access to court — Whether violation of Article 6(1) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Oleynikov v. Russia* (*Application No 36703/04*)

86

### **Human Rights**

Due process — Access to court for civil claim — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Article 6 — Proceedings in Swiss courts in respect of torture allegedly committed in another State — Whether judgment that Swiss courts lack jurisdiction a breach of Article 6(1) — Whether international law requires universal jurisdiction in respect of claims of torture — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Nait-Liman v. Switzerland* (*Application No 51357/07*)

211

Grave human rights violations — Whether diplomatic immunity applicable — Whether infringement of constitutional guarantees — Property rights — Right of access to court — Whether necessary that plaintiff's claim enforceable in sending State — Compensation — Rule of law principle — German law incorporating immunity — Whether violating constitutional rights — Whether diplomat exempt from jurisdiction of German courts — Federal Republic of Germany, Regional Labour Court (Berlin — Brandenburg) (*LAG*)

*Pfarr v. Anonymous* (*Case No 17 SA 1468/11*)

422

Prohibition of discrimination — State immunity — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether Section 4(2)(b) of State Immunity Act 1978 discriminating on grounds of nationality — Whether infringing Article 14 taken together with Article 6 of European Convention — United Kingdom, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court

*Benkharbouche v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs*

575

Prohibition of slavery — Trafficking in human beings — European Convention on Human Rights, Article 4 — United

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxv

Nations Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, especially Women and Children, 2000 (“the Palermo Protocol”) — Whether a norm of *jus cogens* — Whether overriding diplomatic immunity — Whether provisions of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 to be read in light of the Palermo Protocol — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*Reyes v. Al-Malki and Another* 535

Prohibition of slavery in United States Constitution — Whether conduct constituting trafficking in human beings — Whether constitutional claim giving way to diplomatic immunity — Whether *jus cogens* exception to diplomatic immunity — Whether Trafficking Victims Protection Act 2000 overriding Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Whether defendants enjoying diplomatic immunity — United States District Court, District of Columbia

*Sabbithi and Others v. Al Saleh and Another* 703

Right of access to court — State immunity — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — State Immunity Act 1978 (“SIA”) — Claimants bringing proceedings against foreign States in relation to employment at embassy — Whether defendant States immune — Whether provisions of SIA barring claimants’ access to court — Whether recognition of immunity involving violation of right of access to courts — Whether infringement of Article 6 of European Convention and Article 47 of EU Charter — United Kingdom, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court

*Benkharbouche v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs* 575

State immunity — Immunity from execution — Whether distinct from immunity from jurisdiction — Proceedings relating to social security — Section 53(3)(a) of General Social Security Act — Underlying assumption that not possible to enforce obligation to pay social security contributions against employer enjoying extraterritorial status — Whether assumption still valid under international law — Inability of State to rely on State immunity for certain categories of employment contracts — Whether meaning that judgment against foreign State could be enforced in same way as against ordinary employer — Whether obligation to pay social security contributions imposing excessive burden on applicant — Whether interference with right to peaceful

### Human Rights (*cont.*)

enjoyment of possessions proportionate to legitimate aim — Whether violation of Article 1 of Protocol No 1 or Article 14 taken in conjunction with Article 1 of Protocol No 1 or Article 6 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Wallishauser v. Austria (No 2) (Application No 14497/06)* 112

State immunity — Jurisdictional immunity — Civil proceedings against foreign State for compensation after dismissal from employment at embassy — Courts of forum State accepting defendant State's assertion of immunity in second set of proceedings — Whether recognition of immunity involving a violation of right of access to court — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Article 6 — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Wallishauser v. Austria (No 1) (Application No 156/04)* 53

State immunity — Jurisdictional immunity — Civil proceedings against foreign State for repayment of debt arising under loan agreement — Organ of State — Embassy of foreign State failing to give consent to examination of applicant's claim by courts of forum State — Courts of forum State refusing to examine applicant's claim — Courts of forum State applying doctrine of absolute immunity — Whether violation of right of access to courts — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Article 6 — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Oleynikov v. Russia (Application No 36703/04)* 86

State immunity — Jurisdictional immunity — Civil proceedings against foreign State for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy — Courts of forum State holding defendant State immune — Whether recognition of immunity involving a violation of right of access to courts — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Article 6 — European Court of Human Rights (Fourth Section)

*Naku v. Lithuania and Sweden (Application No 26126/07)* 160

State immunity — Jurisdictional immunity — Civil proceedings against foreign State for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy — Courts of forum State holding defendant State

immune — Whether recognition of immunity involving a violation of right of access to courts — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Article 6 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Cudak v. Lithuania (Application No 15869/02)* 1

State immunity — Jurisdictional immunity — Civil proceedings against foreign State for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy — Courts of forum State holding defendant State immune — Whether recognition of immunity involving a violation of right of access to courts — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Article 6 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Sabeh El Leil v. France (Application No 34869/05)* 30

State immunity — Jurisdictional immunity — Civil proceedings against foreign State for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy — Courts of forum State holding defendant State immune — Whether recognition of immunity involving a violation of right of access to courts — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Article 6 — European Court of Human Rights (Second Section)

*Radunović and Others v. Montenegro (Application Nos 45197/13, 53000/13 and 73404/13)* 138

Torture — Nature of prohibition of torture — *Jus cogens* status of prohibition — United Nations Convention against Torture, 1984 — Article 14(1) — Requirement that States provide remedies for victims of torture — Whether requiring universal jurisdiction in civil claims — Whether Convention imposing different requirements for civil and criminal jurisdiction — General Assembly resolution 60/147 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Naït-Liman v. Switzerland (Application No 51357/07)* 211

## Jurisdiction

Immunity — Diplomatic immunity — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 39(2) — Former diplomat — Residual diplomatic immunity — Claims of domestic servant — Whether act of employing domestic servant in exercise of functions of mission — Whether acts of discrimination being carried out in exercise of functions of

**Jurisdiction** (*cont.*)

mission — Whether English courts having jurisdiction —  
 England, Employment Appeal Tribunal

*Abusabib and Another v. Taddese* 441

Territorial — Whether United Kingdom legislating  
 extraterritorially — United States military base in United  
 Kingdom — United States dismissing civilian employees from base  
 without consulting employee representatives — Obligation to  
 consult under Section 188(1) of Trade Union and Labour  
 Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 (“TULRCA”) — Whether  
 applicable to military base — United States acting in a *jure imperii*  
 capacity — Whether exempted from obligation to consult —  
 Whether United States breaching Section 188(1) of TULRCA as  
 amended by 1995 Regulations — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*United States of America v. Nolan* 477

Universal jurisdiction — Torture — United Nations Convention  
 against Torture, 1984 — Article 14(1) — Requirement that States  
 provide remedies for victims of torture — Whether requiring  
 universal jurisdiction in civil claims — Absence of alternative  
 forum — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Naït-Liman v. Switzerland (Application No 51357/07)* 211

**Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law**

International law on State immunity — Customary international  
 law — Australia’s obligations under international law — Foreign  
 States Immunities Act 1985 (Cth) — Interpretation — Whether  
 immunity extending to commercial transactions — Whether  
 Immunities Act inconsistent with Foreign Judgments Act 1991  
 (Cth) — Australia, High Court

*Firebird Global Master Fund II Ltd v. Republic of Nauru and Another* 343

State immunity — International rules — Regulation of contracts  
 of employment between embassy and locally employed staff —  
 Absolute immunity — Doctrine of restrictive immunity —  
 Distinction between *acta jure imperii* and *acta jure gestionis* —  
 Civil Procedure Act — Foreign Service Act — Whether activities  
 of diplomatic mission in exercise of governmental authority —  
 Whether Norwegian courts having jurisdiction — Norway,  
 Supreme Court (Appeal Committee)

*A v. Republic of B* 433

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxix

Treaties — Application — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — German law incorporating Article 31(1) of Vienna Convention — Relevance of public international law rules — Whether immunity applicable where grave human rights violations — Whether entitlement to immunity dependent on existence of alternative means of securing redress — Basic Law for Federal Republic of Germany — Constitutional guarantees — Whether law incorporating treaty unconstitutional — Whether diplomat exempt from jurisdiction of German courts — Federal Republic of Germany, Regional Labour Court (Berlin-Brandenburg) (*LAG*)

*Pfarr v. Anonymous (Case No 17 SA 1468/11)*

422

Treaties — European Communities Act 1972 — Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 (“TULRCA”) amended by 1995 Regulations — Implementation of EU Directive on Collective Redundancies — Construction of TULRCA — Obligation to consult — Whether applicable to military base — Whether applicable to *jure imperii* activity — Whether TULRCA consistent with principles of international law — Principle of international law that one State not legislating so as to affect *jure imperii* activity of another State — Applicability of principle of non-discrimination under international law and European Union law — 1995 Regulations extending beyond requirements of European Union law — Whether 1995 Regulations ultra vires Section 2(2) of European Communities Act 1972 — Whether United States breaching Section 188(1) of TULRCA as amended by 1995 Regulations — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*United States of America v. Nolan*

477

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Annex to Treaty on Trade and Navigation, 1960 — Customary international law — Code of Civil Procedure providing for absolute immunity — Constitution providing international law integral part of domestic legal system — Domestic courts applying doctrine of absolute immunity — Whether domestic courts failing to apply relevant provisions of treaty and principles of customary international law — Whether domestic courts could refuse to examine applicant’s claim — Whether forum State violating Article 6(1) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Oleynikov v. Russia (Application No 36703/04)*

86

### **Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law** (*cont.*)

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Articles 6 and 14 — Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, Article 47 — Incorporation into English law — State Immunity Act 1978 (“SIA”), Sections 4(2)(b) and 16(1)(a) — Whether compatible — Whether Article 6 of European Convention engaged by claim to State immunity — Jurisprudence of European Court of Human Rights — Customary international law — Scope of State immunity — Whether starting point absolute or restrictive immunity — International Law Commission’s Draft Article 11 — United Nations Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and their Property, 2004, Article 11 — Relevance — Whether Sections 4(2)(b) and 16(1)(a) of SIA having any basis in customary international law — Whether employer States entitled to immunity as regards claimants’ claims — Whether Sections 4(2)(b) and 16(1)(a) of SIA compatible with Article 6 of European Convention and Article 47 of EU Charter — United Kingdom, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court

*Benkharbouche v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs*

575

Treaties — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 39(2) — Diplomatic Privileges Act 1964 — Article 39(2) of Vienna Convention set out in Schedule 1 of Act — Section 2(1) of Act providing that articles in Schedule 1 of Act having force of law in United Kingdom — Whether Article 39(2) applicable — Whether former diplomat enjoying residual immunity with respect to claims of domestic servant — England, Employment Appeal Tribunal

*Abusabib and Another v. Taddese*

441

Treaties — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Diplomatic Relations Act — Whether Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act and related case law relevant in interpreting Vienna Convention — Balancing rights of individual against benefits of diplomatic immunity — Policy decision — Whether appropriate for courts to intervene — Whether Court having jurisdiction over complaints — United States District Court, District of Columbia

*Montuya v. Chedid and Another*

714

Treaties — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — United States Constitution — Whether constitutional claim giving way to diplomatic immunity — Whether *jus cogens* exception to diplomatic immunity — Whether Trafficking Victims Protection Act 2000 overriding Vienna Convention — Whether Court having jurisdiction — United States District Court, District of Columbia

*Sabbithi and Others v. Al Saleh and Another*

703

## State Immunity

Immunity from execution — Employment at embassy — Proceedings relating to social security — Obligation on applicant to pay employer's social security contributions — Section 53(3)(a) of General Social Security Act — Underlying assumption that not possible to enforce obligation to pay social security contributions against employer enjoying extraterritorial status — Whether assumption still valid under international law — Inability of State to rely on State immunity for certain categories of employment contracts — Whether meaning that judgment against foreign State could be enforced in same way as against ordinary employer — Whether Section 53(3)(a) imposing disproportionate burden on applicant — Whether contrary to human rights — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Wallishauser v. Austria (No 2) (Application No 14497/06)*

112

Immunity from execution — Whether property “in use” or “set aside” for non-commercial purpose — Whether immunity exception for “commercial property” applicable — Whether bank accounts immune from execution — Foreign States Immunities Act 1985 (Cth), Sections 30 and 32(1) — Australia, High Court

*Firebird Global Master Fund II Ltd v. Republic of Nauru and Another* 343

Jurisdictional immunity — Commercial transactions — Civil proceedings against foreign State for repayment of debt arising under loan agreement — Organ of State — Application of doctrine of absolute immunity — Whether contrary to human rights — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Oleynikov v. Russia (Application No 36703/04)*

86

Jurisdictional immunity — Embassy employment disputes — Domestic staff — Claims for infringement of employment

**State Immunity** (*cont.*)

rights — Whether claims barred by State immunity — State Immunity Act 1978 (“SIA”), Section 1 — Exceptions to immunity — Limitations to exceptions — Section 16(1)(a) of SIA — Section 4(2)(b) of SIA — Scope of immunity — Absolute immunity — Restrictive immunity — Whether starting point absolute or restrictive immunity — Distinction between *jure gestionis* and *jure imperii* — Customary international law — Whether rule of customary international law justifying Sections 4(2)(b) and 16(1)(a) of SIA — Whether United Kingdom having jurisdiction over respondent States — Whether Article 6 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 and Article 47 of Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union engaged — United Kingdom, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court

*Benkharbouche v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs*

575

Jurisdictional immunity — Employment — Foreign military base — United Kingdom national employed as civilian computer operator on United States military base in United Kingdom — State Immunity Act 1978 — Whether Section 16(2) applicable — Whether doctrine of restrictive immunity applicable under common law — Whether act *de jure imperii* or *de jure gestionis* — Whether contract kind that private individual could enter into — Whether performance of contract involving both parties in public functions of foreign State — Nature of breach of contract or other act of foreign State giving rise to proceedings — Whether investigation of claim involving investigation into public or sovereign acts of foreign State — Whether respondent entitled to immunity — England, Employment Tribunal

*Harrington v. United States of America*

454

Jurisdictional immunity — Employment — Proceedings for compensation after dismissal from employment at embassy — Second set of proceedings barred by State immunity — Whether contrary to human rights — European Court of Human Rights (First Section)

*Wallishauser v. Austria (No 1) (Application No 156/04)*

53

Jurisdictional immunity — Employment — Proceedings for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy barred by State

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxxiii

immunity — Whether contrary to human rights — European Court of Human Rights (Fourth Section)

*Naku v. Lithuania and Sweden (Application No 26126/07)* 160

Jurisdictional immunity — Employment — Proceedings for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy barred by State immunity — Whether contrary to human rights — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Cudak v. Lithuania (Application No 15869/02)* 1

Jurisdictional immunity — Employment — Proceedings for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy barred by State immunity — Whether contrary to human rights — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

*Sabeh El Leil v. France (Application No 34869/05)* 30

Jurisdictional immunity — Employment — Proceedings for unfair dismissal from employment at embassy barred by State immunity — Whether contrary to human rights — European Court of Human Rights (Second Section)

*Radunović and Others v. Montenegro (Application Nos 45197/13, 53000/13 and 73404/13)* 138

Jurisdictional immunity — Employment — State B employing driver at its embassy in Oslo — Claim for unfair dismissal — Civil Procedure Act — Whether State B enjoying State immunity in cases between embassy and private individual concerning working conditions — Absolute immunity — Doctrine of restrictive immunity — Distinction between *acta jure imperii* and *acta jure gestionis* — Whether activities of diplomatic mission in exercise of governmental authority — Rules of general international law on State immunity — Whether Norwegian courts having jurisdiction — Norway, Supreme Court (Appeal Committee)

*A v. Republic of B* 433

Jurisdictional immunity — Failure to plead State immunity when required — Whether State immunity requiring domestic legislation to be inapplicable where a claim of State immunity may be relevant — Distinction between concepts of immunity and jurisdiction — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*United States of America v. Nolan* 477

### State Immunity (*cont.*)

Jurisdictional immunity — General rule that foreign State immune unless exception to immunity applicable — Enforcement of foreign judgments — Proceedings for registration of judgment against foreign State given by foreign State — Human rights — Whether State immunity applicable for human rights breaches — State Immunity Act 1978, Sections 1 and 5 — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division

*Ogelebanwei and Others v. President of Nigeria and Others* 524

Jurisdictional immunity — Proceedings for registration of foreign judgment — Commercial transaction to guarantee bonds — Whether immunity exception for proceedings concerning “commercial transactions” applicable — Foreign States Immunities Act 1985 (Cth), Sections 9 and 11(1) — Australia, High Court

*Firebird Global Master Fund II Ltd v. Republic of Nauru and Another* 343

Jurisdictional immunity — Restrictive immunity — Proceedings with respect to employment contract — Whether United Arab Emirates immune from suit — Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — Section 1605(a)(2) — Commercial activity exception — Whether applicable — Whether plaintiff civil servant under Act — Whether plaintiff's work involving exercise of distinctly governmental powers — Nature of work — Irrelevance of purpose — Whether Act shielding United Arab Emirates from suit — United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit

*El-Hadad v. United Arab Emirates and Embassy* 689

### Treaties

Interpretation — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 31(1)(c) — Commercial activity exception — Scope — Whether Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act and related case law relevant in determining scope of exception — United States District Court, District of Columbia

*Montuya v. Chedid and Another* 714

Interpretation — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Article 39(2) — Former diplomat — Residual diplomatic immunity — Extent of immunity — Article 3 of

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxxv

Vienna Convention identifying functions — Whether act of employing domestic servant in exercise of functions of mission — Whether acts of discrimination being carried out in exercise of functions of mission — Whether diplomatic immunity applicable — England, Employment Appeal Tribunal

*Abusabib and Another v. Taddese* 441

Interpretation — Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Intent of signatories — Ordinary meaning of terms in light of object and purpose — Liberal construction — Recourse to history, negotiations and practical construction adopted by parties if meaning unclear — Whether exceptions in Vienna Convention applicable — Whether defendants enjoying diplomatic immunity — United States District Court, District of Columbia

*Gonzalez Paredes v. Vila and Nielsen* 678

Interpretation — Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969, Articles 31 to 33 — Application to Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961 — Text — Object and purpose — *Travaux préparatoires* — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

*Reyes v. Al-Malki and Another* 535

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

TABLE OF TREATIES

This table contains a list, in chronological order according to the date of signature, of the treaties referred to in the decisions printed in the present volume. It has not been possible to draw a helpful distinction between treaties judicially considered and treaties which are merely cited.

In the case of bilateral treaties, the names of the parties are given in alphabetical order. Names of countries follow contemporaneous usage except in the case of small spelling changes (e.g. Roumania/Romania) where modern usage is adopted throughout. Where this seems helpful, the name of a treaty as it is commonly known is included.

Wherever possible, each treaty includes a reference to where an online English-language version of the treaty can be found. For treaties between 1648 and 1919, this is almost always the Consolidated Treaty Series (CTS), under Oxford Historical Treaties on the Oxford Public International Law website. Post-1919, references are most frequently to the League of Nations Treaty Series/United Nations Treaty Series (LNTS/UNTS). Here it should be noted that historically LNTS/UNTS references have taken the form “39 UNTS 55”, 39 being the volume number, 55 the page number. With effect from UNTS volume 2301, UNTS no longer includes the volume and page number as part of the reference, using simply the registration number. UNTS references are now in the form UNTS 42146. For ILR purposes, because of its value as an identifier, the registration number is now being added parenthetically to pre-volume 2301 treaties in the form 213 UNTS 221 [UNTS 2889].

Reference is also made to other treaty databases such as the European Treaty Series (ETS), Organization of American States Treaty Series (OASTS) or to Official Journals, particularly where these are the only databases currently hosting a treaty. Where a treaty appears to be available only on the website of the parent international organization, usually without any citation identifier, users are referred to the relevant website. For bilateral treaties, often the only source it has been possible to find is a non-English-language version on one of the parties’ official websites, such as the parliamentary proceedings approving the treaty. Users requiring a comprehensive list of written sources should refer to the CTS, or to the Flare Index to Treaties, available at IALS Digital.

For abbreviations used in the Table of Treaties reference should be made to the list of abbreviations on pages xi-xvi of the Consolidated Table of Treaties, Volumes 1-160.

<b>1926</b>	
Apr. 10	Brussels Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to the Immunity of State-Owned Vessels (176 LNTS 199 [LNTS 4062]) ..... 634

**1950**

- Nov. 4 European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1950) as amended by Protocol 11, effective as of 1 November 1998 (ECHR) (213 UNTS 221 [UNTS 2889])
- Art. 1 ..... 188-90, 279-86
- Art. 3 ..... 266, 299
- Art. 6 ..... 136-7, 247-97
- Art. 6(1) ..... 5-29, 33-52, 56-85, 90-111, 141-59, 186-96, 201-10, 214, 282-342, 430-1, 529-34, 587-9, 592-604, 638-48, 650-3, 677
- Art. 11 ..... 196-9
- Art. 13 ..... 41-2, 209-10
- Art. 14 ..... 82, 136-7, 196-9, 626-7, 676-7
- Art. 26(4) ..... 33-4, 214
- Art. 26(5) ..... 33-4, 214
- Art. 27(2) ..... 6
- Art. 27(3) ..... 6
- Art. 29(1) ..... 52, 90-111, 115
- Art. 30 ..... 5-6, 33-4
- Art. 32 ..... 310-11
- Art. 34 ..... 5, 33, 41-2, 52, 90-111, 115, 127-9, 214
- Art. 35(1) ..... 15-16, 192, 199
- Art. 35(2)(b) ..... 214
- Art. 35(3) ..... 104, 110, 189-90
- Art. 35(3)(a) ..... 73, 81-2, 104, 129, 150-2, 193
- Art. 35(4) ..... 81-2, 104, 110, 189-90
- Art. 36(2) ..... 214-15
- Art. 41 ..... 14, 24, 27-9, 50-1, 52, 82-4, 110-11, 157-8, 199-201
- Art. 43 ..... 214
- Art. 44(2) ..... 201-2
- Art. 45(2) ..... 26, 202, 272
- Art. 46(1) ..... 28
- Art. 46(2)-(5) ..... 28
- Nov. 4 European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, First Protocol (20 March 1952) (213 UNTS 221 [UNTS 2889])
- Art. 1 ..... 81-2, 108-9, 127-37, 291-2
- Art. 1(2) ..... 130-1

## TABLE OF TREATIES

xxxix

**1951**

- June 19 Agreement regarding the Status of the Forces of Parties to the North Atlantic Treaty (London Agreement) (NATO) (SOFA) (199 UNTS 67 [UNTS 2678])  
     Art. IX(4) ..... 458, 464-8
- July 28 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (Geneva Convention; RC) (189 UNTS 150 [UNTS 2545])  
     Chapter II  
     Art. 16 ..... 218-19, 233, 261, 272, 288  
     Art. 16(1) ..... 329

**1960**

- June 22 Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK)-USSR, Treaty on Trade and Navigation (399 UNTS 3 [UNTS 5732]) ... 98  
     Annex  
     Art. 1 ..... 98-9  
     Art. 2 ..... 99, 108-9  
     Art. 3 ..... 99, 108-9  
     Art. 4 ..... 99, 108-9

**1961**

- Apr. 18 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (VCDR) (500 UNTS 95 [UNTS 7312])  
     Preamble ..... 572  
     Art. 1 ..... 14-15, 179-80, 444-5, 591-2, 634, 708-9  
     Art. 3 ..... 144, 179-80, 204-5, 447, 450-3, 548-9  
     Art. 3(1)(e) ..... 187-8  
     Art. 7 ..... 608-9  
     Art. 9 ..... 427-8  
     Art. 22 ..... 143, 541-3, 547  
     Arts. 29-40 ..... 541-3  
     Art. 30 ..... 419-20  
     Art. 31 ..... 444-6, 716-18  
     Art. 31(1) ..... 419-20, 426-7, 538-74, 707-8  
     Art. 31(1)(c) ..... 419-20, 450, 682-8, 709-11, 718-20  
     Art. 31(4) ..... 430-1  
     Art. 32 ..... 428-9, 447  
     Art. 32(3) ..... 707-9  
     Art. 37 ..... 444-6, 448, 707  
     Art. 37(1) ..... 419-20, 555, 565-7  
     Art. 37(2) ..... 548-9  
     Art. 37(3) ..... 610-11  
     Art. 37(4) ..... 419-20

	Art. 38 .....	179-80
	Art. 38(1) .....	448, 548-9
	Art. 38(2) .....	18-19
	Art. 39 .....	446-8, 548-9
	Art. 39(2) .....	426-7, 430-1, 447-53, 539, 565-7, 568-9
	Art. 42 .....	558-9, 707-9
	Art. 53 .....	420
<b>1963</b>		
Apr. 24	Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (VCCR) (596 UNTS 261 [UNTS 8638])	
	Art. 43 .....	417-21
<b>1968</b>		
Sept. 27	Brussels Convention on Jurisdiction and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (EEX Convention) (1262 UNTS 153 [UNTS 20747])	356, 363, 365
<b>1969</b>		
May 23	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) (1155 UNTS 331 [UNTS 18232])	651-2
	Art. 31(2) .....	562-3
	Art. 31(3)(a) .....	562-3
	Art. 31(3)(b) .....	562-3
	Art. 31(3)(c) .....	18-19, 44, 104, 153, 266-7, 650-3
	Art. 32 .....	271
	Art. 34 .....	603-4
	Art. 53 .....	560-1
<b>1972</b>		
May 16	European Convention on State Immunity (ECSI) (1495 UNTS 182 [UNTS 25699])	99-100, 123, 180-1, 589-90, 634
	Art. 4 .....	99-100, 180-1
	Art. 5 .....	11-12, 66-7, 78-9, 133-4, 180-1, 606-7
	Art. 5(2) .....	621-6
	Art. 5(2)(a) .....	621, 625-6
	Art. 11(2)(d) .....	14
	Art. 15 .....	606-7
	Art. 16 .....	66-7
	Art. 29 .....	123
	Art. 32 .....	11-12, 18-19, 181, 606-8

## TABLE OF TREATIES

xli

**1982**

- June 22 Termination of Employment Convention (ILO Convention No 158) (1412 UNTS 159 [UNTS 23645]) ..... 150

**1984**

- Dec. 10 UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (New York Convention) (UNCAT) (1465 UNTS 85 [UNTS 24841]) ..... 227-32, 297
- Part I
- Art. 1 ..... 218-19, 227
- Art. 5 ..... 227-8
- Art. 5(2) ..... 267-8
- Art. 6 ..... 228
- Art. 7 ..... 228
- Art. 14 ... 230-2, 233, 235-8, 241-2, 251-2, 257, 263-5, 267-8, 270-2, 289-92
- Art. 14(1) ..... 328-9

**1988**

- Sept. 16 Lugano Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (1659 UNTS 202 [UNTS 28551])
- Art. 5 ..... 435-7

**2000**

- Nov. 15 Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, Protocol to Prevent, Suppress, and Punish Trafficking in Persons especially Women and Children (Palermo Protocol) (2237 UNTS 319 [UNTS 39574]) ..... 559-65
- Preamble ..... 570
- Art. 3(a) ..... 559-60, 562-3, 571
- Art. 6(6) ..... 570
- Art. 15(3) ..... 570
- Art. 15(4) ..... 570
- Dec. 7 European Charter of Fundamental Rights (CFR) (OJ 2000 C364/01)
- Art. 27 ..... 630
- Art. 47 ..... 587-9, 627-31, 634, 677
- Art. 51 ..... 628
- Art. 52(5) ..... 628

**2004**

- May 22 Arab Charter on Human Rights (available at: [www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b38540.html](http://www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b38540.html))  
     Art. 10(1) ..... 570  
     Art. 10(2) ..... 570
- Dec. 2 UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States  
 and their Property (JISP) (44 ILM 803 (2005)) 67-71, 99-100,  
 107-8, 123-7, 148-50, 181-6, 377-8, 603-4, 609, 634, 651-2  
 Preamble ..... 648  
 Part I  
     Art. 2(1)(c) ..... 101-2, 554-5  
     Art. 3 ..... 554  
     Art. 3(1) ..... 611-12  
 Part II  
     Art. 5 ..... 184-6, 653  
     Art. 6 ..... 184-6, 189  
     Art. 7 ..... 290  
 Part III  
     Art. 10 ..... 101-2, 554-5  
     Art. 11 .. 13-14, 22-3, 26-7, 37-9, 47, 67-9, 78-9, 149-50,  
     155-6, 181-6, 194, 196-9, 203-4, 207-8, 555, 602-4,  
     609-10, 621-6  
     Art. 11(2) ..... 50, 79-80, 154, 209, 638-48  
     Art. 11(2)(a) .. 39-40, 48-9, 155, 187-90, 195-6, 202-5,  
     625-6  
     Art. 11(2)(b) ..... 155, 612, 672-6  
     Art. 11(2)(b)(i) ..... 194, 610-11  
     Art. 11(2)(b)(iv) ..... 610-11  
     Art. 11(2)(c) .. 18-19, 156, 187, 189, 195, 608-9, 622-4  
     Art. 11(2)(d) ..... 14, 24, 44, 48-9, 156, 194  
     Art. 11(2)(e) ..... 155, 194, 625-6  
     Art. 11(2)(f) ..... 156, 194  
     Art. 12 ..... 290-1  
 Part IV  
     Art. 18 ..... 124, 133-4  
     Art. 19 ..... 124-6, 133-4  
     Art. 20 ..... 125, 133-4  
     Art. 21 ..... 125-7  
     Art. 22 ..... 63-4, 68-71, 546-7  
     Art. 22(1)(c) ..... 68-9, 80-1

TABLE OF TREATIES

xliii

<b>2005</b>	
May 16	Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (CETS 197)
	Art. 15(3) ..... 570
	Art. 15(4) ..... 570
<b>2007</b>	
Dec. 13	Treaty of Lisbon on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) (OJ 2012 C326/47)
	Part VI Institutional Financial Provisions, Title I Institutional Provisions, Chapter 1 The Institutions, Section 5 The Court of Justice of the European Union
	Art. 267 ..... 136-7

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-47356-9 — International Law Reports  
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---