

Index

- Advertising
 Live campaigns, 58–76
 Logo, 126, 240–245
 Printed advertisements, 36–57, 91–125, 224–233
 Video campaigns, 161–222
 Web design, 233–240
 Anaphoric reference, 23, 255
 Audience design, 253–255
- Boroditsky, L., 9, 10, 61
 Brand values, 233–245
 Burgers, C., 33, 128, 140, 142, 160
- Cacioppo, J., 84, 96
 Cannes Lions International Festival of Creativity, 58–59, 64–72
 Chang, C-T, 8, 9, 39, 40, 83
 Citron, F., 10, 14, 162, 227
 Cognitive effort, 11, 30, 41, 105, 117, 224–233, 247–248
 Creativity, 11, 14, 20–33, 39, 52–56, 188–195, 252–253, 255
 Cross-cultural
 American, 144–145, 148–159
 British, 109–120, 201–213
 Chinese, 201–213
 Cross-cultural variation, 85–86, 109–120, 148–159, 201–213, 255
 Japanese, 144–145, 148–159
 Spanish, 109–120
- Disruptor, 247–248, 254
 Double entendre, 224–233, 255
- Emotion, 10, 16, 21, 24, 59, 72–76, 86, 161–163, 173–174, 254
 Fear and stress, 186–188, 193–194, 201, 204, 211, 213, 215, 220
 Negative, 181–185, 191–193, 201, 204, 211, 213, 215, 220
- Positive, 181–183, 191, 201, 204, 211, 213, 215, 219
 Surprise, 179–181, 189–190, 201, 202–204, 209, 213, 215, 219
 Eye tracking, 234
- Fast and slow thinking, 60, 250, 254
 Figurative complexity, 102–105, 243, 249–250, 254
 Figurative messaging, 20–33, 102–105, 163–172, 175, 188–195, 233
 Forceville, C., 13, 39, 42–45, 142, 143, 152
- Gibbs, R., 14, 32, 33, 61, 76, 127
- Humour, 16, 21, 30–33, 74, 80, 162, 169–170, 179–188, 215–218, 224, 251
 Script-subversion humour, 16–17, 31–32, 75, 169–170, 177–178, 179–188, 191
 Hyperbole, 15–18, 29–30, 51, 75, 170–171, 177–178, 179–195, 218, 251, 254
- Individual differences
 Age, 79–81
 Gender, 81–84, 109–117, 121–124, 213–221
 Need for cognition, 84–85, 109–117, 123–124
 Innuendo. *See Double entendre*
 Irony, 21, 32–33, 251
 Dramatic irony, 33, 59, 72, 161, 167, 179–188, 215–218, 253, 254
 Verbal irony, 32, 167, 181
- Kövecses, Z., 198
- Littlemore, J., 54, 61–62, 77, 80, 98, 105, 114, 138, 148, 151, 163, 177, 227, 237, 240, 243
- Matlock, T., 134, 227
 McQuarrie, E., 8, 38, 39, 42–44, 142, 227

Metaphor, 7–12, 19–20, 26, 27–30, 97, 102–105, 112–114, 134, 140, 145, 148–150, 199, 227, 235, 247–249	Second language users, 86–87, 198, 202, 221
Content-rich metaphor, 51–52	Sexual health campaign, 224–233
Correlational metaphor, 12–15, 16, 165, 177, 255	Shock tactics, 22–24, 248
Experiential metaphor, 58–76, 255	Spence, C., 126, 143
Pictorial metaphor, 39–47, 240–245	Standardised residuals, 151–152, 156–159
Resemblance metaphor, 12–15, 177, 179–188, 189	Steen, G., 7, 10
Metonymy, 17, 18–20, 22–24, 47–52, 65, 70, 74, 97, 102–105, 112–114, 140, 145, 148–150, 151, 179–188, 251, 253–255	Thibodeau, P., 9
Mixed linear model, 147, 175–195, 200, 201–221	Understatement, 15–18, 171, 177–178, 180, 181
Mobile apps, 69–72, 126–138, 139–160, 254	van Mulken, M., 46, 227
Narrative, 27–30, 33, 64–76, 163–165, 254, 255	Viewing time, 91–117
Pérez Sobrino, P., 22, 33, 37, 48, 81, 84, 95, 96, 98, 142, 143, 145, 240, 243	Visual complexity, 254
Personification, 21, 80, 252, 255	Content-richness, 48–52, 97, 105–109, 115–117, 145, 150–153, 159–160, 240, 254
Phillips, B., 8, 38, 39, 42–44, 142, 227	Schematicity, 48–52, 56, 97, 105–109, 115–117, 145, 148, 150–153, 159–160, 249, 254
	Visual design
	Colour, 52–56, 126–138, 154–159, 235, 237, 240–245, 254, 255
	Shape, 56, 126–138