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Abbreviations used in the index
AIHRC (Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission)
AP I (Geneva Conventions (1949), First Additional Protocol (international armed conflicts) (1977))
AP II (Geneva Conventions (1949), Second Additional Protocol (non-international armed conflicts) (1977))
Copenhagen Principles (Copenhagen Principles on Handling Detainees in International Military Operations (2007)) CIL (customary international law)
ECtHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))
GC common article (Geneva Conventions (1949), common article)
GC III (Geneva Convention relating to the Treatment of Prisoners of War (1949))
GC IV (Geneva Convention relating to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War (1949))
HRA (Human Rights Act 1998)
HRC (Human Rights Committee)
HSC (High Seas Convention (1958))
IAC (international armed conflict)
IHL (international humanitarian law)
IHL (international human rights law)
Internment in Armed Conflict (Internment in Armed Conflict: Basic Rules and Challenges (ICRC))
ISAF (International Security Assistance Force) (Afghanistan)
JDP (Joint Doctrine Publication)
JISP (UN Convention on the Jurisdictional Immunities of States and their Property (2004))
KFOR (Kosovo Force)
MNF (multinational force)
MoD (Ministry of Defence)
MoU (Memorandum of Understanding)
MTA (Military Technical Agreement)
NDS (National Directorate of Security (Afghanistan))
NIAC (non-international armed conflict)
Procedural Principles (Procedural Principles and Safeguards for Internment/Administrative Detention in Armed Conflict and Other Situations of Violence (ICRC))
SIA (State Immunity Act)
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SM (Serdar Mohammed)
SOI (Standard Operating Instruction)
SOP (Standard Operating Procedures)
UDHR (Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948))
UNC (UN Charter (1945))
UNCAT (UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1984))
UNCLOS (UN Law of the Sea Convention (1982))
UNMIK (UN Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo)
UNPIC (UN Privileges and Immunities Convention (1946))
UNSC (UN Security Council)
UNSCR (UN Security Council resolution)
WGAD (Working Group on Arbitrary Detention)

access to the courts/effective remedy in case of act of State/State immunity/ international organization immunity (including ECHR 6(1), ICCPR 14 and UDHR 8), jurisprudence
Al-Adsani 169-72, 590, 650, 728
Cudak 171-2, 728
Fogarty 171-2, 728
Golder 169, 728
Holland v. Lampen-Wolfe 169, 171, 590, 650
Jones (Saudi Arabia cases) 170-1, 650
McElhinney 171-2
Matthews 170
Rahmatullah [2014] 169-72, 213
Rahmatullah [2017] 387-9
Roche 728
Sabeel El Leil 171-2, 728
Serdar Mohammed 363-4

act of government/acte de gouvernement 680-1
act of State: see act of State (foreign State acts), definition/characteristics; act of State (foreign State acts), limitations/exceptions; act of State (foreign State acts), reasons for/justification; act of State (forum State acts) (UK) (Crown act of State); judicial review/justiciability

act of State (foreign State acts), definition/characteristics
academic writings
Dicey, Morris & Collins 31, 125-7, 129, 176, 210, 351-2, 603-4, 620-2, 628, 632, 658, 664-5, 673-4, 702-4
Mann, F. A. 173, 182, 194, 357, 601-2, 605-6, 609-10, 621-2, 709-10
act of government/acte de gouvernement distinguished 680-1
acts of revolutionaries/during civil war 175, 603, 609-12, 620-1, 687-91, 700-1
as choice of law rule under private international law 604-5, 664, 680-1
as common law rule 177-8, 652, 656, 658, 662
development of doctrine
UK 679-86, 689-97
USA 686-9
justiciability, interrelationship with: see types of foreign act of State, type 3: non-justiciability/judicial restraint/abstention below
act of State (foreign State acts), definition/characteristics (cont.)
procedural bar vs rule of substantive law 174-5, 213; see also act of State (forum State acts) (UK) (Crown act of State), as defence to a claim in tort, procedural bar vs rule of substantive law
recognition of foreign law 175-7
State immunity from jurisdiction and common principles 689, 697
distinction 167-8, 679-80
ratione personae (immunity) vs ratione materiae (act of State) 680
jurisprudence
Habib 604-5, 619-20
Hatch v. Baez 610, 630, 656-7, 688-9, 721-2
Holland v. Lampen-Wolfe 590, 650
Nissan 117-20, 123-4, 201-2
Pinochet (No 3) 174-5
Rahmatullah 174-5
Shergill v. Khaira 708-9
Underhill 173-5, 609-11, 617, 621-2, 630, 656-7, 688-9
types of foreign act of State
difficulty of distinguishing 660
German practice 622-4
review of possibilities 588-9, 603-9, 652-61
state of revolution/civil war, relevance 175, 603, 609-12, 620-1, 687-91, 700-1
type 1: recognition of foreign law relating to property within the foreign State’s jurisdiction (private international law) 175-7, 602-5, 609, 619-21, 627-9, 633, 636, 652, 665: see also recognition/enforcement of foreign law (confiscatory law including expropriation/nationalization measures)
applicability to non-property/personal injury cases 657, 664
public policy exception, applicability 636, 662
territorial principle: see act of State (foreign State acts), limitations/exceptions, territorial limitation/act exclusively within own territory
type 2: acceptance, subject to exceptions, of the validity of any sovereign act relating to property within the jurisdiction 588-9, 604-5, 620-35, 646, 652
applicability to non-property cases 605, 608-9, 621-2, 629-35, 654, 657-9
Carr v. Fracis Times/Dobree 609
doubts as to existence of rule/limitations 620-1, 627-9
extension to include jure imperii act committed anywhere 605, 608, 614-19, 621-2, 629-30
legality of acts of officials, relevance 628-9, 634-5, 657-9, 709-10
Netherlands practice 626-7
public policy exception, applicability 602-3, 627-9, 631-5, 663
as rule of private international law 604-5, 661-2
territorial principle 665, 699-700
“justiciable” 705-6
public policy exception, applicability 602-3, 637, 646-9, 663, 667, 691-4, 711-16, 719, 721-2, 724, 726-8
territorial principle 665-6, 699-700
act of State (foreign State acts), definition/characteristics, jurisprudence
I Congreso del Partido 605, 671-2, 702-5
A v. Home Secretary (No 2) 184
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbasi</th>
<th>694-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adan</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Jedda (No 2)</td>
<td>628, 658, 697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altimo Holdings</td>
<td>194-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belhaj</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>628-9, 658-9, 709-10, 726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blad v. Bamfield</td>
<td>617-18, 654, 681-2, 693-4, 701-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buck</td>
<td>628, 658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttes Gas</td>
<td>180, 186-7, 190-1, 196, 199-200, 374-5, 377-8, 391-2, 402, 604-8, 614-19, 633, 638, 645-6, 654-5, 658, 698-706, 708, 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cary v. Fractis Times and Co.</td>
<td>176, 179-81, 603, 609, 615-16, 658, 683-4, 686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CND</td>
<td>184, 402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook v. Sprigg</td>
<td>685-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke of Brunswick v. King of Hanover</td>
<td>610, 617-19, 636, 653-4, 658, 686-7, 689, 693-4, 700, 702-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Timor</td>
<td>599-600, 675-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French cases</td>
<td>624-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German cases</td>
<td>622-4, 720-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government of India v. Taylor</td>
<td>620-1, 703-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guil</td>
<td>640-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habib</td>
<td>604-5, 619-20, 633-4, 720-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton v. Fayed</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatch v. Baez</td>
<td>593-4, 610, 621-2, 630, 656-7, 686-9, 700-4, 711-12, 721-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re Helbert Wagg &amp; Co.'s Claim</td>
<td>603-4, 612-13, 661-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Grace</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnstone v. Pedlar</td>
<td>689-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdictional Immunities</td>
<td>192-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirkpatrick</td>
<td>182-4, 612-14, 619-20, 655, 703-4, 706-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait Airways (Nos 4 and 5)</td>
<td>116-17, 177, 185-6, 604, 633, 636-7, 655, 662-3, 699-700, 703-4, 712-16, 721-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luther v. Sager</td>
<td>175-6, 180-1, 603-5, 609-10, 612-13, 615-16, 621-2, 630, 635, 654, 656-8, 690, 699-700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosti</td>
<td>176, 628-9, 709-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabob of the Carnatic</td>
<td>607-8, 654-5, 682-3, 701-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands cases</td>
<td>626-7, 720-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nissan</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noor Khan</td>
<td>191-2, 200-1, 639-41, 648-9, 655-6, 695-7, 701-2, 708, 721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oetjen</td>
<td>175, 178, 604-5, 609-12, 617, 630, 655, 688-91, 693-4, 700, 711-12, 721-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oppenheimer v. Cattermole</td>
<td>177, 185, 604, 636, 662-3, 712-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princess Paley Olga</td>
<td>175-6, 180-1, 603-5, 609-10, 612-13, 615-16, 629-30, 635, 654, 656-8, 690-1, 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahmatullah (High Court)</td>
<td>631-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayner (T. Council)</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regazzoni</td>
<td>691-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricaud</td>
<td>178, 612-13, 707-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabbatino</td>
<td>612-17, 621-2, 630, 655, 689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underhill</td>
<td>173-5, 609-11, 617, 621-2, 630, 636, 656-7, 687-9, 693-4, 696-7, 700, 702-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
act of State (foreign State acts), definition/characteristics, jurisprudence (cont.)
Yukos (No 2) 180-2, 186, 192-3, 195-6, 337, 601-2, 604-6, 608, 627-9, 636, 653, 660, 665-6, 693-4, 699

act of State (foreign State acts), limitations/exceptions
acts of officials illegal under foreign law, exclusion 628-9, 634-5, 657-9, 709-10
international law/human rights violations including public policy considerations 200-1, 633-5, 667, 703-4: see also recognition/enforcement of foreign law (confiscatory law including expropriation/nationalization measures); recognition/enforcement of foreign law (public order/public policy/ordre public considerations)

Note: Material relating to the international law/human rights exception is often discussed in the context of non-recognition of a foreign law for public policy reasons. The index normally follows the approach taken in the relevant case.
act of State as defence to action in tort and 133
in tandem with jus cogens considerations 646-9, 711-28
torture 717-22
property cases, limitation to vs application to personal injury cases 605, 608-9, 621-2, 629-35, 654, 657-9, 663-5
public policy of forum State 601-2, 604, 627-9, 633, 635-7, 662-3: see also international law/human rights violations including public policy considerations above
domestic vs international law 662-3
Sabbatino and 712-13
territorial limitation/act exclusively within own territory 200-1, 588-90, 603-5, 608-9, 614-17, 622-4, 631-2, 637, 652-3, 657, 665-6, 691-4, 699-700, 703-4
aircraft [over the high seas] 632

Note: material relating to the taking of property is often discussed in the context of non-recognition of a foreign confiscatory law. The index normally follows the approach taken in the relevant case.
validity of act in own territory, presumption of/dependence on 178-9

act of State (foreign State acts), reasons for/justification
absence of judicially discoverable and manageable standards 181, 186-7, 344, 638-9, 642, 705-10, 728
comity 194-6
embarrassment to executive ("fourth rule") 196-8, 605-6, 636, 653, 655-6, 661, 663, 689, 696-7, 706-7
separation of powers doctrine 121-2, 697
sovereign equality of States (UNC 2(1)) (par in parem non habet jurisdictionem) 173-4, 192-4

act of State (forum State acts) (UK) (Crown act of State): see also Australia, act of State (forum State acts)

Note: "act of State" in relation to acts of the forum State is also known as Crown act of State.
applicability to act outside the jurisdiction involving alien authorized/ratified by the government (Johnstone v. Pedlar) 373-5, 403, 406-7
ratification/authorization of act ex post facto, relevance (Buron v. Denman) 125, 201-4, 208-10, 214, 337-8, 347-9, 378-9, 401-2, 407-8
compliance with UNSCR 1546 internment "where necessary for imperative reasons of security" and 204-8, 345-6
as defence to a claim in tort
balance between individual's right to liberty and security threat 111-12, 360-1
habeas corpus and 131-3
jurisprudence
Al-Jedda (No 2) 117-21, 124-5, 127, 128, 204-8, 343-4, 382-3
Belhaj (Supreme Court) 586
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Buron v. Denman 126-9, 207-13, 379-82
Elphinstone v. Bedreechund 380-1
Feather 209
Nissan 125, 201-4, 337-8, 349, 378-9, 401-2
Rahmatullah 201-15
Serdar Mohammed 123-31, 347-64
limitation to acts done abroad in pursuit of UK foreign policy 129, 207
“one voice” principle 127-31, 357-8, 363-4
procedural bar vs rule of substantive law 213, 354-7, 387-9, 402-3: see also act of State (foreign State acts), definition/characteristics, procedural bar vs rule of substantive law
ratification/authorization of act ex post facto, relevance (Buron v. Denman), Johnstone v. Pedlar 373-5
as rule of private international law 133-4
“under the colour of law” 129-31
under law of place where act occurred 123-31
definitions/scope/development of doctrine: see also applicability to above
act of State (foreign State acts) distinguished 377-8, 406
acts of high policy/exercise of sovereign power, limitation to 376-7, 403, 407-9
acts which were the necessary consequence of a decision of the Crown 407-8
as choice of law rule 129
“Crown act of State”, difficulty of reconciling definitions 116-17, 201-4, 337, 372-3, 410-11
Crown prerogative, relationship with 376-8, 393, 406, 411-12
examples/rarity 117, 201-2, 373, 410-11
as judicial restraint/abstention 181-200, 390-1, 395
PILA, effect 377
State immunity/Crown immunity distinguished 210-13
two-pronged rule (non-justiciability/defence to a claim in tort), whether 125-6, 201-2, 204-8, 210, 337-41, 347-64, 378-84, 389, 398
limitation to single non-justiciability rule, supporting arguments 383-4
international law/human rights violations as exception 133
jurisprudence
Elphinstone v. Bedreechund 380-1
Entick v. Carrington 373
Johnstone v. Pedlar 128, 211
Kamachee Boye Sahaba 129-31, 209, 380-1, 685-6
Nissan 117-20, 129, 201-4, 212-13, 337-8, 342, 586
Rahmatullah 203-8
Rahmatullah/Iraqi Civilian Claimants/Serdar Mohammed (Supreme Court) 372-413, 586-7
Serdar Mohammed 116-17, 120-31, 201-2, 204, 341-7
Walker v. Baird 207, 211
non-justiciability, justification/reasons, absence of judicially discoverable and manageable standards 122-4, 391-6
public policy exception/displacement of lex loci delicti commissi in case of conflict with public policy (PILA 14(3)(a)(i)) 355-60, 363-4, 384-6, 584-5
identification of public interest, need for 357-8, 365, 385-6
Afghanistan
Civil Code by article
774 (obligation to pay compensation for harmful act causing injury or bodily harm) 42-3
Afghanistan (cont.)
776 (obligation to pay compensation for damage caused intentionally or by mistake) 42-3
777 (obligation to pay compensation for “any other damage inflicted on others”) 42-3
conflict post-2001
as NIAC 15, 79-98, 232, 272-3, 286-7
as multinational/internationalized NIAC 80, 287-8
Constitution 2004 by article, Chapter 1 (The State)
4 (sovereignty) 32
7(1) (undertaking to abide by UNC and other treaties) 40-1
applicability to UNSCRs 86-91
incorporation distinguished 86-91
Constitution 2004 by article, Chapter 2 (fundamental rights and duties)
24 (liberty) 32-3
obligation to pay compensation for unlawful detention 42-3
25 (presumption of innocence) 32-3
27 (nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege) 32-3
31 (compensation for unlawful damage by the administration [State]), applicability to
damage caused by foreign State 43
58 (Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission (AIHRC)) 32
Constitution 2004 by article, Chapter 5 (National Assembly), 94 (“law”) 33
Constitution 2004 by article, Chapter 11 (Miscellaneous Provisions), 157 (Independent
Commission for the Supervision of the Implementation of the Constitution) 32
Criminal Code 33-4
Criminal Procedure Code 33-4
Criminal Procedure Code (Interim) 33-4
detention, laws relating to 33-4
human rights in, Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission 32
legal system, destruction (2001) 30
Afghanistan, Coalition operations in
Bonn Agreement (2001), whether part of Afghan law 39-40
IHL/international law, applicability 15, 79-98, 232, 272-3, 285-318
Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission (AIHRC)
detentions in Afghanistan and 32
role 32
Al-Waheed/Serdar Mohammed [2017] (Supreme Court) (applicability of ECHR 5 to
detention by British forces in Afghanistan and Iraq)
background
claims (Court’s summary)
Al-Waheed 421-2
Serdar Mohammed (SM) 422
grounds of appeal 422-3
UK’s status in Iraq and Afghanistan 527
detainees’ rights
proceedings to establish lawfulness of detention (ECHR 5(4)) 472-7, 517-24
compensation/damages for breach (ECHR 5(5)), SM’s entitlement to 477, 523-5,
527, 574
core procedural safeguards (SOI J3-9), sufficiency 474-7
habeas corpus in case of applicant outside the jurisdiction/practicality issues 472-4,
518-19
prompt hearing (ECHR 5(3)) 470-2, 515-17
prompt notification of reasons for arrest and any charge (ECHR 5(2)) 468
INDEX

detention “in accordance with procedure prescribed by law” (ECHR 5(1))
463-78
derogation in time of emergency (ECHR 15) 444, 500-1
detention for purpose of bringing before competent legal authority on reasonable
suspicion of offence (ECHR 5(1)(c)) 464-6, 515-17
ECHR 5(1) as exhaustive list 442, 449-59
ICCPR 9 distinguished 499
imperative reasons of security, admissibility 466-8, 529-30
intelligence exploitation, exclusion 464-6, 527, 569-71
lawfulness under domestic law, relevance 488-90
ECHR 5(3) (prompt hearing) and ECHR 5(4) (right to challenge lawfulness of
detention) distinguished 469-70
GC IV 43 and GC IV 78, relevance 453, 456-7, 474-5
as minimum standard 458-9, 474-5
ICCPR 9 distinguished 442
IHL/IHRL, parallel applicability/IHL as lex specialis 442-4, 452-9
applicability of Hassan principle (applicability of ECHR in case of international
armed conflict) to NIAC 453-5, 485-8, 498, 517-18, 552-4
“law”
any body of rules which is enforceable, specific and within a framework of law 469-
70, 489
domestic law as default 488-90, 529
international law as 457-9, 529
SOI J3-9 as 469-70
lawful action to quell riot or insurrection (ECHR 2(2)(c)) 444
lawful detention . . . with a view to deportation/extradition of alien (ECHR 5(1)(f)),
applicability 463-4
“logistical detention”, compatibility with ECHR 5(1)(c) 571-2
modification/displacement in case of conflict with IHL as lex specialis/UNSCR
440-59, 552-4
UNSCR 1546, effect 495-502
detention in multinational/internationalized NIAC, including implied power of
CIL 426-8
Copenhagen Principles (2007) 519-24, 543-4
decisions of national courts, role 493-5
difficulties in developing common standards 428, 498
State practice/opinio juris 426-8, 543-4, 556-9
detention policy (UK) (core procedural safeguards)
alleged ISAF acquiescence in 436-40, 507-10
compatibility with ISAF 96-hour rule (SOP 362) 436-40, 564-72
JDP 1-0/SOI J3-9 (amended 6 November 2009) 459-63
provisions to ensure humane treatment for persons detained and authority to
detain distinguished 540
distinction between IAC and NIAC 535-6
GC common article 3/AP II:5 425-6, 532-3
absence of prohibition and authority to detain distinguished 540-1
authorization to kill as (a fortiori argument) 541
“treated humanely” requirement, compliance with/“sufficient precision to avoid
overly broad or arbitrary interpretation or application” (HRC General
Comment No 35) 541-3
unwillingness to authorize detention by non-State actors as reason for omission/
travaux préparatoires 539-40
**INDEX**

*Al-Waheed/Serdar Mohammed [2017] (Supreme Court) (applicability of ECHR 5 to detention by British forces in Afghanistan and Iraq)* (cont.)

- GC III:21 (right of internment) 425-6, 533, 541
- GC IV 35-46 (detention of enemy aliens in territory of party to conflict) 534
- GC IV:78 (belligerent occupation: internment/assignment of place of residence) 534-5
- IHL, applicability to NIAC 424-8, 452-9, 532-6
  - arguments against 537-44
  - arguments in support 536-7
  - historical background 424-5, 532-6
  - NIAC, definition 425
- for imperative reasons of security (GC IV 42) 428, 510-15
- MoU (Afghanistan–UK (23 April 2006)) 503, 564-6
- NIAC, definition/examples 531-2
- responsibility for acts of armed forces placed at ISAF’s disposal 439-40, 502-6
  - Bonn Agreement 503-5
  - "take all necessary measures to fulfil its mandate", significance 62-5, 480-1, 502
- UNMIK distinguished 563-4
- treaty language 538-9

UNSCRs as legal basis for 429-36
  - "authorization" language, significance 434-5
  - as authorization vs obligation 429-30, 445-6, 478-80, 545-50
  - "imminent threat" test 435
  - presumption of compatibility with fundamental human rights/"clear and explicit language" requirement 441-2, 501-2, 527-9, 548-50, 558-9
  - "take all necessary measures to fulfil its mandate" 434-6, 480-1, 502
- UNSCR 1386 (2001) 430-2, 480-1, 502-15
- UNSCR 1546 (2004) (including extensions) ("all measures necessary for imperative reasons of security") 429-30, 495-502
- UNSCR 1890 (2009) 431, 434-6, 502, 505-6, 527-9, 561-3

interpretation of ECHR
  - "any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties" (VCLT 31(3)(c)), applicability 445, 449-50, 484, 486-7, 551-2, 558-9
  - general principles of international law including IHL and 500-1
  - subsequent practice in application of treaty (VCLT 31(3)(b)) 449-52, 484, 551-2, 556-9

judgments
  - Lord Hodge 526
  - Lord Hughes, Lord Neuberger concurring 525-6
  - Lord Mance 493-525
    - conclusions 524-5
  - Lord Reed (dissenting), Lord Kerr concurring 527-75
    - conclusions 527-9, 544-5, 572-5
  - Lord Sumption, Lady Hale concurring (judgment of the Court) 420-78
    - conclusions 477-8
  - Lord Toulson 526
  - Lord Wilson 478-93
    - conclusions 491-3

jurisprudence
  - Al-Dulimi 498-9, 550
  - Al-Jedda (ECtHR) 445-9, 479-80, 496-7, 545-50
  - Al-Jedda (UK courts) 429-30, 478-9
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Al-Skeini (ECtHR) 445-6, 481-2
Armed Activities (Congo v. Uganda) 446-7
Banković 445
Behrami 434-5, 445, 563-4
Construction of a Wall 446-7
Hassan (ECtHR) 449-59, 482-8, 498, 500-1, 550-2
Medvedyev 457-9, 469-70, 488-9, 529, 573-4
Mooren 489
Nada 445-6, 498-9, 550
Tadić 425

“within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1) 444-9, 530
Al-Skeini as departure from 445-9
authority and control as sufficient basis (Issa) vs territorial principle (Banković) 446-7, 463-4
dividing and tailoring of obligations vs “whole package” solution 445, 448-9, 491-2
responsibility for determining whether/sensitivities 446-7

arbitrary arrest or detention, freedom from: see also liberty and security of person
definition/requirements
WGAD Deliberation No 9 722-3
as jus cogens/peremptory norm 723
UDHR 9 722-4

Arbitrary Detention, Working Group on (WGAD), Deliberation No 9 (definition and
scope of arbitrary deprivation of liberty under customary international law), “arbitrary detention” 722-3

arrest or detention, right to take proceedings to establish lawfulness (ECHR 5(4)
and ECHR 6(1)) (judicial review/appeal)
jurisprudence
Al-Waheed/Serdar Mohammed 472-7, 517-24
Serdar Mohammed 115
procedural fairness (including fair trial/hearing/rule of law)
“impartial and objective authority” requirement (Copenhagen Principle 12) 115, 330, 334-5, 520-3
right to attend hearings 332, 476-7

Australia
act of State (forum State acts)
Habib 604-5, 619-20, 720-1
Moti 176, 628-9, 634-5, 709-10

Belhaj: see Belhaj (Supreme Court) [2017] (State immunity/foreign act of State);
Rahmatullah
Belhaj (Supreme Court) [2017] (State immunity/foreign act of State)
background
appeals
Belhaj 577-8, 583-4, 669-70
Rahmatullah (No 1) 578-9, 584-5, 668-9
points for adjudication
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as defence to action in tort 123-31
habeas corpus and 131-3
HRA 7(1)(a) (victim’s right to bring proceedings against public authority) and 133-6
limitation to acts done abroad in pursuit of UK foreign policy 129
“under the colour of law” 129-31
definition 116-17
international law/human rights violations as exception 133
jurisprudence
Al-Haq 122-3, 200-1
Al-Jedda (No 2) 117-21, 124-5, 128
Arantzazu Mendi 127-8
Bancoult 1 122
Buron v. Denman 126-9
Buttes Gas 121
GCHQ 121-2
Gentle 135
Johnstone v. Pedlar 128
Kamachee Boye Sahaba 129-31
Laker Airways 127-8
Maya Evans 27, 123, 137-8
Nissan 117-20, 123-5, 129
Rahmatullah (2013) 132
justiciability/non-justiciability 122-5
absence of judicially discoverable and manageable standards and 122-4
INDEX

reasons/justification
- foreign relations as prerogative power 121-2
- “one voice” principle 127-8
- separation of powers 121-2
- as rule of private international law 133-4

parties’ positions
- claim 10-11
- defence 11-13

Serdar Mohammed/PIL Claimants and Rahmatullah/Iraqi Civilian Claimants (Court of Appeal) [2015]: see also ISAF (general)
- compliance obligation (ECHR 1) in case of conflicting international obligations/
  modification/displacement of ECHR 5(1) in case of conflict with IHL as lex
  specialis/UNSCR 284-5
- Court’s conclusion 365
- Court’s summary of issues
  - conjoined appeals
    - bases of appeal (HRA public law claim/private law claim in tort) 235
    - PIL claimants 235-6
    - Rahmatullah/Amanatullah Ali/Iraqi civilian claimants 236-7
    - Rahmatullah/Iraqi civilian claimants’ act of State claim 237-8, 244-5
- SM’s appeal
  - bases of appeal (HRA public law claim/private law claim in tort) 230
  - central issue 229-30
  - Court’s conclusions 231-5
  - respondent’s position 230-1

issue 1: responsibility for acts of armed forces placed at disposal of ISAF 245-57
- Court’s conclusion 255, 257
- High Court’s findings in relation to control over SM’s detention 245-6, 249-50, 253-4
- jurisprudence
  - Al-Jedda 247-9
  - Al-Sirr/DD 250-1
  - Behrami 246-7, 252-3
- UN responsibility for acts of peacekeeping forces/“effective control” of UNSC 250-3
  - alleged ISAF acquiescence in UK’s detention practice 255
  - command structure as determining factor 252-3
  - ISAF authorization of SM’s detention, whether 253-4

issue 2: applicability of UNPIC 22(b) (“experts . . . performing missions for the UN . . .
[i]n respect of . . . acts done by them in the course of the performance of their
mission”) 255-7
- UN position 256-7

issue 3: territorial scope of ECHR/“within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1) 258-67
- Canadian position (Amnesty International Canada) distinguished 264-5
- Court’s conclusion 267
- difficulties of compliance 263-4
- extraterritorial applicability of HRA 6 (actions of public authority incompatible with
  Convention) 266-7
- High Court’s conclusion 258, 265-6
- jurisprudence
  - Al-Saadoun 263
  - Al-Skeini (ECtHR) 261-3, 266-7
Serdar Mohammed/PIL Claimants and Rahmatullah/Iraqi Civilian Claimants (Court of Appeal) [2015] (cont.)

Banković 258-61
Smith (Catherine) 263

issue 4: IHL/ECHR 5/IHRL relationship 267-73

Hasan (ECtHR) 270-2
High Court’s conclusions 268-9
ICJ cases 268

issue 5: Afghan law as lawful authority to detain 273-318
compliance with ECHR 5 case law, relevance 273-4
Court’s conclusion 275-6
Bonn Agreement (2001), status 275-6
High Court’s findings 274-5
respondent’s submission 275

issue 6: UNSCRs as lawful authority to detain (UNSCR 1890) 276-85
detention policy (ISAF) 279-80
detention policy (UK) 280-3
alleged ISAF acquiescence in 255, 283
compatibility with ISAF SOP 362 280-3
High Court’s decision 278
“imminent threat” test 278-9
“imperative reasons of security” test 284
issues for consideration 278-9
respondent’s position 277-8

issue 7: IHL as lawful authority to detain in multinational/internationalized NIAC 232, 295-318
considerations supporting High Court’s conclusions
absence of GC III/AP II provision for detention 290-1
academic writings 291
role of domestic law in NIAC 291
considerations supporting respondent’s submissions
convergence of legal regimes in international and non-international conflicts 292-3
IHL logic 294
inapplicability of the domestic law of the troop-contributing State 293
risk of fragmentation of legal regimes 293-4
Court’s analysis and conclusions (GC common article 3/AP II:5, implied power)
authorization to kill as (a fortiori argument) 300-2
“Catch-22” issue 283, 288-9, 302-3
conclusion 303-4, 317-18
Hasan 301-2
High Court’s rejection of a fortiori argument 302
“imminent threat” test 300-2
persons taking no active part in the hostilities, limitation of protection to (common article 3) 299-302
treaty language 297-9
Court’s analysis and conclusions (general)
CIL and treaty-based IHL, distinguishability 297
Lotus principle (“what is not prohibited is allowed”/protective principle) 295-6, 300-1
parties’ positions
Afghan conflict as multinational/internationalized NIAC 287-8
INDEX 765

IHL sources 289
NIAC status of Afghan conflict/applicability of IHL 286-7
power to detain (claimants) 289
power to detain (respondent) 288-9, 312, 314
issue 8: CIL as lawful authority to detain in multinational/internationalized NIAC 304-18
academic writings 310-13
authorization to kill as (a fortiori argument) 311-13
Copenhagen Principles 305-7, 334
Court’s conclusion 313-14, 317-18
formation/requirements 304-5
IHL as balance between military necessity and humanitarian principles 311-12
“imperative reasons of security” test 312
Procedural Principles 305-7
State practice, additional material 307-10
State practice/opinio juris 305
requirements 309-10
issue 9: grounds of detention 314-17
“imminent threat” test 302, 316
“imperative reasons of security” 314
issue 10: procedural safeguards 318-35
Court’s conclusions (compliance with core procedural safeguards) 328-35
applicability of Hassan principle (applicability of ECHR in case of international armed conflict) to NIAC 327-8
humane treatment 329
prompt notification of reasons for arrest and any charge in “language which he understands” (ECHR 5(2)) 328-9
Court’s conclusions (compliance with core procedural safeguards) (review by impartial and objective authority) 329-35
detainee’s opportunity to participate in review 332
failure to comply 334-5
“impartial and objective” requirement 330-1
State practice 332-3
defence policy procedures
ISAF policy (ISAF SOP 362) 318-19
UK detention policy (SOI J3-9) 318-19
High Court’s findings 318, 322-4
parties’ positions (claimants) 326-7
parties’ positions (respondent) 324-5
core safeguards 325
procedures used in SM’s case 321-2
issue 11: claims in tort (applicable law) 335-7
foreign law as place of offence/lex loci delicti commissi 336-7
issue 12: claims in tort (act of State (forum State acts) (general)) 337-65
“act of State”, definition 337-8
High Court’s decisions 338-41
grave breaches of human rights exception 340
HRA 7(1)(a) (victim’s right to bring proceedings against public authority) 340-1
judicial restraint 338-9
“one voice” principle 339-40
unreviewability of prerogative acts compared 339
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issue 13: claims in tort (act of State (forum State act)/justiciability) 341-7
absence of judicially discoverable and manageable standards and 344
compliance obligation (ECHR 1) in case of conflicting international obligations/
primacy of UNC 103 345-6
Rahmatullah/Iraqi civilian claimants 344-7
compliance with UNSCR 1546 internment “where necessary for imperative reasons
of security” and 345-6
Court’s conclusions, SM/PIL claimants 344
jurisprudence
Al-Jedda 343-6
Kamachee Boye Sahaba 341-2
Maya Ewai 344-5
Nissan 337-8, 342
Shergill v. Khaira 342-3, 363

issue 14: act of State (forum State act) as a defence to a claim in tort 347-64
academic writings 348-9, 350-2
Court’s conclusions
PIL claimants 361, 364-5
Rahmatullah/Iraqi civilian claimants 362, 364-5
SM’s claims 360-1, 364-5
Crown Proceedings Act 1947, s 2(1)(a), effect 352-4
grave breaches of international law/human rights exception (foreign act of State),
applicability 364
HRA 7(1)(a) (victim’s right to bring proceedings against public authority) and 354-5
jurisprudence
Buron v. Denman 347-9
Kamachee Boye Sahaba 348
Nissan 349
Shergill v. Khaira 350
“one voice” principle 357-8, 363-4
as procedural bar vs rule of substantive law 355-7
public policy exception/displacement of lex loci delicti commissi in case of conflict with
public policy (PILA 14(3)(a)(i)) 355-60
identification of public interest, need for 357-8, 365
ratification/authorization of act ex post facto, relevance (Buron v. Denman) 347-9
reasons/justification 355-7
right of access to the courts (ECHR 6(1)) 363-4
SM’s claim
facts in relation to claim
ISAF command structure/detention policy 241-2
MoU (Afghanistan–UK (23 April 2006)) 242
MTA (ISAF–Interim Administration of Afghanistan) (2002) 240-1
Operation Enduring Freedom (2001) (OEF) 239
status/jurisdiction of Afghanistan 240
UNSCR 1386/annual extensions 240
factual assumptions as to SM’s detention 242-4
legal assumptions 244

sources/evidence of [customary] international law (ICJ 38), ICRC positions
287
sovereign equality of States (UNC 2(1)) (*par in parem non habet jurisdictionem*)
act of State and 173-4
State immunity, as basis for 590-1

**State Immunity Act 1978 (UK)**
common law and 671
customary international law and, interpretation in conformity with 163-4
*ratio personae* nature of immunity 592

**State Immunity Act 1978 (UK) by section (Part I (proceedings in the UK by or against other States))**
1 (general immunity from jurisdiction) 591-2
5 (territorial tort exception) (proceedings in respect of personal injuries and damage to property caused by an act or omission in the UK) 591-2
6 (ownership, possession and use of property) 591-2
6(4) (ownership, possession and use of property: proceedings against person other than State) (indirect impleading) 159-60

**State immunity from jurisdiction**
access to the courts/fair and public hearing (including ECHR 6(1), ICCPR 14 and UDHR 8) 169-72
restrictions "proportionate to the legitimate aim" 169-72, 363-4
applicable law, customary international law 671
immunity as procedural bar and liability distinguished, access to courts and (ECHR 6(1)/ECHR 13) 169-70
indirect impleading argument: see State immunity from jurisdiction, indirect impleading/risk of affecting foreign State’s interests
reciprocity 193

**State immunity from jurisdiction, acts of, general in successful revolutionary forces,**
_Underhill_ 687-9

**State immunity from jurisdiction, entitlement of individual/official acting in official capacity, jurisprudence**
_Holland v. Lampen-Wolfe_ 650
_Jones (Saudi Arabia cases)_ 593-4, 672
_Pinochet (No 3)_ 593-4
_Propend_ 161, 593-4
_Underhill_ 687-9

**State immunity from jurisdiction, indirect impleading/risk of affecting foreign State's interests**
common law (UK) 157-63
limitation of rule to proceedings related to property (UK SIA 6(4)) 159-60, 162-3
customary international law 163-4
limitation of rule to cases related to property 167
definition 592-3
“even though the State does not appear in the proceedings” (UK SIA 1(2)) 156-7
JISP 6(2)(b) formula (“is not named as a party to the proceeding but the proceeding in effect seeks to affect …”) 164-7, 677-8
jurisprudence
_Alcom_ 164
_Belhaj_ 172-3
_Belhaj (Supreme Court)_ 590-601, 671-9; see also _Belhaj (Supreme Court)_ [2017]
(State immunity/foreign act of State)
_Cristina_ 158-9, 592-4, 673
State immunity from jurisdiction, indirect impleading/risk of affecting foreign State’s interests (cont.)

- Dollfus Mieg 159, 592-6, 598-600, 673-4, 678-9
- East Timor 167-8, 599-600, 675-7
- Indian Prince 594
- Jones (Saudi Arabia cases) 160-2, 164
- Jurisdictional Immunities 168
- Khadr 592-3, 720
- Monetary Gold 599-600, 675-7
- Parlement Belge 157-8, 163-4, 590-4, 672-3
- Pinochet (No 3) 174-5, 593-4
- Rahimtoola v. Nizam of Hyderabad 159, 674-5
- Rahmatullah/Iraqi Civilian Claimants 156-69
- property cases 592-601
- State responsibility/tribution, role 162

State immunity from jurisdiction in own courts: see act of State (foreign State acts), definition/characteristics, State immunity from jurisdiction and; act of State (forum State acts) (UK) (Crown act of State)

State immunity, theory/doctrine including the basis/reasons for, jurisprudence (in particular cases where discussed or cited at length)

- I Congreso del Partido 605, 671-2, 702-5
- Jurisdictional Immunities 590-1, 671

State practice
decisions of national courts as evidence of 309-10, 493-5
as evidence/source of customary international law, Serdar Mohammed 305

tort
lex loci delicti commissi/place of injury as applicable law
- Iraq, post-conflict administration 150-2, 336-7
- ISAF operations in Afghanistan 27, 150-2, 336-7

torture, civil remedy obligation (UNCAT 14(1))
- act of State/non-justiciability and 589-90
- jurisprudence
- Jones (Saudi Arabia cases) 589-90, 649-50, 719
- Jurisdictional Immunities 592, 718-19

travaux préparatoires (VCLT 32), GC common article 3 80, 84, 290-1, 303, 539-40

UN Charter (1945) (UNC)
broad and liberal interpretation 74, 104-5
incorporation/implementing legislation, need for 73-4
primacy (UNC 103)
- human rights treaties/ECHR 74, 104-5, 284-5
- jurisprudence
- Al-Jedda 104-5, 284-5
- Serdar Mohammed 74, 104-5
- UNSCR 1546 and 73-5, 429-30

UN privileges and immunities (experts on mission (UNPIC VI(22))), ISAF operations 255-7
United Kingdom: see also Belhaj (Supreme Court) [2017] (State immunity/foreign act of State); Rahmatullah; Serdar Mohammed

Note: In order to avoid lengthy duplication of entries it is now practice normally to enter material only under a main heading(s) and under the case/cases where it is discussed. Where possible the main heading will be specific to the UK.

act of State
as judicial restraint/abstention 122, 133, 181-200, 391-2, 586-7
limitations/exceptions
international law/human rights obligations including public policy considerations 133, 601-4, 627-9, 631-2, 635-7, 662-3, 691-4, 711-16, 719, 721, 724, 726-8
validity of act in own territory, presumption of/dependence on 178-9
reasons for/justification
comity 194-6
sovereign equality of States (UNC 2(1)) (par in parem non habet jurisdictionem) 173-4, 192-4
as rule of private international law 133
theory and nature of the doctrine including procedural aspects
as defence to action in tort 123-31
development of doctrine 679-80, 689-97
act of State (forum State acts): see act of State (forum State acts) (UK)
Crown Proceedings Act 1947 by section 2 (liability in tort) 377, 386-7
2(1)(a) (liability in tort: tort committed by servants or agents) 210-13, 352-4
expert witness, qualities/qualifications, independence/expertise 28, 35
foreign law
as applicable law, lex loci delicti commissi/place of injury rule 27, 150-2
evidence of
absence of 30
burden of proof/default application of law of forum in absence of sufficient proof 153-4
expert evidence 28-44
judicial discretion 30-1
interpretation in accordance with approach likely to be adopted by legislating State 31
foreign relations including recognition and State immunity issues, responsibility for, executive and courts, relationship, “one voice” principle 127-8, 357-8
habeas corpus, scope of review, legality of detention/act of State 131-3
international organizations, privileges and immunities, Orders, UN and ICJ (Immunities and Privileges Order 1974/1261) 255-6
liberty and security of person, right to (municipal law/general), lawfulness of detention, burden of proof 335-6
non-justiciability of act of State of foreign government within its own territory, reasons for/justification (Underhill doctrine)
embarrassment to the executive (“fourth rule”) 196-8, 605-6, 636, 653, 655-6, 661, 663, 689, 696-7, 706-7
interference in domestic affairs of another State 173-4
precedent in municipal courts
House of Lords/Supreme Court decisions 73-5
in case of conflict with ECtHR decision 73
United Kingdom (cont.)
prerogative
foreign relations 121-2
jurisprudence
Kamachee Boye Sahaba 129-31
Serdar Mohammed 121-2
Private International Law (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1995 (PILA), abolition of
double tortiousness requirement 377
Private International Law (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1995 (PILA) by section
11 (applicable law in tort: general rule) 150-2
11(1) (applicable law: law of place where tort or delict occurred (\textit{lex loci delicti commissi})) 27, 233, 336-7
11(2)(c) (events occurring in different countries: law of country where most
significant element(s) occurred 306-7
14(3)(a)(i) (displacement of \textit{lex loci delicti commissi} in case of conflict with public
policy) 355-60, 363-4, 384-6, 584-5
State immunity, reciprocity requirement 193
tort, \textit{lex loci delicti commissi}/place of injury 27
UN Charter, legal effect 73-4