

#### CAPABILITIES IN A JUST SOCIETY

What sort of entitlements should citizens have in a just society? In this book, Rutger Claassen sets out a theory of what he terms 'navigational agency', whereby citizens should be able to navigate freely between social practices. This shows how individuals can be at the same time free and autonomous in striving for their own goals in life, but also embedded in social practices in which they have to cooperate with others. He argues that for navigational agency people need three sets of core capabilities: those that allow human empowerment in civil society, a decent level of socio-economic subsistence and political participation in democratic decision-making procedures. The idea of navigational agency, the book argues, provides an alternative to dominant versions of the capability approach to social justice, and strengthens its liberal foundations.

RUTGER CLAASSEN is an Associate Professor of Ethics and Political Philosophy at the Department of Philosophy and Religious Studies at Utrecht University. He has published in journals including British Journal of Political Science, Economics & Philosophy, European Journal of Philosophy, Law & Philosophy and Politics, Philosophy & Economics.





# CAPABILITIES IN A JUST SOCIETY

A Theory of Navigational Agency

RUTGER CLAASSEN

Utrecht University





# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108473262 DOI: 10.1017/9781108561853

© Rutger Claassen 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-47326-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> To my father, Karel Claassen, and to the memory of my mother, Marlies Claassen-Vermunt





### Contents

List	t of Figures	<i>page</i> ix
List	of Tables	X
Ack	Acknowledgements	
	Introduction	I
PAF	RT I POSITIONING THE CAPABILITY APPROACH	
IN	POLITICAL THEORY	I 5
I	Liberalism: Combining Perfectionism and Neutrality	17
	1.1 An Analysis of the Perfectionism/Neutrality Debate	18
	1.2 Strong Perfectionist Liberalism (Nussbaum-I)	23
	1.3 Political Liberalism (Nussbaum-II)	31
	1.4 Towards a Moderate Perfectionist Liberalism	39
PAF	RT II THE THEORY OF NAVIGATIONAL AGENCY	45
2	An Agency-Based Capability Theory of Justice	47
	2.1 Agency: Freedom and Autonomy	48
	2.2 Participational Agency	53
	2.3 Navigational Agency	60
	2.4 Justice, Agency and Capabilities	66
3	Justifying the Right to Navigational Agency	72
	3.1 Agents' Rights: The Deontic Structure of Social Pract	tices 74
	3.2 Method: Exploring the Rational Self-Understanding	
	of Participational Agents	82
	3.3 An Argument for the Inescapability of Claiming Rig.	hts
	to Navigational Agency	88



viii	Contents	
4	The Distribution of Capabilities 4.1 Capability Sufficientarianism	104 106
	4.2 The Prioritarian Challenge: Threshold Fetishism	109
	4.3 The Egalitarian Challenge: Levelling Down	117
	4.4 The Luck-Egalitarian Challenge: Personal Responsibility	125
PAR	T III THREE SETS OF BASIC CAPABILITIES	I 3 3
5	Empowerment Capabilities and Civil Freedom	135
	5.1 Empowerment Capabilities	137
	5.2 Autonomy and Adaptive Preferences	142
	5.3 The Legitimation of Paternalist Interferences	150
	5.4 Freedom of Association and the Right to Exit	154
	5.5 Association and the Right to Inclusion	159
6	Subsistence Capabilities and Socio-Economic Justice	164
	6.1 Social Subsistence and the Sufficientarian Principle	166
	6.2 Positive Duties and the Reciprocity Principle	170
	6.3 Property and the Efficiency Principle	178
	6.4 The Distribution of Income and Wealth	185
7	Political Capabilities and Democracy	192
	7.1 Liberal Arguments for Democracy	194
	7.2 A Duty-Based Argument for Equal Political Capabilities	199
	7.3 Representative Democracy and Majority Rule	206
	7.4 A Democratic Solution to the Boundary Problem	211
	Conclusion	218
Арр	endix 1 Amartya Sen on Agency, Capabilities and Rights	221
Αρρ	endix 2 Philipp Pettit's Republicanism and the Capability	
	roach	226
App	endix 3 The Anthropological Method of Justifying Rights	230
Bibliography		239
Inde	ex	259



## **Figures**

3.I	Relations between social rank, rights and agency	93
7.I	Rights-based duty-based justifications of political rights	205



## Tables

I.I	Perfectionism versus neutrality: four positions	19
2.1	Individual agency and its components	50
4.I	Sufficientarianism: A sub-threshold conflict	110
4.2	Absolute versus weighted prioritarianism	III
4.3	Prioritarianism versus sufficientarianism	112
4.4	Thresholds in public policy	115
4.5	Bringing in egalitarianism	117
5.I	Typology of submissive preferences	147
6.1	Rights and duties: Negative and positive	174
А 2 т	Human rights lists: Nussbaum and the UDHR	230



## Acknowledgements

Work on this book has taken six years, and my engagement with the capability approach and the other themes integrated in the capability theory presented here goes back even further. I first used an agency-based capability framework in my dissertation (2008) on the moral limits of the market, with applications to the commodification of the media, care work and security services. After that, I also employed the capability approach as a normative theory in other applied contexts, from the rights of future generations to disputes within competition law. Those working on applied topics will recognize that the variety of normative considerations coming up when thinking about practical questions can be bewildering; and many prefer to work with loosely grounded, pluralist normative approaches to study such applied topics. I have always felt the urge to see how a plurality of normative considerations could be integrated into one consistent, and well-founded normative framework (I recognize that this urge - which I simply cannot suppress - is for better or worse: there are dangers to over-systematization too). At some point, this focused my attention on the general project of defending a capability theory to social justice. Being dissatisfied with the way the capability approach was developed thus far in political philosophy, I decided at some point to put down my own thoughts in a book. This was a much longer enterprise than expected and over the years I accumulated many debts.

First of all, I would like to thank Joel Anderson, Bert van den Brink, Marcus Düwell, Thomas Fossen and Ingrid Robeyns for commenting on draft chapters of the manuscript. The discussions with them about the themes of this book have been of great benefit to me over the course of the years. I have known each of them for a long time and their own philosophical commitments have been a continuous source of intellectual inspiration for me. Indeed, it is not unfair to say that this book would not have been the same without having been exposed for so many years



xii

#### Acknowledgements

to Joel's work on personal autonomy, Bert's work on liberal perfectionism, Marcus's work on agency and human rights and Ingrid's work on the capability approach. Thomas's role is special given his enduring critical stance to *normativist* projects in political philosophy in general, but has been not less valuable for that in reminding me of the limits of what I try to achieve here.

Several other people sent me comments on draft chapters which were immensely helpful: Elizabeth Anderson, Florian Bekkers, Justin Bernstein, Deryck Beyleveld, Constanze Binder, Gerhard Bos, Morten Byskov, Robin Celikates, Dascha Düring, Fleur Jongepier, Marie Göbel, Lisa Herzog, Annemarie Kalis, Pietro Maffetone, Marco Meyer, Tim Meijers, Fabian Schuppert and Lieske Voget-Kleschin. I had the honour of subjecting the almost-finished book manuscript to the scrutiny of the Research Master students in the Core Readings session of 2016, and I would like to thank – without offense to the others – Suzanne Jacobi, Marin Kaufman, Dick Timmer and Leon van Rijsbergen for their intense engagement with the project. A special thanks to Sem de Maagt, who read and commented on more draft versions than is healthy for a normal human being. I often felt unable to do justice to the depth of all their comments, but I offer the finished book hoping it does justice to some of them.

The work has been presented at several occasions. In particular, I would like to thank audiences at the Practical Philosophy Colloquium of Groningen University, the Annual Conference of the Dutch Research School in Philosophy, the Working Group of Political Philosophy of the Dutch Research School for Practical Philosophy (especially Gijs van Donsselaar's incisive comments), the Conference of the Association for Social and Political Philosophy in Amsterdam, 2015, the Human Development and Capabilities Association Conference in Washington, DC, 2015 (especially the comments by Des Gasper and Mozaffar Qizilbash) and the Workshop on Development Ethics in Utrecht, 2016. Special thanks go to the audience at the Ethics Institute Colloquium in Utrecht which discussed parts of the manuscript on several occasions, to Huub Brouwer for organizing a Dutch Research School of Philosophy (Onderzoeksschool Wijsbegeerte, OZSW) 2017 book symposium on the manuscript and to Martin van Hees, Thomas Nys, Jojanneke Vanderveen and Huub himself for their challenging comments on that occasion.

The project benefited from financing by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), under VENI-grant no. 275-20-031 (2012–15), which is gratefully acknowledged. I thank the *European* 



#### Acknowledgements

xiii

Journal of Philosophy for reprinting the paper 'An Agency-based Capability Theory of Justice'. It appears here as Chapter 2 with minor revisions except for one substantial addition of a new passage at the very end (from: 'This leaves open the question ...'). At Cambridge University Press, two anonymous reviewers provided supportive reviews as well as valuable critical suggestions. Hilary Gaskin has been enormously encouraging and supportive of the project as an editor and Marianne Nield has provided invaluable assistance.

Finally, I wish to thank my wife Carine for her comprehension and patience with me which, as always, is indispensable when working on a book. My children Eline, Casper and Stijn (now ten, eight and four years old) should be thanked for their lively presence which has always been a pleasurable distraction from the moment they would storm into my study room commanding their father's attention. The humorous dedication circulating on the internet – 'thanks to my wife and children, without whom this book would have been completed two years earlier' – does contain some truth, but this is not something I regret. Nonetheless, sometimes it was better to retreat from their company, and at these occasions several other family members have lent me their quiet rooms upstairs in their own homes: Karel Claassen, Christianne and Jan Verwoerd and Anna and Pieter Punt. For their loving support, I thank all of them.

The book is dedicated to my father, whose professional practice as a lawyer, and my late mother, whose loving hand during my childhood, have implicitly taught me more about justice than I am able to convey explicitly in these pages.

