

Index

- achievement-striving, industriousness and, 20–21
- agency/assertiveness, 17–18
- agenda setting
 - analysis, 153–156
 - Brown v. Board of Education* and, 50–51
 - cert votes and, 53–56
 - judicial system and, 52–53
 - justice traits and cert votes with, 56–66
 - marginal effects on, 56, 57f, 60, 63, 66, 191n28
 - model, 153, 154t, 156
 - personality and, 66–67
- agreeableness
 - altruism and, 24–26, 44
 - bargaining memos and, 101–104
 - cert votes and, 62f, 60–63, 67, 148
 - concurrences and, 141–143
 - dissent and, 123f, 124f, 121–125
 - face validity and, 43–44
 - goal preferences and, 24–26
 - liberal rulings and, 122–125, 142, 143f
 - opinion assignment and, 77–80
 - other dissents and, 121–123
 - other grant votes and, 62f, 61–63
 - personality traits, 148
 - politeness and compassion with, 24
 - positive effect of, 194n24
 - problems with, 149–150
 - social harmony and, 24–26, 32, 43, 55, 61, 103–104, 148–149, 200n57
 - winning margin and, 79f, 78–80
- agreement, rate of, 175n8
- Alito, Samuel A., Jr.
 - conscientiousness and, 42
 - openness and, 46
- Almlund, Mathilde, 46, 180n20
- altruism
 - agreeableness and, 24–26, 44
 - social harmony and, 31, 60, 104
- amendments, Constitution, *See* Constitution, US
- amici attention
 - with cert votes, 58, 59f, 67
 - on concurrences, effect of, 138, 139f
 - extraversion and, 145
 - grant votes and, 55, 58–59
- amicus groups, 58, 138, 147
- appeals, *See* Courts of Appeals, US
- arguments, *See* oral arguments
- assertiveness/agency, with extraversion, 17–18
- attention, *See also* amici attention
 - extraversion with influence and, 17–20
 - influence and, 17–20, 41, 116
 - from news media, 116–117
- attitudinal model, 9, 11, 16
- authors, *See* majority opinion authors
- bargaining, *See* intra-Court bargaining
- Bargaining Hypotheses, 93–94
- bargaining memos
 - agreeableness and, 101–104
 - conscientiousness and, 98–101, 107
 - conscientiousness and effect of ideological distance on, 101, 102f

- bargaining memos (cont.)
 extraversion and, 96–98
 intra-Court bargaining and, 92–108
 join statements and, 93, 100–101, 105
 justice traits and, 95–107
 marginal effects on, 97f, 95–100,
 103–105
 neuroticism and, 104–105
 openness and, 105–107
 opinion suggestions and, 93–95, 98, 99,
 101, 106–107
 personality traits and, 107–108
 statistics, 95f
 wait statements and, 93, 100, 103
 Bargaining Models, 94–95
 behavior, *See also* judicial behavior
 goal preferences and, 32–34
 oral arguments influencing, 18
 personality and political, 150–152
 behavioral economics, 11, 180n26
 Big Five, *See* five-factor model
 Bill of Rights, 3, 4
 binomial regression models, 196n29
 birth order, 10
 Black, Hugo Lafayette
 cert votes and, 191n21, 202n1
 Douglas and, 5–7
 early years, 5–6
Griswold v. Connecticut and, 3, 4, 7
 as Ku Klux Klan member, 5
 neuroticism and, 45
 paid petitions and, 191n24
 rate of agreement for, 175n8
*Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board
 of Education* and, 89, 90
 Black, Robert Lee, 5
 Black, Ryan, 55, 153
 Blackmun, Harry A., 89, 90, 191n21
 Brennan, William J., Jr., 3–4
 on Warren, 88
 rate of agreement for, 175n8
*Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board
 of Education* and, 90
 Breyer, Stephen G., 46
 Brown, John R., 27
Brown v. Board of Education, 25–26,
 50–51, 88, 109–110, 130
 Burger, Warren Earl, 73–74
 consensual opinion and, 23
*Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board
 of Education* and, 88–91, 108
Tooahnippah v. Hickel and, 131–132
 Burger Court Opinion Writing Database,
 94, 161, 195n28
 Burton, Harold Hitz
 agreeableness and, 43–44
Brown v. Board of Education and, 109
 extraversion and, 42
 social Harmony and, 44
 Buxton, Charles Lee, 1–2

Central Greyhound Lines v. Mealey, 68–69,
 76, 87
 Cert Vote Hypotheses, 54–55
 Cert Vote Model, 55–56
 cert (certiorari) votes, 191n21, 202n1
 agenda setting and, 53–54
 agreeableness and effect of other grant
 votes on, 62f, 60–63
 amicus groups and, 58
 conscientiousness and, 55, 56, 59
 extraversion and, 56–59
 extraversion and effect of amici attention
 on, 58, 59f
 goal preferences and, 58
 industriousness and, 60
 justice traits and, 56–66
 neuroticism and effect of U.S. support
 on, 56, 65f, 63–66
 openness and, 66
 certiorari
 denial of, 51–52, 196n30, 199n36
 process, 52
 US Courts of Appeals and, 54
 Chahsenah, George, 131–132
 change
 legal doctrine and, 111, 128, 149
 openness with intellectualism and,
 28–30
 chief assignments
 issue experience and, 73, 81, 82f, 86
 model, 159
 neuroticism and, 80
 winning margin and, 78, 79f
 Clark, Tom Campbell, 43
 Clark, Tom S., 116, 167
 “class photo”, 146
 clerks
 with certs petitions, 53
 opinion drafts by, 37–38
 Clinton, Bill, 44
 Coffin, Frank, 38
 Collins, Paul, 135
 Comanche Nation, 131

- compassion, 24, 123
 Concurrence Hypotheses, 134
 Concurrence Model, 135–136, 171, 172t
 concurrences
 agreeableness and, 141
 agreeableness and effect of liberal rulings
 on, 143f, 142–143
 conscientiousness and, 138–141
 conscientiousness and effect of justice
 disagreement on, 140, 141f
 extraversion and, 135–138
 extraversion and effect of amici attention
 on, 138, 139f
 general, 2009
 justice traits and, 136–144
 neuroticism and, 135, 143–144
 openness and, 144
 regular, 186n19, 2009
 special, 142, 186n19, 2009
 concurring opinions, 132–133, 138,
 144, 149
 marginal effects on filing, 136, 137f,
 138, 140, 144
 separate and, 134–136
 confidence intervals, SCIEs with, 39–40,
 40f
 Congress, US, 64
 Connecticut, 1–4
 conscientiousness
 bargaining memos and, 98–101,
 102f, 107
 cert votes and, 56, 59
 concurrences and, 138–141
 dissent and, 113, 117–121, 120f
 face validity and, 42–43
 grant vote and, 55, 60
 ideology and, 85f, 83–85, 101, 102f,
 120f, 119–121, 141f, 140–141
 with industriousness and dutifulness,
 20–24
 justice disagreement and, 140, 141f
 opinion assignment and, 76–77
 personality traits, 147–148
 with SAs and ideological distance, 85f,
 83–85
 women and, 44–45
 consensual opinion, 23
 consensus, *See* norms of consensus
 Constitution, US
 Fourth Amendment, 2
 First Amendment, 2–4, 112
 Fourteenth Amendment, 2
 Fourth Amendment, 2
 Ninth Amendment, 2
 convergent validity, SCIEs and, 47
 Courts of Appeals, US
 certiorari and, 54
 Fifth Circuit, 27, 91
 First Circuit, 54
 Fourth Circuit, 50–51
 judicial system, 52, 151
 norms of consensus and, 23, 150
 Second Circuit, 54
 Third Circuit, 42
 creativity, intellectualism and, 144
 criminal defendants, liberalism and, 112,
 122
 death penalty, 8, 55, 133
 decision process, personality traits across,
 147–150
 demographic characteristics, 9, 151
 desegregation, *See Brown v. Board of
 Education; Swann v.
 Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of
 Education*
 disagreement, *See also* justice disagreement
 public, 116, 117
 Segal-Cover ideology scores and, 119
 voting on the merits, 111
 dissent, 20057
 agreeableness and, 113, 121
 agreeableness and effect of other dissents
 on, 123f, 124f, 121–125
 conscientiousness and, 113, 117–119
 conscientiousness and effect of justice
 disagreement on, 120f, 119–121
 extraversion and, 113–116
 extraversion and effect of public
 disagreement on, 116–117, 118f
 justice traits and, 114–128
 majority opinion authors and, 111, 121
 marginal effects on, 114, 115f, 116, 117,
 121, 125
 neuroticism and, 113, 125
 neuroticism and effect of pro-U.S. ruling
 on, 125–126, 127f
 openness and, 113, 126–128
 openness and the effect of altered
 precedent on, 127–128, 129f
 probability of, 20051
 voting on the merits and, 111–128, 167
 Dissent Hypotheses, 112–113
 Dissent Model, 113–114, 167, 168t

- dissenting opinions, 134, 201n10
 distance, *See* ideological distance
 District Courts, US, 52
 docket control, *See* agenda setting
 doctrine, *See* legal doctrine
 Douglas, William Orville
 bargaining memos and, 92
 Black, Hugo L., and, 5–7
 certiorari and, 52
 early years, 6
 Frankfurter on, 6
 Griswold v. Connecticut and, 3–4, 7
 rate of agreement for, 175n8
 Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education and, 88–90
 draft opinion, 42, 69–70, 92–93, 96,
 See also majority opinion drafts, 100
 dutifulness, 60
 defined, 20
 industriousness and, 20–24, 31–33,
 99–100, 128–129, 145, 147
 loss avoidance and, 66
 Reed, Stanley Foreman, and, 110
 SAJs and, 83–84
- economic approach, to judicial behavior,
 10–12, *See also* psychoeconomic
 approach
 economics, *See also* psychoeconomic
 approach
 behavioral, 11, 180n26
 choice models, 180n26
 salaries and, 27
- education
 Brown v. Board of Education, 25–26,
 50–51, 88, 109–110, 130
 students and, 13–14, 50
 Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education, 88–91, 108
- enthusiasm/sociability, 18
 Epstein, Lee, 180n24, 182n44
 equity norm, with opinion assignment, 71
 experience, *See* issue experience
- extraversion, 45
 amici attention and, 58, 59f, 67, 138,
 139f, 145
 assertiveness or agency with, 17–18
 bargaining memos and, 96–98
 cert votes and, 56–59
 concurrences and, 135–139
- dissent and, 113–117
 face validity and, 41–42
 goal preferences and, 17–20, 147
 with influence and attention, 17–20
 news media and, 117, 118f
 opinion assignment and, 74–76
 personality traits, 147
 public disagreement and, 116–117, 118f
 sociability or enthusiasm with, 18
 women and, 44–45
- face validity
 agreeableness and, 43–44
 conscientiousness and, 42–43
 extraversion and, 41–42
 neuroticism and, 44–45
 openness and, 45–46
 SCIPEs and, 41–46
- federal district court, 52
The Federalist Papers (Hamilton), 8
 Fifth Amendment, 2
 Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, 27, 91
 First Amendment, 2–3, 112
 First Circuit Court of Appeals, 54
 Five Factor Theory, 16–17, 30–31
 five-factor model (Big Five), *See also*
 agreeableness; conscientiousness;
 extraversion; neuroticism; openness
 as goal preferences, 15–17, 311
 psychoeconomic approach and, 30–34
 SCIPEs and, 56
- formalism (legalism), 7–9
 Fortas, Abe
 agreeableness and, 44
 neuroticism and, 45
- Fourteenth Amendment, 2
 Fourth Amendment, 2
 Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, 50–51
 Frankfurter, Felix
 on Douglas, 6
 on Murphy, 68–69
 on Reed, 110
- Furman v. Georgia* (invalidating the death
 penalty), 133
- general concurrences, 200n9
 Gibson, James, 10
 Ginsburg, Ruth Bader, 191n21, 202n1
 agreeableness and, 43
 conscientiousness and, 42

- extraversion and, 41
- neuroticism and, 44
- with questions during oral arguments, 41–42
- goal preferences, 11–12, 14, 18on26
 - agreeableness and, 24–26
 - behavior and, 32–34
 - Big Five as, 15–17, 31t
 - cert votes and, 58
 - conscientiousness and, 20–24
 - extraversion and, 17–20, 147
 - individual, 31
 - neuroticism and, 26–28
 - openness and, 28–30
 - psychoeconomic approach and, 30–34
- goals
 - multiple, 13–14
 - personality and, 13–14, 16
 - student, 13–14
- grant votes, *See* cert votes
- Griswold, Estelle, 1–2
- Griswold v. Connecticut*, 2–4, 7
- Hamilton, Alexander, 7–8
- Harlan, John Marshall I, 25, 183n55
- Harlan, John Marshall II, 90, 183n55
 - Brown v. Board of Education* and, 51
 - certiorari and, 51–52, 67
 - concurring opinions and, 132–133
 - intellectual honesty and, 23, 132
 - Tooahnippah v. Hickel* and, 131–133, 145
- harmony, *See* social harmony
- Harris–Tzavalis tests, 186n25
- Hollibaugh, Gary, 35, 39
- honesty, *See* intellectual honesty
- Hood v. Board of Trustees*, 50, 51, 60, 67
- ideological attitudes, 9
- ideological distance, 95–96, 136, 194n27
 - bargaining memos and, 101, 102f
 - marginal effect, 194n21, 196n31, 199n38, 202n24
 - SAJs and, 73–74, 84, 85f
- ideology
 - with justice personality, measuring, 48t
 - Martin–Quinn ideology scores, 47, 159, 194n29
 - public, 112, 129–130
 - Segal–Cover ideology scores, 83–84, 101, 119, 165, 167, 169, 171, 194n29, 199n35
 - voting on the merits and, 112
- industriousness
 - with achievement-striving and conscientiousness, 20–21
 - cert votes and, 60
 - conscientiousness and, 21–22
 - dutifulness and, 20–24, 31–33, 99–100, 128–129, 145, 147
- influence
 - attention and, 17–20, 41, 116
 - loss avoidance and, 129
- intellectual honesty, 23, 132
- intellectualism
 - creativity and, 144
 - openness with change and, 28–30
- interaction terms, 73–74, 101, 114, 153, 159, 167, 169
- intra-Court bargaining, 144
 - analysis, 161–166
 - bargaining memos and, 92–95
 - with bargaining memos and justice personality traits, 95–108
 - Burger and, 88–91
 - join statements model, 161, 166t
 - majority opinion drafts model, 161
 - opinion suggestions model, 161
 - separate opinion drafts model, 161
 - wait statements model, 161, 165t
- issue experience
 - chief assignments and, 73, 81, 82f, 86
 - openness and, 159
- Jackson, Robert H., 37, 45
- Jim Crow, 25, 109
- join statements
 - agreeableness and, 94, 103
 - bargaining memos and, 93
 - conscientiousness and, 94, 100
 - conscientiousness and effect of ideological distance on, 101, 102f
 - extraversion and, 94, 98
 - model, 161, 166t
 - neuroticism and, 94, 105
 - social harmony and, 94
 - wait and, 93, 106–107, 148–149
- judicial behavior
 - economic approach to, 10–12

- judicial behavior (cont.)
 - legalist approaches to, 7–9
 - psychoeconomic approach to, 12, 14–15, 152
 - social-psychological approaches to, 9–11, 150
- judicial system, 52–53, 151
- justice disagreement, 101, 171, 202n28
 - on concurrences with conscientiousness, 98–99, 140, 141f
 - dissent and, 120
 - higher levels of, 119, 121, 140
 - lower levels of, 200n51
- justice personality, measuring
 - challenges, 37–39
 - ideology and, 48t
 - SCIEs, 39–41
 - with SCIEs and convergent validity, 47
 - with SCIEs and face validity, 41–46, 101
- justice traits
 - bargaining memos and, 95–107
 - cert votes and, 56–66
 - concurrences and, 136–144
 - dissent and, 114–128
 - opinion assignment and, 74–85
- Kagan, Elena
 - conscientiousness and, 42
 - extraversion and, 41
 - neuroticism and, 44
 - openness and, 45
- King, Laura L., 35
- Klingler, Jonathon, 35, 39
- Ku Klux Klan, 5
- Landes, William M., 182n44
- language, 44
 - LIWC, 35, 39–40, 186n26
 - MRCPD, 40, 186n26
 - opinion, 37–40, 186n22
- latent case salience, 116, 167
- Lax, Jeffrey R., 116, 167
- legal doctrine
 - change and, 111, 128, 149
 - consistent application of, 30
 - influence and, 18
 - merit votes and, 111
- legal writing, 39
- legalism (formalism), 7–9
- legalist approaches, to judicial behavior, 7–9
- leisure activities, 182n44
- liberal rulings, 26, 113, 135
 - agreeableness with concurrences and effect of, 142, 143f
 - dissent and, 123–125
 - Segal-Cover ideology scores and, 167, 169, 171
- liberalism
 - Breyer and, 46
 - criminal defendants and, 112, 122
 - positive and negative associations, 47, 94
- life experiences, 9
- lifetime tenure, 27
- Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count (LIWC), 35–36, 39–40, 186n26
- Los Angeles Times*, 116, 167
- loss avoidance, 67, 145
 - dutifulness and, 66
 - influence and, 129
 - negativity expression and, 105
 - neuroticism and, 26–28, 105, 148
- Mairesse, Francois, 35, 39, 64–66
- majority opinion authors, 65f, 72, 89, 96, 103, 144, 193n11
 - concurring opinions and, 142
 - dissent and, 111, 121
 - draft opinions and, 69–70
 - SCIEs for, 167, 171
 - Segal-Cover ideology scores and, 101, 165
 - setting precedent, 38
- majority opinion drafts, 33, 92
 - circulation of, 98–99, 104–108, 149
 - extraversion and, 94–96
 - model, 161, 162t
 - openness and, 94, 105–106
 - statistics, 95
- majority opinions, 192n7, 194n19, 195n26
- Maltzman, Forrest, 78, 81, 135, 157, 194n19
- Marshall, Thurgood
 - agreeableness and, 44
 - attitudinal model and, 9
 - bargaining memos and, 92
 - conscientiousness and, 42–43
 - oral arguments and, 43
 - rate of agreement for, 175n8
 - Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education* and, 90

Index

211

- Martin–Quinn ideology scores, 47, 159, 194n29
- “May It Please the Chief?” (Maltzman and Wahlbeck), 194n19
- McMillan, James, 88–90
- media, *See* news media
- memos, 91–92, *See also* bargaining memos
- merit votes, *See* voting on the merits
- minority coalition, 133–134
- Minton, Sherman, 193n18, 198n34, 203n1
- Mondak, Jeffery J., 47
- Mood, *See* Stimson Public Mood Indicator
- MRC Psycholinguistic Database (MRCPD), 40, 186n26
- Murphy, Frank, 42, 68–69, 74, 76, 87
- NAACP *v. Alabama*, 51
- negativity expression, 26–28, 31, 105, 148
- neuroticism
- bargaining memos and, 104–105
 - cert votes and, 55–56, 65f, 63–66
 - concurrences and, 143–144
 - dissent and, 113, 125–126, 127f
 - face validity and, 44–45
 - goal preferences and, 26–28
 - with loss avoidance and, 26–28, 105, 148
 - opinion assignment and, 73, 80
 - personality traits, 148
 - US government and, 125–126, 127f
 - withdrawal and volatility with, 26–27
 - women and, 44–45
- New Jersey, 68
- New York, 68–69
- New York Magazine, 41
- New York Times*, 116, 167
- New York Times Co. v. United States* (Pentagon Papers case), 133
- news media, 167
- attention, 116–117
 - extraversion and, 117, 118f
 - Kagan in, 41
 - Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education* in, 91
- Ninth Amendment, 2
- nonjudicial work activities, 182n44
- norms of consensus, 23, 150
- Obama, Barack, 45
- O’Connor, Sandra, 43
- openness
- bargaining memos and, 105–107
 - cert votes and, 55, 66
 - with change and intellectualism, 28–30
 - concurrences and, 144
 - dissent and, 126–128, 129f
 - face validity and, 45–46
 - goal preferences and, 28–30
 - issue experience and, 82f, 80–83
 - opinion assignments and, 80–83
 - personality traits, 149
 - precedent alteration and, 128, 129f
- opinion assignment, 193n19
- agreeableness and effect of winning margin on, 79f, 77–80
 - analysis, 157–159
 - Central Greyhound Lines v. Mealey* and, 68–69
 - conscientiousness and, 76–77
 - equity norm with, 71
 - explanation of, 70–74
 - extraversion and, 74–76
 - justice traits and, 74–85
 - marginal effects on, 74, 75f, 76, 77, 80, 83
 - models, 157, 158t
 - neuroticism and, 73, 80
 - openness and effect of issue experience on, 82f, 80–83
 - personality and, 85–87
 - process of, 70
 - SAJ conscientiousness and effect of distance to SAJ on, 83–85
 - SAJs and, 71–74, 76, 86
 - SCIPes and, 73
 - social harmony and, 34, 77, 78
- Opinion Assignment Hypotheses, 72–73
- Opinion Assignment Models, 73–74
- opinion language, 37–40, 186n22
- opinion suggestions, 28
- bargaining memos and, 93–95, 98, 99, 101, 106–107
 - conscientiousness and, 94, 99–100
 - conscientiousness and effect of ideological distance on, 101, 102f
 - join statements and, 100
 - model, 161, 164t
 - neuroticism and, 94, 104
- opinions, *See also* majority opinion author;
- separate opinions
 - clerks drafting, 37–38

- opinions (cont.)
 - concurring, 132–136, 137f, 138, 140, 144, 149
 - consensual, 23
 - dissenting, 134, 201n10
 - draft, 42, 69, 92–93, 96, 100
 - plurality, 196n13
 - written, 36–37, 185n6
- oral arguments, 21, 29, 164, 169, 173
 - behavior influenced by, 18
 - Marshall and, 42
 - Oyez Project and, 185n6
 - questions during, 36, 41–42, 69, 110
 - transcripts, 36
- originalism, 8
- Owens, Ryan J., 55, 153
 - cert votes and, 202n1
 - paid petitions and, 191n24
- Oyez Project, 185n6
- paid petitions, 53, 55, 191n24
- Pennebaker, James W., 35
- Pentagon Papers case, *See New York Times Co. v. United States*
- personal policy preferences, 9–10, 22
- personality, *See also* justice personality, measuring; Supreme Court Individual Personality Estimates
 - agenda setting and, 66–67
 - goals and, 13–14, 16
 - opinion assignment and, 85–87
 - political behavior and, 150–152
 - stability of, 186n25
 - voting on the merits and, 128–130
- personality marginal effects, 191n22, 194n21, 199n38, 202n24
- Personality Recognizer, 39, 186n22, 186n26
- petitions
 - paid, 53, 55, 191n24
 - unpaid, 53, 191n24
- Planned Parenthood, 1
- Plessy v. Ferguson*, 25
- plurality opinion, 196n13
- Poe v. Ulman*, 2
- Poisson model, 161, 196n29
- politeness, agreeableness with, 24
- political behavior, personality and, 150–152
- Posner, Paul, 3–4
- Posner, Richard A., 182n44
- psychoeconomic approach, 135
 - goal preferences and, 30–34
 - to judicial behavior, 12, 14–15, 152
- public, ideology and, 112, 129–130
- public disagreement
 - dissent and, 117
 - Stimson Public Mood Indicator and, 116
- pupil placement plan, 50
- questions, during oral arguments, 36, 41–42, 69, 110
- Ramey, Adam, 35, 39, 47, 186n25
- rational choice theory, 31
- Reed, Stanley Forman, 109, 110, 130
- regular concurrence, 186n19, 200n9
- Rehnquist, William H.
 - attitudinal model and, 9
 - on Jackson, 37
- Rice, Douglas, 116, 167
- risk aversion, 27
- Roberts, John G., Jr., 73–74
 - agreeableness and, 43
 - conscientiousness and, 42
 - legalism and, 7
- role orientation, 9
- Roosevelt, Franklin, 3
- rule of four, 53, 63
- Rutledge, Wiley Blount
 - agreeableness and, 43
 - extraversion and, 42
- SAJs, *See* senior associate justices
- salaries, 27
- Scalia, Antonin
 - conscientiousness and, 42
 - rate of agreement for, 175n8
 - on separate opinions, 136
- SCIPes, *See* Supreme Court Individual Personality Estimates
- Second Circuit Court of Appeals, 54
- Segal, Jeffrey, 9
- Segal-Cover ideology scores, 194n29, 199n35
 - defined, 83–84
 - disagreement and, 119
 - liberal ruling and, 167, 169, 171
 - majority opinion author and, 101, 165
- self-assignments, 71, 194n27
- self-esteem, 10
- senior associate justices (SAJs)

- conscientiousness and opinion
 - assignments of, 83–85
 - dutifulness and, 83–84
 - ideological distance and, 73–74, 84, 85f
 - opinion assignment and, 71–74, 76, 86
- separate opinion drafts, 92
 - agreeableness and, 101–103
 - conscientiousness and, 94
 - conscientiousness and effect of
 - ideological distance on, 102f
 - extraversion and, 94, 96–98
 - model, 161, 163t
 - as negotiation tactic, 103
 - openness and, 94, 105–106
 - statistics, 95
- separate opinions, 203n2, 203n5, 204n3
 - analysis, 171–173
 - avoidance of, 201n15
 - concurrence model, 171
 - concurring and, 134–136
 - join statements and, 100
 - justice traits and concurrences, 136–144
 - option of filing, 133
 - personality traits and, 144–145
 - Scalia on, 136
 - Toahmippah v. Hickel* and, 131–133
- sociability/enthusiasm, 18
- social harmony, 67, 113, 122, 130, 150
 - agreeableness and, 24–26, 32, 43, 55, 61, 103–104, 148–149, 200n57
 - altruism and, 31, 60, 104
 - Burton and, 44
 - opinion assignment and, 34, 77, 78
 - undermined, 72, 94, 142
- social-psychological approaches, to judicial
 - behavior, 9–11, 150
- solicitor general, 36, 42, 54, 64, 125, 192n34
- Sotomayor, Sonia
 - extraversion and, 41
 - neuroticism and, 44
- Souter, David H.
 - extraversion and, 45
 - Ginsburg and, 43
 - as “loner”, 20
 - Sotomayor and, 41
- Southern Manifesto, 26
- Spaeth, Harold, 9
- special concurrence, 142, 186n19, 200n9
- Spriggs, James, 135
- stability, of personality traits, 186n25
- stare decisis*, 46, 128
- state courts, 52
- State Tax Commission, 68–69
- statements, *See* join statements; wait statements
- Stevens, John Paul, 23
- Stewart, Potter, 43
 - openness and, 46
 - rate of agreement for, 175n8
- Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education* and, 89–90
- Stimson, James A., 116
- Stimson Public Mood Indicator, 116, 167
- Stone, Harlan Fiske, 68, 111
- students, *See also* *Brown v. Board of Education*; *Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education*
 - goals, 13–14
 - pupil placement plan for, 50
- suggestions, *See* opinion suggestions
- Supreme Court Database, 112, 122, 157, 167, 171
- Supreme Court Individual Personality Estimates (SCIPEs)
 - for agreeableness, 149–150
 - bargaining model and, 95
 - with confidence intervals, 39–40, 40f
 - convergent validity and, 47
 - face validity and, 41–46
 - five-factor model and, 56
 - with justice personality, measuring of, 39–41
 - for majority opinion author, 167, 171
 - opinion assignment and, 73
- Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education*, 88–91, 108
- “Tenth Justice,” solicitor general as, 64, 125
- tenure, lifetime, 27
- textualism, 8
- Third Circuit Court of Appeals, 42
- Thomas, Clarence, 175n8
- Toahmippah v. Hickel*, 131–133, 145
- traits, *See* justice traits
- Trump, Donald, 42
- umpires, in baseball games, 8, 9
- United States (US)
 - Congress, 64

- United States (US) (cont.)
 - Constitution, 2–4, 112
 - Courts of Appeals, 23, 27, 42, 50–52, 54, 91, 150, 151
 - District Courts, 52
 - unpaid petitions, 53, 191n24
 - US, *See* United States
 - U.S. Law Week* (legal periodical), 54, 156
- validity
 - convergent, 47
 - face, 41–46
- Vinson, Fred Moore, 68, 69, 193n18, 198n34, 203n1
- volatility
 - negativity expression and, 148
 - neuroticism with, 26–27
- votes, 54–56, *See also* cert votes; grant votes
 - winning margin, 72–73, 86, 95
- voting on the merits, 69–70
 - analysis, 167–169
 - disagreement, 111
 - dissent and, 111–114
 - with dissent and justice traits, 114–128
 - dissent model, 167
 - ideology and, 112
 - personality and, 128–130
 - Reed and, 109, 110
- Wahlbeck, Paul, 78, 81, 135, 157, 194n19
- wait statements
 - bargaining memos and, 93, 100, 103
- conscientiousness and effect of
 - ideological distance on, 101, 102f
- join and, 93, 106–107, 148–149
- model, 161, 165t
- neuroticism and, 105
- social harmony and, 94
- Warren, Earl, 3
 - Brennan on, 88
 - Brown v. Board of Education* and, 25–26, 51, 109
 - certiorari and, 52
 - Oyez Project and, 185n6
 - separate opinions and, 201n15
- Washington Post*, 116, 167
- Wechsler, Herbert, 51
- Wechslerian ideal, 51
- White House, 64
- White, Byron R., 90
- White House, 6
- Whittaker, Charles Evans, 45
- winning margin, 157, 159, 163–164, 173
 - agreeableness and, 80
 - chief assignments and, 78, 79f
 - votes, 72–73, 86, 95
- withdrawal, neuroticism with, 26–27
- women, conscientiousness and, 44–45
- writ of certiorari, *See* certiorari
- writing
 - Burger Court Opinion Writing Database, 94, 161, 195n28
 - legal, 39
- written opinion, 36–37, 185n6