

What Justices Want

The most sophisticated theories of judicial behavior depict judges as rational actors who strategically pursue multiple goals when making decisions. However, these accounts tend to disregard the possibility that judges have heterogeneous goal preferences – that is, that different judges want different things. Integrating insights from personality psychology and economics, this book proposes a new theory of judicial behavior in which judges strategically pursue multiple goals, but their personality traits determine the relative importance of those goals. This theory is tested by analyzing the behavior of justices who served on the US Supreme Court between 1946 and 2015. Using recent advances in text-based personality measurement, Matthew E. K. Hall evaluates the influence of the "Big Five" personality traits on the justices' behavior during each stage of the Court's decision-making process. What Justices Want shows that personality traits directly affect the justices' choices and moderate the influence of goal-related situational factors on justices' behavior.

Matthew E. K. Hall is Associate Professor of Political Science and Law at the University of Notre Dame. He specializes in American political institutions with an emphasis on judicial behavior, elite personality, and policy implementation. His book *The Nature of Supreme Court Power* (Cambridge University Press, 2011) won the C. Herman Pritchett Award for Best Book on Law and Courts from the American Political Science Association.





What Justices Want

Goals and Personality on the US Supreme Court

MATTHEW E. K. HALL

University of Notre Dame





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108472746 DOI: 10.1017/9781108621410

© Matthew E. K. Hall 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data NAMES: Hall, Matthew Eric Kane, author.

TITLE: What justices want: goals and personality on the U.S. Supreme Court /
Matthew E.K. Hall, University of Notre Dame, Indiana.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge [UK]; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, [2018]

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2018010098| ISBN 9781108472746 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108462907 (pbk.)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: United States. Supreme Court–Officials and employees. | Judges–Selection and appointment–United States.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC KF8748 .H357 2018 | DDC 347.73/2634–dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018010098

ISBN 978-1-108-47274-6 Hardback ISBN 978-1-108-46290-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To Brittany
who always judges my extraverted and neurotic behavior
with openness, conscientiousness,
and sometimes even agreeableness





Contents

List of Figures	page ix
List of Tables	xi
Acknowledgments	xiii
I Who They Are and What They Want	I
2 Goals and Personality	13
3 Measuring Justice Personality	35
4 Agenda Setting	50
5 Opinion Assignments	68
6 Intra-Court Bargaining	88
7 Voting on the Merits	109
8 Separate Opinions	131
9 Behind the Black Robes	146
Appendix A Agenda-Setting Analysis	153
Appendix B Opinion Assignment Analysis	157
Appendix C Intra-Court Bargaining Analysis	161
Appendix D Voting on the Merits Analysis	167
Appendix E Separate Opinion Analysis	171
Notes	175
Index	205

vii





Figures

3.1	SCIPEs and 95% confidence intervals	page 40
4.1	Marginal effects on agenda setting	57
4.2	The effect of amici attention on cert votes at varying levels	
	of extraversion	59
4.3	The effect of other justices' votes to grant cert on grant	
	votes at varying levels of agreeableness	62
4.4	The effect of US support for cert on grant votes at varying	
	levels of justice neuroticism	65
5.1	Marginal effects on opinion assignments	75
5.2	The effect of winning margin on chief assignments at	
	varying levels of justice agreeableness	79
5.3	The effect of issue experience on chief assignments at	
	varying levels of justice openness	82
5.4	The effect of ideological distance to the SAJ on SAJ	
	assignments at varying levels of SAJ conscientiousness	85
6.1	Marginal effects on bargaining memos	97
6.2	The effect of ideological distance to author on bargaining	
	memos at varying levels of justice conscientiousness	102
7 . I	Marginal effects on dissent	115
7.2	The effect of public disagreement on dissent at varying	
	levels of justice extraversion in cases that receive high	
	media attention	118
7.3	The effect of justice disagreement on dissent at varying	
	levels of justice conscientiousness	120
7.4	The effect of other dissents on dissent at varying levels of	
	justice agreeableness	123

ix



x List of Figures

7.5	The effect of a liberal ruling on dissent at varying levels of	
	justice agreeableness	124
7.6	The effect of a pro-US ruling on dissent at varying levels of	
	justice neuroticism	127
7.7	The effect of an altered precedent on dissent at varying	
	levels of justice openness	129
8.1	Marginal effects on filing a concurring opinion	137
8.2	The effect of amici attention on concurrences at varying	
	levels of extraversion	139
8.3	The effect of justice disagreement on concurrences at	
	varying levels of conscientiousness	141
8.4	The effect of a liberal ruling on concurrences at varying	
	levels of agreeableness	143



Tables

2.1	The Big Five as goal preferences	page 31
3.1	Justice personality and ideology	48
6.1	Bargaining statistics	95
А.1	Agenda-setting model	154
В.1	Opinion assignment models	158
С.1	Majority opinion drafts model	162
C.2	Separate opinion drafts model	163
C.3	Opinion suggestions model	164
C.4	Wait statements model	165
C.5	Join statements model	166
D.1	Dissent model	168
Fт	Concurrence model	172





Acknowledgments

I am indebted to numerous scholars, students, and friends for their wisdom and assistance in developing this project and, ultimately, producing this book. I want to thank Miles Armaly, Eileen Braman, David Campbell, Lee Epstein, Jim Gibson, Micheal Giles, Don Green, Gary Hollibough, Greg Huber, Mark Hurwitz, Jonathon Klingler, Adam Ramey, Timothy Johnson, Geoff Layman, Stefanie Lindquist, Jeff Mondak, and Paul Parker for their thoughtful and constructive feedback on various versions of this project. Special thanks to Amanda Bryan for her detailed feedback and assistance in supplementary data collection. And special thanks to the anonymous reviewers (from Cambridge University Press and another publisher) who offered invaluable suggestions for improving the manuscript. I also want to thank the many research assistants who helped me gather information and code data for this book.

However, most of the credit for this book must go to my wife, Brittany (a personality psychologist by training), who convinced me that personality traits fundamentally influence people's behavior in almost every context. It only took me a few years of hearing this insight to realize that judges might be people too.

