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For my parents, and in memory of my late sister
What can be a more melancholy sight in a savage country than to see our own countrymen greater savages than those, whom we call Cannibals and gross idolators. Of these poor ignorant islanders we may say Lord have mercy on them for they know not what they do – But what prayer must we offer for those who should know better and are every day doing worse

What then have we civilized done for the Savages? Will you say they were overwhelmed in vice before our arrival?

True they had vices – such as Nature’s growth
But only the Barbarian’s – We have both:
The garden of civilization mixed
With all the savage which man’s fall had fixed

... The prayers of Abel linked to the deeds of Cain?
Who such would see may from his lattice view
The Old more degraded than the New


India is full of contrasts and, although Mahatma Gandhi, the anopheles mosquito and the planters’ club may appear to have had little in common, they were, in fact, closely related.

John Rowntree, A Chota Sahib: Memoirs of a Forest Officer, 1981
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