Rediscovering Economic Policy as a Discipline

Government interventions in market failures can encounter objections from those who doubt their efficacy. Acocella, a leading expert on economic policy, counters these unfounded criticisms, making the convincing case for the foundation, coordination and reach of government action through economic policy. Arguing for the governmental potential to devise democratic, fair and effective institutions and policies, this book also demonstrates the validity of the principles outlined by Frisch and Tinbergen, amongst others, for controlling the economy, in a strategic context, equivalent to the rational expectations assumption. Demonstrating how unconventional monetary policies and other policies (such as macro-prudential regulation, new fiscal rules and new forms of international policy coordination) can offer an effective response to the multiplicity of current economic issues, the recent financial crisis arguably indicates that economic policy must once again take centre stage as the applied complement to mainstream economic theory.

Nicola Acocella is Emeritus Professor of Economic Policy, Sapienza University, Rome. His fields of specialisation include welfare economics, theory of economic policy, policy games, and monetary and fiscal policy, amongst others. He has published in prominent journals and authored a number of books, including *Economic Policy in the Age of Globalisation* (2005), and, with Giovanni di Bartolomeo and Andrew Hughes Hallett, *Macroeconomic Paradigms and Economic Policy* (Cambridge, 2016).
Federico Caffè Lectures

This series of annual lectures was initiated to honour the memory of Federico Caffè. They are jointly sponsored by the Department of Public Economics at the University of Rome, where Caffè held a chair from 1959 to 1987, and the Bank of Italy, where he served for many years as an advisor. The publication of the lectures will provide a vehicle for leading scholars in the economics profession, and for the interested general reader, to reflect on the pressing economic and social issues of the times.
Rediscovering Economic Policy as a Discipline

Nicola Acocella

Sapienza University of Rome
To Federico Caffè, anticipator of economic policy as a discipline, educator of thousands of students and my mentor
Contents

List of Figures xi
List of Tables xiii
Preface xv
Acknowledgements xix

Part I Economic Policy As a Discipline 1

1 Introduction 3
  1.1 The Core of Economic Policy and Its Two Pillars: The ‘Logic’ and the ‘Theory’ of Economic Policy 3
  1.2 Overview of the Development of the Discipline 4
  1.3 The ‘Invisible Hand’ and the ‘Night-Watchman’ State 8
  1.4 The Seeds for the Birth of the Logic of Economic Policy 11
  1.5 The Theory of Economic Policy and the Link between the Two Pillars 17
  1.6 The Classical Theory of Economic Policy with Fixed and Flexible Targets 24
  1.7 Linking the Two Pillars 33
  1.8 Why Did Economic Policy As a Discipline First Develop in Scandinavia and the Netherlands – And How Did It Develop Elsewhere? 35
  1.9 Economic Policy in Italy since the 1960s 45
  1.10 Conclusions 48
2 Progressive Dismantling and Demise of the Classical Theory of Economic Policy 55
  2.1 Why Economic Policy Did Not Pass Over to Other Countries or Survive 55
  2.2 Preconditions for the Existence of an Economic Discipline: The Core of Economic Policy under Attack 57
  2.3 Minor Failures: The Identity of Policymakers 60
  2.4 Vital Failures and the First Pillar: The Impossibility of a Democratic Social Welfare 62
  2.5 Vital Failures and the Second Pillar: The Ineffectiveness of Policy Action 68
  2.6 The Need for Rules and Constraints: Independent (and Conservative) Central Banks and Authorities to Limit Discretionary Policy Action 80
  2.7 Waiting for a Revaluation of Policy Effectiveness 82

3 Re-establishing the First Pillar and a Silent Revolution for the Effectiveness of Policy Action 83
  3.1 Possibilities of a Democratic Social-Welfare Ordering: The Need for a ‘Theory of Justice’ 83
  3.2 Rip van Winkle and the Rebuttal of the Theoretical Convictions of the 1970s and 1980s on the Ineffectiveness of Economic Policy 90
  3.3 The Surprise Effect 92
  3.4 The Non-Vertical Long-Run Phillips Curve 93
  3.5 The Optimal Inflation Rate 97
  3.6 Political Independence of Central Banks 102
  3.7 Conservative Central Banks and Alternative Ways of Governing Inflation 108
  3.8 The Changing Value of Multipliers and Fiscal Policy 111
  3.9 An Application of Values of Multipliers: Inconsistency of the EMU Institutions 119
  3.10 What Have We Learned from the Crisis? 123

4 Revaluation of the Classical Approach to Economic Policy: Re-establishing the Second Pillar 125
  4.1 Introduction 125
## Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Equivalence of Rational Expectations to a Strategic Game</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 An Example of Conflicts Rather Than Passive Subordination</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 Conditions for Controllability in a Static Strategic Setting</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 Notions and Conditions of Controllability in a Dynamic Strategic Setting</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6 Summary and Open Issues</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II Economic Policy in the Present Tense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 The Challenges of the Financial Crisis, Rising Inequality, Secular Stagnation and Globalisation: Economic Policy As a Lame Duck?</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 The ‘Bad News’: New Economic and Social Issues – The Great Recession</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 The Crisis As a Pivot: The Limits to Fiscal and Monetary Policy and the Need to Devise New Policy Tools</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 The ‘Good News’: New Monetary Policies and Macro-Prudential Instruments for Dealing with Crises</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 Searching for New Rules of Fiscal Policy</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 Coordinating Macroeconomic Policies: Down in the Trenches or Clearing Clouds for the Future?</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Fighting Inequalities</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7 Dealing with Secular Stagnation</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8 Finding an International Equilibrium in Times of Globalisation and Redesign of International Institutions</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 One Step Forward towards Realism in Theories Relevant to Effective and Accountable Policymaking</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1 Searching for the Right Signals in a Market Economy to Prevent Crises and to Manage Them</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 Signals, Transparency and Forward Guidance</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3 The Issue of Knowledge Sharing of Models and Policy Effectiveness</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
x Contents

6.4 How to Enrich the Classical Conception of Economic Policy As a Discipline 281

6.5 Complementing and Refining the Abstract Theory of Policymaking 293

7 Why Can Economic Policy Be a Useful Discipline? 295

7.1 Institutions As the Ring Connecting the Three Parts of Economic Policy 295

7.2 Social Choice and Institutions for Building a Fair Society 298

7.3 Conflicts and Coordination in a Democratic Society 304

7.4 The Central Role of Education for Democracy 309

7.5 Institutions for Building a Well-Functioning Society 313

7.6 The Many Reasons Why the Theory of Economic Policy Has an Impact on Institutions 315

7.7 For a Democratic, Fair and Efficient Society: A Restatement of the Need for a Unitary Discipline of Economic Policy 324

References 326

Author Index 391

Subject Index 398
Figures

1.1 Fixed-target approach  
1.2 Flexible-target approach  
3.1 Optimal inflation rates with and without fiscal transfers  
4.1 A timeline for dynamic policy problems  
5.1 Evolution of the unemployment rate in OECD countries, 2008–2018  
5.2 NEETs in major OECD countries, 2007–2014  
5.3 International and global inequality, 1952–2011  
5.4 Top 1 per cent income shares in Anglo-Saxon countries, 1910–2010  
5.5 Top 1 per cent income shares in Continental Europe and Japan, 1910–2010  
5.6 Top 1 per cent income shares in emerging countries, 1910–2010  
5.7 Real income growth by percentile of global income distribution, 1988–2008  
5.8 Wage shares as a per cent of GDP, various countries, 1980, 1990, 2010  
5.9 Adjusted share of labour income in GDP in selected G20 countries, 1991–2013  
5.10 Financialisation. Average value of market capitalisation in the stock exchange (per cent of GDP) in thirty-four OECD countries, 1990–2013  
5.11 Rate of return versus growth rate at the world level, from antiquity until 2100
xii  List of Figures

5.12 Components of growth in per-capita real GDP, 1987–2007 232
5.13 Stock and flows of inward foreign direct investment as a share of global output by country group (per cent), 1970–2014 238
5.14 Global current account surpluses and deficits as a share of world output (per cent), 1980–2014 242
Tables

5.1 Recent and projected growth rates for real GDP, 2007–17 172
5.2 Recent and projected growth rates for employment, 2007–17 173
5.3 Marginal income tax rates, selected countries (1900–2013) 220
5.4 Globalisation waves in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries 237
5.5 Growth of real GDP per capita at purchasing power parity, selected regions and economies, 1951–2015 240
Preface

In the process to specialisation of the unitary economic science that had developed since Adam Smith’s *Wealth of Nations* (1776), at least three economic disciplines have arisen over time, each with some degree of autonomy, albeit linked one to the other. Adam Smith’s analysis contained the seeds for all future developments. First, it was economic analysis, which progressively proceeded towards a highly abstract set of principles, after other economic disciplines had developed. Then, public finance emerged as a necessary step for designing the main task of a modern state, i.e. to levy taxes to meet public expenditures. This discipline arose by the end of the nineteenth century, but some bricks were laid well before. Economic policy – which had a limited role for Adam Smith and other classical writers – arose only later, as we will see.

What differentiates the various disciplines is their different degree of abstraction or remoteness from reality, economic analysis being the most theoretical one, followed by economic policy, encompassing the action of government in all economic areas and, then, public finance, confined to the action of government for taxes and expenditures.

The seeds of economic policy were laid from the end of the nineteenth century to World War II, but the discipline can be said to have been ‘officially’ established only by the end of
the 1950s. Market failures were first identified through a microeconomic lens only, by what is now termed ‘social choice and welfare theory’, and then, by Kalecki, Frisch, Keynes and others, also in macroeconomic terms. As I will say, market failures represent the ‘logic of economic policy’, which justifies the existence of government action in many areas to correct or substitute the market for its failures. It constitutes what I call the ‘first pillar’ of the discipline.

Facing the numerous government tasks arising from market failures – and thus the rise of multiple targets – requires a number of effective policy tools as well as a coordination of their use to guarantee the attainment of goals. This is done in the ‘theory of economic policy’, which constitutes my ‘second pillar’ of the discipline of economic policy.

Economic policy has also a third branch, applying the concepts of its two pillars to given historical and institutional contexts. I call it ‘applied economic policy’.

This book is organised as follows: Chapter 1 traces the development of economic policy as a discipline, which concluded in the 1950s. Chapter 2 deals with the progressive dismantling and the demise of this conception of economic policy for a long time. Specifically, the discipline as sketched in Chapter 1 became obsolete as a result of many critiques addressed to it. Some of these critiques were ‘minor’, as they could rather easily be incorporated in the realm of the classical version of economic policy.

‘Vital’ critiques, instead, referred to the central aspects of the two pillars and threatened their foundations and, thus, their validity. If they are unaddressed, the whole discipline – or at least a part of it – collapses. The first such vital critique was advanced by Arrow in 1950–51 and concerned the impossibility of defining social targets starting from individuals’ preferences (Arrow’s critique). This critique threatened the possibility of tying government goals to the preferences of the citizens and, thus, the possibility of their democratic derivation.
The second vital critique referred to the ineffectiveness of macroeconomic policy action and derived from the introduction of ‘rational expectations’ in the 1970s. Rational expectations implied that any government action could be foreseen and neutralised by the private sector. This deprived government action of a set of relevant macroeconomic policies, even if it retained some degree of acceptance of microeconomic policy intervention as a way to influence the structure of the economy.

These critiques were not addressed for too long, which led to the demise of the discipline. Chapter 3 investigates the long process of rehabilitation of economic policy as a discipline. After a couple of decades, the first critique was overcome, which required an appeal to the need for a theory of justice. The rehabilitation of the second pillar needed even longer. It passed through a silent and slow revolution, laying down various pieces that contributed to clarifying the rationale – and conditions – for the effectiveness of public policy. Around a decade ago, this finally led to a statement of the theory of economic policy in a strategic setting, rather than in a parametric context, as in the classical theory.

Chapter 4 describes the content and methodology of the theory of economic policy in a strategic context. It indicates static and dynamic conditions for the controllability and stabilisability of an economy that go back to the well-known Tinbergen rule of the existence of at least so many instruments as targets. The theory also states the conditions for the existence of an equilibrium or multiple equilibria when more than one agent satisfies the rule. It can therefore be depicted as a theory of conflicts among agents having competing targets or target values and their resolution – thus a ‘theory of conflicts’ and a ‘theory of consent’. It is thus useful for model and institution building, i.e. it can help both researchers – in devising suitable models – and policymakers – in successfully coping with real problems, which imply total or partial convergence of interests and/or...
conflicts. It also deals with announcements that can help in finding one equilibrium out of many.

Chapters 5 and 6 apply the tools developed by the theory of economic policy in a strategic context. Chapter 5 provides several practical examples on how the theory works. It applies the theory of economic policy to a number of fields, in particular, to the main current problems, the economic crisis, inequality, stagnation and globalisation. Chapter 6 reveals the helpfulness of the new theory of economic policy in laying down signals that provide sufficient information for pointing out economic conditions in general and imbalances in particular that can be useful for preventing crises. The chapter also discusses the realistic assumptions and the political economy concepts that should be incorporated into economic policy for effective policy action.

Finally, Chapter 7 explains the crucial role of institutions when conceiving economic policy as an autonomous discipline. In fact, institutions underlie and link together the two pillars of economic policy, as well as the third part of the discipline, applied economic policy. Institutions thus constitute the glue of the three parts of economic policy, the logic of economic policy (notably social choice and welfare theory), the theory of economic policy and the applications of these two pillars to a given situation.
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