

AGAINST KNOWLEDGE CLOSURE

Knowledge closure is the claim that, if an agent S knows P, recognizes that P implies Q, and believes Q because it is implied by P, then S knows Q. Closure is a pivotal epistemological principle that is widely endorsed by contemporary epistemologists. Against Knowledge Closure is the first booklength treatment of the issue and the most sustained argument for closure failure to date. Unlike most prior arguments for closure failure, Marc Alspector-Kelly's critique of closure does not presuppose any particular epistemological theory; his argument is, instead, intuitively compelling and applicable to a wide variety of epistemological views. His discussion ranges over much of the epistemological landscape, including skepticism, warrant, transmission and transmission failure, fallibilism, sensitivity, safety, evidentialism, reliabilism, contextualism, entitlement, circularity and bootstrapping, justification, and justification closure. As a result, the volume will be of interest to any epistemologist or student of epistemology and related subjects.

MARC ALSPECTOR-KELLY is Professor of Philosophy at Western Michigan University. His work in epistemology, the philosophy of science, and the history of analytic philosophy has been published in numerous leading journals including *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, *Philosophy of Science*, *Synthese*, and *Philosophical Studies*.



AGAINST KNOWLEDGE CLOSURE

MARC ALSPECTOR-KELLY

Western Michigan University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108463294 DOI: 10.1017/9781108604093

© Marc Alspector-Kelly 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019 First paperback edition 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-108-47402-3 Hardback ISBN 978-1-108-46329-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Acknowledgments		<i>page</i> viii
ı N	Motivation, Strategy, and Definition	I
I.I	Closure as Axiom	I
1.2	Why Care?	4
1.3		6
1.4		8
1.5	****	9
	Transmission and Warrant	12
1.7	The Problem with KC	14
1.8		15
2 (Counterexamples	19
2. I	Zebra	19
2.2	Basis Fallibilism	20
2.3	Dretske Cases	22
2.4	Vogel against the Counterexamples	24
2.5	A Plethora of Inclinations	27
2.6	The Argument by Counterexample	29
2.7	The Chapters to Follow	31
3 I	Denying Premise 1: Skepticism	32
3.1	Why Skepticism?	32
3.2	Downgrading	33
3.3	Piecemeal and Wholesale Skeptical Hypotheses	35
3.4	The Skeptical Closure Argument	38
3.5	Front-Loading	49
3.6	Underdetermination	53
3.7	Conclusion	55
4 I	Denying Premise 2: Warrant Transmission	57
4. I	Warrant Transmission and Williamson's Insight	57
4.2	No Inevitable False Negatives	60
4.3	NIFN, Fallibilism, and Insensitivity	64



vi	Contents	
4.4	Method Individuation	67
4.5	Method Externalism	69
4.6	NIFN and Other Dretske Cases	71
5]	Transmission, Skepticism, and Conditions of Warrant	73
5.1	Transmission and Skepticism	73
5.2	Transmission and Conditions of Warrant	77
5.3	Transmission and Safety	79
5.4	Transmission and Reliabilism	81
5.5	Transmission and Evidentialism	85
5.6	Summary of the Last Two Chapters	89
6 F	Front-Loading	90
6.1	Warrant Preservation without Transmission	90
6.2	8	91
6.3	E	92
6.4	8 8	95
6.5	And Not Just Front-Loading	99
6.6	A Safe Way Out?	100
6.7	Explaining Transmission Failure	106
6.8	The Closure Advocate's Dilemma	113
7 I	Denying Premise 3: Warrant for P as Warrant for Q	114
7.1	Setting Aside Buck-Passing	114
7.2	Putting Inference Out of a Job	114
7.3	The Irrelevance of B to Q	116
8 I	Denying Premise 4: Warrant by Background Information	118
8.1	Outline of the Chapter	118
8.2	Background Information and Wholesale Skeptical Hypotheses	119
8.3	Background Information and Piecemeal Skeptical Hypotheses	121
8.4	Explaining the Lottery Intuition	122
8.5	Warrant Infallibilism and the Lottery Intuition	131
8.6	Merricks' Arguments for Warrant Infallibilism	139
8.7	Summary	147
9 I	Denying Premise 5: Warrant by Entitlement	148
9.1	Warrants by Entitlement	148
9.2	Entitlement and Skepticism	149
9.3	The Meaning of "Warrant"	150
9.4	Strategic Entitlement	152
9.5	Entitlement of Cognitive Project	160
9.6	Conclusion	165



	Contents	vii
10 Al	pominable Conjunctions, Contextualism, and the	
Sp	preading Problem	168
10.1	Arguing against Closure Denial	168
10.2	Abominable Conjunctions	168
10.3	Abominable Conjunctions and Contextualism	170
10.4	Contextualism and Anti-Skeptical Sources of Warrant	178
10.5	Abominable Conjunctions and Interest-Relative Invariantism	187
10.6	Abominable Conjunctions and Classical Moderate Invariantism	188
10.7	Abominable Conjunctions and the Knowledge Rule	189
10.8	Assumptions and Skepticism	201
10.9	The Spreading Problem	208
11 Bo	ootstrapping, Epistemic Circularity, and Justification Closure	212
II.I	Bootstrapping	212
11.2	Bootstrapping and NIFN	220
11.3	Bootstrapping and Epistemic Circularity	222
11.4	More Easy Knowledge	225
11.5	Justification Closure	225
11.6	Justification, Skepticism, and Assumptions	229
Referen	ces	233
Index		



Acknowledgments

Two anonymous reviewers provided extensive feedback, for which I am grateful; the book is much improved as a result. It is also much improved thanks to the support and contributions, both analytical and editorial, of my wife, Tammy, and the participation of our sons, Ben, Daniel, and Jonathan, in countless conversations concerning cleverly disguised mules.