

CAUSATION IN COMPETITION LAW DAMAGES ACTIONS

Competition law damages actions are often characterized by the uncertainty of the causal connection between the infringement and the harm. The damage consists in a pure economic loss flowing from an anticompetitive conduct. In such cases, the complexity of the markets' structures, combined with the interdependence of individuals' assets, fuel this causal uncertainty. In this work, Claudio Lombardi elucidates the concept of causation in competition law damages actions and outlines its practical implications in competition litigation through the comparative analysis of the relevant statutory and case law, primarily in the European Union. This book should be read by practitioners, scholars, and graduate students with experience in competition law, as well as those interested in analyzing economic torts and causation in general.

CLAUDIO LOMBARDI is an assistant professor at KIMEP University's School of Law. He previously served as a visiting research fellow at University College London and at the University of Cambridge, Faculty of Law. Before that, he was a postdoctoral research fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law and the Europa-Kolleg, Hamburg and a visiting lecturer at the International University College of Turin and at Trinity College Dublin, School of Law.

GLOBAL COMPETITION LAW AND ECONOMICS POLICY

This series publishes monographs highlighting the interdisciplinary and multijurisdictional nature of competition law, economics, and policy. Global in coverage, the series should appeal to competition and antitrust specialists working as scholars, practitioners, and judges.

General Editors: Ioannis Lianos, University College London; Thomas Cheng, University of Hong Kong; Simon Roberts, University of Johannesburg; Maarten Pieter Schinkel, University of Amsterdam; Maurice Stucke, University of Tennessee

Causation in Competition Law Damages Actions

CLAUDIO LOMBARDI

KIMEP University



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
 978-1-108-45080-5 — Causation in Competition Law Damages Actions
 Claudio Lombardi
 Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
 UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
 314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India
 103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108450805

DOI: 10.1017/9781108552509

© Claudio Lombardi 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2020

First paperback edition 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

NAMES: Lombardi, Claudio, 1983– author.

TITLE: Causation in competition law damages actions / Claudio Lombardi, KIMEP University.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY, USA : Cambridge University Press, 2020. |

Based on author's thesis (doctoral - Università degli studi di Trento, 2015) issued under title:

Causation in private enforcement of competition law : a comparative analysis of divergent national approaches. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2019035493 (print) | LCCN 2019035494 (ebook) |

ISBN 9781108428620 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108450805 (paperback) |

ISBN 9781108552509 (epub)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Antitrust law—European Union countries. | Antitrust law. | Damages—European Union countries. | Damages. | Actions and defenses—European Union countries. | Actions and defenses.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC KJE6530 .L66 2020 (print) | LCC KJE6530 (ebook) |

DDC 343.2407/21—dc23

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2019035493>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2019035494>

ISBN 978-1-108-42862-0 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-108-45080-5 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

For Andrea

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>page</i> ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
Introduction	1
1 Causation in Competition Law	3
1.1 Causation: A Primer	5
1.2 Theories of Causation	7
1.3 Nature and Conditions of the Antitrust Harm	11
1.4 The Causal Connection in Competition Damages Actions	16
1.5 Causation in Fact	19
1.6 Legal Causation	25
2 Causation Rules in National Courts	34
2.1 English Law	34
2.2 German Law	38
2.3 French Law	40
2.4 Italian Law	43
2.5 US Law	45
3 Causation in the European Union Competition Law and Decisions	50
3.1 Causation in the Court of Justice of the European Union Decisions	51
3.2 Causation in the European Union Competition Law	53
3.3 The (Positive) Limits to the National Rules on Causation	55
3.4 The Objectives of the Damages Directive and of Articles 101 and 102	56
3.5 The Right to Full Compensation in the Directive on Antitrust Damages Claims	60
3.6 Scope of Competition Law Damages Actions	61

3.7	Convergence of Different Causation Regimes and the Effects of a Diverse Set of Legal Frameworks	64
3.8	Causation and EU Law	66
4	Causal Uncertainty in Competition Law Damages Claims	69
4.1	Sources of Causal Uncertainty	70
4.2	Use of Probabilities in National Courts	74
4.3	Causal Proportional Liability and Multiple Defendants	77
4.4	Causal Proportional Liability	89
4.5	Loss of Chance	91
4.6	Lost Chances in Domestic Antitrust Case Law	93
4.7	Claimant Indeterminacy and Collective Redress	103
4.8	Preemption and Duplicative Causes	104
5	Proof Rules of Causation	106
5.1	The Burden of Proof	109
5.2	The Standards of Proof	110
5.3	Proof of Causation in EU Law	112
5.4	Standards of Proof, Judges' Persuasion and Causal Uncertainty in Competition Law	114
5.5	Proving Causation in EU Competition Law	118
5.6	Proving Causation in National Courts	119
5.7	Econometrics and the 'Calculation' of Causation in EU Competition Law	131
6	Proving the Uncertain Causation	141
6.1	Relaxation of Proof Rules	142
6.2	Use of Causal Presumptions by EU Courts	147
6.3	Causal Presumptions in the Damages Directive	148
6.4	Lowering the Standard of Proof for Causation	151
6.5	The Moral Justification of the Standards of Proof for Causation	160
7	Causation in Indirect and Secondary Antitrust Damages	164
7.1	Indirect Purchasers' Claims	167
7.2	The Regulatory Framework in the EU	180
7.3	Indirect Purchasers Aggrieved by Umbrella Effects	188
	Conclusion	192
	<i>Bibliography</i>	197
	<i>Index</i>	219

Acknowledgements

This book is based on a doctoral thesis I defended at the University of Trento in March 2015. Since then, many people and places have contributed to its development.

I am deeply indebted to Peter Behrens for hosting me at the Europa-Kolleg Institute for European Integration in Hamburg and for the many inspiring conversations.

I also thank Jürgen Basedow for giving me the opportunity to conduct most of my research at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law, Hamburg, and for sharing with me his ideas on the book's topic. I am very grateful to Okeoghene Odudu for hosting me at the University of Cambridge and for our inspiring conversations, and I thank Anelka Phillips for having me as visiting professor at Trinity College Dublin and for being a stimulating colleague. Similarly, I would also like to thank Ioannis Lianos for hosting me at the UCL in London. All these universities and hosts have provided a perfect environment to conduct research and write this book. In this vein, I want to thank Martins Paporinskas and Meagan Wong for the continuous encouragement and for their friendship inside and outside the walls of the UCL in London. My gratitude goes also to Alexey Ivanov for the period I spent at the HSE in Moscow and for our friendship afterwards. Moreover, I would like to thank Saira Mian for her uplifting example as a researcher and as a person and for the many inspiring conversations, and Marina Chang for being a similarly stimulating colleague in London.

I am also deeply indebted to Joseph Luke, dean of the Faculty of Law of KIMEP University for his comments on the first draft of this book, for his support, and for the motivation to move faster when I needed it most.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and my wife for their encouragement and support throughout my writing. Without them, it would not even make sense to write a book.



Cover image used with permission. Caravaggio (Merisi, Michelangelo), *The Cardsharps*, c. 1594. © 2019. Kimbell Art Museum, Fort Worth, Texas /Art Resource, NY/Scala, Florence.
© Photo SCALA, Florence

Table of Cases

European Union

- C-362/95 P Blackspur DIY Ltd, Steven Kellar, JMA Glancy and Ronald Cohen v Council of the European Union and Commission of the European Communities [1997] ECR I-04775 118
- C-49/92 P Commission v Anic Partecipazioni (1999) ECR I-4125
- C-89/85 Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and Others v Commission [1994] ECR I-99
- C-192/95 Comateb and Others v Directeur général des douanes and droits indirects ECR I-00165
- C-199/92 P Hüls v Commission (1999) ECR I-4287
- C-208/90 Emmott v Minister for Social Welfare [1991] ECR I-4269
- C-222/84 Johnston v the Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary [1986] ECR 1651
- C-231/11 P a C-233/11 Commission v Siemens Österreich and Others ECLI:EU:C:2014:256
- C-238/78 Ireks-Arkady v Council and Commission ECR 01719
- C-256/80 Birra Wührer v Council and Commission ECR 00789
- C-293/13 P e C-294/13 P Fresh Del Monte
- C-331/85 Bianco and Girard v Directeur général des douanes and droits indirects [1988] ECR 1988 01099
- C-382/12 P MasterCard Inc and Others v European Commission (2014) not yet published
- 27/76 United Brands Co v Commission ECLI:EU:C:1978:22
- C-33/76, Rewe-Zentralfinanz eG and ReweZentral AG v Landwirtschaftskammer für das Saarland ECR 1976-1989
- C-44/76 Milch-, Fett- und Eier-Kontor GmbH v Council and Commission of the European Communities [1977] ECR 393
- C-45/76 Comet BV v Produktschap voor Siergewassen 1976-02043

- C-61/80 Coöperatieve Stremsel- en Kleurselafabriek v Commission ECLI: EU:C:1981:75
- C-96/82 IAZ International Belgium NV v Commission [1989] [1983] ECR – 3369
- C-199/82 Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato v SpA San Giorgio ECR 1983 03595
- C-74/14 Eturas and Others ECLI:EU:C:2016:42
- C-7/95 P Deere (John) Ltd v Commission [1998] ECR I-3111
- C-8/08 T-Mobile v Raad van bestuur van de Nederlandse Mededingingsautoriteit [2009] ECR I-4529
- C-12/03 P Commission of the European Communities v Tetra Laval BV ECR [2005] I-987
- C-14/83 Von Colson and Kamann v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen [1984] ECR 1891
- C-22/71 Béguelin Import v GL Import Export [1971] ECR 00949
- C-35/09 Speranza [2010] ECR I-6581
- C-55/06 Arcor [2008] ECR I-2931
- C-56/65 Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm GmbH [1966] ECR 235
- C-73/95 P Viho Europe BV v Commission of the European Communities [1996] ECR I-5457
- C-94/00 Roquette Frères SA v Directeur général de la concurrence, de la consommation et de la répression des fraudes, and Commission of the European Communities [2002] ECR I-09011
- C-94/10 Danfoss A/S and Sauer-Danfoss ApS v Skatteministeriet ECR I-09963
- C-97/08 P Akzo Nobel and Others v Commission [2009] ECR I-08237
- C-105/04 P Nederlandse Federatieve Vereniging voor de Groothandel op Elektrotechnisch Gebied (FEG) v Commission, ECLI:EU:C:2005:751
- C-128/92 H J Banks & Co Ltd v British Coal Corporation [1994] ECR I-01209
- C-147/83 Münchener Import-Weinkellerei Herold Binderer GmbH v Commission of the European Communities [1985] ECR 257
- C-199/11 Europese Gemeenschap v Otis NV and Others [2012] ECLI:EU: C:2012:684
- C-205/03 P – FENIN v Commission [2006] I-06295
- C-222/86 UNECTEF v Heylens [1987] ECR 4097
- C-224/02 Pusa [2004] ECR I-5763
- C-228/98 Dounias [2000] ECR I-577
- C-234/89 Stergios Delimitis v Henninger Bräu AG [1991] ECR I-935
- C-250/92 DLG [1994] ECR I-5641
- C-250/92 Gøttrup-Klim [1994] ECR I-564

- C-271/91 *Marshall v Southampton and South West Area Health Authority II* [1993] ECR I-4367
- C-398/09 *Lady & Kid A/S and Others v Skatteministeriet* ECR I-07375
- C-440/12 *Metropol Spielstätten Unternehmergeellschaft (haftungsbeschränkt) v Finanzamt Hamburg-Bergedorf* not yet published
- C-453/99 *Courage Ltd v Bernard Crehan and Bernard Crehan v Courage Ltd and Others* [2001] ECR I-06297
- C-470/03 *AGM-COSMET Srl v Suomen valtio* ECR I-2749
- C-472/00 *Commission v Fresh Marine Company A/S* [2003] ECR 7541
- C-526/04 *Laboratoires Boiron* [2006] ECR I-7259
- C-526/04 *Laboratoires Boiron* [2006] ECR I-7259
- C-536/11 *Donau Chemie and Others* [2013] ECLI:EU:C:2013:366
- C-557/12 *Kone AG and Others v ÖBB-Infrastruktur AG*, 5 June 2014 ECLI:EU:C:2014:1317
- C-681/11 *Bundeswettbewerbsbehörde, Bundeskartellanwalt v Schenker & Co and Others* ECLI:EU:C:2013:404
- C-332/11 *ProRail BV v Xpedys NV and Others* EU:C:2013:87
- Commission Decision *Carbonless Paper Cartel* [2001] OJ L 115, 21.04.2004
- Commission Decision *Case COMP/36545/F3 – Amino Acids* OJ L 152, 762001, p 24–72
- Commission Decision *Choline Chloride* Case COMP/E-2/37533, 9122004
- Commission decision *Kali and Salz, MdK, Treuhand* 14 December 1993, Case IV/M308 [1994] OJ L 316/1
- Continental Ore Co v Union Carbide & Carbon Corp* 370 US 690
- Conwood Co v US Tobacco Co*, 290 F3d 768
- European Commission *Case COMP/F/38638* 29 November 2006 – *Butadiene Rubber and Emulsion Styrene Butadiene Rubber*
- Joined Cases C-5/66, 7/66 and 13/66 to 24/66 Kampffmeyer v Commission* ECR 245
- Joined Cases C-64 and 113/76, 167, and 239/78, 27–28 and 45/79 Dumortier Frères v Commission* [1979] ECR 3091
- Joined Cases C-6/90 and C-9/90, Andrea Francovich and Danila Bonifaci and Others v Italian Republic* [1991] ECR 5357
- Joined Cases C-46/93 and C-48/93 Brasserie du Pêcheur SA v Bundesrepublik Deutschland and The Queen v Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte: Factortame Ltd and Others* [1996] ECR I-01029
- Joined Cases C-104/89 and C-37/90 Mulder v Council and Commission* ECR I-3061
- Joined Cases C-204/00 P, C-205/00 P, C-211/00 P, C-213/00 P, C-217/00 P and C-219/00 P Aalborg Portland and Others v Commission* ECLI:EU:C:2004:6

- Joined Cases C-295/04 to C-298/04 *Vincenzo Manfredi v Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni SpA* [2006] ECR I-6619
Lundkvist v Sweden (dec), no 48518/99 ECHR 2003-XI
 Opinion of Advocate General Jääskinen in Case C-536/11 *Bundeswettbewerbshilfebehörde v Donau Chemie AG and Others* ECLI:EU:C:2013:67
 Opinion of Advocate General Jääskinen in Case C-559/12 *P French Republic v Commission* ECLI:EU:C:2013:766
 Opinion of Advocate General Kokott Case C-557/12 *Kone AG and Others v ÖBB-Infrastruktur AG* ECLI:EU:C:2014:45
 Opinion of AG Kokott, Case C-105/04 *P Nederlandse Federatieve Vereniging voor de Groothandel op Elektrotechnisch Gebied (FEG) v Commission* ECLI:EU:C:2005:751
 Opinion of Mr Van Gerven Case C-128/92 ECR I-01209
Ringvold v Norway, no 34964/97, ECHR 2003-II
 T-24/93 *Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports and Others v Commission*
 T-112/07 *Hitachi Ltd, Hitachi Europe Ltd and Japan AE Power Systems Corp v European Commission* II-03871
 T-461/07 *Visa Europe and Visa International Service v Commission* [2013] ECR II-01729
 T-11/89 *Shell International Chemical Company v Commission* [1992] ECR II-757
 T-44/02 *Dresdner Bank AG and Others v Commission of the European Communities* II-3567
 T-67/00, *JFE Engineering v Commission* ECLI:EU:T:2004:221
 T-193/02 *Piau v Commission* [2005] ECR II-209
 T-201/04 *Microsoft Corp v Commission*, ECR [2007] II-3601
 T-216/13 *Telefónica v Commission*, ECLI:EU:T:2016:369
 T-286/09 *Intel v Commission* [2014] ECLI:EU:T:2014:547
 T-328/03 *O2 (Germany) GbmH & Co OHG v Commission* (2006) ECR II-1231
 T-478/93 *Wafer Zoo v Commission* ECR II-1479

England

- AB & Ors v British Coal Corporation & Anor* [2004] EWHC 1372 (QB)
Allied Maples Group Ltd v Simmons & Simmons [1995] 1 WLR 1602
Allied Maples Group Ltd v Simmons & Simmons [1995] EWCA Civ 17
Alphacell Ltd v Woodward [1972] UKHL 4
Arkin v Borchard Lines Ltd & Ors [2003] EWHC 687 (Comm)
Arkin v Borchard Lines Ltd & Ors [2005] EWCA Civ 655
Attheraces Ltd & Anor v British Horse Racing Board & Anor [2005] EWHC 3015 (Ch)

Table of Cases

xv

- Baker v Willoughby [1969] UKHL 8, [1969] 3 All ER 1528
 Barker v Corus (UK) Plc [2006] UKHL 20
 Barnett v Chelsea & Kensington Hospital Management Committee [1968]
 1 All ER 1068
 BCL Old Co Ltd & Ors v BASF SE & Ors [2008] 24 (CAT)
 Bookmakers Afternoon Greyhound Services Ltd v Amalgamated Racing
 Ltd [2009] EWCA Civ 750
 Bradford v Robinson Rentals Ltd [1967] 1 All ER 267
 Caswell v Powell Duffryn Associated Collieries [1940] AC 152, 165
 CAT JJB Sports Plc v Office of Fair Trading, 17 [2005] Comp. A.R. 29
 Chaplin v Hicks [1911] 2 KB 786, CA
 Cooper Tire & Rubber Co & Ors v Shell Chemicals UK Ltd & Ors [2009]
 EWHC 2609 (Comm)
 Cooper Tire & Rubber Company Europe Limited v Dow Deutschland Inc
 [2010] EWCA Civ 864
 Corr v IBC Vehicles Ltd [2008] UKHL 13 (UKHL [2008])
 Crehan v Innpreneur Pub Company CPC [2004] EWCA Civ 637
 Devenish Nutrition Ltd v Sanofi-Aventis SA [2008] EWCA Civ 1086
 DHN Food Distributors v London Borough of Tower Hamlets [1976] 3 All
 ER 852
 Doughty v Turner Manufacturing Company [1964] 1 QB 518
 Emerald Supplies Ltd & Anor v British Airways Plc [2010] EWCA Civ 1284
 Emerson Electric Co & Ors v Mersen UK Portslade Ltd & Anor [2012]
 EWCA Civ 155
 English Welsh & Scottish Railway Ltd v Enron Coal Services Ltd [2009]
 EWCA Civ 647
 Enron Coal Services Ltd (In Liquidation) v English Welsh & Scottish
 Railway Ltd [2011] EWCA Civ 2
 Enron Coal Services v English Welsh and Scottish Railways [2003]
 EWHC 687
 Fairchild v Glenhaven Funeral Services Ltd [2002] UKHL 22
 Fulton Shipping Inc of Panama v Globalia Business Travel SAU of Spain
 [2014] EWHC 1547
 Gabriel v Kirklees Metropolitan Council [2004] EWCA Civ 345
 Garden Cottage Foods Limited v Milk Marketing Board [1984] AC 130
 Gregg v Scott [2005] UKHL 2
 H & Ors (minors), Re [1995] UKHL 16
 Healthcare at Home v Genzyme Ltd [2006] CAT 29
 Hedley Byrne v Heller [1964] AC 465
 Holtby v Brigham & Cowan (Hull) Ltd [2000] 3 All ER 421
 Hotson v East Berkshire Area Health Authority [1988] UKHL 1, [1987]
 AC 750

- Hughes v Lord Advocate [1963] AC 837
 Intntrepreneur Pub Company (CPC) and others v Crehan [2006] UKHL 38
 Jayasena v R [1970] AC 618, 623
 JJB Sports Plc v Office of Fair Trading [2004] CAT 17, [2005] Comp AR 29
 Jobling v Associated Dairies Ltd [1981] UKHL 3
 Liyama (UK) Ltd v Samsung Electronics Co Ltd Court of Appeal (Civil Division) [2018] 4 CMLR 23
 Mallett v McMonagle [1970] AC 166
 McGhee v National Coal Board [1972] UKHL 7
 Miller v Minister of Pensions [1947] 2 ALL ER
 Napp Pharmaceuticals Holdings Ltd v Director General of Fair Trading [2002] CAT 1
 Overseas Tankship (UK) Ltd v Morts Dock & Engineering Company Ltd [1961] UKPC 2
 Overseas Tankship (UK) Ltd v The Miller Steamship Co Pty (The Wagon Mound No2) [1966] UKPC 10
 Provimi Ltd v Roche UK [2003] EWHC 961 (Comm)
 Re Polemis and Furness, Withy & Co [1921] 3 KB 560
 Roche Products Ltd & Ors v Provimi Ltd [2003] EWHC 961 (COMM)
 Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd v MasterCard Incorporated and Others [2016] CAT 11
 Sienkiewicz v Greif (UK) Ltd [2009] EWCA Civ 1159 (EWCA (Civ))
 Smith v Leech Brain & Co [1962] 2 QB 405
 Vattenfall AB v Prysmian SpA [2018] EWHC 1694 (Ch)
 WH Newson Holding Ltd & Ors v IMI Plc & Ors [2013] EWCA Civ 1377 (EWCA (Civ))
 2 Travel Group PLC (in liquidation) v Cardiff City Transport Services Ltd [2012] CAT 19 CAT

Germany

- BGH 15.6.1993, XI ZR 111/92, NJW 1993, 3073
 BGH 28.6.2011, KZR 75/10 NJW 2012, 928
 BGH 8.6.2010, KZR 45/09
 BGH NJW 2004, 777; BGH NJW 2008, 1381
 BGH, 04.11.2003, KZR 2/02 GRUR 2004, 351
 BGH, 12.05.1998, KZR 23/96 NJW-RR 1999, 189
 BGH, 12.7.2016, KZR 25/14, NZKart 2016, Lottoblock II
 BGH, 17.2.1970, 53 BGHZ 245
 BGH, 17.6.1998, XII ZR 206/95, WM 1998, 1787
 BGH, 24.06.1965, KZR 7/64 1965 BHZ 44, 279

Table of Cases

xvii

- BGH, 25.01.1983, KZR 12/81 NJW 1984, 2819
 BGH, 6.7.1993, VI ZR 228/92, NJW 1993, 2673
 BGH, 8.10.2008 XII ZR 84/06 NJW 2008, 3772
 BGH 06.11.2012, II ZR 111/12.
 Bundeskartellamt, 23.08.2006, B10-148/05.
 KG 1.10.2009, 2 U 10/03 Kart NZG 2010, 420
 KG Berlin, 01.10.2009, 2 U 17/03 Kart
 LG Berlin, 27.06.2003, 102 O 155/02 Kart
 LG Dortmund 01.04.2004, 13 O 55/02 KartWuW/E DE-R, 1352
 LG Dusseldorf, 9 April 2014, VI-U (Kart) 10/12
 LG Mainz, 15.1.2004, 12 HKO 52/02 Kart NJW-RR 2004, 478
 LG Mannheim 22 O 74/04 Kart EWiR 2005, 659
 LG Mannheim 11.7.2003, 7 O 326/02 GRUR 2004, 182
 LG Mannheim 29.4.2005, 22 O 74/04 Kart EWiR 659
 LG Stuttgart, 6.6.2019, 30 O 38/17
 OLG (Higher Regional Court) Karlsruhe 6 U 118/05 (Kart) 21
 OLG Dortmund WuW/E DE-R 1352
 OLG Düsseldorf 9.4.2014, VI-U (Kart) 10/12
 OLG Karlsruhe 11 June 2010, 6-U 118/05 (Kart)
 OLG Karlsruhe 11062010, 6-U 118/05 Kart
 OLG Karlsruhe 2812004, 6 U 183/03 NJW 2004, 2243
 OLG Stuttgart, 22.05.1998, 2 U 223/97 NZV 1999, 169
 OLG Wien, 14 December 2007 Az. 25 Kt 12/07

France

- Cour d'Appel Paris, SNC Doux Aliments Bretagne etc v SAS Ajinomoto Eurolysine, 27 February 2014 no 07/10478
 Cour d'Appel Paris, M Merhi Bassam v SNC Société Presse Paris Services – SPPS, 27 April 2011, no 08/21750
 Cour d'Appel Paris, JCB Ltd v Central Parts, 26 June 2013, no 1204441
 Cour d'Appel Versailles, SA Concurrence v SA Aiwa France, 9 December 2003, no 01/08413
 Cour d'Appel Versailles, SA Verimedia v SA Mediametria SA Secodip Gie Audipub, no 2002 07434, 24 June 2004
 Cour de Cassation civ., 24 September 2009, 2492009, Bull I, no 187, D 2010, 49, note P B, Revue trimestrielle de droit civil (RTD Civ) 2010, 111
 Cour de Cassation comm., 18 April 2000, no 97-22604
 Cour de Cassation comm., 15 February 2011, no 09-16779
 Cour de Cassation comm., 15 February 2011, no 10-11614
 Cour de Cassation comm., 15 June 2010, no 09-15816

- Cour de cassation comm., 15 September 2009, no 08-14398
 Cour de Cassation comm. Ajinomoto Eurolysine v SNC Doux Aliment
 Bretagne and Others 15 June 2010, no 09/15816
 Cour de Cassation, JCB Services et al. v Central Parks, 6 October 2015,
 no 13-24854
 Cour de Cassation Le Gouessant 15 May 2012, no 11-18495
 Cour de Cassation Orange v Cowes, 25 March 2014, no 1313839
 Tribunal de Commerce Nanterre SA Les Laboratoires Pharmaceutiques
 Arkopharma v Ste Roche etc, 11 May 2006, no 02004F02643
 Tribunal de Commerce Paris Eco System/Peugeot, 22 October 1996, not
 published
 Tribunal de Commerce Paris, Société les Laboratoires Juva Production v
 SAS Roche, 10 September 2003, no RG2003048044

Italy

- Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato, 16 November 2004,
 A351 no 13752
 Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato, 28 July 2000, no
 8546 I377
 Cassazione civile sez II, 1 December 2000, no 15385
 Corte Costituzionale, 21 April 2000 no 114
 Corte d'Appello Cagliari, Unimare S.r.l v Geasar S.p.a, 23 January 1999, in
 Giur it 2000, 346
 Corte d'Appello Milan, Telecom Italia SpA v Brennercom SpA, 2 January
 2017 no 1/2017
 Corte d'Appello Turin, Indaba Incentive co v società Juventus FC S.p.A,
 6 July 2000, in Danno e Resp. 2001
 Corte di Cassazione civ, Telecom v Sign and Others, 16 May 2007, no 11312
 Corte di Cassazione, 1 December 2000, no 15385
 Corte di Cassazione, 10 October 2001, no 13533
 Corte di Cassazione, 14 May 2006, no 11755/2006
 Corte di Cassazione, 16 January 2009, no 975, in Giustizia Civile
 Massimario, 2009, 68
 Corte di Cassazione, 16 October 2007, no 21619, in Danno e Responsabilità,
 2008, 1, 43 note by R Pucella
 Corte di Cassazione, 18 April 2005, no 7997/2005
 Corte di Cassazione, 18 July 1987, no 6325, in Massimario Giuridico
 italiano 1987, 54
 Corte di Cassazione, 21 July 2011, no 15991, in Giustizia Civile Massimario,
 2011, 1098
 Corte di Cassazione, 22 September 2011 no 19262

Table of Cases

xix

- Corte di Cassazione, 23 December 2010, no 26042 (rv 615614), in CED Cassazione
- Corte di Cassazione, 27 April 2010, no 10060/2010
- Corte di Cassazione, 28 May 2014, no 11904/2014, in *Foro it.*, 2014, I, 1729
- Corte di Cassazione, 3 June 1980, no 3622, in Massiamario Giustizia civile 1980, 6
- Corte di Cassazione, 4 June 2015, no 11564 /2015
- Corte di Cassazione, 9 April 1963, no 910, in *Giuriprudenza italiana*, I 490
- Corte di Cassazione, *Allianz v Tagliaferro*, 26 May 2011, no 11610, in *Giust civ Mass* 2011, 5, 808
- Corte di Cassazione, *Blasi v Az. Cons. Trasp. Pubbl. Napoli*, 22 April 1993, no 4725, in *Giust civ Mass* 1993, 720
- Corte di Cassazione, *Fonsai v Nigriello*, 2 February 2007, no 2305, in *Foro it.*, I, 2007
- Corte di Cassazione, *Montani et al v Lloyd Adriatico*, 25 September 1998, no 9598, in *Studium Juris* 1999, 81 *Danno e resp* 1999, 534
- Corte di Cassazione, s.u., 11 January 2008, no 581, in *Danno e resp.*, 2009, 667
- Corte di Cassazione, *Sara Assicurazioni v GV*, 30 May 2013, n 13667
- Corte di Cassazione, *Sez Un*, 4 February 2005, no 2207, in *Riv dir proc.*, 2006, I, 375
- Corte di Cassazione, *Pen., Sez. Un.*, 11.09.2002, n 30328
- Tribunale Milan, *Brennercom Spa v Telecom Italia Spa*, 27122013 no 22423/2010
- Tribunale Milan, *Brennercom Spa v Telecom Italia Spa*, 332014 no 14802/2011
- Tribunale Milan, *OK Com Spa v Telecom Italia SpA*, 13 February 2013, RG 76568/2008
- Tribunale Milan, *Teleunit Spa v Vodafone Omnitel Spa*, no 75623/2008

United States

- Brunswick Corp v Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc* 429 US 477 (1977)
- City of Long Beach v Standard Oil Co of California* 872 F2d 1401
- Costner v Blount National Bank* n52 578 F2d 1192
- District Court of Columbia, *In Re: Vitamins Antitrust Litigation*, (2001) Lexis 12114; 2001-2 Trade Cas (CCH) P73, 339
- Ferebee v Chevron Chemical Company* 21 ERC 1688, 237 USAppDC 164, 14 (1983) F2d 1529
- Gatt Communications, Inc v PMC Associates LLC* 711 F3d 68, 76 (2d Cir 2013)
- Geneva Pharmaceuticals Tech v Barr Laboratories* 201 F Supp 2d 236 (SDNY 2002)

- Geneva Pharmaceuticals Technology Corp v Barr Laboratories, Inc et al
98 CIV 961 RWS, 99 CIV 3607 RWS
Hanover Shoe, Inc v United Shoe Machinery Corp 392 US 481 (1968)
Hayes v Solomon 597 F2d 958, 978
Illinois Brick Co v Illinois, 431 US 720 (1977)
In re Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) Products Liability Litigation
(2010) 739 F. Supp. 2d 576 (SDNY)
Lohrmann v Pittsburgh Corning Corp Gaf Ac S & Hk 782 F 2d 1156
McClure v Undersea In dus, Inc, 671 F2d 1287, 1289
Nat'l Indep Theatre Exhibitors, Inc v Buena Vista Distrib Co, 748 F2d 602
Rambus Inc v FTC 522 F3d 456
Sindell v Abbott Laboratories 26 Cal 3d 588; 2 ALR (4th) 1061; 163 Cal
Rptr 132; 607 P 2d 924 (Cal, 1980)
Tele Atlas NV v NAVTEQ Corp, No C-05-01673, 2008 WL 4809441
United States v Microsoft 253 F3d 34
US District Court, SD New York, Gross v New Balance Athletic Shoe,
11 February 11, 1997, Inc n 96 CIV 4921 (RWS), MDL-1154
Watson Laidlaw & Co Ltd v Pott, Cassells and Williamson 31 RPC 104
Zenith Radio Corp v Hazeltine Research, Inc 395 US 100