

Index

- Australia
 - enhanced damages, 95
 - lost profits availability, 56
 - lost profits, unwitting infringer, 56
- bargaining power, 246
 - bargaining power discount, 249
 - circularity, 251
 - high discount rate, 247
 - incremental value, division of, 25
 - independent creation, 252
 - information asymmetries, 253
 - informational disadvantage, 253
 - Nash Bargaining Solution, 246, 248
 - optimal patent term, 250
- Canada
 - disgorgement, 75, 81, 83
 - enhanced damages, 95
 - lost profits availability, 56
 - preliminary injunctions, 124
 - reasonable royalty factors, 14
- China
 - antimonopoly law, 222
 - bundling, 225
 - calculating damages, 201
 - damages, 8
 - disgorgement, 79
 - enhanced damages, 95
 - exclusionary abuses, 219
 - FRAND, 187, 201
 - litigation cost recovery, 105
 - lost profit awards, 58
 - lost profits availability, 58
- Chinese Patent Act
 - disgorgement, 79
- circularity, 36
 - discounting, 37
 - holdup/holdout circularity, 36
 - probabilistic circularity, 36
- competition law
 - anticompetitive effects, 216
 - antitrust agencies, 206
 - behavioral remedies, 233
 - bundling, 224, 225
 - complex products, 209, 223, 236
 - EU competition law, 207
 - exceptionalism, 207
 - excessive pricing, 224
 - FRAND licensing, 215, 221
 - future licensing, 218
 - goals, 204
 - holdout, 212
 - holdup, 212
 - injunctive relief, 212, 213, 233
 - level discrimination, 226
 - monetary remedies, 232
 - multi-level licensing, 228
 - patent acquisition, 231
 - patent pools, 228
 - price discrimination, 224
 - privateering, 230
 - refusal to supply, 221
 - SEP holder obligations, 210, 217, 219
 - tying, 224, 225
 - unfair trading conditions, 220
- complementarity, 20
- complex products
 - complementarity, 20
 - incremental value, 19
- Daubert
 - reasonable royalty, 47
- deterrence
 - underdeterrence, 9

- disgorgement, 72–89
 - burden of proof, 88
 - definition, 50
 - deterrence, 73
 - differential profit method, 82
 - drawbacks, 80
 - fixed costs, 85
 - objective, 50
 - potential benefits, 80
 - unjust enrichment, 72
- enhanced damages, 91–104
 - calculating multipliers, 288
 - catch-me-if-you-can problem, 98
 - criminal sanctions, 96
 - detering beneficial challenges, 100
 - effect on patent reading, 101
 - EU Enforcement Directive, 94
 - holdout, 98
 - objective recklessness, 92
 - promoting innovation, 97
 - purpose, 97
 - underdetection, 100
 - willfulness findings, study of, 92
- entire market value, 42, 44, 64
- European Union
 - disgorgement, 76
 - enhanced damages, 94
 - exclusionary abuses, 219
 - FRAND, 165, 175
 - injunctive relief, 126
 - level discrimination, 227
 - litigation cost recovery, 105
 - lost profits availability, 57
- ex ante
 - assessing social value, 244
 - contingent ex ante approach, 244
 - ex ante negotiation, 243
 - incentive to invent, 245
 - lock-in cost, 245
 - pure ex ante approach, 244
 - sunk costs, 243
- France
 - disgorgement, 78
 - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
 - lost profits availability, 57
 - preliminary injunctions, 124
- FRAND
 - abuse of rights, 190
 - bottom up approach, 168
 - comity device, 176
 - enhanced damages, 163
 - EU competition law, 176, 183, 188
 - FRAND royalty rates, 167
 - Georgia-Pacific factors, 162
 - holdout, 164
 - infringement notification, 178
 - injunctive relief, 171–90
 - jurisdictional issues, 184
 - licensing offer, 179
 - monetary damages, 161–71
 - proportionality, 189
 - reasonable royalty calculation, 162
 - refusal to license, 166
 - standard-essential patents, 164
 - top down approach, 168, 170
 - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, 165
- Georgia-Pacific
 - alternatives, 16
 - criticism of, 14
 - factors, 14
 - fundamental questions, 15
 - incremental value, division of, 24
 - post-infringement evidence, 32
 - restructuring analysis, 15
 - similar factors, Canada, 14
 - similar factors, Germany, 14
 - similar factors, Japan, 14
- German Patent Act
 - calculating damages, 77, 191
 - estimating damages, 192
 - monetary damages, 191
- Germany
 - disgorgement, 77
 - FRAND, implimenter response, 182
 - FRAND, infringement notification, 178
 - FRAND, licensing offer, 179
 - FRAND, monetary damages, 165
 - FRAND, royalty calculation, 181
 - FRAND, time limits, 178, 183
 - hypothetical bargain, information available, 28
 - injunctive relief, 125, 142
 - level discrimination, 226
 - lost profits availability, 57
 - preliminary injunctions, 124
 - reasonable royalty factors, 14
- holdout, 284–89
 - calculating multipliers, 288
 - catch-me-if-you-can problem, 284, 285
 - competition law, 212
 - definition, 284
 - European holdout model, 284
 - fee shifting, 287
 - injunctive relief, 286

- holdout (cont.)
 - litigation costs, 285
 - transaction cost reforms, 289
 - undercompensatory damages, 282, 288
 - underdetection, 287
 - underdetection and enhanced damages, 287
- holdup, 254–71
 - apportionment problem, 258
 - assessing excessive royalty, 296
 - asymmetric stakes in litigation, 287
 - case studies, 295, 296
 - competition law, 212
 - competitive markets, 266
 - complex products, 258, 261
 - definitions, 254
 - difficulty assessing royalty, 269
 - distinguishing royalty stacking, 294
 - downstream firms, 266
 - early negotiation, 264
 - economic literature, 302
 - elasticity of demand, 268
 - evidence of systematic problem, 294
 - ex ante licensing, 271
 - ex ante negotiation, 272
 - ex post royalties, 255
 - fairness-based norms, 273
 - hindsight bias, 282
 - inelastic demand, 267
 - injunctive relief, 268
 - liability rule, 279
 - licensing business model, 270
 - litigation costs, 263
 - lost profit damages, 269
 - mitigating mechanisms, 271
 - network effect appropriation, 256
 - network effect value, 297
 - overcompensatory jury awards, 282
 - patent ambush, 297
 - patent central to product, 263
 - patentee pays switching costs, 275
 - probabilistic patents, 259
 - redesign cost, 262
 - repeat bargaining, 273
 - royalty burden of proof, 281
 - stay of injunction, 274
 - study of, 299
 - sunk costs holdup, 255, 256
 - sunk R&D costs, 265
 - switching costs, 261, 275
 - undercompensatory damages, 279
 - unwilling licensor, 275
- hypothetical bargain, 9, 22–23
 - circularity, 36
 - comparability, 34
 - contingent ex ante approach, 31
 - ex post information, 33
 - multiplier, 37, 38
 - prior settlements, 39
 - probabilistic discounting, 40
 - timing of, 28
- incremental value, 19
 - best patented alternative, 241
 - comparables, 26
 - course of dealing, 26
 - custom of the industry, 26
 - definition, 17
 - determining value, 242
 - division of, 23
 - economic value, 19
 - relevant evidence, 26
 - royalty benchmark, 239
 - social value, 19, 240
 - value to different users, 242
- India
 - exclusionary abuses, 219
 - lost profits availability, 58
 - unwitting infringer, 58
- Indian Patent Act
 - lost profits, 58
- injunctions
 - abuse of rights, 125, 141
 - as a matter of right, 125
 - automatic injunctions, 125
 - burden on the public, 117
 - causal nexus, 129
 - civil law systems, 141–43
 - complex products, 120, 125, 130, 140
 - design around, 124
 - discretionary, 125
 - disproportionality, 124, 152, 153
 - eBay factors, 127
 - economic arguments, 118
 - empirical factors, 128
 - EU Enforcement Directive, 126, 139
 - expected harms, 123
 - FRAND, 125, 134
 - good faith, 138
 - harm to enjoined parties, 148
 - harm to the public, 150
 - harm to third parties, 149
 - holdout, 120, 121
 - holdup, 120, 121, 125
 - incremental worth, 148
 - indirect monetary value, 115
 - irreparable harm, 129
 - liability rule, 119
 - market exclusivity, 131, 132

- matter of right, 141
 - noncompensable harm, 151
 - ongoing reasonable royalty, 157
 - oppressive to defendant, 136
 - over-reach, 121
 - permanent injunction, 127
 - preliminary injunctions, 122, 123
 - property rules, 119
 - proportionality, 140
 - public interest, 133, 140
 - rationales, 115
 - right to exclude, 115, 116
 - scale of infringement, 147
 - standard-essential patents, 134, 140, 142
 - study of, 129, 131
 - switching costs, 118
 - tailoring, 133, 155
 - transaction costs, 277
 - TRIPS Agreement, 116, 117, 143
 - undue delay, 132, 138
 - United Kingdom, 134–41
 - United States, 127–34
- interest, 111–14
 - Federal Reserve survey rate, 113
 - restitutionary interest rate award, 113
 - undercompensatory prejudgement interest, 111
- Italy
 - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
- Japan
 - abuse of right, 200
 - disgorgement, 79
 - enhanced damages, 96
 - FRAND, 169, 186, 187, 189, 200
 - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
- Japanese Institute of Inventors and Innovation (Hatsumei Kyokai), 12
- litigation cost recovery, 105
- lost profits, 57, 58
- reasonable royalty factors, 14
- standard royalty rate, 12
- statutory prejudgment interest rate, 113
- study of, 7
- Japanese Patent Act
 - disgorgement, 79
 - FRAND, injunctive relief, 185
 - lost profits, 57
- Korea
 - FRAND, 185, 189, 199
 - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
 - monetary damages, 199
- liability rule
 - bias in damages assessment, 278
 - definition, 276
 - injunctions, 119
 - speculative uses, 277
- litigation cost recovery, 104–11
 - costshifting, study of, 109
 - effects of awarding costs, 108
 - EU Enforcement Directive, 104
 - exceptionality requirement, 107
- lost profits, 51–72
 - apportionment, 66
 - availability, 52, 55–58
 - awards, study of, 55
 - compensable lost sales, 64
 - convoyed sales, 64
 - definition, 50
 - derivative sales, 65
 - emotional harm, 69
 - foreseeable lost profits, 54
 - future losses, 69
 - harm to subsidiaries, 69
 - loss of chance, 71
 - lost economies of scale, 69
 - mixed awards, 55
 - non-infringing alternatives, 60
 - objective, 50
 - opportunity costs, 69
 - Panduit factors, 53
 - price erosion, 51
 - reputational damage, 69
 - standard, United States, 53
 - study of, 58
 - unpatented products, 64
 - unwitting infringer, 56
- Model Patent Jury Instructions, 15
- Nash Bargaining Solution. *See* NBS
- NBS (Nash Bargaining Solution)
 - incremental value, division of, 24
- Netherlands
 - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
 - willing licensee, 212
- non-infringing alternative, 20
- nonpracticing entity. *See* NPE
- NPE (nonpracticing entity), 7
- option effect, 280
 - royalty rate errors, 280
- patent strength, 254
 - discounting, 254

- Poland
 - FRAND, abuse of rights, 183
- price discrimination, 35, 46
- property rule
 - definition, 276
 - difficulty assessing royalty, 276
 - injunctions, 119
- proposed further research, competition law
 - constraints on licensing terms, 238
 - flexibility of antitrust remedies, 238
 - injunctive relief, 238
 - level discrimination, 238
 - trade offs with patent law, 237
 - use of patent pools, 238
- proposed further research, disgorgement
 - accounting of profits, 82
 - availability, 81
 - burden of proof, apportionment, 89
- proposed further research, enhanced damages
 - justifiable variations across jurisdictions, 104
 - patent reading, 104
- proposed further research, FRAND
 - enhanced damages, 171
 - royalty methodologies, 171
- proposed further research, holdup
 - accuracy of damages and injunctive relief, 284
- proposed further research, interest
 - rates awarded in U.S. courts, 114
 - simple versus compound interest, 114
- proposed further research, litigation cost recovery
 - awards under Equal Access to Justice Act, 111
 - practical aspects of fee shifting, 111
 - reduce cost of litigation over fees, 110
 - settlements in countries with mandatory fee shifting, 111
- proposed further research, lost profits
 - burden of proof, non-infringing alternatives, 62
 - idle patents, 66
 - infringer's degree of fault, 59
 - loss of chance, 72
 - moral prejudice, 71
 - non-infringing alternatives, 64
 - patented next-best alternative, 62
 - standard presumption, 60
- proposed further research, reasonable royalty
 - disclosure of license terms, 41
 - incremental value, division of, 25
 - jury preferences re royalty rates, 44
 - non-infringing alternatives, 22
 - psychology of judges and juries, 46
 - reasonable royalty calibration, 49
- reasonable royalty, 6–49
 - analytical approach, 11
 - anchoring, 43
 - bottom-up approach, 16
 - calibration, 49
 - comparable licenses, 33
 - complements, 20
 - contingent ex ante approach, 31
 - entire market value, 42, 44
 - established royalty rate, 12
 - ex post information, 32, 33
 - expert evidence, 47
 - Georgia-Pacific factors, 14
 - incremental value, division of, 23
 - industry standard rates, 12
 - information set, 30
 - kickers, 48
 - overcompensatory, 43
 - patented non-infringing alternatives, 20
 - principal recommendation, 16–19
 - proof of damages, 47
 - restitutionary view, 9
 - restorative view, 8
 - SSPPU, 42, 45
 - timing of hypothetical bargain, 28
 - top-down approach, 12
- recommendations, disgorgement
 - accounting of profits, correct approach, 85
 - cost savings, 61
 - discretion of the court, 81
 - elect accounting or damages, 88
 - fixed costs, 86
 - U.S. design patent approach, 84
- recommendations, enhanced damages
 - assessed in light of efficacy, 103
 - evaluate deterrent effect, 103
- recommendations, FRAND
 - assessing royalty rates, 171
 - balancing equities, 190
 - conditions on injunctive relief, 190
- recommendations, injunctions
 - against automatic injunctions, 144
 - discretionary award, 144
 - disproportionate harm, 146
 - ongoing reasonable royalty, 145, 157, 158
 - proportionality, 145
 - tailoring, 144, 156
- recommendations, interest
 - rates reflecting cost of borrowing, 114
 - reconsideration of statutory rates, 114
- recommendations, litigation cost recovery
 - compensate costs actually incurred, 110
 - more generous costshifting rules, 110
- recommendations, lost profits
 - “but-for” causation, 59
 - defining “profit,” 61

- preferred measure, 58
- recognize non-infringing alternatives, 61
- recoverable losses, 65
- substitutability of non-infringing alternatives, 62
- recommendations, reasonable royalty
 - ancillary services or risks taken, 25
 - apply comparables and market evidence with
 - caution, 41
 - comparables, 34
 - contingent ex ante approach, 31
 - entire market value, 46
 - expert evidence, 47
 - flexible approach to hypothetical bargain, 29
 - hypothetical bargain framework, 22
 - incremental value over patented alternative, 21
 - kickbacks, 49
 - principal recommendation, 16
 - royalties commensurate with value, 19
 - using competent evidence, 27
- royalty stacking
 - Cournot complements, 290
 - definition, 289
 - distinguishing holdup, 294
 - evidence of systematic problem, 294
 - input price setting, 292
 - patent pools, 292, 298
 - redesign costs, 290
 - royalty benchmark, 295
 - study of, 300
 - tacit coordination, 293
 - two-stage quantity setting-model, 293
- SDO (standards-development organizations), 160
- SEP (standard-essential patent)
 - hypothetical bargain, timing of, 29
 - non-infringing alternatives, 21
- smallest saleable patent-practicing unit. *See* SSPPU
- SSPPU
 - Spain
 - preliminary injunctions, 124
 - SSPPU (smallest saleable patent-practicing unit),
 - 42, 45
 - standard-essential patent. *See* SEP
 - standards-development organizations. *See* SDO
- sunk costs
 - circularity, 41
 - holdup, 30
 - hypothetical bargain, timing of, 29
- Switzerland
 - agreement to contract, 195
 - calculating damages, 196, 197
 - effect of FRAND, 196
 - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
 - reasonable royalty, 198
 - Swiss Code of Obligations, 196
- Taiwan
 - enhanced damages, 96
- TRIPS Agreement
 - criminal penalties, 96
 - injunctions, 116, 143
 - limitation of remedies, 117
- U.S. Patent Act
 - disgorgement, design patents, 75
 - enhanced damages, 91, 92
 - injunctions, 127
 - lost profits, 52
 - prejudgement interest presumption, 111
- UK Patent Act
 - lost profits availability, 55
- United Kingdom
 - bundling, 225, 226
 - disgorgement, 78, 81
 - enhanced damages, availability, 94
 - FRAND, 169
 - hypothetical bargain, 11
 - injunctions, 125,
 - 134
 - lost profits, 55, 56, 61
 - preliminary injunctions, 124
 - tying, 225
 - willing licensing, 212
- United States
 - apportionment, 66
 - consent decrees, 234
 - damages reports, 6, 7
 - disgorgement, availability, 75
 - enhanced damages, 288
 - foreseeable lost profits, 54
 - FRAND, 171, 174, 175
 - hypothetical bargain, 11, 28
 - injunctions, 125, 126, 127
 - jury bias, 282
 - litigation cost recovery, 106
 - lost profit awards, 55
 - lost profits, 56, 64
 - Patent Act, lost profits, 52
 - post-judgment interest, 112
 - prejudgement interest presumption, 111
 - preliminary injunctions, 124
 - proof of reasonable royalty damages, 47
 - royalty rates awarded by juries, 43
 - unfair competition, 218
- willing licensee, 212